

17-8-12

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 439

Dated 27th Feb. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

POLICE PROBATIONERS

Cancelling G.O., No 40, dated 10th January 1895, regarding the grant of enhanced pay to Messrs. Longden Clark, and Sweeting, permitting their appointments of Acting Assistant Superintendents of Police to stand, but considering them ineligible to draw higher pay than Rs. 250 per mensem until they have passed their departmental examination.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	40		10-1-95				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1354		1895				

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secy to Govt
Indl Deptt.

10037/8/93
1761/94
CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
23 JAN. 1895

Dated 22 } July 95
Received 23 }

No. 136

Subject.

With reference to Prop: of Govt.
Indl: Deptt No 40 of 10th Jan'y 95,
giving reasons for objecting to
the app'tt of Messrs Lempden,
Sweeting & Clarke, Probationers
in the Madras Police to act
as Asst Insp's & requesting a
reconsideration of the decision of Govt.

1029/1

1029/1/94
The Asst Secy to Govt.
re: recruitment of superior police &
12 copies of G.O. 658/97 2-4-92
+ 912-16-5-92
6547

over

1040: 10.1.95
+ papers

270
202
2-2-95

The Dy. of Police is requested
to return forward, at an early date,
this letter of 30th Dec last no 7091,
the two enclosures returned with G.O. of 10 Jan'y
95 no 40 Indl.
8
1.2

To The Dy. of Police. despd 2/2/95
C. M. 2/2

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
22nd January 1895.

Gazetted Audit DEPARTMENT.

No. 136

From *J. W. Rawlins Esquire,*
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
To *The Chief Secretary to Government,*
Judicial Department.

SIR,

With reference to proceedings of Govern-
ment No 40, Judicial Department, dated the 10th of
January 1895, I have the honour to state that
my objection to the appointment of Messrs Longden,
Sweeting and Clarke, Probationers in the Madras
Police, to act as assistant Superintendents with
enhanced pay, was based not upon the agreement
between the Secretary of state and each individual
Probationer, in which any detail of the limits,
set upon the powers of the Imperial and Local
Governments, would have been out of place, but
upon the Secretary of state's despatch No 14 -
dated 15th March, sanctioning the proposals of
the Government of India for the formation of a
Probationary class in the Police service, as details

Supd 8/17 July 94
no. 1761 sub.

in paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Government of India's despatch to the Secretary of State No 321, dated 11th October 1893.

2. In the Scheme thus sanctioned, it will be seen that the probationary grade is amalgamated with the lowest grade of assistant superintendents, provided that so long as the officers are Probationers, they are not to draw more than 250 a month.
3. In these circumstances, I respectfully request that Government may be pleased to reconsider their decision.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
J. H. Munnick
Accountant General.

H. M.

Department

FROM

552
CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras, 6 February 1895.

617
Mr. Munnick Esq. A.S.,
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE Chief Secretary
to Government,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

2

With reference to your memo No 202, dated 2 February 1895, I have the honor to return the two enclosures forwarded with G.O. dated 10 January 1895, No 40, J.D.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,
Munnick
Inspector General of Police.

10

R

552
Jad 6 (1895) DEPARTMENT.

Refu N° 202/95

From

H. Namnicki Esq & Co
INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE.

W.O. 270 + 552/95

For order.

82813/4/95
No. 417/95
No. 617
Dated 6 February 1895.
Received 8

Encl. 10466
CHIEF SECRETARY
7 FEB 1895
SUBJECT: APPOINTMENT OF MADRID

Returning the enclosures
of G.O. N° 40 & 10 January
1895 JAD

509-20-6-94.

Journal DEPARTMENT.

No. 270 552

to Accl General.

From

Dated 22 January 1895,

FOR ORDERS.

I am afraid the A.G. is right.
These officers therefore can only
get Rs 250 p.m. until they
pass their exams.

MS
15/2/95

W
17 Feb

C.

Order 27 February 1895 No 439

G.O. No 40 of 10 January 1895
will accordingly be cancelled. The
appointments of Messrs. Londera,
Wetter, & Clarke will stand but
as the A.G. points out they are
ineligible to draw higher pay than
Rs 250 per mensem until they have
passed their departmental examination
(from orders)

MS
21/2/95

The Accl General (G.O. N° 40 of 10/1/95)
The G.S. Police (G.O. N° 2 of 1/2/95)

with ref to G.O. No 40 of 10 Jan 1895, the Accl General
explains that his objection to granting
increased pay to Messrs. Wetter, Clarke
& Londera is based not on the wording
of their appointments but on para. 4 & 5
of the Secretary of State's G.O. of 11 Oct. 1893 No 321 (not
red tape & red pencil marks in G.O. 17
July 1894 No 1761 of 1894) (single)
Para 5 contains the proviso that
"so long as these officers are probationers
they will receive only Rs 250."
This was approved by the Secretary
of State.

2. It is submitted that the accountant
General is right & that G.O. 40
10.1.95

must be cancelled with the remark that
appointments of these gentlemen may
stand, on the understanding that they
receive no enhanced pay.

3. It is to be remarked that the Accl
General's letters to the S.S. of Police -
by inference though not expressly -
based his objections on the agreement
executed with Messrs. Wetter & Co.
The grounds of his objection were not
clearly stated

MS
14/2/95 2.2.55

I am afraid that the A.G. is right MS

Appointment of Police
Probationers.

H.M. A.H.E. to Governor
For orders

Cs
(from orders)

Number given for copying _____
Number copied _____
Number examined _____
Number signed _____ } 189

AD AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.