

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 434

Dated 26th Feb. 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

MOPLAH OUTBREAK OF 1894.

Recording, with remark, letter from the District Magistrate of Malabar, reporting that Thekkathil Koyakunhikoyal, who was arrested by the Police on suspicion that he is the Veliangode Thangal who blessed the fanatic just before the --, was released for reasons stated.

BACK PAPERS.						
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.
	35		9-1-95			

FURTHER PAPERS.						
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.
80R	484		20-4-95			
80	974		10-5-95 S.C.			

The District Magistrate of
Malabar

The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Judicial Department

Dated 8th
Despatched February 1895
Received



Enclosure

Subject

To await return of 35 mis.
9.1.95
Refer to Ref. 4.

Reporting that The Mathil
Koya Kunhi Koyal who was arrest-
ed by the Police on suspicion that
he is the Veliamode Tungal who
blossed the fanatic just before
the recent Kudlak outbreak,
was released this day for reasons

stated.

15/2/95 with P.

15/2/95

35. 9.1.95 mis
30 2633/5: 3-Nov: 1894-S.C.
30 2186/72: 8 Nov 1894-S.C.

Order 26-2-95
Mis No 434

Recorded. with the remark
that every endeavour should be made
to apprehend the right man

20.2.95

22/2/95

To The D.M. of Malabar. 20.2.95

19/2/95

C.P.D.

27/2

27/2

The previous papers were submitted to the

Malabar District Magistrate's Office
Calicut 8th February 1895

From,

H. Moberly Esquire
Magistrate of Malabar

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department
Malabar.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. A, dated
24th December 1894, reporting the arrest of the
-Kathil Koya Kumbli Koya, I have the honor to
report that after a careful inquiry, I am sa-
-fied that the Police have arrested the wrong
man. I have accordingly this day released
the man arrested by the Police.

2. On the 31st December I examined the
6 persons referred to in my letter of 24th Decem-
-ber. They failed to identify the man arrested
by the Head Quarter Inspector of Police, as the
man who was at Mannarghat, and be-
-lieved the fanatics. Abu Bakr, the Tangal of
Angadipuram said positively that the
prisoner belonged to Chowghat, and was the
the man whom he had met at Mannarghat.

3. I then told the Head Quarter Ins-

that unless he could prove that the man arrested was the man who blessed the fanatics, I should have to release him. He promised to produce evidence and on the 7th January I examined the witnesses produced by him, and the witnesses summoned at his request.

4. I must mention that on 31st December, Keryyanni Nair, the Adhigari of Tachampara, produced one Sankaran Nair of Palghat Taluk, who said that he has met the prisoner 2 or 3 days after the Pallikurup affairs, at little Krishnan Nair's Kalam in Tenkara desam, and that the prisoner told him that he had warned the fanatics not to go to the Mannarghat Nair's ^{house} as it was guarded.

5. The following persons were examined on 7th January:-
Kesavan Nair who was Head constable at Cingadi-
poream during the outbreak, and who, accompanied
by Abu Baker and Mr. R. Ry. Ananta Patta (Jahsildar
of Walluvana then under suspension) to Mannar-
ghat, swore that the prisoner was the Tungal who
came to him, and Abu Baker, at Mannarghat.
Little Krishnan Nair referred to by Sankaran Nair
swore that the prisoner had treated him for the
infirmity, that he (prisoner) was in his (witness's)
house on Friday morning (6th April), that he
saw the firing at Pallikurup, and that prisoner
told him he had advised the fanatics not to go
to the Mannarghat Nair's house, but to Pallikurup.

His witness was again examined by me on 22nd
January, and he then made statements in con-
-cord with his former statement and with Kes-
Nair's evidence.

Gopalan Nair Constable No. 1241 of Mannarghat
Station swore that he had seen prisoner at Mannar-
ghat during the outbreak, that prisoner came
to Mannarghat between 22nd and 27th March 1881,
and that he stayed in Kallipenambil Mannar-
Nair's house.

H. Polu, Constable No. 1172 of Mannarghat Station
swore that he had seen prisoner at Mannarghat
before the outbreak, and that he last saw him
3 or 4 days before the Pallikurup affairs.

The evidence of all these witnesses as to identity
of the prisoner is open to suspicion, for in spite of
my distinct orders that the witnesses should not
be allowed to see the prisoner when he was
brought from the barracks, they saw him get
out of the Jutha.

N. Abdul Khadir, a peon in the Registration
department said that prisoner is known to
him as the Veliankode Tungal, and that he has a wife
at Mambram.

6. It is unnecessary for me to refer at
length to the evidence of the other witnesses ex-
-amined by me. I need only mention the evidence
of (1) the Mannarghat Post master.

(2) the Mannarghat Elaya Nair.

The post-master whom I examined on

January says, that prisoner is not the Tangal who sent money orders to Quanae on 10th and 15th March 1891, that the Tangal who sent the money orders had a black beard and no grey hairs.

The Elaya Nair had some words with a Tangal just before the Pallithurup affair. The Tangal has gone to the Nair's bathing place and on the Nair objecting had used threats. The Nair said positively that the prisoner was not that Tangal.

I am satisfied from my inquiries that the man arrested by the Police belongs to Bohara in Orissa near Anson near Flowghat. He is an old man, between 55 and 60 years old and has a son of 29, whereas the man we want is not yet 40.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

[Signature]

Act District Magistrate

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A