

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 666

Dated 1st Apr. 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

ARMS ACT LICENSE.

Informing one Kuppusami Mudali of Coimbatore that the Government will not interfere with the District Magistrate's decision regarding the grant of licenses, with reference to his request that the cancellation by the Collector of his license for the use of a weapon may be revoked.

Mud

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G
9]
2,000-23-1-05.

Current No. 1396

DEPARTMENT.

From

Kuppusami Mudali

Order
R

The petitioner should submit a copy of the Dm's Proceedings against. This seems waste of time

Order, 185 1866. The Govt will not interfere with the District Magistrate's discretion regarding the grant of licenses.

No.

Dated 19. 3. 95

Received 20. 3. 95

Enclosures

To 23. 3. 95
The 9th 8th 4/4/95
Masp

SUBJECT:

Reqn. that the Cancellation by the (Govt) of pet's license for the use of a weapon, may be revoked.

Masp
No 253

(100)

To,

His Excellency the Governor in Council,
 FORT ST. GEORGE,
 MADRAS.

Appeal against the Proceedings of the District Magistrate of
 Coimbatore No. 119 dated 4th February 1895.
 Magte.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF KUPPUSAWMY
 MUDALI, PHYSICIAN, SITHODU, ERODE
 TALUK, COIMBATORE DISTRICT, MOST RES-
 PECTFULLY SHOWETH.

1. That Your Excellency's humble petitioner is a native of Koogaloor, Bommanaikenpalayam, Satyamungalam Taluk as will be apparent from the enclosed mahazarnamah signed by the most respectable ryots of his Village and its neighbourhood, a loyal, peaceful, law-abiding citizen and subject of Your Excellency's Government owning some property and following the avocation of physician in the Village of Sithodu, Erode Taluk which needs constant travelling at all hours of the night and as such entitled to the right and privilege enjoyed by the most humble of Her Majesty's subjects, of carrying arms for the self preservation and protection of person and property.

2. That a license has been held year by year for the past 10 years by petitioner himself or his brother for the possession of a weapon for the protection of person and property.

3. That such license has been held undisturbed and without any complaint from anybody until recently.

4. That last year in a rioting case brought by one Sinnana Goundan against counter-petitioner Ramana Goundan and some of his witnesses, petitioner gave evidence on behalf of Sinnana Goundan.

5. That the above named Ramana Goundan of Kumalamparuppu Village complained that petitioner is an unfit person to keep a revolver as he sides a faction and threatens to shoot people.

6. Petitioner most respectfully begs to point out that this Ramana Goundan is the very man against whom petitioner gave evidence and who has ever since vowed bitter enmity towards him and he is supported only by his witnesses co-defendants in the said case.

7. There is no evidence of such reckless allegation beyond some loose interested statements recorded by the Police to whom the complaint was sent for investigation.

CHIEF SECRETARY
20 MAR. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

2

8. No Magistrate ever made any enquiry about it. Petitioner fully complies with all the requirements for holding a license under Act XI of 1878. Counter-petitioner's complaint is misleadingly worded as if petitioner was fined in a rioting case which is absolutely untrue.

9. Ramana Goundan's Village is 3 miles away from petitioner's present camp Sithodu and 15 miles from his native place and hence he has nothing to do with petitioner & knows nothing about him or his necessity for the possession of a weapon.

10. On the other hand petitioner fears that the present complaint is a deliberate concoction to deprive petitioner of his protecting arms with an ulterior object, as petitioner has a number of reckless unscrupulous characters under his influence and command petitioner's possession of a weapon for self protection is, if possible, rendered the more necessary by counter-petitioner's attitude towards him as is evident from his complaint.

In these circumstances, petitioner prays your Excellency will be pleased to revoke the cancellation of petitioner's license by the Collector or at least order a fair enquiry by a responsible authority with regard to the necessity of the weapon in question for him and the worthlessness of the allegations made against him, & the weapon to be ~~returned~~ *for him to be kept*.

Petitioner begs to subscribe himself

Your Excellency's most loyal subject & obedient servant,

19.3.95

Skuppusamm Madulian
a native of Kerkalav, Bomman
chempottam, Sathyanarayana Jaly, now
residing at Sithodu, Erode 72.

for copying

189

When sig.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.--

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.