

1990

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date.   | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|---------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
| 80   | 568 |             | 14-3-95 |      |     |             |       |

Handwritten text at the top of the left page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the left page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text at the bottom of the left page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten word, possibly "Cite", with a diagonal line through it.

Handwritten text at the top of the right page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the right page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the right page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the right page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the right page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the right page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the right page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the right page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the right page, mostly illegible.

Handwritten text in the middle of the right page, mostly illegible.



110

The first of these is the fact that the  
the first of these is the fact that the  
the first of these is the fact that the

The second of these is the fact that the  
the second of these is the fact that the  
the second of these is the fact that the

The third of these is the fact that the  
the third of these is the fact that the  
the third of these is the fact that the

2  
1  
A

11

advised  
man  
March  
8  
A

K

21

of the  
prosecution

2552

11

11/11/11


11/11/11  
11/11/11  
11/11/11  
11/11/11  
11/11/11

T.

budget

212

62





Office

Send a copy of  
the G.O. on the Pannamangalore  
murder case to

Karunhi Wachanappa

19 Sami Willie St

Triplicane

Encl

En

10/2

Current - V. 450

40 291  
11/2/94

Mr. Holmes allotted the punishment awarded with reference to the prisoner's time.

Perhaps, however, as the case is intricate, it would be well to send the paper to the Lord Reader for his opinion as to the probable result of an appeal. If C. agrees the paper need not go on to H.H. at this stage. The appeal time does not expire for 3 or 4 months more.

A trial is certainly called for, the weak point of the judgment is that no notices are assigned for the wholesale perjury attributed to the witnesses. This is such an important defect that it hardly seems worth while to take the Lord Reader's opinion. Whether the acquittal was in fact right or wrong, it <sup>seems</sup> highly desirable that the case should be retried by some one who is not so reckless a partisan in the matter as Mr. Holmes appears to have been.

6. Mr. Dace does not recommend an appeal in the other case (34 of 1884). The judgment is somewhat strange; as the judges point out, there was no evidence before the judge upon which to base the remarks in paras. 2 & 3 of the judgment.

$\frac{8}{8.2}$

N

Order 11 Feb 1885 No 291

The papers will be referred to the Lord Reader for his opinion as to the desirability of appealing & the probable result of an appeal. (from orders)

The Lord Reader with Mr. Richards & Mr. Dace

Letter from Mr. Dace - Subj: Petn from Gangarona.

(For orders)

~~Mr. Dace~~

Call upon the G. P. for an opinion as to appealing. It is his business to go through the case & suggest the balance.

12  
12