

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Code
Class.

INDIAN



TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly
Number.

To
(Office)

Telephonic

From

message

Words,

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official
Instructions.

To

(Person)

The Under Secy
Ind. Dept

From

(Person)

The Asst S. G. of
Police

yes, a reply was sent to Bezvada
October 13th.

W. R.

Where is the
reply

Refer to post clerk

18
12

Oct.
12/95

For the H. S.

Please return the file

which seems to have

been sent to the

1/2

The so-called Regs. & the

other Receipt registers have

been carefully gone thro' but

reply cannot be traced to

Recd. from

L.N.

Sent to

L.N.

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

Subs.

Telegra.

C. G. P. - No 331 T - 28-9-98 - 28,00,000.

Oct.
12/95
10734

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

Secy. Secy. C. 6073 in circ. at once without waiting for this D.O. 34/95 from

the D.O. of Pdia is resubmitted along with the original of 30 Sept. last in the linked file. No. 2186-92 containing the D.O. to Col. Porters regarding a bonus to Inspr. Sweeney. No reply to that D.O. seems to have been received in our office. Perhaps Mr. Hammick might be told so D.O.?

For orders.

Telephone to the 29's office asking if reply was sent.

MR 31/1

MR 1/2

SUBJECT.

Re: The proposal to reward Inspector Sweeney for work in Malabar.

Under this at some time from 84 about compensating the Pdia Inspr. please? No. 2186-92/94

Mr. Hammick's memorandum dated 15/9 says that a demand to Pdia about reward for Inspr. Sweeney has been made.

Re: D.O. 2186/92/94. To await return of the original D.O. which has been forwarded.

MR 31/1

So

50357/95

794
not seen any

I have not seen any

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Re: The proposal to reward
Inspector Sweeney for work
in Malabar.

No. 02186/92/94

To await return of the
original so which also be expedited

Sent
- Cat.
11/2/85
16/34

To await return of the original go which has been forwarded

Telephone.

Q ✓
Return after
despatch 6/12

From

The Under Secretary
Judicial Dept.

To

Assistant
The Inspector General of Police.

Please say whether a reply was
sent to Mr. Price's S.O. of 24 September
last asking whether, in his opinion, Sweeney
should be rewarded for his services in the recent
Moplah outbreak. The original
cannot be traced here
14/2/55

Sent
Cat.
11/2/55
16/34

Telephone.

From

The Under Secretary
Judicial Dept.

To

The Asst. Inspector General
of Police.

Please forward a copy of

Colonel Porters S.O. of 27 September the
13th October last containing his opinion
as to how Sweeney should be
rewarded for his services in the recent
Moplah outbreak. The original
cannot be traced here
14/2/55

Sent
Cat.
11/2/55
15/52

Q Return please
11/2/55

11/2/55

[G/75]

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

It is not known whether this should
be submitted to H.M. or not.

18
1914/95

G. has scratched out the
words "It should be"
and really means it to
use without reference to the
Press.

Confidential
clerk.
That
Sweeney
has
been
referred to the
letter referred to the
in the telephone
message from the
office for either from
the D.O. Reg or the
ordinary Reg books
Please look up & say
if it has been used
11/2/55

285-11-2-91

Please see conf. with you on this subject & let me know of any further action referred to by the I.G.'s office has been received. 11/12

My conf. Register does not show the receipt of such a D.O. I suppose by conf. so. you refer to order

Note: Submitted to U.S. MR 13/1/95. The D.O. register and the Receipt register of current for the month of October have been once more carefully gone through but they contain no reference whatever to the I.G.'s letter of 13.10.94 said to have been despatched but that of date from Bezawada. The G.O.'s passed subsequent to the date of G.O. No 2186-92 of 8th Sep 1894 have been as far as available examined also

D.O. No 34. Office of Inspector General of Madras Jan 15. 95

Office of Inspector General of Madras 14 Feb 1895

My dear Butcher - I enclose copy of portions letter & hope you have asked for

Yours sincerely S. S. S. S.

Camp. Cuddalore. Dear Gitsom - In G.O. of 8 Sept 94 - 2186-92 para 11. The proposal to reward Inspector Sweeney for work in Malabar was to be separately considered - Perhaps the matter has been overlooked so I mention to remind you of it.

Yours truly M. Hammick

[G/9] 1,010-25-10-94

No. 109

DEPARTMENT.

From J. Meredith Esq.

No.

Dated 14 Feb 1895

Received 14 Regd 15 Enclosures one

SUBJECT.

Forward copy of Colonel Porters D.O. regarding Inspector Sweeney

Note Col. Porters' original copy has mislaid; a copy has been obtained from D.O. 109 of 1895 from which appears that he considers Inspector Sweeney unfit for promotion

G. Police M. Malabar Acct. Gant

Please see Mr's note in the note for order marked with slip in G.O. 2186-92. If Inspector Sweeney was unfit to be promoted to be assistant. Supdt, he was to get the reward of Rs. 1000. There therefore appears to be no necessity to circulate this to all

D.O. 109 of 1895

H.H. (?)

Read again G.O. 2186 para 11 & D.O. 34 of 1895

order 18 Feb 1895, No 366

The Govt. is pleased to sanction the grant of a reward of Rs. (1000) one thousand to Inspector Sweeney for his services during the disturbance which occurred in the Ernad and Malabar taluks, Malabar st. in March & April 1894.

15.2.95

285-11-2-91

Submitted to U.S.

[G.]
75

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

Submitted to us for order
The Refuse ~~to~~ the Confidential
Clerk report that no such reply
from the Lg. of India of Kozwada
Oct. 13 ^{retraceable from the Register your}
^{office.} ~~was received in our office.~~
Perhaps the Lg. might now be
asked to forward a copy of the
same?

Oct. 13th was the time of
move of Govt. from the hills.

Mr. Ballerworth rec'd letter reading
the letter & it can be found at
me - 8
42 Please see the Lg. who submitted.
MK 13/2/95

Office of the Insp. General
Buzwada. October 13. 1894.

My dear Sir.

In reply to your D.O. of the 21st Feb.

I write to say that although Mr. Sweeney
makes an admirable officer in the subordinate
grades, I do not think he is the style of
man to promote to the Superior grades of the
Police and to place in charge of a Sub-
Division of a District over a large number
of men. Because I was of that opinion
and could not recommend him for any
further promotion in this Department, I
suggested a reward of Rs 1000 for his va-
luable services in the late Choptak out-
break.

Yours Sincerely

Sd/- B. H. Portman

(True copy)

M. S. S.

UNDER SECRETARY
14 FEB 95
PUBLICITY DEPT.

UNDER SECRETARY
14 FEB 95
PUBLICITY DEPT.

marked with
tape in 80 2186
6-9-92

See the end of page 10 for the

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO Q. No

MINISTRY OF GOVERNMENT

A	A	A	A	A	A	A
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PRES NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N. b. —

A ~~no~~ Copy in full.

B Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.