

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 34/

Dated 15th Feb. 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

FEE TO PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, SALEM

According sanction for the payment of Rs.301-8-0 including travelling allowance to the Public Prosecutor, Salem, for conducting the prosecution in calendar case No.34 of 1894 on the file of the Joint Magistrate of North Arcot.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

500-17-8-94.

Ref No. *2168*
JAN

Salem Collector's Office,

11-1- 189*5*.

From

G. STOKES Esq.,

Collector & Dist. Magte. of Salem.

To

Chief
The Secretary to Government,

Judicial Department,

MADRAS.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that the
Public Prosecutor Salem claims a special
fee of Rs 315 for having conducted the pro-
secution of the case No 34 of 94 on the file
of the Joint Magistrate N. Arcot.

2. One of the accused in the case is a
Mittadar who was charged with dis-
honestly retaining stolen property
knowing it to be stolen, punishable
under Section 411 I.P.C. The property
concerned in the case was sandalwood
valued at Rs 1000. This case was ori-

ginal file of the Head Quarters of

Deputy Magistrate lent on the applica-
tion of the accused to the High Court
for a transfer, it was transferred
to my file. As I had to deal with this
Case in many aspects in the Forest Depart.

ment, I addressed Government in my letter
dated 24-2-94, No 353 that steps might
be taken to have it transferred outside
this District. The case was then trans-
ferred to the file of the Joint Magistrate
North Arcot.

3. 5 Witnesses on the side of prosecu-
tion were examined. The trial lasted
for 7 days and two full days were spent
in travelling by the Public Prosecutor.

The accused were however discharged
as the trying Magistrate was of opinion that
no prima facie case was made against
them.

4. I consider that the fee of Rs 3/5
claimed for the case is too much. A
sum of Rs 2/25 is quite sufficient, and for

for disburseing this sum together with
the travelling allowance of Rs 4/6-8-2,
I solicit sanction of Government.

I have the honor to be

Sr

Yours most obedt servt

electrified

For District Magistrate

12/1/95

12-1

118
Department.No. 754 24.3.94
papers

From the Collector

and District Magistrate,

Salem.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government
Judicial Department
Madras

Dated 1-1-95

Dropt 12-1-95

Recd 14th No. 2/68

Resd 15th

Enclosure.

Subject.

Soliciting sanction of Government
for disbursement of Rs 225
to the Public Prosecutor Salem
for having conducted the pro-
secution in case No 34 on the
file of the Joint Magistrate
at Arcot & travelling
allowance of Rs 768-0.

Salem Collector's Office,

Dated 28th January 1895.
Camp PalacodeRef No. 87
JNB

From

I State, require
Collr. & Dist. Magte. of Salem.

To

Chief
The Secretary to Government,
Judicial Department,
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to the Government order,
dated 23rd January 95, Judicial,
I have the honor to enclose a copy of
the Travelling allowance bill for
Rs 76-12-0 received from the Public
Prosecutor Salem and to state
that 4 annas thereof were disallow-
ed under article 1128 of the civil service
Regulations.

The trial of the case proceeded
before the Joint Magistrate of North
Arcot for 7 days viz 10th May at
Judiatam, 31st May at Palamaneri,
and 18th and 19th July, and 2nd, 3rd, and
4th August at Villore. The Public
Prosecutor left Tirupattur by
the night train of the 29th May.

Judicial 453
Department.

Ref No 130/95 & papers
From the Collector

and District Magistrate,
Salem.

To

The Chief Secretary of the 31st May, arrived
to Government at Judiatam next on
Judicial Department Madras morning, and reached
Salem the same evening
by the day train. These
two days were spent
by him in travelling.

Dated 28th 2 January 1895
Signed 30th

Recd 1/2
Recd 2/2
CHIEF SECRETARY
No. 1
A. FEB. 1895
Enclosure. 1

Subject.

Submitting with report
the travelling allowance
bill of the Public Prosecutor
Salem for Rs 76-8-0, in
going to and from Salem
while conducting the
Peraiyoor the Padayachi
Case before the Joint
Magistrate at Salem

I have the honour to

Sir

Yours most obedient
servant

Perman

Perman

District Magistrate

Im
29/1/95

[G.]
25
500-25-0-04

C. No.

453 of 95

Judicial
DEPARTMENT.

FOR ORDERS.

The Bill has been
checked, & seems correct.
The order proposed on
C.O. 118 of 95 may now
issue -

11/2/95

12/2/95

14/4/95

Issued

Per: for Choke

Prosecutor

CS N
(for notes)

Issue

[G. 24.]

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No.

of 189 , dated

from

Current No 118

453

of 1895, dated 11/1/95 from

D. M. Salem

ORDER. 15 Feb 1895 no 341

Sanction is accorded
for the payment of Rs.
301-8-0 including
travelling allowance,
to the D. M. Salem for
conducting the prosecution
in CC No 34 of 94 on the
file of the joint Inq-
N. M. Arcot.

To the D. M. Salem (940) }
The acct (140) } 12-2-95
18/2/95

Ref to me of 23rd Jan: 1895^{no} 130

The Dist. Magistrate of Salem is
requested to submit a detailed travelling
allowance bill showing the various
items which make up the sum of
Rs. 76-8-0 + the dates on which the
journeys were performed. He should
also be stated upon what days the
trial of the case was proceeding.

(from order) Thru

D. M. Salem, 23/1/95
C. S. N

Note This case was transfr to the
file of the Dist. Magistrate, Arcot, G.O. No.
598 of 10. March: 94.
The P. M. is entitled to Rs 225-
As per: for 9 days @ 25 Rs a day
and also the travelling allowance
(vide G.O. 373 of 15. Feb: 94)

The details of the travelling allowance
are not given. If the case was
at Kallasa the public prosecutor may
have left Salem the night before & returned
from Kallasa the night the case was

entirely need not have spent "two full days travelling".
 The rules entitle him to a fee of Rs 25 for each appearance in Court & for each day "of which so much has been spent in travelling" as to render it impossible for him to attend in Court.

90.7.1894
 373
 15.2.94

Fees Public Prosecutors

(for orders)
C.S.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 189
 When exhibited _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A