

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

S.O.

This enquiry is made
in order to answer
question asked by
Hon. Mr. B. L. S.
↓

In 90. 956. J. 11
26. 8. 95

My dear Hammick,
In submitting recent minutes of appointment, you have omitted to recommend Mr. Hewetson, Supt. of Police, Bangalore, for promotion. This is probably connected with that officer's desire not to be transferred from Bangalore and the fact that he is now on furlough; but a search in the Govt. office has, however, not resulted in the discovery of any record as to the reasons why Mr. Hewetson has been passed over of late years. His permanent pay is Rs 700 per mensem and as he is usually acting as Dt. Magte of Bangalore, it will be of advantage to him to receive permanent promotion from his present grade. I should be glad if you would state whether it is proposed to recommend Mr. Hewetson for promotion in the next vacancy and if not to

to explain why.

Yours sincerely,

26.10.95

D.O. to Mr. Hammick
re: Mr. Hewetson's promotion
in the Police Dept.

not to be cured

C.S. The whole thing has been
discussed and there is
a distinct settlement
of the matter. It is
all O.K. I think.
I cannot do this morning
recall the certain family
but I don't know what
should be in the way of

tion
Hobbs, & Co. & Co.
Mentioned

28/10

W. Peris
Another search must be
made for these papers; W.
Rozois told me nothing whatever
could be found.

86

24-x-95

Please see the correspondence under cover of
D.O. 110 24.9.92 (Mr. Hewetson chose to stay in Bangalore
forfeiting his promotion in the regular line, but
he requested that his claims to future promotion may
be considered as if he were in the regular line.)
to direct him to write officially to Mr. Hammick.

Tot. H. & Co.
11 Nov 1895

Depo 11/11/95

My dear Hammick,

Please refer to my D.O. to
Fortesque dated 1st April 1892 in
which I asked him to write direct
Hewetson, Supt. of Police, Bangalore
to write officially as regards his
promotion. Was any communication
received from Hewetson?

Yours sincerely,

8/11/95
W. Rozois

SECRETARIAT,

F. O.

No. 442

Dated, 11.11.1895

Do. 110 D 1.4.92 + paper

[$\frac{G}{9}$]
2000-12-9-95.

D.O.
Current No. 651

DEPARTMENT.

From

The Inspector General
of Police

No.

Dated 12 }
Received 12 } - 11 - 95
Regd 13 }
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Stating that no Communi-
- cation has been received
from Mr. Hewetson in the
matter of his place in the
list.

Chief Office of Inspector General of Police.

8-0-65/ Madras 12. vi. 1895.

My dear Sir

With reference to your letter of 11th November 95 I find that communication has been received from Kewatson in the matter of his place in the list in reply to Porters letter to him on your d.o. d. 1st April 92.

Porters told him to write officially - but he has never done so.

Yours truly
W. Hammick.

D.O. No. 657.

Pro. DEPARTMENT.

ORDERS.

Please see the note in G.O. 10246. 24.9.95.

W. Hammick writes in reply to the attached D.O. from C.S. that Kewatson never wrote officially about his position in Bangalore. The point has never therefore been decided upon by this Govt. The Govt. of India's letter in G.O. 187. 6.2.82 appears, however, to put the matter clearly.

W. Kewatson has never complained of being passed over, & the D.O.s in D.O. 110 1.4.92 seem to show that he was perfectly content to remain where he was on the list he was then drawing. How much supersession he will be content to acquiesce in cannot be told. ~~Order~~ In the D.O. in that file marked with a

Do.

No. 657.

Not

DEPARTMENT.

FOR ORDERS.

Please see the note in go. ^{1046.}_{24.9.95}

Mr. Hammick writes in
reference to the attached D.O. from
C.S. that Mr. Trevison never wrote
officially about his position in
Bangalore. The point has never
therefore been decided upon by this
Govt. The Govt. of India's letter
in go. ^{187.}_{6.2.82} appears, however, to
put the matter clearly.

Mr. Trevison has never
complained of being passed over, &
the D.O.s in D.O. ^{110.}_{1.4.92} seem to
show that he was perfectly content
to remain where he was on the
high ^{was then drawing.} ~~was then drawing.~~ How
much supersession he will be
content to acquiesce in cannot
be told. ~~Order as~~ In the
D.O. in that file marked with a

ship he asked that his claims
to future promotions should be
considered.

Orders are solicited as to
whether he should be left to
complain, or written to now
asked to elect whether he will
remain in Bangalore & forfeit
promotion or take promotion plus
a plains district like other officers.

Mr. J. J. I do not think that is
long as he remains at
Bangalore he can sign in the least
where he is on the head list for he
cannot draw anything more when acting
a magistrate than the pay of his
permanent app. (Sub. of other Bangalore
the pay of which fixed at 700) + colony
allowance. He is as far as is known not
so steps to write Officially as left to Col
D's reference: in some way he that he
was at home & saw no immediate need
for doing so. He is I believe quite happy
as a Sub. and Col. D's reference was
I know question that he should not
return to district work.

25/11/95

W. Hewitson, Sub.
of Police, Bangalore.

Leave him to complain, I
should say NO
FOR ORDERS.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE.

I should think so from what I remember of
him years ago

27/11/95

order of 7 Dec. 95, No. 2534

Recorded
(from orders)

Note Mr. J. J.
It would be convenient for future reference if
this note was brought on record.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.