

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 3/5

DATED 13th Feb. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

BIBLE AND ANNADURAI AIYAR'S CRIMINAL COURT MANUAL

Sanctioning the supply to the Sub-Magistrate, Negapatam, of a copy of the Bible and a copy of Annadurai Aiyar's Criminal Court Manual, and requesting the Secretary to the S.P.C.K. and M.R.R.Y. Annadurai Aiyar to forward a copy of a six-anna English Bible and a copy of the Criminal Court Manual, with supplement, respectively, to the Librarian, Chief Secretariat.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2691-3.		7-11-74				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Judicial

fo 2691/3: 7 11 74

1795 21 7 94

969/92 se Rev.

664/92 se Rev.

fo 815 5 8 92 Rev Se.

From

The Collector & District Magistrate

12/17 Tanjore

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department.
Madras.

Dated

Recd 8 January 1895.

Refer our No. 1265 of 1894
Magr

Enclosure Int.

Reporting the circumstances under
which the Town Sub Magistrate at
Negapatnam should be supplied
with a copy of the Criminal Court
Manual.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
DESPATCHED
15 JAN. 95

13 Feb/95 No 315
Order to the Dist. Magistrate
Necessary
The supply of
a copy of Bible & one
Annadurai Agari's
a copy of Annadurai
Cr. Court manual
to the Sub. Mag. Negapatnam
Town, is sanctioned.
2. The Secy of the L.P.C.A.
Annadurai.
And Mr. R. K. Agari
Agari, will be requested
to forward a copy of
a six Anna English
Bible & a copy of the
Cr. Court manual
(with suppl.), respectively
to the Librarian & Secy.

12. 95

To The Dm Tanjore. (Copy)
The Secy L.P.C.A.
Mr. R. K. Agari

Note Dm Tanjore in 1885
asked for a copy of Bible
& Cr. Court manual.
Perhaps it will be necessary
to correct the dist. Mag.
of Agari's name, where
it is stated that he is a

Pl. Int.
16/1/95
4365/94

Dated 10th January 1895.Ref: on C.No. $\frac{1268}{magl}$ 794.

FROM

J. Thomson Esquire,

Ag. Collector & District Magistrate,

TANJORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Judicial Department,

MADRAS.

SIR,

With reference to Government Order, dated 7th November 1894, No. 2691, I have the honor to observe that though the Appendix to Government Order No. 969, dated 13th September 1892, Revenue, gives the number of Stationary Sub Magistrates for this District as 8, the proposals of the Board of Revenue approved by Government in Government Order No. $\frac{664}{27.6.92}$ Revenue, were to appoint 7 new Stationary Sub Magistrates and to convert the Town Sub Magistrates of Tanjore and Nelapattanam into Stationary Sub Magistrates. These officers

are

are located at the Head quarters of a Taluk Station and were not a new creation under the recent scheme, but their pay and establishment have been ^{altered} increased according to the scale prescribed for Stationary Submagistrates; and that is the term properly applicable to them -

2. It was ascertained that these two Submagistrates along with others have not had the supply of necessary books of reference and they were recommended alike in this office No. 389 dated 8th April 1894 to be supplied with a Criminal Court Manual irrespective of the Consideration as to how each is designated

In these circumstances I have the honor to request that Government will be pleased to direct the supply of a Copy of the Manual to the Submagistrate who is at Nehapalam Town.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

Chandrasekhar

Submagistrate

No.

From

Dated

189

FOR ORDERS.

The draft order on the reverse of the present will perhaps do as the D^r. M. says the books are needed. At the same his contention appears to be inaccurate. In para 15 of the Board's ^{Resolution} ~~letter~~ to which he refers (G.O. 27 June 1892 No 604 Rev), there is no proposal to convert the Negapatam Town Submagistrate into a Stationary Submagistrate; there is such a proposal with reference to the Tanjore Town Magistrate & the D^r Sub at Tiruvallur. These two should both appear in the appendix to G.O. 13 Sept 1892 No 969 Revenue, which incorrectly shows the Tiruvallur Submagistrate as an "ordinary Submagistrate".

See also para 2
of R.P. in G.O. 815 Rev.
5.8.92

It is true that in para 28 of the R.P. in G.O. 604 Rev. of 27 June 1892 it was proposed to give the Negapatam Submagistrate an increase in pay from Rs 100 to Rs 120, but it does not appear that his jurisdiction was ever extended beyond the town limits. He is thus still only a town submagistrate & as he is not a new appointment, is not strictly entitled to a copy of the book. - The distribution list needs no correction as he appears with correctly as Town Submagistrate. Perhaps Revenue should be asked to in G.O. 969 Tiruvallur is shown as 13.9.92

the headquarters of ~~an~~ ^{an} ~~stationary~~ ^{stationary} sub.
'ordinary' & not a stationary sub.
maybe?
Should his mistake be pointed out
to the Dist. Secy.

D
8.2.95

The books may be supplied as
the Dist. Secy. says that they are
necessary. The attention of the
RD should be drawn to
the mistake made by the relations
to the S. M. of Tiruvallur

C.

SP
12/2/95

Office note

Issue order on back of current.
& send accompanying office note
to K. Secy.

D
12.2

Return Rev. Gov. to

D
12.2

Supply of tickets re. to
Sub-masters

When given for copying

When

When

When

Re