

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 317

DATED 13th Feb. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

POLICE INSPECTOR ENGLADEW

Accepting the recommendation of the Inspector-General of Police and considering -- to have been on leave without allowances from 4th April 1891 to 12th February 1892.

MA

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	5685		1895				

Just. (1894) DEPARTMENT.

Steel's Office
20 DEC. 1894

FROM

Col. C. A. Porteno

INSPECTOR-GENERAL

OF POLICE.

Sp. 19. 18. 3. 91 (abs)

Sp. 19. 31. 3. 92

No. 7313

Dated

14

Received

12

Regd 12

December 1894

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

SUBJECT.

Requesting that Government may be pleased to direct that the period Insp. N. E. C. Englebar was out of employ (14 April 1891 to 12 Feb 1892) be treated as leave without allowance.

us 14/12

Sp. 923 : 17. v. 92 hi

Sp. 2413 : 25. u. 91

Sp. 519 : 18. 3. 91 (abs)

Endowment. 2523 d/ 19-12-94

Referred to the Accountant General

for favor of remarks.

(By order)

Lionel Davidson
Under Secretary

To

The Accountant General.

14/12.

(over)

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

DEPARTMENT.

No. 7313

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras, 11th December 1894.

FROM

Colonel C. A. Porteous,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE

Chief Secretary

to Government, Judl Dept.,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to bring to the notice of Government the case of Mr. E. C. Engledew, 2nd class Inspector of Police, N. Arcot District, in view to his being permitted to count as service for pension and leave the period he was out of employ under the circumstances detailed herein.

2— Mr. Engledew was entertained as a substantive 2nd class Inspector on probation on the 1st March 1881; from the 4th June 1879 to the 28th February 1881 he was temporarily employed as a 1st class Inspector serving in Rampan, Godavari District.

3— On the 4th April 1891 his services were dispensed with under G.O. No. 519, dated 18th March 1891, J. D. for having failed to pass

Go. No. 2413 dated
25 November 1891

pass Criminal Lower. On his appeal for re. instatement Government was pleased to direct that he be placed on before a Medical Board with a view to his being invalided and, if declared fit, that he should be granted leave without allowances to enable him to pass the examination.

4— The Medical Board at Madras declared Mr. Engledew fit for service; he was therefore in accordance with the direction of Government and granted leave without allowances from 13 February 1892, the date of the medical certificate.

5— Mr. Engledew appeared for the examination held in October 1892 and passed and on the 2^d May 1893 he returned to duty having been posted to the Bellary District.

6— The period from 13 February 1892 to 1st May 1893 has been treated as leave without allowances and from 4 April 1891 to 12 February 1892 as "out of employ" as reported in my letter No. 1919 dated 31 March 1892. Under the Civil Service Regulations he is therefore deprived of the benefit of counting for leave and pension his service of 10 years viz. from 1st March 1881 to 3 April 1891.

He now prays that he may be allowed to count his service and I have the honor to request that Government may be pleased to direct that the period he was out of employ from 4 April 1891 to 12 February 1892 be treated as leave without allowances.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,

Wm. A. Mitchell Colonel,
Inspector General of Police.

MM

Pa

Recd 17 May 1892
No. 923 Tel. Mis.

Treasury account DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office.

9th January 1895.

No. 15

From

J. W. Rawlins Esquire,
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Judicial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement No 252 dated the 19th December 1894 on letter from the Inspector General of Police No 7313 dated 11th December 1894, I have the honour to state that there is no objection to the period Mr. E. C. Engleiden, Police Inspector, was out of employ, viz from 4 April 1891 to 12 February 1892, being treated as leave without allowance.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. W. Rawlins
Accountant General

923: 17.5.92
2413: 25.11.91

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
MADRAS,

To
THE Chief Secy to Govt
for General Depnt.

CR. Cud. 6604/94771/95.
order from Mr.
mis 13 February 195 No 317

The Dy's recommendation is
accepted and Mr. S.C. Engledew will
be considered to have been on leave
without allowances for the period named, viz.,
from 4 April 1891 to 12 Febry. 1892.

9.2.95
11/2/95

No. 15.

Dated 10th June 95.
Received 10th June 95.
Rd 11

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
No. 10. JAN. 1895

SUBJECT.

Noting that the period Mr. S.C.
Engledew was out of employ
may be treated as leave
without allowances

To The Dy. of Ind. & Co.
Acct. Gm. & Co.
Copy to the Pension Dept. & Co.

DESPATCHED

15 FEB 95

M.S.

I don't understand the
order of May, 92, which
appears opposed, so far
as its meaning is apparent
to the order of Nov. 91 under
which the man was not
deprived of the benefit of
his former service - I do
... accede to the request
now made. But I cannot
alone reverse a former G.O.

Circ

30/1/95

I know his request
should be allowed

2/2

I am afraid I cannot explain why
I approved of the G.O. of May '92 - for
it is apparently so meaningless.
Under the circumstances now reported - I
think we may grant the request now
put forward by the I.G.

w

7 Feb

Current 6604 of 1894 from the
I.G. of Police, + endorsement
thereon by the Acct. General C. No 71 of
1895.

For orders.

The I.G.'s letter curiously ignores
G.O. of 17 May 1892 No 923 which
disposed of a recommendation almost
identical. This is the more strange
inasmuch as he refers to Col.
Porter's letter of 1891 containing that
recommendation. Govt. then specifically
declined to allow Mr. Engledew to
count his service prior to 4 April
1891 + the I.G. now brings the matter
up again without any additional reasons.
It is however to be noted that
in G.O. of 25 Nov. 1891 No. 2413 Govt.
apparently approved Mr. Butterworth's
foot note suggesting that the I.G.
should be left to solicit separate orders
regarding the question how to treat the
period during which Mr. Engledew was
out of employ. Yet when the I.G. solicited
these separate orders, Govt. merely
remarked that it saw no reason to
"alter the orders already passed" (viz.
G.O. of 17 May 1892 No. 923), though as a
matter of fact the orders already passed
did not deal specifically with the
question raised. The notes in orders however
show clearly what the intention of Govt.
was for the Hon. Mr. Gaist's proposal to
count Mr. Engledew's service prior to 4 April
1891 for leave + pension was negatived.

Col. Dutton made this reference but
 his days before he retired from the
 Service and his numerous matters
 to which he had then to give attention
 probably due his having failed
 to allude to what had gone before
 in his ^{own} or to explain why after ^{having} written
 of that Englewood as he did in
 para 10 of his letter No 4407
 dated 25 July 1891 he has again
 moved in favour of that Officer.
 It may be that Mr E has now
 recovered his health, has given
 up drink (if he ever took to it)
 and has been giving satisfaction,
 but Col I does not say so and
 there is nothing on record to show
 why the C.G. on the eve of quitting
 the Service brought this matter
 before Govt.

The state of things has however
 altered since G.O No 923 dated
 17 May 1892 was issued for
 Mr E has now passed and
 has been appointed a permanent
 Sub: of Police. It may have
 been these facts coupled with
 the feeling that a man who
 had done good service in a most
 poisonous country, where he
 had acquired an illness which
 might well have run down should
 when it could be avoided ^{not} be
 deprived of some recognition of
 that service. He has however said
 nothing on this subject

Ad
 27/1/95

Case of a Police Inspector

When given for copying

HM

CS

For orders (from orders)

Please see reverse of current
 for order

D
 92

