

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 932

Dated 6th May 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

ALTERATIONS, &c. TO THE SMALL CAUSE COURT, MADRAS.

Requesting the Consulting Architect to prepare the necessary plans and estimates for the proposed additions and alterations to the Madras Court of Small Causes, with an expression of dissatisfaction at the neglect of the late Chief Judge and the Consulting Architect to avert the necessity for such additions and alterations to the building.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	80		12-1-95				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3753		95-				

1895.
Public Works Department.

for 80. 12.1.95
2876. 28.11.94

No. 63145
Dated 16
Despatched 1895
Received 18
March 1895.

For orders

Encl. No 5448

Plans also

SUBJECT.

Communicating report
furnished by the Consulting
Architect on the proposed
additions and alterations
required to the Court of
Small Causes, Madras.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Consulting Architect
to Government, dated 13th March 1895, No. 3784.

In returning letter, No. 837,
dated the 8th November 1894, from the Chief
Judge of the Court of Small Causes
received with G. O. No. 3123 W. dated 6th
December 1894, I have the honour to report
that I inspected the buildings in com-
-pany with the Chief Judge and from
what I observed I consider that the
additional accommodation as well as
improvement to the lighting of the rooms
below the Courts is absolutely necessary.
I think however that too much plate
glass in the floors of the Courts is
required. I should only have one
strip in each Court altered to plate
glass i.e. the space behind the Sais,
this is not occupied and would let
in a good deal of light into the record
room

No. 80 on 28.11.94

No. 2876 Just

room below, the other spaces are more or less taken up with benches and other furniture in the Court and even if altered would not much improve the lighting of the rooms below.

I estimate the several works to cost as follows:-

1. A building to contain distrained goods only one storey high containing an area of 3600 sq ft with a verandah in front 15 feet wide to hold auction sales. No place was provided for the storage of distrained goods, at present the distrained goods are kept in the cross corridors and Central hall which was intended to be passages for the use of the public — Rs. 15,500.
 2. Covered shed for attached ^{carriages} to be constructed within the enclosure for distrained cattle — " 2,000
 3. Paving existing stalls for distrained cattle with stone slabs — " 400
 4. Fixing 1" thick plate glass in iron frames in the floors of the four Courts to light the rooms below 10800 sq ft at 8p. " 8,640
- Rupia 26,540

Tracingo

Tracingo showing the site for buildings 1 and 2 and spaces to be laid with plate glass are enclosed.

Order.. dated 16th March 1895, No. 631W.

Communicated to the Judicial Department, with reference to G.O. No. 2870 dated 28th November 1894.

(True Extract)

C. R. B. B. B.
Under Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.

Ex. 111

To The Judicial Department,
with 3 enclosures.

NOTE FOR THE ~~CHIEF~~ ^{under} SECRETARY.

May's the plan be returned
to the P. W. Dept please.

1928

415195

les

45

$\left[\frac{G.}{25.} \right]$
100-21-12-94

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

No. 1355

From

the P.W.N.

Dated 16-3. 1895

FOR ORDERS.

This expenditure must
no doubt be sanctioned but
as it is ^{partly} a large sum
warranted ^{partly} by the neglect
of the late Chf. J. of the Com: C.
Ct. & being ^{partly} due to the
neglecting the requirements
of the Court & partly by the neglect
of the Architect (who was
he was) & light the rooms
properly, the sanction shd.
be accompanied by an
expression of the extreme
dissatisfaction of the

h

9/4/95

Live

C Yes, the wells were obvious and it will cost a great deal to remedy them

18/4 I agree

6

21 Apr

Please see G.O. of 28 Nov. 1894 No 2876. This current contains the Consulting Architect's reply. He considers the alterations & additions necessary & estimates the total cost at Rs 26,540.

80 $\frac{2}{26}$ 514/5503 95-14.0

Ch.

Order 6 May 1895, No. 932.

The Consulting Architect
will be requested in the P.O.D.
to prepare the necessary plans
and Estimates.

2. The Govt. is compelled to express its extreme dissatisfaction that such extensive alterations in the Small Cause Court ~~has been~~^{is being} are necessitated partly by the neglect of the late Chief Justice to bring to notice at the proper time the requirements of the Court, & partly by the failure of the architect to provide for the proper lighting of the Court room.

[G
75]

He P.W.D.

NOTE FOR THE ~~UNDER SECRETARY.~~

Who was the Consulting
Architect Genl. in 1889
please?

MR
22.4.95

Mr. J. W. Brasington was the
Consulting Architect up to 22nd
September 1889 and retired from
service on the following day.
Mr. H. Irvine has been the Cons.
Architect from the latter date.

MAJ
22.4.95

8-8-06

[G.]
25.
100-21-12-94.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

No. 1355

From

the P.W.D.

Dated 16-3-1895.

FOR ORDERS.

This expenditure must
no doubt be sanctioned but
as it is a large sum
necessitated ^{partly} by the neglect
of the late Chf J. of the H. C.
Ct. & being ^{partly} due to the
neglect of the requirements
of the Court & partly by the neglect
of the Architect (wherever
he was) & right the same
properly the sanction shd.
be accompanied by an
expression of the extreme
dissatisfaction of Govt.

MS
9/4/95

Circ Yes, the walls were
obvious and it will cost
a good deal to remedy
them

MS 12/4 Japer

W
21 Apr

The Secy to P.W.D. for
Council's initials

Please see G.O. of 28 Nov. 1894 to
2896. This current contains the
Consulting Architect's reply. He
considers the alterations & additions
necessary & estimates the total cost
at Rs 26,540.

MS 26.3.95
6/4/95

H.B. Plan disapproved
this is the plan of
the Court

C.S.
Order of May 18.95, No. 932.

The Consulting Architect
will be requested in the P.W.D.
to prepare the necessary plans
and estimates.

2. The Govt. is compelled to express
its extreme dissatisfaction that
such extensive alterations in the
Small Cause Court, ~~being~~ are
necessitated partly by the neglect of
the late Chief J. to bring to notice
at the proper time the requirements of
the Court & partly by the failure of the
Architect to provide for the proper
lighting of the Court. ^{MS}
The Chief J. has been ^{MS}
The P.W.D. has been ^{MS}

Alterations to
Small Cause Court

Am in line of H.C. the Governor

H.M.

(For order)

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures, including "C. from ...", "Am in line of H.C. the Governor", and "22/4 April 29"]

c17/4 c19/4
R1/4 72/4

c810

Date.

Date.

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- F = Copy abstract only.
- G = Copy heading only.