[J/63]
1,000—25-7-96.

Judicial (1895.) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 474.

DATED 5th Mar: 1895.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITIONS.

Rejecting under Rule X of the Petition Rules,--from certain constables of the Madras City Police, praying that the Commissioner of Police may be directed to frame a charge against them for abetting the smuggling of tobacco and that such charge be tried by the Chief Presidency Magistrate.

Encl

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only

[G. / 59a.]

C x

100—15-11-94.

Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper :—

W.P. ~~27/95~~ 1002, 1003 & 1027/95.

ORDER— 5 March 1895, No. 474

Ordered that the petition be rejected under the following rule :—

X. Every petition must be submitted through the head of the office or department to which the petitioner belongs or belonged. But there is no objection to the petitoner transmitting a duplicate copy of his petition direct to the Government, provided that he marks it as a " duplicate."

D 5-3-95

~~(True Extract.)~~

~~*Chief Secretary.*~~

To 3 The Retns. Qrs. dispd 6/3/95 with enclosures

WR 2/8/95 Copied 5/3

1027

To

Honourable Mr J. F. Price. C.S.I.

Chief Secretary

Madras

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 1 MAR. 1895 RECEIVED

The humble Petition of Venkatasawmy, Ex. 1st Class Constable 710 *of the Madras City Police.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH :—

1. That Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs to submit that he served in the Police staff for a period of 23 years.10 months and 13 days with Indefferant remark of the Commissioner of Police, Madras.

2. That Your Excellency's humble Petitioner and 3 others, namely, (1.) Annasawmy, Ex. 1st Class Constable 821, (2) *Cooppoosawmy,Ex. 4th Grade Head Constable* 208 and (3) Vembuli, Ex. 3rd Class Constable 614 of the Madras City Police, were charged " with actively conniving at the smuggling of tobacco into the city " on the night of the 13th February 1894.

3. That Petitioner begs to point out that Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram brought this charge against Petitioner and produced false witnesses. Upon this Petitioner wrote to the Commissioner of Police on the 19th February that he may be pleased personally to inquire about the smuggling of Tobacco into the city ; but unfortunately for Petitioner no such in quiry was made. If the Commissioner had held an inquiry, no misfortune would have be fallen him.

4. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner next begs to point out that on the 14th March 1894, the Commissioner, without his personal inquiry, dismissed Petitioner and 3 others on the reports of the District Inspector Mr. Eaton and Superintendent Mr. Upshon.

5. That Petitioner is at a loss to see how it was posssible that Police men interfered with the tobacco smuggling party even after the order of the Commissioner not to arrest or do anything with tobacco smugglers and also to see the idea that the merchants cared for Police help.

6. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs to point out that had the Petitioner and other Police Officers accompanied the carts from Sembium Godown carters, 1 Sabapathy, 2 Moonoosawmy, and 3 Veeran must have given evidence against Your Petitioner and others. Their evidence as carters, is not only recorded

in the Crime Register, but they have been proved as such, in the Presidency Magistrate's Court, Egmore. These men have not spoken a word at the Police Station as to having seen Petitioner along with the smuggled as to having seen tobacco carts.

7. That Petitioner is prepared to prove by records that the District Inspector Mr. Eaton and Police Superintendent Mr. Upshon have not conducted the inquiry impartially; but that they were bent upon protecting the said Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram. Hence they submitted a report to the Commissioner, quite prejudicial to Petitioner.

8. That Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs to point out that in the Crime Register it is stated that Mr. White, Municipal Octroi Inspector, himself stopped the carts of the smuggled Tobacco, but in the Commissioner's minute it is stated that the Police caught hold and detained the carts until Mr. White, Municipal Octroi Inspector, came up. All these misrepresentations are worked out for the ruin of Your Excellency's humble Petitioner.

9. That 7 persons carters and coolies are entered in the Crime Register, as belonging to the smuggling party, but only 4 persons are mentioned in the minute of the Commissioner. A copy of the said Crime Register is herewith submitted for Your Excellency's gracious perusal and consideration.

10. That on the 16th February 1894, when the above charge against Petitioner and others being inquired into by Police Seperintendent Mr. Upshon, one Perumal who was brought as a witness, produced a cloth stained with blood and represented that the marks of blood in the cloth were the result of the severe beating he had from Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram, because he would not accept to depose, as per his instructions that he saw Petitioner and others with the tobacco carts. But a few days later as he obtained a peon's post in the Municipality through the endeavours of Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram, he retracted his original statement, when re-examined on the 2nd March. Petitioner represented this matter to Mr. Uphon at the time; but he intentionally hid the same without bringing to notice of the Commissioner.

11. The said Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram produced before Mr. Upshon another witness, one Iyacannu Gramany, to speak against Petitioner. This witness being under obligation to Gopaul Ram for having got him also a peon's post at Perambore under Municipal Tobacco Inspector, have been fully represented to Mr. Upshon, but he took no notice of it. These facts will be proved by records.

12. That when Perumal, applied to the Commissioner of Police on the 31st July 1894, for the return of his blood-stained cloth, it was not returned to him, as Petitioner had objected to its being returned until his case is disposed of finally. The said cloth is still in the Police Commissioner's Office.

13. That one Subbadu, witness for Petitioner, deposed before Mr. Upshon that one Iyacannoo, asked him to give evidence in favor of Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram and as he refused, Iyacannoo assaulted him and that he, on the 21st

February 1894, preferred a charge against him on the 22nd, for assault before the Presidency Magistrate, Egmore. This evidence Petitioner submits has not been recorded by Mr. Upshon.

14. That Petitioner begs to direct Your Excellency's attention to the Crime Register herewith submitted in which it is entered that Linga Chetty, the Owner of the Tobacco, the 4 Police constables, 3 carters, 4 coolies and Toll-gate Writer as the meduim of the smuggling of Tobacco into the city and Your Excellency must consider that if the above statement be true, why was not the owner of the Tobacco, Linga Chetty, charged; nay, he was not examined at all at any stage of the inquiry. in Commissioner's minute this case is a difficult one to dispose of, for, the evidence of many of the witnesses is more or less tainted and it is hard to say what parts of their statements are true and what parts false.

15. Your Petitioner begs to state that he being a witness to the Petition dated 29th January 1894 against Sub-Inspector, Gopaul Ram, the said Sub-Inspector, having the said enemity brought a case of smuggling of Tobacco against him threatening the smuggling party by force of arms who danced according to the Sub-Inspector Flute, to admit and tell before the District Inspector Mr. Eaton Superintendent Mr. Upshon that he too was concerned in the case.

16. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs most respectfully to state that he presented a petition to Government, dated 10th April 1894 to which he received an endorsement that "the Petitioners will be informed that the Government declines to interfere." Thereupon Your Excellency's humble Petitioner forwarded another petition to the Madras Government, dated 13th September 1894, through Messrs. Branson and Branson, Solicitors, to which also he received an endorsement that "the Petitioners will be informed that their request cannot be complied with."

17. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner most respectfully prays that this his petition may graciously be received and that he may be granted redress. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner would ask that Your Excellency would direct the Commissioner of Police to hold an investigation or that in the event of Your Excellency being not pleased to give such directions, that the Commissioner of Police may be directed to frame a charge against him for aiding and abetting the smuggling of tobacco into Madras on the day in question and such charge be tried by the Chief Presidency Magistrate.

If not these two, that Your Excellency's humble Petitioner may be re-instated.

For which act of charity and justice, Your Excellency's humble Petitioner as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

MADRAS, 1st March 1895.

No. 14
Sundara pillay &
Parthasarathy Row
Madras

1027
Jud

No 2410/94 Jud
1831/94 Revs
1874/94 Revs

From

Venkatasamy
late - Sub Constable of
the Madras City Police

Dated 1 — 3 — 95
Recd 1 — 3 — 95
Regd 2 — 3 — 95

Subject -

Regrt that the Commr of Police may be directed to frame a charge against him for abetting the smuggling of tobacco into Madras and such charge be tried by the Chief Presy Magte

WR 2/3
MH 3

(60)

1027
Jud

TRUE COPY OF CRIME LIST.

