

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SOUTH ARCOT.

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE,

NO.

C. S. Dalne
Dated 31 October 1905

FROM

A. E. Castlehurst Esquire

TO

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF SOUTH ARCOT.

THE CHIEF SECRETARY,

TO GOVERNMENT

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS.

SIR,

I have the honour to solicit the orders of Government as to the scope of the words "in the absence of such officer" occurring in para 2 of Government Order No 1762 Judicial dated 24-8-95. The object of Government in appointing the Stationary Sub Magistrate as the officer to whom notice of departmental enquiry should be given under Rule 5 (1) (a), apparently being to secure punctual attendance of a magistrate at the enquiry which cannot always be looked for in the case of an itinerating Magistrate such as the District, Sub Divisional or the Deputy Tahsildar Magistrate, I take the phrase to mean "in taluqs in which there are no Stationary Sub Magistrates." In this District there

there is a Stationary Sub Magistrate for each Tahsil and if any reading is correct he would be the officer to whom notice in respect of accident occurring in any part of the Tahsil should be sent. But from the lists of Magistrates to whom the Railway authorities have to send notice just sent to me by the agent of the South Indian Railway and the Consulting Engineer for revision if necessary, I find that these officers take a different view. They appear to understand Government to be providing for cases in which the Stationary Sub Magistrate may be temporarily absent from the station for they have included the names of Deputy Tahildar Sub Magistrates in the outlying stations in the list of officers to whom notices have to be sent. Should such be the intention of Government, I beg to submit that the same difficulty may arise in the case of Sub Magistrates in outlying stations and that the object of Government would be better secured by requiring notices to be sent to the Divisional Magistrate when the Stationary Sub Magistrate happens to be absent &c. on casual leave. This would scarcely be

the case. But the difficulty then would be for the Railway Company to ascertain whether or not a stationary Sub Magistrate was absent, unless every time leave was granted information were sent by the Collector to the Railway authorities. I think that such could not have been the intention of Government and that it was merely providing for cases of Tahills in which there are no Stationary Sub Magistrates. In view of the importance of the matter, it is desirable to put the point beyond doubt and this is my excuse for troubling Government with this reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Yours Most Obedient Servant
Phuseenadas
Jagad Mahasabha

1895.

Department.

From

The District Magistrate of

South Arcot.

To

The Chief Secretary

to Government

Madras.

Dated

Despatched

Received

31 Oct 1895.

DESPATCHED

1-NOV.95

No. 2548 2550

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

Soliciting the order of
Government as to the
scope of the words "in the
absence of such officer"
occurring in para 2 of
Govt order no. 1762 Jnd.
dt 24-11-95.



Order of 26 Nov 1895 No. 2444

Ms

The D.M.'s interpretation of the
words "in the absence of such officer" in
para. 2 of G.O. no. 1762 Jnd. dt 24 Aug.
1895 is correct.

MR
14-11-95

W. S. W. S.

28/11/95

To The D.M. S. Arcot. & P. M. S. Ry. Branch. A.

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.