

# Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 472

DATED 4<sup>th</sup> March 1895

INDEXED.

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

DACOITY COMMITTED IN THE HOUSE OF ONE SRINIVASA AIYANGAR, OF  
COORG.

Stating that the District Magistrate of Malabar should report  
direct to the Chief Commissioner of Coorg the facts relating  
to the--and the non-disbursement of the reward offered to the  
Malabar Police who assisted materially in the conviction of  
the accused.

*incl*

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

From.

Judicial Dept

The District Magistrate  
Malabar

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt.  
Judicial Department Madras

dated 20<sup>th</sup> February 1895-


Despatch - do

Received 22<sup>nd</sup> February 1895

Regd - 23<sup>rd</sup>

Go 16/4/89

No. 228 &  
425



Stating that the reward offered  
by one Shrinivasa Iyengar of Coorg  
in whose house a dacoity was  
committed has not been  
disbursed among the Malabar  
Police who assisted materially  
in the conviction of the accused.

US 18/1/95

For 16/4/89 Jc.

London

Order 4 March 1895 No 472

The Dist. Mag. should  
report the facts of the case direct  
to the Commissioner of Coorg.

1895

At D.H. Malabar 5/3/95

Note. It is the Commissioner's business  
to deal with the matter & there seems  
no reason why the Dist. Mag. should  
not correspond direct with him.

one

CURRENT NO 868.  
Malabar District Magistrate's Office,  
Calicut 20<sup>th</sup> February 1895

No. 228  
d. 25

From,

H. Moberly Esquire  
Ag District Magistrate of Malabar

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government  
Judicial Department,  
Cochin

Sir,

A dacoity on a large scale was committed in the house of one Srinivasa Iyengar of Vinkulur Village in Gorg, and property to the value of Rs 19,792-4- was lost. Along with his search warrants, the District Magistrate of Gorg, forwarded a list of property stolen with a footnote to the following effect:-

"A reward of Rs 500/ will be paid to person  
"or persons who will give such information as  
"will lead to the Conviction of the offenders and  
"to the recovery of the stolen property."

2. The Angadipuram Police received information, and they recovered identifiable property worth Rs 200, and materially helped in the Conviction of the offenders. After the disposal

disposal of the case, the Superintendent of Police, Malabar, enquired of the District Magistrate of Coorg, what portion of the reward he proposed to give to the Malabar Police. He replied that the Complainant who offered the reward was unable to pay it, and asked for information as to "what is done under similar circumstances elsewhere."

3. The Superintendent of Police, Malabar, has asked me to report the matter to Government and I accordingly do so.

4. It appears to me that the District Magistrate of Coorg, should not have allowed the issue of a reward to issue, until the money had been deposited in the Court and that by allowing the issue to issue, he has really made Government responsible for its disbursement.

I have the honor to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant  
*[Signature]*  
Dy District Magistrate

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
When signed \_\_\_\_\_

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.  
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. ..

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy heading only