Date.	Number. Station.	Number. Inspector.	Number. Sergeant.	Place of Occurence.	Date and hour. Offence when committed.	Date and hour. Report. Brought to station.	Date and hour. Report. Report of the Inspector.	Date and hour. Report. Before Magistrate.	Particulars of offence or the attending circumstances.
14-2-94.	175/9	„	„	Viyasarpady Nellore, Road.	3 A. M., 14-2-94.	6-45 A. M., 14-2-94.	14-2-94.		Sub-Inspector Mr. Forrest and Sub-Inspector Gopaul Rom, having received information, that carts laden with tobacco were to be run into the city through the place mentioned in column 5, went to the above place at 2 A. M., on 14-2-94 with the assistance of Police Constables 267 Mariappen and 606 Krishnasamy, and lay there in wait when at 3 A. M., 3 carts laden with bags of tobacco and accompained by some men (7 or 8 in number) and two Police Constables in undress, *viz.*, Police Constable 710 Vencatasamy and Police Constable 614 Vembuli covering themselves with red clothes from head to foot, appeared and were stopped by Mr. White, Tobacco Inspector, who was there on erehand information. On examining whether the tolls had been paid in the above Toll-gate or not, it was found that none of them had paid the Tolls. Then on enquiring who the carters were, they gave their names as 1 Sapapathy, 2 Moonesamy, 3 Veeran, all of Perambore. On enquiring who came in their company, it was found that Constables Vencatasamy 710 and Vembuli 614 who accompained the carts covering themselves from head to foot with red cloth and who were identified by Constables 267 and 606 who accompained Sub-Inspector and 554 Thungavalu and 564 Govindoo, who were on rounds were asked to stop there as they were going to stop the other men and carts, ran away when Sub-Inspector came near to see them. When Sub-Inspector who had subsequently mingled, asked who accompained the carts, they gave their names as 1 Moonesamy, 2 Perumal, 3 Gurusamy and 4 Chinnasamy. On examining the carters, they said that No. 1, 2 and 3 who accompained them, one Chetty and some others loaded the carts with bags of tobacco in the Sembium Tobacco Godown and asked them to drive the carts to Pattanam which they did in company with the above and as they were driving the carts near the Viyasarpady Toll-gate, the said Chetty and those that accompained them stood near the Toll-gate and asked them to drive the carts which they did without any objection. Then Nos. 1, 2 and 3 who accompained the carts were examined and they said that one Linga Chetty, son of Ramasamy Chetty, represented to them that he was to send tobacco from the Sembium Tobacco Godown to his agents Thayee Moonesamy Chetty, Rutna Chetty and Katheer Chetty trading in tobacco in Kotwal Bazzar and requested them to accompany the carts on a cooly of annas 8 each and see that Municipal Officers do not trouble the carts for the tolls encouraging them that Police Officers were also coming as help. They said that Police Constables 710 and 614 accompained them from the tobacco godown as help, and when they came to the Toll-gate, the said Linga Chetty, the Toll-gate writer, Head Constable 208 Cuppusamy and Constable 821 Annasami who (the latter two) were in the Toll-gate, spoke something to one another and ordered them to drive the carts which they did accompained by the said Constables 710 and 614 and were on their way stopped by you and the Police Constables. On examining Chinnasamy who also came in company with the carts, he said that on his way to town on private business, he came in contact with the carts and men in the way and identified Constables 710 and 614, who also accompained. Immediately Sub-Inspector Mr. Forrest and Mr. White, having orders to take care of the tobacco, went to the Toll-gate and examined the Toll-gate Tobacco Tolls Register and found that for the last four months there was no entry of Tolls on tobacco. Mr. White took charge of the carts laden with tobacco. District Inspector examined Constables 710 and 614 who said that they were on house turn that night and never stirred out that night from their houses. Head Constable 208 Cuppusamy and Constable 821 Annasamy, when examined, denied any knowledge of the matter and averred that that night, leaving G. III Station at 1 A M., on rounds, they came to the Viyasarpady Police Station to visit it at 2-15 A. M., wherefrom they went to Perambore, when the people in the Toll-gate were sleeping soundly.

(Signed) T. GOPAUL RAM,
Sub-Inspector.

(Signed) VARADARAJUM PILLAY, No. G.
Head Constable, 755.

(True copy)
R. C. MASON,
Inspector, G. Division.
28-7-94.

H. W. H. COX,
Commissioner of Police.

Commissioner's Office,
Madras City Police, 28th July 1894.

High Road at 3-15 A. M. I do not know how they managed to measure time so accurately but it is quite possible for them to have been at the Toll Gate a short time before 3 and in the Perambore High Road before 3-30.

In defence they produce 8 witnesses 3 of whom are men who were found with the tobacco carts on morning of 14th who first made statements criminating the Constables and who afterwards retracted. The evidence of 7 of these men is that they were asked by Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom and others to give evidence against the Police. 2 of them say that they were threatened after refusing. The eight was present when one of the men said to have been threatened was asked by Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom to change his statements. He says nothing about any threat having been made.

No. 614 says that he was at home from 10 P. M., 13th to 10 A. M., 14th February but produces evidence that on some night about that date he slept in his sister's house in Black Town.

No. 710 says that he was at home on the night of 13th February and produces evidence to prove it.

I believe that the charge is true and have no alteration but to dismiss the accused.

4th Grade Head Constable Cooppoosawmy No. 208
1st Class do. Annasawmy „ 821
1st do. do. Venkatasawmy „ 710
3rd do. do. Vamboli „ 614
} are

dismissed with effect from date of suspension viz., 14th February 1894 for actively conniving at the smuggling of Tobacco into the City.

(Signed) H. W. H. COX, COL.
Commissioner of Police.

14th March 1894.

(True Copy)
M. VINAYAGUM MOODELIAR,
Asstt. Commissioner of Police,
Madras.

MINUTE BY THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
Madras, in the Case.

4TH GRADE HEAD CONSTABLE COOPPOOSAWMY G/208.
1ST CLASS CONSTABLE ANNASWAMY G/821.
1ST do. VENKATASAWMY G/710.
3RD do. VAMBOLI G/614.

CHARGE.—Actively Conniving at the smuggling of Tobacco into the City.

Sub Inspector Gopal Rom received information that the Police of G. III Station were actively conniving at the smuggling of Tobacco from Sembiam and got the names of the following men. Viz. 4th Grade Head Constable Coopooswamy No. 208, 1st class Constable Annasamy No. 821, 1st class Constable Venkatasawmy No. 710, and 3rd class Constable Vamboli No. 614.

On night of 13th February 1894 he received definite information that some tobacco was to be run that night through the Vysarpady Toll Gate and accordingly communicated with Mr. White Municipal Octori Inspector lay in wait with him, Police Sub. Inspector Mr. Forrest and 2 Constables about 3 A. M. on 14th 1st Class Constable Mauriappan 267 3rd Class Constable Krishnasamy No. 606 3rd Class Constable Thangavelu No 554 and 3rd Class Constable Govindoo No. 564 stopped 3 carts laden with tobacco about 300 yards from the Vysarpadi Toll gate within city limits.

Constable Venkatasamy No. 710 and Vamboli No. 614 who were with the carts ran away but the carters and others were detained until Mr. White came up when they and the carts were taken to G III Station. These men gave their names as 1. Moonoosamy, 2. Perumall alias Murugan, 3. Gurusawmy Moodaly, 4. Chinnasamy.

These men were examined on 14th February by District Inspector Mr. Eaton the first three criminated Head Constable Cooppoosamy No. 208 and Constables Annasamy No. 821 Venkatasamy No. 710 and Vamboli No. 614. Nos. 710 and 614 accompanied the smuggling party from the Tobacco Godowns at Sembiam and Nos. 208 and 821 were at the Toll gate. The fourth man denied all knowledge of the smuggling and says that he came upon the party just at the time the carts were stopped and recognised Constables No. 710 and 614. These four witnesses when examined by the Superintendent Mr. Uphson retracted the statements they had made before Mr. Eaton and stated that they had been severely beaten by Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom and compelled to give evidence. One of them showed a bruise on his left shoulder and another a stain of cart grease which was a bruise. They admitted that they had said nothing about having been beaten to Mr. Eaton and one of them Perumall has since (2nd March) stated that his statement to Mr. Eaton was true and that he was induced to withdraw it by the Constables who are accused.

Iyacanno Gramany and Krishnasamy Naik who admit that they joined the smuggling party on the night of 13th February criminate all the four Police Officers Viz. Nos. 208, 821, 710 & 614 and state that they (the Police Officers) have assisted in previous similar ventures.

To

Honourable Mr J. H. Price C. S. I

Chief Secretary

Madras

CURRENT NO. 1003

R 11-1-95

The humble Petition of Cooppoosawmy, Ex. 4th Grade Head Constable 208 of the Madras City Police.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH :—

1. That Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs to submit that he served in the Police staff for a period of 20 years, 6 months and 2 days with good remark of the Commissioner of Police, Madras.

2. That Your Excellency's humble Petitioner and 3 others, namely, (1.) Annasawmy, Ex. 1st Class Constable 821, (2) Venkatasawmy, Ex. 1st Class Constable 710 and (3) Vembuli, Ex. 3rd Class Constable 614 of the Madras City Police, were charged " with actively conniving at the smuggling of tobacco into the city " on the night of the 13th February 1894.

3. That Petitioner begs to point out that Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram brought this charge against Petitioner and produced false witnesses. Upon this Petitioner wrote to the Commissioner of Police on the 19th February that he may be pleased personally to inquire about the smuggling of Tobacco into the city; but unfortunately for Petitioner no such in quiry was made. If the Commissioner had held an inquiry, no misfortune would have be fallen him.

4. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner next begs to point out that on the 14th March 1894, the Commissioner, without his personal inquiry, dismissed Petitioner and 3 others on the reports of the District Inspector Mr. Eaton and Superintendent Mr. Upshon.

5. That Petitioner is at a loss to see how it was posssible that Police men interfered with the tobacco smuggling party even after the order of the Commissioner not to arrest or do anything with tobacco smugglers and also to see the idea that the merchants cared for Police help.

6. That if Your Excellency's Petitioner and Annasawmy, Ex. First Class Constable 821, were in the Toll-gate at 3 A.M. on the 14th February 1894, the carters, 1 Sabapathy, 2 Moonoosawmy, and 3 Veeran must have given evidence against Your Petitioner and others. Their evidence as carters, is not only recorded

in the Crime Register, but they have been proved as such, in the Presidency Magistrate's Court, Egmore. These men have not spoken a word at the Police Station as to having seen Petitioner along with the smuggled as to having seen tobacco carts.

