

[5]
1,000—25-7-95.

Judicial (1895.) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 627.

DATED 26 "Mar" 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

COMBINED DISTRICT AND DISTRICT MUNSIF'S COURT-HOUSE AT COIM-
BATORE.

Authorizing the Consulting Architect to prepare plans and es-
timates for constructing a--.

over

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
		C4325/95 C4555/95	31/10/95				

N^o 483.856 High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 19th February 1895.

From

H. W. Foster Esquire,
Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

To

The Honorable J. F. Price, C. S. I.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Honorable Sir,

The District Judge of
Coimbatore has brought to notice
the inadequacy and inconvenience
of the accommodation afforded by
the present District Court building.
His attention was particularly
attracted to the insufficiency of
the space for records and to the
insecurity of the record rooms which
are not only so small, badly
lighted

lighted and ill-ventilated, as to interfere with the orderly arrangement of the records, but owing to the materials of which the building is constructed afford but little protection against the attacks of white ants.

2. The Executive Engineer, who examined the building at the District Judge's request, condemns the record room as utterly unsuited for the permanent preservation of records and is of opinion that it is incapable of satisfactory adaptation or improvement. He suggests that the best plan would be to remove the whole of the eastern portion of the present Court-house consisting of the record room, the Sheristadar's room and the bar room and to build in its place a new wing two stories

stories high of which the upper storey would serve exclusively for the records and record establishment while the ground floor would provide convenient accommodation for the Sheristadar and the pleaders and space also for the police guard and for the storing of attached property. This scheme is estimated to cost Rs. 15,000.

3. The District Judge supported the proposal and submitted it accordingly for the High Court's sanction. He brought to notice that the whole building containing both the District and District Munsif's Courts is highly inconvenient and expressed the opinion that the construction of a new building is desirable

desirable, but having regard to the present state of the finances he thought it inopportune to advocate so extensive an alteration now and confined his proposal to work which he considered absolutely necessary. He pointed out too that the new wing could be constructed with a view to the eventual renovation of the whole Court-house and that it could be built so as to harmonise and fit in with the new building.

4. The High Court took the objection that the construction of the building piecemeal would at last produce an unsatisfactory result and would probably increase the total cost and that the financial objection was just as likely to prevail

prevail against a scheme costing Rs 15,000, as against a scheme for the construction of a new building throughout and the District Judge was asked to submit plans and estimates for a new Court-house.

5. In reply he points out that without an order of the Government, the P. W. D. will not undertake the preparation of plans and estimates for a new Court. He again insists on the urgency of that part of the scheme he at first submitted but says that from the first he was of opinion that no arrangement short of the provision of an entirely new building would be really satisfactory.

6. Though the proposal for a new building is no doubt costlier

costlier than the one originally put forward by the District Judge, the High Court has no doubt that it would in the end be the more economical plan. A large expenditure will have to be incurred in any case and it seems desirable at least to ascertain what would be the exact cost of a new Court-house by getting estimates prepared. Thereupon the advantages and the cost of the two proposals can be adequately compared. I am directed to request therefore that His Excellency the Governor in Council will be pleased to issue early orders for the preparation of plans and estimates for constructing

constructing a combined District and District Munsif's Court-house on the site of the present Court, either adapting or removing the present unsatisfactory building.

I have the honor to be,

Honorable Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. A. Miller
Registrar.

constructing a similar list
and list of names of persons
on the side of the present Court
either, adding or removing the
present unsatisfactory list.

I have the honor to
be, Sir, very respectfully,
Your obedient servant,
J. H. [illegible]

Every letter, whether printed or in manuscript, should be do-
cketed and abstracted on the back ;
and the abstract should state clearly the purport of the letter and
not merely its title subject.
Enclosures and statements also, whether sent with letters, or
merely under cover, should be numbered, docketed and abstracted.
The headings of statements should be written in full to prevent
mistakes, (G. O., 8th April 1893, No. 239, Public.)

Note The present Court
building seems to be
very old one, & accdg.
to the R.O. slip, built
sometime in 1824:—
I submit this case, as it
is already delayed over
days in the R.O.: if the
litho. further add the
several adjus. & alter-
re. to the building will
looked up: in

Combined District
+ Dist. Munsifs Court
for Coimbatore.

(For orders)

A. M.

[G.
24]
100-20-12-94.

Judicial DEPT. AMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

18 , No.

Again G.O., dated

18 , No.

Current No.

of 18 , dated

from

Current No. 865 of 1895 dated 19 Feb from the High Ct.

Order 26 March 1895 sub 27

The Consulting Architect will be
authorized in the P.W.D. to prepare
plans + estimates accordingly. The
plans should be of such a nature as
to permit the execution in the first
instance of only the most essential
part of the work.

(from order)

25/3/95

In the Cj. with (Sketches)

The P.W.D. (also)

29 MAR 95

IN M.S.

26/3/95

Combined Court, London

C. from orders

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
189 ,

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PATERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only