

[3]
63
1,000-35-7-94

Judicial (1895.) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 611.

DATED 23rd Mar 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

TANJORE JUDGMENT FORGERY CASE.

Considering a reward of Rs.500 sufficient to Police Inspector Subba Aiyar for the good work done by him towards the successful termination of the-- , and authorizing the Inspector-General of Police to disburse the amount.

Date taken out.

Department.

G. No.

Year.

the paper or
of attender.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. $\frac{0}{48}$ 2,000 -10-94.

Account

DEPARTMENT.

No. 7331

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras, 12th December 1894.

FROM

Colonel C. A. Porteous,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

The Chief Secretary

to Government,

Fort Saint George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to recommend that sanction of Government may be accorded for the payment of a reward of Rs. 1000 to Inspector Suba Aiyar of the Tanjore Police.

II — This Inspector was employed in the Tanjore Forgery Case & its successful termination is due in a very great measure to the good work done by him.

III — The judgment of the Sessions Court of Tanjore in the above case is forwarded with the High Court judgment on appeal with the submitted receipt.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

W. A. Porteous
Inspector-General of Police

Red. 27/12/94

12/12/94

Judicial (1894) DEPARTMENT. 6626

FROM Col. C. A. Pothoud
INSPECTOR-GENERAL
OF POLICE,

TO 388/940 papers

101235: vs. ga. u. papers
1614 = 18.9.8950--

For orders.

The judgment touches very little
on the conduct of the Inspector, but
see passim pp 7-9, 11-13, 24-5, 53 -
passages marked with blue pencil
D
vi. xii

No. 7331

Dated 12th December 1894.
Received 13
Rys 13

No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
SUBJECT

Recommending that sanction of
Government may be accorded
for the payment of a reward
Rs. 1000 to Inspector Subba at
Tanjore Police for the good
work done by him towards the
successful termination of
Tanjore Forgery Case.

20/12

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,
Madras,

OFFICE NOTE.

From
THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
To

Are there precedents for this
application?

I think the Dipathy can
may be one in point - but
my view is that Police Inspector,
like other Govt. servants in
position of responsibility,
should be rewarded by an
expression of approval of
their Departmental superior
and promotion - both in
cash paid now -

Let 7/1.

1031: 1.5.94
833/12
1113 14.6.92

Judicial Dept.

No. 6126/94

Reference only 2/11-2-95
No. 262

With reference to his letter

of 12th December 1894 No. 7331 to the Sg.
of Pdu is requested to expedite the
submission of the High Court judgment
on appeal promised in para. 3 thereof.
D
9.2.95

To The Sg. of Pdu. despt 11/2/95
Copies
7/12

Note: for C. Judd 6666+
1009
C 14/3

Please see Pdu 500
7th Oct 1894 10692
18th March 92 10196
7th Dec 89 10648

to L Judd 80.
Reminds to
Port Charles to
copy of the Pdu
which do not refer to the
Tribute Case and as other
papers have been
submitted to the
3/1/95

The Tribute case to which I referred
was the prosecution of the old Merchant
for misappropriating bank money raised
and in the flagstaff case. The case was not
recorded in the case. - The note forger
case is not in point either.

SECRET
SUBJECT

Recommending that sanction
Government may be accorded
for the payment of a sum
Rs. 1000 to Inspector Subba
of Tanjore Police for the
work done by him towards
successful termination
Tanjore Forgery Case.

20/12
1894/95
2/3/95

Es E
Please see if you can
find any papers to the
point as
31/1/95

jurial Sept.
no. 6126/94

Referringly d/11-2-95
no. 262

With reference to his letter
of 12th December 1894, no. 733, the
of Pdu is requested to expedite the
submission of the High Court judgment
on appeal promised in para. 3 thereof.
2
9-2-95

To The Secy of Pdu. d/11/2/95
1894/95
9/2

2/3/95

Account DEPARTMENT.

No. 1053

1009
CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
adras, 28 February 1895.

FROM

M. Hannick Esq. P. C. S.

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

Enclosures.
one.

With reference to your Memorandum No 262
dated 11th February 1895 Judicial Department, I
have the honor to submit the High Courts' -
Judgment there called for. -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your Most Obedt. Servant,

W. Hannick
Inspector General of Police

P M

(signature)

Judicial (1895) DEPARTMENT.

FROM M. Hammick Esq. J.B. L.
INSPECTOR-GENERAL
OF POLICE,

To
CHIEF SECRETARY
-1 MAR. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No. 1053

Dated 28 February 1895

Received 1/3

No.

Encl-1

SUBJECT. Ea.

Submitting the High Court's judgment called for in memo. random no. 262 dated 11 February 1895 on the Tanjore judgment forgery case.

(For order)

[G.]
26
200-8-2-95.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 6626/94 +
1009/95.

FOR ORDERS.

Rs 500 seems quite enough as the C.S. says. Indeed, I should hesitate before sanctioning even to which I did not suppose, what is no doubt the case, that, though the judgment say but little about the Inspector's work in connection with the case, it must have been from day to day within the cognizance of the Jg.

RS
15/3/95

Order 23 March 1895 no 611
The Govt considers that a reward of Rs 500/- will be sufficient. & the J.S. will be authorized to disburse this amount to Inspector Subba Rajar. (From orders) 25/3
The Jg. Police (2/5) DESPATCHED
The acct. General (1/5) 28 MAR 95
The Financial 1 22/3/95

In C. No. 6626/94 the Jg. of Police recommended a reward of Rs 1000 to Police Inspector Subba Rajar of the Tanjore Police for his good work in the Tanjore Forgery Case. It has to be delayed ^{for some time} to answer the Jg. C.S.'s queries re the grant of such rewards to Govt servants & then for the High Court judgment which was obtained from the Jg. of Police in C. No. 1009/95. Orders have now to be passed as to whether the proposed reward may be sanctioned? p.p. 4, 5, 7 of the High Court judgment make brief mention of the police; and so also pp. 7-9, 11-13 44-5 & 53 of the original judgment of C. 6626. - There is nothing to discredit the Inspector's honor.

D
3.3.96

There are several cases on record of rewards granted to inspectors for services rendered but I have been unable to find an instance where a reward so large as

That recommend has been ^{given} ~~presented~~
 for services of the nature of the
 present. The largest reward
 that I can trace is that awarded
 in G.O. No. 811 dated 27th April
 1892. Here there was risk and
 of life both as regards climate
 and the chance of being killed
 by rebels. Mr. Fox's case
 recently destroyed by (in
 Malabar) is of the same
 kind.

The Inspector no doubt did
 well but Rs. 1000 appears
 to be too much to give him
 & is submitted that Rs. 500
 would be quite enough.

20
 13/3/95

Reward to a Police
 Inspector.

FOR ORDERS

HM
 CP
 (forwarded)

when given for copying _____
 when copied _____ } 189.
 when examined _____
 when signed _____

AD AGAIN G.O., No.
 189,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only