7. That Petitioner is prepared to prove by records that the District Inspector Mr. Eaton and Police Superintendent Mr. Upshon have not conducted the inquiry impartially; but that they were bent upon protecting the said Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram. Hence they submitted a report to the Commissioner, quite prejudicial to Petitioner.

8. That Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs to point out that in the Crime Register it is stated that Mr. White, Municipal Octroi Inspector, himself stopped the carts of the smuggled Tobacco, but in the Commissioner's minute it is stated that the Police caught hold and detained the carts until Mr. White, Municipal Octroi Inspector, came up. All these misrepresentations are worked out for the ruin of Your Excellency's humble Petitioner.

9. That 7 persons carters and coolies are entered in the Crime Register, as belonging to the smuggling party, but only 4 persons are mentioned in the minute of the Commissioner. A copy of the said Crime Register is herewith submitted for Your Excellency's gracious perusal and consideration.

10. That on the 16th February 1894, when the above charge against Petitioner and others being inquired into by Police Seperintendent Mr. Upshon, one Perumal who was brought as a witness, produced a cloth stained with blood and represented that the marks of blood in the cloth were the result of the severe beating he had from Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram, because he would not accept to depose, as per his instructions that he saw Petitioner and others with the tobacco carts. But a few days later as he obtained a peon's post in the Municipality through the endeavours of Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram, he retracted his original statement, when re-examined on the 2nd March. Petitioner represented this matter to Mr. Uphon at the time; but he intentionally hid the same without bringing to notice of the Commissioner.

11. The said Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram produced before Mr. Upshon another witness, one Iyacannu Gramany, to speak against Petitioner. This witness being under obligation to Gopaul Ram for having got him also a peon's post at Perambore under Municipal Tobacco Inspector, have been fully represented to Mr. Upshon, but he took no notice of it. These facts will be proved by records.

12. That when Perumal, applied to the Commissioner of Police on the 31st July 1894, for the return of his blood-stained cloth, it was not returned to him, as Petitioner had objected to its being returned until his case is disposed of finally. The said cloth is still in the Police Commissioner's Office.

13. That one Subbadu, witness for Petitioner, deposed before Mr. Upshon that one Iyacannoo, asked him to give evidence in favor of Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram and as he refused, Iyacannoo assaulted him and that he, on the 21st

February 1894, preferred a charge against him on the 22nd, for assault before the Presidency Magistrate, Egmore. This evidence Petitioner submits has not been recorded by Mr. Upshon.

14. That Petitioner begs to direct Your Excellency's attention to the Crime Register herewith submitted in which it is entered that Linga Chetty, the Owner of the Tobacco, the 4 Police constables, 3 carters, 4 coolies and Toll-gate Writer as the meduim of the smuggling of Tobacco into the city and Your Excellency must consider that if the above statement be true, why was not the owner of the Tobacco, Linga Chetty, charged; nay, he was not examined at all at any stage of the inquiry. in Commissioner's minute this case is a difficult one to dispose of, for, the evidence of many of the witnesses is more or less tainted and it is hard to say what parts of their statements are true and what parts false.

15. Your Petitioner begs to state that he being a witness to the Petition dated 29th January 1894 against Sub-Inspector, Gopaul Ram, the said Sub-Inspector, having the said enemity brought a case of smuggling of Tobacco against him threatening the smuggling party by force of arms who danced according to the Sub-Inspector Flute, to admit and tell before the District Inspector Mr. Eaton Superintendent Mr. Upshon that he too was concerned in the case.

16. That on 9—10—93 two Constables from Sembium Police Station were charged under Section 176 I. P. C., (as per Crime No. 795 in G. III. Station) and were convicted one for 3 months' rigorous imprisonment and 50 Rs. fine and the other for 2 months' rigorous imprisonment and 35 Rs. fine and Your Excellency's humble Petitioner was then in charge of the Station and *as much brought* the *above* Constables *up* to punishment. *For* this reason the Sembium Station House Officer has had *quarrels* with Petitioner and as a fair *apportunity*, *found* it *convenient* to give a false evidence against him in this case.

17. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs most respectfully to state that he presented a petition to Government, dated 10th April 1894 to which he received an endorsement that "the Petitioners will be informed that the Government declines to interfere." Thereupon Your Excellency's humble Petitioner forwarded another petition to the Madras Government, dated 13th September 1894, through Messrs. Branson and Branson, Solicitors, to which also he received an endorsement that "the Petitioners will be informed that their request cannot be complied with."

18. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner most respectfully prays that this his petition may graciously be received and that he may be granted redress. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner would ask that Your Excellency would direct the Commissioner of Police to hold an investigation or that in the event of Your Excellency being not pleased to give such directions, that the Commissioner of Police may be directed to frame a charge against him for aiding and abetting the smuggling of tobacco into Madras on the day in question and such charge be tried by the Chief Presidency Magistrate.

If not these two, that Your Excellency's humble Petitioner may be re-instated.

For which act of charity and justice, Your Excellency's humble Petitioner as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

MADRAS,
28th February 1895.

No. 31
Vadiar Lunda Pillay
Chulay Madras

Coast Pioneers

TRUE COPY OF CRIME LIST.

Date.	Number. Station.	Inspector.	Sergeant.	Place of Occurence.	Date and hour. Offence when committed.	Report. Brought to station.	Report. Report of the Inspector.	Report. Before Magistrate.	Particulars of offence or the attending circumstances.
14-2-94.	175/9	„	„	Viyasarpady Nellore, Road.	3 A. M., 14-2-94.	6-45 A. M., 14-2-94.	14-2-94.		Sub-Inspector Mr. Forrest and Sub-Inspector Gopaul Rom, having received information, that carts laden with tobacco were to be run into the city through the place mentioned in column 5, went to the above place at 2 A. M., on 14-2-94 with the assistance of Police Constables 267 Mariappen and 606 Krishnasamy, and lay there in wait when at 3 A. M., 3 carts laden with bags of tobacco and accompained by some men (7 or 8 in number) and two Police Constables in undress, *viz.*, Police Constable 710 Vencatasamy and Police Constable 614 Vembuli covering themselves with red clothes from head to foot, appeared and were stopped by Mr. White, Tobacco Inspector, who was there on erehand information. On examining whether the tolls had been paid in the above Toll-gate or not, it was found that none of them had paid the Tolls. Then on enquiring who the carters were, they gave their names as 1 Sapapathy, 2 Moonesamy, 3 Veeran, all of Perambore. On enquiring who came in their company, it was found that Constables Vencatasamy 710 and Vembuli 614 who accompained the carts covering themselves from head to foot with red cloth and who were identified by Constables 267 and 606 who accompained Sub-Inspector and 554 Thungavalu and 564 Govindoo, who were on rounds were asked to stop there as they were going to stop the other men and carts, ran away when Sub-Inspector came near to see them. When Sub-Inspector who had subsequently mingled, asked who accompained the carts, they gave their names as 1 Moonesamy, 2 Perumal, 3 Gurusamy and 4 Chinnasamy. On examining the carters, they said that No. 1, 2 and 3 who accompained them, one Chetty and some others loaded the carts with bags of tobacco in the Sembium Tobacco Godown and asked them to drive the carts to Pattanam which they did in company with the above and as they were driving the carts near the Viyasarpady Toll-gate, the said Chetty and those that accompained them stood near the Toll-gate and asked them to drive the carts which they did without any objection. Then Nos. 1, 2 and 3 who accompained the carts were examined and they said that one Linga Chetty, son of Ramasamy Chetty, represented to them that he was to send tobacco from the Sembium Tobacco Godown to his agents Thayee Moonesamy Chetty, Rutna Chetty and Katheer Chetty trading in tobacco in Kotwal Bazzar and requested them to accompany the carts on a cooly of annas 8 each and see that Municipal Officers do not trouble the carts for the tolls encouraging them that Police Officers were also coming as help. They said that Police Constables 710 and 614 accompained them from the tobacco godown as help, and when they came to the Toll-gate, the said Linga Chetty, the Toll-gate writer, Head Constable 208 Cuppusamy and Constable 821 Annasami who (the latter two) were in the Toll-gate, spoke something to one another and ordered them to drive the carts which they did accompained by the said Constables 710 and 614 and were on their way stopped by you and the Police Constables. On examining Chinnasamy who also came in company with the carts, he said that on his way to town on private business, he came in contact with the carts and men in the way and identified Constables 710 and 614, who also accompained. Immediately Sub-Inspector Mr. Forrest and Mr. White, having orders to take care of the tobacco, went to the Toll-gate and examined the Toll-gate Tobacco Tolls Register and found that for the last four months there was no entry of Tolls on tobacco. Mr. White took charge of the carts laden with tobacco. District Inspector examined Constables 710 and 614 who said that they were on house turn that night and never stirred out that night from their houses. Head Constable 208 Cuppusamy and Constable 821 Annasamy, when examined, denied any knowledge of the matter and averred that that night, leaving G. III Station at 1 A. M., on rounds, they came to the Viyasarpady Police Station to visit it at 2-15 A. M., wherefrom they went to Perambore, when the people in the Toll-gate were sleeping soundly.

(Signed) T. GOPAUL RAM,
Sub-Inspector.

(Signed) VARADARAJUM PILLAY, No. G.
Head Constable, 755.

