

21-9-05 ✓

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 962

Dated 9th May 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

ARMS ACT LICENSE.

Directing the District Magistrate to inform Hakim Dowla Faksh of Bangalore that, if he w is willing to send the rifle back to that station, a license permitting him to do so will be granted.

21-9-05 ✓

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C	2367		95				

[G
9]
2,000-23-1-05.

Current No. 1442

DEPARTMENT.

From

Hakim Mulla Baksh
of Bangalore

Dated

18. 3. 95

Received

22. 3. 95

Enclosures 4

23. 3. 95

SUBJECT.

Regd. that order may
be issued to the D. Magistrate
Kistna to grant him
a license to carry
arms when on journey

26/3.

Doc. 803147/94 + no. 1439/95
for at no 1439/95
from Mulla Baksh Baksh

Go. 406. 22. 2. 95

380

Endorsement to 670 d/28 March 95

Referral to the District
Magistrate of Kistna for early report.

Very orders

27/3/95
Asst. Secy.

To
The D. Magistrate, Kistna.

26-3-95

W.E

worth Rs 150 --- with the Police
for ever with no hopes of taking
it to my native place. On
inquiring by the District Magistrate
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Judicial Department. that my
character is good. Your Honor will
please assign me a Madras
Most honored Sir

With reference to
G. O. No 406 dated 22-2-95. I
beg to request that on my apply-
ing for a license in form No IX
to go armed on a journey with
my rifle as usual, the District
Magistrate Kistna has refused
to grant me a license on the
ground that my arm is a repea-
ter. As I have already brought
it with me from Bangalore, my
native place covered by proper
license and the time mentioned
therein has expired on the 20th
ultimo, I was obliged as required
by rules to deposit the gun in the
Police Station Masulipatam.
The District Magistrate's order
in my present position means
that I should have

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
22 MAR. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

worth Rs 150 --- with the Police
for ever with no hopes of taking
it to my native place. On
enquiring by the District Magis-
trate, Police Superintendent
Kistna reported that my cha-
racter is good. Your honor will
be pleased to observe that no
sufficient reason has been
assigned for refusing a license
to me. I am obliged to stay at
Masulipatam for the sake of
my gun left with the Police.
I therefore beg that order may
be issued to the District
Magistrate Kistna to grant me
a license.

The following papers
are enclosed for reference & return

(1) Kistna District Magistrate
Endorsement, Licenses granted
by the Resident of Bangalore
and the District Magistrate
of Anantapur

18-3-95

Signature of Kistna
Moula Baksh
Bangalore now
residing at

Go. 406: 22.2.95 mis

4/5 2 Jan 95

No. 1442 + 1876/95

I have


For orders.

The District Magistrate

His tea

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government Madras



Desps 95-4-95

22/13/4

Encl. C. Del. 1876
x6

Refou. C. No. 380-95

Enclosures 6

Subject.

Re-submitting Go. No 406 with
its enclosures and explaining
for what reasons the appli-
cant was denied a license.

MSA
10/10/4

For T

Pl. say if the Govt. said to have
been submitted to him. 13-4-95

The Dist. Magistrate does not state
what kind of weapon the rifle
is but judging from the price and
the vendor it must be a Winchester
of the ordinary kind. The Govt. of
India is certainly against allowing
magazine rifles of any kind to
be in the hands of natives but the
seems hard that this man who
appears to be of good character in
who has had licenses from two
Magistrates - who apparently never
hesitated himself to inspect the
weapon - should now suddenly
be deprived of the rifle.
Perhaps the case might be treated
as a special case.

Would the license before the GOB Jan 22 1895
be issued to him. Can he be sent to him. 25/10

Copy

Kistna Dist Magistrate's Office.

Masulipatam Dated 8-4-95.

Refuse 380
may 785

From

J. N. Atkinson Esquire
District Magistrate
Kistna

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Dept. Madras.

Sir.

In resubmitting herewith G. O. no 406 dated
22-2-95 with enclosures thereof, I have the honor
to report that the license applied for in form no
IX was refused with reference to the suggestions
contained in the letter communicated with G. O.
no 4 dated 2nd January last, judicial.

Moreover, I did not consider the application
proper altogether satisfactory. He had on
8th August obtained a license from the Resi-
-dent, Mysore, to go armed with a rifle (not
specified as a repeater) for two months
to Ananthapur. Thereon 20th August
he got a similar license to go armed with
a rifle for six months to Hunsur, Bellary,
Bijapur, Sholapur, Holapur, Belgaum,
Dharwar, Masulipatam, Loldapal,
Nellore, Madras, Bangalore. Whether

? What is the result?
Have you
any

But on 31st January petitioner is at Masulipatam
and applies for a license to go armed to
Rajamahendray. Nagapattanam, Gausan, Cuttack
Summurpar, Calcutta, Bellare, Madras, Bangalore.

I refused petitioner's application as it seemed
to me that a traveller to these places did not
need arms for self protection.

Again on 9th February petitioner applied
to me for a license and said that he had
received a license from the Resident, Bangalore.

I passed an order on 17th Feb that I would
not grant a license till satisfied of petitioner's
bona fides and identity. At the same
time I asked the Superintendent of Police
to make enquiries about petitioner. Then
for the first time it transpired that his
rifle was a repeater. On 11th March I passed
the following Order "I decide to
grant a license for a repeating rifle"
The Superintendent of Police has reported
that the applicant is a "hakim oculist"
and seems to be respectable.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient
J. M. Atkinson

5/4

[G.]
[24.]
600-8395

Judicial DEPARTMENT.
Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 22 Feb. 1895, No 406 Tull mis.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 1442 of 1895, dated 18 March from Hakim Mooli Roosh of Bangalore,
having stayed at Masulipatam

Current No. 1846 of 1895, dated 5 April from the D.M. Krishna.

ORDER. 89 May 1895, No 962.
mis

In the special circumstances of
the case, the D.M. will inform the petitioner
that if he is willing to send the rifle
back to Bangalore a license ^{permitting him} to do so
will be granted:

(From order)

28
81/95 29-4-95

To

The D.M. Krishna. G.O.
with encls re D.M. for transmission to the
petitioner

29-4-95

13/5

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
181

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
•Q = Copy heading only.