

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	151.		22-1-95				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Gr	448		1-3-95				
C	907		1895				
C	2072		1895				

6872

Ind. Dep.

From

THE GOVERNMENT PLEADER,

Madras.

Hm

Order

Dated 22-12-94

Recd. 25-12-94

Recd. 26-12-94

No. 1259

ENCLOSURE. 1

The judgment of the High Court in Criminal Appeal No. 293 of 94 will be communicated to the Hon. Vizagapatam in continuation of G.O. No. 1680 of 7. July. 1894

Forwarding a copy of the judgment in Criminal Appeal No 293 of 1894 on the file of the High Court. against the judgment of acquittal passed by the Sessions Judge, Vizagapatam. Chembathi Somasudham who was accused of murder

To the Hon. Vizagapatam (prop. 40)
The S. G. of the Hon. (40).

7/10/94

Please see Hon. members remarks on C-6653 of 94.

Government Pleader's Office,

High Court,

MADRAS, 22nd Dec 1894.

No. 1259

From

E. B. POWELL, Esq.,

GOVERNMENT PLEADER,

Madras.

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

SIR,

In Continuation of my
letter No 1026 dated the 1st November
last, I have the honor to forward
herewith a copy of the judgment in
criminal appeal No 293 of 1894
on the file of the High Court.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant

W. V. V.

Govt Pleader

Again G.O., dated

18 , No.

Again G.O., dated

18 , No.

Current No.

of 18 , dated

from

Current No. 6872

of 1894, dated 28/2

Recd from the Post Pleader

Order 15 Feb/95 No 338

The judgment of the High Court in Criminal Appeal No. 293 of 1894

will be communicated to the Dist. Magistrate of Vizagapatnam in continuation of G.O. of 7 July 1894 No 1680 just.

His attention has already been drawn to G.O. of 19/11

to the manner in which the prosecution of this case was conducted (for orders)

* Office

Here enter date & number of G.O. in

Current No. 6653

that

This file and that connected with the other case should be circulated for the consideration of my Hon Colleagues. I think the H.C. should be asked whether these two cases do not show the Judge to be utterly unfit to occupy his office.

22/1/95

Yes - on reading the papers connected with the two cases, I think the High Court may well be asked to state their opinion as to the Sessions Judge's fitness to occupy his office.

30 Jan 7/12

The D.M. of Vizagapatnam
The G.P. of Police 1/80

DESPATCHED
16 FEB 95
M.S.

Issue ^{order} ~~judgment~~ within 1
 8/2

H.M. (for approval & perusal of)
 High Court judgment.

Section
 Put up the file
 22/1

S.O. 157 of 22-1-95
 24/1

22/1
 23/1

When given for copy...
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.