(True copy)
R. C. MASON,
Inspector, G. Division.
28-7-94.

H. W. H. COX,
Commissioner of Police.

Commissioner's Office,
Madras City Police, 28th July 1894.

On the 16th instant the Superintendent of Police came to G. III Station to make enquiries concerning the above said case when the following tobacco carters, Munusawmy, Perumal, Gooroosawmy and Chinnasamy complained to the gentleman against Gopal Ram, the Sub-Inspecror, stating that he had injured them very severely and thus compelled them against their wishes to say against us both as to the effect that we both were at the Toll-gate. Thereupon the Superintendent asked them to come to the Police Commissioner's Office to give their statements. They went there taking with them their cloths stained with blood owing to the injuries and wounds inflicted upon them by Gopal Ram. I further beg to state that Nagoji Row, the Head Constable, is trying his utmost to concoct the case by trying to secure false witnesses against us both. If your honor wishes to know about Nagoji Row's misconduct concerning this case I am ready to produce witnesses.

I further beg to represent to your honor that I have got a service of 21 years in the department and was only lately promoted to 4th Grade Head Constable's place by your honor and has not till now during my whole service ever found fault with such charge.

I now most humbly and respectfully pray your honor to kindly enquire in person the Toll-gate officials and the cartmen and thus do me justice and protect me and my large family who are entirely dependent on me in these hard days

For which act of charity and kindness I shall ever feel thankful.

I most humbly beg, &c.
(Signed) COOPOOSAWMY.

19th February 1894.

(True Copy.)

M. VINAYAGAM MUDALIAR,
Assistent Commissioner of Police,
MADRAS.

Commissioner's Office,
Madras City Police,
20th July 1894.

5
Enl
2
1003

To

COLONEL H. W. H. COX,
Commissioner of Police,
MADRAS.

Through the District Inspector, G. Division.

MOST HONORED AND RESPECTED SIR:

I Cooppsawmy, Head Constable No. 208, of No. 3 Station, G. Division now under suspension, most humbly and respectfully beg to approach with the following few lines of prayer for your honor's kind consideration.

On the night of the 14th instant I left my station on my rounds at about 1 A.M. in company witn the Acting Head Constable, No. 821, one Annasamy. We both first went towards Vasarapady beat and checked the round tickets of Constable No. 554 & 564 at 1-45 A. M. at Muthumoodaliyar Street, Vasarapady; from there we went through the streets of Vasarapady and went to Sub-Station and entered my remarks in the Visiting Book there at 2-15 A. M. Proceeding from that place we came to Perambore at 3 A.M. met a few residents, if necessary will produce them. Then we went by Perambore High Road beat at 3-15 A. M. and checked the round tickets of Constables No. 26 and 312; leaving that place we proceeded to Kosapett Strahan's Road beat and there checked the round tickets of Constables No. 437 and 978 and returned to our station at 5. A. M.

On the 14th morning according to my usual duty I proceeded to G. 1 Station for reports. While I was there the District Inspector sent for me to his presence and asked me to give statement of my duty that night.

As mentioned above I gave the statement about my duty of the previous night. Thereupon the District Inspector asked me if I had been to the Municipal Toll-gate at Vasarapady and seen any tobacco carts there. I told him that as usual on my rounds I peeped into the Toll-gate and saw the writer and the two peons sleeping. After attending to my usual duties when I went to the station at 8-30 P.M. to my astonishment and misforture I found the order of suspension awaiting me.

I now most respectfully beg to represent to your honor that the calamity has been brought on me owing to my having accompanied on my rounds with the Acting Head Constable No. 821 Annasawmy, who I hear is in inimical terms with one Nagoji Row, Head Constable No 494. Some years ago when Nagoji Row, and Annasamy were serving in Pursewakum Police Station, in some cart case. Annasawmy brought to the notice of the then Commissioner that Nagoji Row, scratched off some writing in the Crime Register and upon enquiry Nagoji Row was reduced from the 4th Grade Head Constable place to that of a 1st Class Constable. Since that time both Nagoji Row and Annasawmy are in bad terms. A few months ago also several complaints were made to the Station House Officer and to the Sub-Inspector by Annasawmy about Nagoji Row.

MINUTE BY THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
Madras, in the Case.

4TH GRADE HEAD CONSTABLE COOPPOOSAWMY G/208.
1ST CLASS CONSTABLE ANNASWAMY G/821.
1ST do. VENKATASAWMY G/710.
3RD do. VAMBOLI G/614.

CHARGE.—Actively Conniving at the smuggling of Tobacco into the City.

Sub Inspector Gopal Rom received information that the Police of G. III Station were actively conniving at the smuggling of Tobacco from Sembiam and got the names of the following men. Viz. 4th Grade Head Constable Coopooswamy No. 208, 1st class Constable Annasamy No. 821, 1st class Constable Venkatasawmy No. 710, and 3rd class Constable Vamboli No. 614.

On night of 13th February 1894 he received definite information that some tobacco was to be run that night through the Vysarpady Toll Gate and accordingly communicated with Mr. White Municipal Octori Inspector lay in wait with him, Police Sub. Inspector Mr. Forrest and 2 Constables about 3 A. M. on 14th 1st Class Constable Mauriappan 267 3rd Class Constable Krishnasamy No. 606 3rd Class Constable Thangavelu No 554 and 3rd Class Constable Govindoo No. 564 stopped 3 carts laden with tobacco about 300 yards from the Vysarpadi Toll gate within city limits.

Constable Venkatasamy No. 710 and Vamboli No. 614 who were with the carts ran away but the carters and others were detained until Mr. White came up when they and the carts were taken to G III Station. These men gave their names as 1. Moonoosamy, 2. Perumall alias Murugan, 3. Gurusawmy Moodaly, 4. Chinnasamy.

These men were examined on 14th February by District Inspector Mr. Eaton the first three criminated Head Constable Cooppoosamy No. 208 and Constables Annasamy No. 821 Venkatasamy No. 710 and Vamboli No. 614. Nos. 710 and 614 accompanied the smuggling party from the Tobacco Godowns at Sembiam and Nos. 208 and 821 were at the Toll gate. The fourth man denied all knowledge of the smuggling and says that he came upon the party just at the time the carts were stopped and recognised Constables No. 710 and 614. These four witnesses when examined by the Superintendent Mr. Uphson retracted the statements they had made before Mr. Eaton and stated that they had been severely beaten by Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom and compelled to give evidence. One of them showed a bruise on his left shoulder and another a stain of cart grease which was a bruise. They admitted that they had said nothing about having been beaten to Mr. Eaton and one of them Perumall has since (2nd March) stated that his statement to Mr. Eaton was true and that he was induced to withdraw it by the Constables who are accused.

Iyacanno Gramany and Krishnasamy Naik who admit that they joined the smuggling party on the night of 13th February criminate all the four Police Officers Viz. Nos. 208, 821, 710 & 614 and state that they (the Police Officers) have assisted in previous similar ventures.

Head Constable No. 208 denies the charge. He was on Rounds that night and visited the Tanah near the Vysarpady Toll gate at 2-15 A. M. on 14th February met Round Constables 26 & 312 at 3-15 in Perambore High Road and Nos. 437 and 978 at Pulian Tope at 4 A. M. He was not at the Toll gate at 3 A. M. did not see any carts laden with tobacco he never visited the tobacco Godowns at Sembiam. He produces as witnesses for defence 1. Gooroosamy, 2. Chinnappa Moodaly, 3. Chinna Cumarasamy Moodaly, 4. Subbadu, 5. Veerasamy, 6. Moonesamy, 7. Moonoosamy Naik, 8. Chinnasamy. No. 1 is one of the men who made a statement before Mr. Eaton and afterwards retracted when examined by Mr. Uphson.

He says that Constable Mariapppa took him to Sub Inspector Gopal Rom who asked him why he did not adhere to his original statements and when he said that he could not withdraw his second statement was threatened by Gopal Rom No. 2 says that he and some others were present when Gopal Rom asked No. 1 to change his statement. No. 3 says that Thanikkachalam asked him to give evidence against some Police officers who were connected with the smuggling of Tobacco and took him to his house where Head Constable Nagojee Row was. The Head Constable asked him to give evidence against the Police men and he declined. Next day Head Constable Darmalingam and one Sabapathy asked him to give evidence against the Police officer and he again refused. The names of the Police officers against whom evidence was wanted were not mentioned but Thanikkachalam said they were the men who had once taken him up in a pig stabbing case. No. 4 says that on 25th February one Iyacannoo Gramany took him to Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom and told him to say that Constables were present in a Tobacco case. He refused. Next day Iyacanno assaulted him because he refused (on 22-2-94 Iyacanno reported at G III that this Subbadu and others assaulted him because he had given evidence against the Constables.

No. 5 says that Head Constable Nagojee Row met him at Perambore Railway Station and asked him if he knew anything about Police Constables having helped to smuggle tobacco. He said he did not. Head Constable said he had prepared 2 witnesses and invited him to come and say that he saw the Constables. He refused. The names of the Constables were not mentioned. No. 6 who is one of the men examined by Mr. Eaton on 14th February says that Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom told him to adhere to his original statement Otherwise trouble would befall him. To save himself from further annoyance he promised to do so No. 7 was present when Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom in the presence of several persons asked No. 8 to adhere to his original statement. No. 8 said he would tell the truth and nothing more. No. 8 one of the men questioned by Mr. Eaton on 14th February says that Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom came and asked him to adhere to his original statement. He refused and they went away.

