

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 98, 98.

DATED 16th Jan. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

ESCAPE OF A FUGITIVE FROM GOA

Informing the Resident in Travancore that the fugitive Ghulam Rasul is reported to have left Goa and that there is no necessity therefore to send the warrant and description called for in telegram of 18th December last, and addressing the Government of Bombay on the subject.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
56	3132A		18/12/94				
	3012/3		10/12/94				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
209			95				
			153-95				
509			95				
			300-95				
			11-2-95				

Judicial (1894) DEPARTMENT.

P. 3132A. 18 Dec 1894
+ papers

FROM
M. Hammick Esq. J.C.S.
INSPECTOR-GENERAL
OF POLICE,

For orders

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George

No. 2

Dated 4th
Received 5th
Rsd 7
January 1895.

No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
5 JAN 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
SUBJECT.

Stating for the information of Government that intimation was received here on the 17th Ultimo from the authorities at Goa that Abdul Rasul had left Goa by Steamer for Mangalore.

11/8/1

May this be Recorded?
It seems expedient to ask the Govt of Bombay to ascertain why the man was permitted to leave Goa & then to refer the case to the Govt of India which may think it advisable to take steps to come to some arrangement with the Portuguese authorities on the subject of extradition. At present extradition between B. India & Goa seems to be effected by a mere act of State & if the Portuguese authorities plead that they had no power to detain Abdul Rasul in the absence of any treaty, the G. of I. may consider it necessary to move in the matter.

A telegram can also go to the Resident in Travancore telling him that he need not send the warrant called for in G.O. of 18/12/94, No. 3132A.

By well - ask the Mayor as proposed.
As regards extradition with Goa, until quite recently I believe there was no extradition treaty between B. India (which covers India) & Portugal (which covers Goa). But I have a recollection that this door was opened towards criminals two or three years ago. I think the point came up in the case of the man who was arrested at Goa.
11/1/95

Concl

DEPARTMENT

No. 2

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras, 4 January 1895.

FROM

M. Hannick Esq., I.C.S.

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to G.O., No. 3012, dated 10th December 1894, I have the honor to state for the information of Government that intimation was received here on the 17th Ultimo from the authorities at Goa that Abdul Rasub had left Goa by steamer for Mangalore.

II. Telegrams were at once despatched to the Canara Police at Kundapur and Mangalore directing the arrest of this man; he was not found on board and up to the present time the police have failed to trace him.

III. Beyond the fact of wiring his departure, the Goa authorities have offered no explanation of the circumstances under which he was permitted to leave; on the 29th November, they reported that he

being detained under surveillance. —
Apparently, however, the Goa authorities
considered that they had no power to detain
the man, there being no extradition treaty
in force in Goa.

IV. Gulam Rasul was the ringleader
in the Cape Comorin temple burglary
and it is matter for regret that he should
thus have been allowed to escape.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servant,

Mammick
Inspector General of Police

R

DEPARTMENT.

[5]

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 10 Dec 1894, No. 3012-3, h.d.
Again G.O., dated 18 Dec 1894, No. 3132 A. h.d.
Current No. 31 of 1894, dated 4 Jan from Sg. Police.

Current No. of 18, dated

from

Order 16 Jan 1895 No 98

The matter will be brought
to the notice of the Govt. of

Bombay
2. The following telegram will be
(forwarded)

Despatched to the Resident
in Travancore :—

" 19. Gulam Rasul
reported to have left
Goa. No necessity
therefore to send
warrant & description
called for in telegram
639 of 18 Dec 1894."

Note for C.A.

N.H. is referring to the
treaty of 1892 printed
at pp. 86—90 of the Lawrence
Roy's Almanac for
1895; but the Protocol
to the Treaty declares that
it does not apply to
India.

15/1

Despatched
to the Sg. of Police (210) 21 JAN 95
Rest in Travancore

Letter No 98 A.

To the Secy & the Sg. of
Bombay, 21 JAN 95
with copy of letter
J. S. P. H. S.

Sir,
In continuation of my
letter of 10 Dec 1894. No
3013, h.d., requesting that
the authorities at Goa may
be requested to grant extradition
of the fugitive Gulam
Resul alias Abdul Rasul.
I am directed to forward
a copy of a letter from
the Sg. of Police, Madras,
wherein that officer reports
that the man in question
has been apprehended, appar-

it he could not legally
 detained in custody.
 The Gov^t. of Madras
 would be glad to know
 the circumstances under
 which Guntam Rasul
 was allowed to leave Goa
 & I am to request that
 inquiries into the matter
 may, with the permission
 of H. E. the Gov^t. of Bombay
 in Council, be instituted.
 I am further to solicit
 that this Gov^t. may be
 favoured with the opinion
 of the Gov^t. of Bombay whether
 the course adopted by the
 authorities at Goa was
 justifiable. ^{Wt 15/11} ^{Wt 15/11} ~~in order that~~
~~the attention of the Gov^t. of~~
~~may, if necessary, be~~
~~drawn to the matter.~~

3. It is understood that, although
 the Treaty of 1879 ^{has}
 & the Treaty of 1892 ^{do not} apply to
 expired, ^{the} ~~the~~ ^{Portuguese} ~~Portuguese~~ ^{possessions}
 to be effected as an act of
 State; but, if dangerous
 criminals, such as Guntam
 Rasul, cannot be detained
 pending apprehension by the
 British Gov^t. for rendition,
 the present arrangements
 would appear to be highly
 unsatisfactory in character.

I have &c
 12 Jan

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 189
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
 189 , DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only