

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 431

Dated 26th Feb. 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

REGISTRATION

Communicating to the Inspector-General of -- and the Accountant-General letter from the Government of India stating that the first-clerk of the Sub-Registrar's office, Cherpalcheri in the Calicut district, cannot get full pay for the time he was absent from duty, and directing the Inspector-General to report whether the clerk was eligible for any leave carrying allowances at the time when he was relieved of his duties.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2477-8		11-10-94				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1873		1895				

[9]
2,000-25-1-95.

Current No. 767

DEPARTMENT.

From

The Govt of India
Home

No. 162

Dated 11. 2. 95
Received 16. 2. 95
Enclosures 18. 2. 95

SUBJECT.

Stating that the first
Clerk of the Sudder's Office,
Cherpacensis cannot get
full pay for the time he
was absent from duty

802977/8: 11-10-94/mis.
& papers

Order 26-2-95
Mis N 431

Communicated to the Inspector-
General of Registration and the Accountant
General.

2. The Dy. will report whether the clerk
was eligible for any leave carrying allowances
at the time when he was relieved of his
duties.

19.2.95 2/2/95

To The Dy. of Reg. 3000
Acct. Genl.

19/2/95

2/2/95

100


From

L. M. Thornton, Esq.,

Depy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Home Department.
(Public)11th February 1895.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 2478, dated the 11th October 1894, submitting for orders the case of the first clerk of the Sub Registrar's Office, Cherpalcheri, in the Calicut district, who was absent from duty from the 15th January to the 13th April 1894. It appears that in consequence of the Civil Surgeon certifying to the clerk's permanent incapacity for further service on account of leprosy he was relieved of his duties on the 15th January 1894, but that ^{on} being pronounced free from that disease by the Civil Medical Board at Madras, the man was restored to his appointment on the 13th April following. The clerk claims full pay for the period of his absence from duty, during which a substitute was acting for him; and His Excellency the Governor in Council recommends that he may be permitted as a special case, to draw the pay (Rs. 17/- a month) to which he would have been entitled had he not been absent from duty.

2. In reply I am to say that the Governor General in Council regrets that he is unable to see sufficient reason for the grant of full pay to the clerk as recommended. The man is stated to have been granted leave, and I am to say that if any leave was admissible to him by rule on the 15th January 1894, the Government of India have no objection to giving him the benefit of the allowances to which he would ^{have been} entitled ^{on} such leave. They cannot, however, consent to annul the leave granted and to consider him to have been on

duty

duty when he was in fact not present on duty.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

L. M. Thos. aton

Depy. Secretary to the Govt. of India.

QK

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

RENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER C GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAGES NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.