1st Class Constable No 821 denies the charge and says he was on rounds with Head Constable No. 208 has only on one occasion visited Sembiam this was 2 months ago in Company with Ag. Head Constable Mooroogasen in connection with a sheep theft. The present charge has been concocted by the Head Constable Nagojee Row whom he had on 3 occasions reported to S. H. Officer for irregularities (S. H. O. denies this). Has no witnesses except those already examined on behalf of 1st Class Constable No. 208.

No. 614 says that on 13th February he was on House turn, was at home from 10 P. M. 13th to 10 A. M. 14th February. He produces four witnesses who say that one night 20 or 22 days before Constable Vamboli slept in his sister's house in Black Town.

No. 710 says that he had house turn and from 10 P. M. 13th until 10 A. M. 14th February never left his house. He produces witnesses who state that during the past month he had slept at home every night when he had House turn.

This case is a difficult one to dispose of for the evidence of many of the witnesses is more or less tainted and it is hard to say what parts of their statements are true and what parts false.

Against Constables No. 614 and 710 we have the evidence of the 4 Constables who stopped the carts containing contraband tobacco on the morning of 14th February. No. 710 alleges that one of these Constables No. 267 was actuated by bad feelings against him because he (No. 710) would not say that No. 267 was unwell when on Rounds for neglecting to perform which duty properly both Nos. 267 and 710 were reported and punished. No allegation is made that any of the others 3 Constables had any motive for making a false accusation.

Against all four of the Constables accused we have the statements made on 14th by the 4 men whom were found with the carts. These men afterwards retracted their statements and stated that Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom had severely beaten them and compelled them to accuse the Constables. The Superintendent found a bruise upon one of the four men but this may have been caused by an accident and in the case of a beating severe or otherwise, I should expect to find more than a single bruise. I do not believe the story that they were beaten and believe that the statements they made to Mr. Eaton on 14th February were made voluntarily and were true. One of these men has since admitted that his statement made on 14th February was true.

Against all four of the Police Officers there is further the evidence of Iyacannoo and Kistnasawmy this must be accepted with caution and if it stood alone I would give it no weight.

The evidence that Nos. 208 and 821 visited Sembiam and were seen about the Tobacco Godowns is that of men who are either contrabandists or men who have been making money out of contrabandists and I do not attach much importance to it. No. 208 was seen by the S. H. O. of Sembian near the Tobacco Godowns at Sembiam on 2 occasions. No. 208 denies that he ever visited the Tobacco Godowns in Sembiam. These does not seem to be any reason why the S. H. Officer of Sembiam (in Chingleput District) should make a false allegation against No. 208.

On the night of 13, 14th February 1894, Nos. 208 and 821 were on rounds in that part of the city including the Vyasarpady Toll through which a large quantity of Tobacco was "run" Nos. 614 and 710 were off duty.

All the accused deny the charge and plead alibi.—Nos. 208 and 821 admit that they were at the Vyasarpady Toll Gate at 2-15 A. M., but say that they left it and then patrolled distant part of the Section meeting Constables in Perambore

High Road at 3-15 A. M. I do not know how they managed to measure time so accurately but it is quite possible for them to have been at the Toll Gate a short time before 3 and in the Perambore High Road before 3-30.

In defence they produce 8 witnesses 3 of whom are men who were found with the tobacco carts on morning of 14th who first made statements criminating the Constables and who afterwards retracted. The evidence of 7 of these men is that they were asked by Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom and others to give evidence against the Police. 2 of them say that they were threatened after refusing. The eight was present when one of the men said to have been threatened was asked by Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom to change his statements. He says nothing about any threat having been made.

No. 614 says that he was at home from 10 P. M., 13th to 10 A. M., 14th February but produces evidence that on some night about that date he slept in his sister's house in Black Town.

No. 710 says that he was at home on the night of 13th February and produces evidence to prove it.

I believe that the charge is true and have no alteration but to dismiss the accused.

4th Grade Head Constable	do.	Cooppoosawmy	No. 208	} are
1st Class	do.	Annasawmy	,, 821	
1st do.	do.	Venkatasawmy	,, 710	
3rd do.	do.	Vamboli	,, 614	

dismissed with effect from date of suspension viz., 14th February 1894 for actively conniving at the smuggling of Tobacco into the City.

(Signed) H. W. H. COX, COL.
Commissioner of Police.

14th March 1894.

(True Copy)
M. VINAYAGUM MOODELIAR,
Asstt. Commissioner of Police,
Madras.

1594

[G 0]
2,000 23-1-95.

Current No. 1003

Jud Department.

From

Coopoosamy
Ex. 4th Grade Head Const.
208
Of the Madras P.

No.

Dated 28 - 2 - 95

Received 1 - 3 - 95

Enclosures 3

SUBJECT.

Regd. that the Comm.
of Police may be directed
to frame a charge against
him for abetting the
smuggling of Tobacco &
that a charge be tried
by the Chief Presidency
Magte

Para X.

WS 4/3

To

Honourable
Mr. J. F. Price. C. S. I.
Chief Secretary
Madras

CURRENT NO. 1002

R 1/95

The humble Petition of P. Annasawmy, Ex. 1st Class Constable 821 of the Madras City Police.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH :—

1. That Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs to submit that he served in the Police staff for a period of 14 years, 9 months and 13 days with good remark of the Commissioner of Police, Madras.

2. That Your Excellency's humble Petitioner and 3 others, namely, (1.) Coopoosawmy, Ex. 4th Grade Head Constable 208, (2) Venkatasawmy, Ex. 1st Class Constable 710 and (3) Vembuli, Ex. 3rd Class Constable 614 of the Madras City Police were charged " with actively conniving at the smuggling of tobacco into the city " on the night of the 13th February 1894.

3. That Petitioner begs to point out that Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram brought this charge against Petitioner and produced false witnesses. Upon this Petitioner wrote to the Commissioner of Police on the 16th and 19th February that he or the Assistant Commissioner may be pleased personally to inquire about the smuggling of Tobacco into the city; but unfortunately for Petitioner no such inquiry was made. If the Commissioner had held an inquiry, no misfortune would have be fallen him.

4. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner next begs to point out that on the 14th March 1894, the Commissioner, without his personal inquiry, dismissed Petitioner and 3 others on the reports of the District Inspector Mr. Eaton and Superintendent Mr. Upshon.

5. That on the 7th January 1894 a tin box containing pound money, to the extent of Rs. 18—14—7 was stolen in G. 3 Police Station. First Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram and then District Inspector Mr. Eaton inquired into this matter and reported to Police Superintendent Mr. Upshon against Petitioner, who ordered Petitioner to pay Rs. 9—7—3, being one half of the sum missing.

6. That Yout Excellency's Petitioner after paying the Rs. 9—7—3 in conformity with the orders of the Superintendent, petitioned the Commissioner of Police on the 29th January 1894 stating that the pound money had been stolen likewise on two occasions previously and that Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram never brought these thefts to the notice of the Commissioner; and also pointed out the

grudge be bears towards Petitioner for having had a robbery case proved and the culprits punished, which the said case Gopaul Ram wanted to disprove.

7. That Petitioner submits that his said Petition to the Commissioner of Police had the desired effect and the Commissioner had been good enough the order the refund to the Petitioner of the said sum of Rs. 9—7—3.

8. That on the 14th February 1894, *i.e.*, within 15 days of the above petition to the Commissioner, Sub-Inspecter Gopaul Ram brought this case of smuggling of Tobacco into the city against him and against others, who were to be his witnesses regarding his Petition above mentioned.

9. That Petitioner is prepared to prove by records that the District Inspector Mr. Eaton and Police Superintendent Mr. Upshon have not conducted the inquiry impartially; but that they were bent upon protecting the said Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram. Hence they submitted a report to the Commissioner, quite prejudicial to Petitioner.

10. That Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs to point out that in the Crime Register it is stated that Mr. White, Municipal Octroi Inspector, himself stopped the carts of the smuggled Tobacco, but in the Commissioner's minute it is stated that the Police caught hold and detained the carts until Mr. White, Municipal Octroi Inspector, came up. All these misrepresentations are worked out for the ruin of Your Excellency's humble Petitioner.

11. That 7 persons carters and coolies are entered in the Crime Register, as belonging to the smuggling party, but only 4 persons are mentioned in the minute of the Commissioner. A copy of the said Crime Register is herewith submitted for Your Excellency's gracious perusal and consideration.

12. That if Your Excellency's Petitioner and Coopoosawmy, Ex. 4th Grade Head Constable 208, were in the Toll-gate at 3 A.M. on the 14th February 1894, the carters, 1 Sabapathy, 2 Moonoosawmy, and 3 Veeran must have given evidence against Your Petitioner and others. Their evidence as carters, is not only recorded in the Crime Register, but they have been proved as such, in the Presidency Magistrate's Court, Egmore. These men have not spoken a word at the Police Station as to having seen Petitioner along with the smuggled as to having seen tobacco carts.

