



# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

*Pension* DEPARTMENT.

5415

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

14<sup>th</sup> November 1895.

No.  $\frac{9AD}{300}$

From

*J. C. Harrison Esquire,*

Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE *Chief Secretary to Government,*  
*Judicial Department*

SIR,

*I have the honour to state that on the recommendation of the Collector of Godavari, the Government in its order No. 1215 dated the 21<sup>st</sup> May 1894, ruled that Yemmula Venkata Rama Chandra Yamma Garu, wife of the late Latchan Dhora Garu, Ex Munisubdar of Tatapalli Estate in the Tuni Division should be paid Rs 1800 a year separately out of the allowance of Rs 14017-8-0 per annum drawn by the latter. This office instructed the Treasury Officer Godavari to make the payment to her on the Permanent Pay Order already*

already issued in her husband's favour. Accordingly, the allowance due to her was paid upon that order only upto the date of death of her husband, viz the 21<sup>st</sup> October 1894. Subsequently on the death of Latchan Dhora faru bang reported, the Government in its order No. 1357 dated the 25<sup>th</sup> June last, sanctioned the continuance of the allowance enjoyed by the deceased viz No. 12217 - 8 - only per annum, in the name of his adopted son Malla Dhora faru but omitted all mention<sup>in it</sup> about the share drawn by his wife.

Venkata Ramachandrayamma has not drawn her allowance after the 21<sup>st</sup> October 1894, and from a communication received from the Treasury Officer it appears that she now claims it, and that while doing so, she asks for a separate Permanent Pay Order to be issued in her name. But Malla Dora faru contends that a separate order should not be issued, and requests that as before payment may be made on his own order by altering the amount so as to include her allowance also.

No; this cannot be done, because Govt. accepted in No. 1215 the Col's recommendation dated 5-9-94. This course has been continued. Complaints on account of irregular pay to. 118

In these circumstances, I have the honour to enquire whether the allowance of Rs. 1800 per annum or any less sum should continue to be paid to V. Ramachandrayamma, and if so, whether it should be paid upon a separate Permanent Pay Order, or in the manner desired by Malla Dora faru.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obed<sup>t</sup> servant,  
M. Mani  
Offg. Accountant General.

13/11.

5415  
Jud (189 ) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,


MADRAS,

To

THE *Chief Secretary*  
*to Government.*  
*Judicial Department.*

No. SAD  
300

Dated 14 } November 95  
Received 15 }



Subject.

Inquiring whether the allowance of Rs 1000/ per annum or any less sum should continue to be paid to V. Ramachandramma (wife of the late Lakshman Dora farm of Latapalli Estate) & if so, whether it should be paid upon a separate P.O. or upon that of Pralla Dora farm

Cor.—4,000—18-5-95.

1357 & 25.6.95 Mis  
1397 & 8.6.94  
1215 & 21.5.94  
4 papers.

[G.  
24.]

100-23-7-95.

Jud! DEPARTMENT

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 21<sup>st</sup> May 1894, No. 1215 Judl, mis  
Again G.O., dated 25 June 1895, No. 1357 ...  
Current No. 5415 of 1895, dated 14 Nov, from the Sect. General.  
" " " 189 " " " "  
" " " 189 " " " "

ORDER. 29 November 95 No 2483  
Mis

The Accountant General will be informed that the allowance of Rs 1000 sanctioned per annum, payable to Venkata Ramachandrayamma Garu, may be paid to her separately upon a separate Permanent Pay order.

MR 22.11.95. 18.11.95 27.11.95

To The A.G. & Collr of Godavari A.  
note. As this was apparently the intention of both the Collr & the Govt., a refce to the Collr. seems hardly necessary now. MR 22/11

! Int

Memorandum to the wisdom of  
the late R. - Manuscript  
of Tota palpi.

(for approval)

C.S.  
Mis

Then given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
Then copied \_\_\_\_\_  
Then examined \_\_\_\_\_  
Then signed \_\_\_\_\_

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. \_\_\_\_\_  
189 \_\_\_\_\_

DATED

PRESENT No. \_\_\_\_\_

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

APPROB NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.