13. That on the 16th February 1894, when the above charge against Petitioner and others being inquired into by Police Seperintendent Mr. Upshon, one Perumal who was brought as a witness, produced a cloth stained with blood and represented that the marks of blood in the cloth were the result of the severe beating he had from Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram, because he would not accept to depose, as per his instructions that he saw Petitioner and others with the tobacco carts. But a few days later as he obtained a peon's post in the Municipality through the endeavours of Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram, he retracted his original statement, when re-examined on the 2nd March. Petitioner represented this matter to Mr. Uphon at the time; but he intentionally hid the same withont bringing to notice of the Commissioner.

14. The said Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram produced before Mr. Upshon another witness, one Iyacannu Gramany, to speak against Petitioner. This witness being under obligation to Gopaul Ram for having got him also a peon's post at Perambore under Municipal Tobacco Inspector, have been fully represented to Mr. Upshon, but he took no notice of it. These facts will be proved by records.

15. That when Perumal, mentioned in para 13, applied to the Commissioner of Police on the 31st July 1894, for the return of his blood-stained cloth, it was not returned to him, as Petitioner had objected to its being returned until his case is disposed of finally. The said cloth is still in the Police Commissioner's Office.

16. That one Subbadu, witness for Petitioner, deposed before Mr. Upshon that Iyacannoo, mentioned in para 14, asked him to give evidence in favor of Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram and as he refused, Iyacannoo assaulted him and that he, on the 21st February 1894, preferred a charge against him on the 22nd, for assault before the Presidency Magistrate, Egmore. This evidence Petitioner submits has not been recorded by Mr. Upshon.

17. That Fourth Grade Head Constable 494 Nagojee Row and 1st Class Constable 267 Mariappen, were deputed by District Inspector Mr. Eaton and Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram to be on bare dress duty and procure false witnesses against Petitioner and others; this matter was informed to Mr. Upshon, the Superintendent, but he never took any noticc. These men were so deputed from 14—2—94 to 7—3—94, and this agreement of time, *i.e.*, 14—2—94 the date of suspension and 7—3—94 the last day of the enpuiry into the case did not strike Mr. Upshon's brain in the least. I am prepared to prove by records that Head Constable Nagoji Row is my enemy.

18. The intention of Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram to ruin Your Excellency's Petitioner was first proved in the Petition to the Commissioner, dated 29th January 1894 and the same fact was also satisfactosrily proved before Mr. Upshon; but both the officers have not condescended to redress the grievances of Petitioner, although the Commissioner, in his minute says, that "this case is a difficult one to dispose of, for, the evidence of many of the witnesses is more or less tainted and it is hard to say what parts of their statements are true and what parts false."

19. That Petitioner is at a loss to see how it was posssible that Police men interfered with the tobacco smuggling party even after the order of the Commissioner not to arrest or do anything with tobacco smugglers and also to see the idea that the merchants cared for Police help.

20. That Petitioner begs to direct Your Excellency's attention to the Crime Register herewith submitted in which it is entered that Linga Chetty, the Owner of the Tobacco, the 4 Police constables, 3 carters, 4 coolies and Toll-gate Writer as the meduim of the smuggling of Tobacco into the city and Your Excellency must consider that if the above statement be true, why was not the owner of the Tobacco, Linga Chetty, charged; nay, he was not examined at all at any stage of the inquiry.

21. That when a dark lantern of G. III Station was lost on 1st February 1894, Sub-Inspector Gopaul Ram and District Inspector Mr. Eaton made inquiries

and ordered Your Excellency's humble Petitioner and 208 Cooppoosawmy to pay *its value* which they sternly *refused* and *informed* that if Commissioner should so order they would pay it, and District Inspector and Sub-Inspector then sent their *reports* to Commissioner in vain and ever since his superiors were against him.

22. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs most respectfully to state that he presented a petition to Government, dated 10th April 1894 to which he received an endorsement that "the Petitioners will be informed that the Government declines to interfere." Thereupon Your Excellency's humble Petitioner forwarded another petition to the Madras Government, dated 13th September 1894, through Messrs. Branson and Branson, Solicitors, to which also he received an endorsement that "the Petitioners will be informed that their request cannot be complied with."

23. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner most respectfully prays that this his petition may graciously be received and that he may be granted redress. Your Excellency's humble Petitioner would ask that Your Excellency would direct the Commissioner of Police to hold an investigation or that in the event of Your Excellency being not pleased to give such directions, that the Commissioner of Police may be directed to frame a charge against him for aiding and abetting the smuggling of tobacco into Madras on the day in question and such charge be tried by the Chief Presidency Magistrate.

If not these two, that Your Excellency's humble Petitioner may be re-instated.

For which act of charity and justice, Your Excellency's humble Petitioner as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

28th. February MADRAS, 1895.

No. 4, Adiappa Mudali Lane,
Pursewakum,
Madras.

P. Annasawmy

TRUE COPY OF CRIME LIST.

Date.	Number. Station.	Number. Inspector.	Number. Sergeant.	Place of Occurence.	Date and hour. Offence when committed.	Date and hour. Report. Brought to station.	Date and hour. Report. Report of the Inspector.	Date and hour. Report. Before Magistrate.	Particulars of offence or the attending circumstances.
14-2-94.	175/9	„	„	Viyasarpady Nellore, Road.	3 A. M., 14-2-94.	6-45 A. M., 14-2-94.	14-2-94.		Sub-Inspector Mr. Forrest and Sub-Inspector Gopaul Rom, having received information, that carts laden with tobacco were to be run into the city through the place mentioned in column 5, went to the above place at 2 A. M., on 14-2-94 with the assistance of Police Constables 267 Mariappen and 606 Krishnasamy, and lay there in wait when at 3 A. M., 3 carts laden with bags of tobacco and accompained by some men (7 or 8 in number) and two Police Constables in undress, *viz.*, Police Constable 710 Vencatasamy and Police Constable 614 Vembuli covering themselves with red clothes from head to foot, appeared and were stopped by Mr. White, Tobacco Inspector, who was there on erehand information. On examining whether the tolls had been paid in the above Toll-gate or not, it was found that none of them had paid the Tolls. Then on enquiring who the carters were, they gave their names as 1 Sapapathy, 2 Moonesamy, 3 Veeran, all of Perambore. On enquiring who came in their company, it was found that Constables Vencatasamy 710 and Vembuli 614 who accompained the carts covering themselves from head to foot with red cloth and who were identified by Constables 267 and 606 who accompained Sub-Inspector and 554 Thungavalu and 564 Govindoo, who were on rounds were asked to stop there as they were going to stop the other men and carts, ran away when Sub-Inspector came near to see them. When Sub-Inspector who had subsequently mingled, asked who accompained the carts, they gave their names as 1 Moonesamy, 2 Perumal, 3 Gurusamy and 4 Chinnasamy. On examining the carters, they said that No. 1, 2 and 3 who accompained them, one Chetty and some others loaded the carts with bags of tobacco in the Sembium Tobacco Godown and asked them to drive the carts to Pattanam which they did in company with the above and as they were driving the carts near the Viyasarpady Toll-gate, the said Chetty and those that accompained them stood near the Toll-gate and asked them to drive the carts which they did without any objection. Then Nos. 1, 2 and 3 who accompained the carts were examined and they said that one Linga Chetty, son of Ramasamy Chetty, represented to them that he was to send tobacco from the Sembium Tobacco Godown to his agents Thayee Moonesamy Chetty, Rutna Chetty and Katheer Chetty trading in tobacco in Kotwal Bazzar and requested them to accompany the carts on a cooly of annas 8 each and see that Municipal Officers do not trouble the carts for the tolls encouraging them that Police Officers were also coming as help. They said that Police Constables 710 and 614 accompained them from the tobacco godown as help, and when they came to the Toll-gate, the said Linga Chetty, the Toll-gate writer, Head Constable 208 Cuppusamy and Constable 821 Annasami who (the latter two) were in the Toll-gate, spoke something to one another and ordered them to drive the carts which they did accompained by the said Constables 710 and 614 and were on their way stopped by you and the Police Constables. On examining Chinnasamy who also came in company with the carts, he said that on his way to town on private business, he came in contact with the carts and men in the way and identified Constables 710 and 614, who also accompained. Immediately Sub-Inspector Mr. Forrest and Mr. White, having orders to take care of the tobacco, went to the Toll-gate and examined the Toll-gate Tobacco Tolls Register and found that for the last four months there was no entry of Tolls on tobacco. Mr. White took charge of the carts laden with tobacco. District Inspector examined Constables 710 and 614 who said that they were on house turn that night and never stirred out that night from their houses. Head Constable 208 Cuppusamy and Constable 821 Annasamy, when examined, denied any knowledge of the matter and averred that that night, leaving G. III Station at 1 A. M., on rounds, they came to the Viyasarpady Police Station to visit it at 2-15 A. M., wherefrom they went to Perambore, when the people in the Toll-gate were sleeping soundly.

(Signed) T. GOPAUL RAM,
Sub-Inspector.

(Signed) VARADARAJUM PILLAY, No. G.
Head Constable, 755.

(True copy)
R. C. MASON,
Inspector, G. Division.
28-7-94.

H. W. H. COX,
Commissioner of Police.

Commissioner's Office,
Madras City Police, 28th July 1894.

live there are about 40 to 50 houses between two Toll-gates and thickly populated; none came forward against me but only the four above mentioned. The reason why these men came forward, I will relate when called for.

I beg to state that I am in G. Division from 1879 and doing duty at No. 3 Police Station nearly 12 years and no crime was brought against me; but since of late many false reports are brought against me by Head Constable Nagoji Row as I reported him several times to the Station House Officer and to the Sub-Inspector. He bears a great grudge against me. Through me he was reduced from 4th grade to Head Constable a few years ago.

On the 29th January 1894 I posted a petition to you signed by me regarding the animosity and grudge that is borne against me. I humbly beg you will please call me before you when I will be able to explain myself better or if you cannot find it convenient, please ask the Assistant Commissioner to do so.

I have fully come to a conclusion before I sent in my petition, that Nagoji Row, Station House Officer and the Sub-Inspector are trying their endeavours to hurt me and get me dismissed from service, so I beg you will take my long service and good conduct into consideration, for which act I shall ever feel grateful.

I beg to, &c.,
(Signed) ANNASAMY,
Acting Head Constable No. 821,
G. Division

(True copy.)

M. VINAYAGAM MUDALIAR,
Assistant Commissioner of Police.

Commissioner's Office,
Madras City Police,
20th July 1894.

MADRAS, 19TH FEBRUARY 1894.

(Through the District Inspector, G. Division.)

To

COLONEL H. W. H. COX,
Commissioner of Police,
MADRAS.

SIR,

I humbly and respectfully beg to lay the following before you and trust you will be pleased to weigh the matter over carefully and considerately and beg to be excused for the trouble given.

On the 14th instent at 1 A.M. myself and Head Constable No. 208, Coopoosamy, went out on 2nd rounds from No. 3 Police Station to Vasarapady, met 2 Constables in Moothoo Moodelly Street on their rounds at 1-45 A.M. Head Constable No. 208 signed their Ticket Books. We then went to Vasarapady Police Station the Head Constable signed the Visit Book kept at the Station, from thence we went to Perambore High Road, met 2 Constables at 3-15 A.M., signed their books, then went to Strahan's Road, Kosapett, met 2 Constables there at 4 A.M., signed their books, we then arrived at No. 3 Police Station at 5 A.M. I remained at the Station till 10 A.M. and then went home for my food. I came again to the Station at 4 P.M. and went out on Beat till 8 P.M. and returned to Station at 8-30 P.M. I was informed by Head Constable Abdul Natheef that myself, Head Constable Coopoosamy, Constables Venkatasamy and Vambily were suspended by orders from Vepery Police Station. I asked him for what fault; he said that I had some connivance with carts of smuggled tobacco which astonished me greatly. I did not heed it much, as I know nothing about it, neither was I called for and asked about it and without any cause suspended me, which is very improper.

I further beg to state that on the 16th instant the four named men were called up before the Superintendent of Police and their deposition taken. They also said that they were beaten very severely and the wounds were seen by the Superintendent; this I believe was done because these men Moonoosamy, Perumall, Gooroosamy and Chinnasamy would not state against their wishes what the Police and Sub- Inspector wanted them to say against me. So you can see from this the grudge and animosity that is borne against me. Nagoji Row and Station House Officer are daily trying to get false evidences to concoct a crime against me which I could prove also. I humbly beg of you, that you will kindly allow me to produce all my witnesses in this matter and you will be convinced that this is a false charge against me.

I further beg to state that Head Constable Nagoji Row been to Perambore and got these four false evidences, Palliappen Naicken, his two sons, Thanecachellam Naicken and Sabapathy Naicken and Palliappen brother s son Mooroogappa Naicken to state against me. These four men live in one house. Where these men

them to him he then went inside and unlocked the wooden box. I then told him *that I paid annas two, to the man that brought the buffalo to the pound. I then* pointed out the *Tin cash box to him he examined it and said all was correct and took* charge of it, I then went and laid down again at 6 A.M., I went on my beat to Vasarpady at about 8 A.M. No. 26 Moorath Saib, met me and said the Station House Officer wanted me, I went over to him, he said that the Tin Box was missing, do you know about it I said that I gave over the keys and pointed out the Tin Box to Abdool Hatheef about 4-45 A. M., he took charge of the same from me, and said all was correct.

I Further beg to state that if you will be pleased, to allow me to appear before you in person, I will acquaint you of the robbery that took place a few months ago at the station viz of Rs. 12 (and on the 13th of December 1893 the robberry of Rs. 14.) This is know to the station staff. There is also a zinc Bucket missing over a month. The Sub-Inspector made enquiries about it and allowed the matter to drop, he also made enquiries about the Rs. 14 that was robbed on the 13-12-93 he even let that drop also and simply said that he would report the matter to you, and get the staff punished, a few months ago, two constables of vasarpady station made a complaint against the Sub-Inspector to you, and handed it over, the Sub-Inspector suspecting that I was concerned in the matter, asked me of it I told him that I was not concerned in it at all.

I humbly request you will be pleased, to allow me to appear before you when I will relate all circumstances that has occurred from time to time and why, this animosity is borne against me. For which act I shall ever feel grateful.

I beg &c.

(Signed.) ANNASAWMY,
Ag. Hd. Constable No. 821,
G Division.

I am for the past 12 years doing duty at G Division, No. 3 station please take this into consideration.

True Copy

M. VENOYAGA MODELLY,
Assistant Commissioner of Police, Madras.

20th July 1894.
COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MADRAS CITY POLICE.

MADRAS, 29TH JANUARY 1894.

To

COL. H. W. H. COX,

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,

MADRAS.

SIR,

I humbly beg to address you with this my Petition and trust you will excuse the liberty I have taken in doing so and hope you will give it your kind consideration.

I beg to state that on the 6th Instant, it was my turn to take my bed at home. I visited No. 3 Station G. Division at 8-30 P. M. the Station House Officer ordered me to take my bed at the Station, I complied with his request, and remained at the Station. At about 3 A. M. on the 7th Instant, one Chinniah Naidu brought a buffalo to the pound and called out. I immediately got up, and came out of the Station I asked the man where he brought the buffalo from, he said Choolay. I then came inside the Station and looked for the Hd. Constable who returned from Rounds after 1 A. M. but no Hd. Constables were in generally the keys of the Pound and of a large wooden box are constantly handed from one Hd. Constable to another when they go on their Rounds. But the key of the Tin Box cash chest is always kept by the Pound keeper Abdul Hatheef No. 540. As there was no Hd. Constable at the Station when the Buffalo came, I looked for the keys on the table and in the drawers but were not there. I searched all over and at last found them at my bed, I then unlocked the pound and admitted the Buffalo locked the gate, came inside the Station opened the large wooden box took annas two from the Til and paid the man that the Buffalo before locking the Box again I observed the tin cash Box inside the large one. I locked the large Box and went to bed about 4 to 4-30 A. M. I got up for the cause of nature on my way out I met the Station writer No. 626 Ethirajooloo inside the Station he had returned from Rounds with the Sub Inspector I also met No. 435 Vanoogopaul in the Station Verandah, he had just returned from his rounds, he asked me where. I told him for the cause of nature, before I got into the closet No. 90 Govindoosawmy met me, he was taking water from the tap, that along side off the Station, when I came out of the closet Hd. Constable No. 494 Nagojee Row and Ag. Hd. Constable No. 540 Abdul Hatheef were entering the Station from their rounds, while I was taking water at the Tap, I heard Nagojee Row ask where Annasawmy was, they then entered the Station. After I had cleaned myself, I went into the Station and asked Vanoogopaul why Nogoji Row enquired of me, he said I do not know, but that I was gone for the cause of nature, Vanoogopaul then said that Nagoji Row and Abdul Hatheef went into the room where the boxes were and spoke together in Hindustani, I paid no heed to this, I then went to the tap and washed myself, I was wiping myself in the Station Verandah, when Abdul Hatheef came up to me and asked me for the keys. I took them out of my pocket and handed

High Road at 3-15 A. M. I do not know how they managed to measure time so accurately but it is quite possible for them to have been at the Toll Gate a short time before 3 and in the Perambore High Road before 3-30.

In defence they produce 8 witnesses 3 of whom are men who were found with the tobacco carts on morning of 14th who first made statements criminating the Constables and who afterwards retracted. The evidence of 7 of these men is that they were asked by Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom and others to give evidence against the Police. 2 of them say that they were threatened after refusing. The eight was present when one of the men said to have been threatened was asked by Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom to change his statements. He says nothing about any threat having been made.

No. 614 says that he was at home from 10 P. M., 13th to 10 A. M., 14th February but produces evidence that on some night about that date he slept in his sister's house in Black Town.

No. 710 says that he was at home on the night of 13th February and produces evidence to prove it.

I believe that the charge is true and have no alteration but to dismiss the accused.

4th Grade Head Constable Cooppoosawmy No. 208
1st Class do. Annasawmy ,, 821
1st do. do. Venkatasawmy ,, 710
3rd do. do. Vamboli ,, 614
} are

dismissed with effect from date of suspension viz., 14th February 1894 for actively conniving at the smuggling of Tobacco into the City.

(Signed) H. W. H. COX, COL.
Commissioner of Police.

14th March 1894.

(True Copy)

M. VINAYAGUM MOODELIAR,
Asstt. Commissioner of Police,
Madras.

Head Constable No. 208 denies the charge. He was on Rounds that night and visited the Tanah near the Vysarpady Toll gate at 2-15 A. M. on 14th February met Round Constables 26 & 312 at 3-15 in Perambore High Road and Nos. 437 and 978 at Pulian Tope at 4 A. M. He was not at the Toll gate at 3 A. M. did not see any carts laden with tobacco he never visited the tobacco Godowns at Sembiam. He produces as witnesses for defence 1. Gooroosamy, 2. Chinnappa Moodaly, 3. Chinna Cumarasamy Moodaly, 4. Subbadu, 5. Veerasamy, 6. Moonesamy, 7. Moonoosamy Naik, 8. Chinnasamy. No. 1 is one of the men who made a statement before Mr. Eaton and afterwards retracted when examined by Mr. Uphson.

He says that Constable Mariapppa took him to Sub Inspector Gopal Rom who asked him why he did not adhere to his original statements and when he said that he could not withdraw his second statement was threatened by Gopal Rom No. 2 says that he and some others were present when Gopal Rom asked No. 1 to change his statement. No. 3 says that Thanikkachalam asked him to give evidence against some Police officers who were connected with the smuggling of Tobacco and took him to his house where Head Constable Nagojee Row was. The Head Constable asked him to give evidence against the Police men and he declined. Next day Head Constable Darmalingam and one Sabapathy asked him to give evidence against the Police officer and he again refused. The names of the Police officers against whom evidence was wanted were not mentioned but Thanikkachalam said they were the men who had once taken him up in a pig stabbing case. No. 4 says that on 25th February one Iyacannoo Gramany took him to Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom and told him to say that Constables were present in a Tobacco case. He refused. Next day Iyacanno assaulted him because he refused (on 22-2-94 Iyacanno reported at G III that this Subbadu and others assaulted him because he had given evidence against the Constables.

No. 5 says that Head Constable Nagojee Row met him at Perambore Railway Station and asked him if he knew anything about Police Constables having helped to smuggle tobacco. He said he did not. Head Constable said he had prepared 2 witnesses and invited him to come and say that he saw the Constables. He refused. The names of the Constables were not mentioned. No. 6 who is one of the men examined by Mr. Eaton on 14th February says that Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom told him to adhere to his original statement Otherwise trouble would befall him. To save himself from further annoyance he promised to do so No. 7 was present when Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom in the presence of several persons asked No. 8 to adhere to his original statement. No. 8 said he would tell the truth and nothing more. No. 8 one of the men questioned by Mr. Eaton on 14th February says that Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom came and asked him to adhere to his original statement. He refused and they went away.

1st Class Constable No 821 denies the charge and says he was on rounds with Head Constable No. 208 has only on one occasion visited Sembiam this was 2 months ago in Company with Ag. Head Constable Mooroogasen in connection with a sheep theft. The present charge has been concocted by the Head Constable Nagojee Row whom he had on 3 occasions reported to S. H. Officer for irregularities (S. H. O. denies this). Has no witnesses except those already examined on behalf of 1st Class Constable No. 208.

No. 614 says that on 13th February he was on House turn, was at home from 10 P. M. 13th to 10 A. M. 14th February. He produces four witnesses who say that one night 20 or 22 days before Constable Vamboli slept in his sister's house in Black Town.

No. 710 says that he had house turn and from 10 P. M. 13th until 10 A. M. 14th February never left his house. He produces witnesses who state that during the past month he had slept at home every night when he had House turn.

This case is a difficult one to dispose of for the evidence of many of the witnesses is more or less tainted and it is hard to say what parts of their statements are true and what parts false.

Against Constables No. 614 and 710 we have the evidence of the 4 Constables who stopped the carts containing contraband tobacco on the morning of 14th February. No. 710 alleges that one of these Constables No. 267 was actuated by bad feelings against him because he (No. 710) would not say that No. 267 was unwell when on Rounds for neglecting to perform which duty properly both Nos. 267 and 710 were reported and punished. No allegation is made that any of the others 3 Constables had any motive for making a false accusation.

Against all four of the Constables accused we have the statements made on 14th by the 4 men whom were found with the carts. These men afterwards retracted their statements and stated that Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom had severely beaten them and compelled them to accuse the Constables. The Superintendent found a bruise upon one of the four men but this may have been caused by an accident and in the case of a beating severe or otherwise, I should expect to find more than a single bruise. I do not believe the story that they were beaten and believe that the statements they made to Mr. Eaton on 14th February were made voluntarily and were true. One of these men has since admitted that his statement made on 14th February was true.

Against all four of the Police Officers there is further the evidence of Iyacannoo and Kistnasawmy this must be accepted with caution and if it stood alone I would give it no weight.

The evidence that Nos. 208 and 821 visited Sembiam and were seen about the Tobacco Godowns is that of men who are either contrabandists or men who have been making money out of contrabandists and I do not attach much importance to it. No. 208 was seen by the S. H. O. of Sembian near the Tobacco Godowns at Sembiam on 2 occasions. No. 208 denies that he ever visited the Tobacco Godowns in Sembiam. These does not seem to be any reason why the S. H. Officer of Sembiam (in Chingleput District) should make a false allegation against No. 208.

On the night of 13, 14th February 1894, Nos. 208 and 821 were on rounds in that part of the city including the Vyasarpady Toll through which a large quantity of Tobacco was "run" Nos. 614 and 710 were off duty.

All the accused deny the charge and plead alibi.—Nos. 208 and 821 admit that they were at the Vyasarpady Toll Gate at 2-15 A. M., but say that they left it and then patrolled distant part of the Section meeting Constables in Perambore

MINUTE BY THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
Madras, in the Case.

4TH GRADE HEAD CONSTABLE COOPPOOSAWMY G/208.
1ST CLASS CONSTABLE ANNASWAMY G/821.
1ST do. VENKATASAWMY G/710.
3RD do. VAMBOLI G/614.

CHARGE.—Actively Conniving at the smuggling of Tobacco into the City.

Sub Inspector Gopal Rom received information that the Police of G. III Station were actively conniving at the smuggling of Tobacco from Sembiam and got the names of the following men. Viz. 4th Grade Head Constable Coopooswamy No. 208, 1st class Constable Annasamy No. 821, 1st class Constable Venkatasawmy No. 710, and 3rd class Constable Vamboli No. 614.

On night of 13th February 1894 he received definite information that some tobacco was to be run that night through the Vysarpady Toll Gate and accordingly communicated with Mr. White Municipal Octori Inspector lay in wait with him, Police Sub. Inspector Mr. Forrest and 2 Constables about 3 A. M. on 14th 1st Class Constable Mauriappan 267 3rd Class Constable Krishnasamy No. 606 3rd Class Constable Thangavelu No 554 and 3rd Class Constable Govindoo No. 564 stopped 3 carts laden with tobacco about 300 yards from the Vysarpadi Toll gate within city limits.

Constable Venkatasamy No. 710 and Vamboli No. 614 who were with the carts ran away but the carters and others were detained until Mr. White came up when they and the carts were taken to G III Station. These men gave their names as 1. Moonoosamy, 2. Perumall alias Murugan, 3. Gurusawmy Moodaly, 4. Chinnasamy.

These men were examined on 14th February by District Inspector Mr. Eaton the first three criminated Head Constable Cooppoosamy No. 208 and Constables Annasamy No. 821 Venkatasamy No. 710 and Vamboli No. 614. Nos. 710 and 614 accompanied the smuggling party from the Tobacco Godowns at Sembiam and Nos. 208 and 821 were at the Toll gate. The fourth man denied all knowledge of the smuggling and says that he came upon the party just at the time the carts were stopped and recognised Constables No. 710 and 614. These four witnesses when examined by the Superintendent Mr. Uphson retracted the statements they had made before Mr. Eaton and stated that they had been severely beaten by Sub-Inspector Gopal Rom and compelled to give evidence. One of them showed a bruise on his left shoulder and another a stain of cart grease which was a bruise. They admitted that they had said nothing about having been beaten to Mr. Eaton and one of them Perumall has since (2nd March) stated that his statement to Mr. Eaton was true and that he was induced to withdraw it by the Constables who are accused.

Iyacanno Gramany and Krishnasamy Naik who admit that they joined the smuggling party on the night of 13th February criminate all the four Police Officers Viz. Nos. 208, 821, 710 & 614 and state that they (the Police Officers) have assisted in previous similar ventures.

[L / 9]
2,000—23-1-95.

Current No. 1002

Jud Department.

From

P. Annasamy
Ex. 1st Class Const 821
Madras Police

No.

Dated 28 2 95
Received 1/ 3/ 95
Enclosures 3

SUBJECT.

Regn.g that the Comm.r of Police may be directed to frame a Charge against him for abetting the Smuggling of tobacco & that such a charge be tried by the Chief Presy Magte.

MR 2/3
WO 4/3.

Back Paper, No. 2410 : 5.10.94 of 189 .

Further Paper, No. 1170/95 of 189
2668/95
6105/95 (Coopoorsami)

Read again Order of Government, dated
189 , No. , Miscellaneous.

Enclosures.

Current No.

Statement with ditto.

Order of Government.	A	A
Letter of Government.		
Statement with ditto.		
Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original (not paged).		

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

[G / II]
3,000—26-9-94.

ORDINARY, MS.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 5-3-95

No. 474

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 5/3

[Despatch Abstract.]

Rejecting under Clause X of the Petition rules petitions from Venkatakamy & Coopooramy & V. Annaramy both Constables of the Madras City Police requesting that the Commissioner of Police may be directed to frame a charge against them for abetting the smuggling of tobacco into Madras and such charge be tried by the Chief Presidency Magistrate