

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 2273.

Dated 6th Nov 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

FEES TO PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, TINNEVELLY.

Sanctioning payment of a fee of Rs. 200 to the Public Prosecutor, Tinnevely, for conducting the prosecution in Calendar Case Nos. 16, 16-A and 16-B on the file of the Headquarters Deputy Magistrate against the Superintendent of the Palayar channel.

mut.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1895 *Urgent*
CURRENT No. 4871
Department.

TINNEVELLY *St Magtie* OFFICE.

From The *District Magte*

Tinnevely

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government (*Judicial Dept*)
Fort St. George.

Dated 15th } *October* 1895
Received 17 }
Dec 18 } No. 1474 of 95

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

(Requesting to get) the sanction
to Government for a fee of
Rs 200 to the Public Prosecutor
for conducting the prosecution
in c.c nos 16, 16A & 16B on the
file of the Hcl As by Magte
against the Supt of Palayam
Channel.

C.S.
MS 6 Nov 1895 No 2273
ms

Sanctioned
11/11/95

11/11/95
To The D. Magte, Tinnevely
(8-10)
Aut. Encl (J.S.)
12/11/95

CURRENT No 4871
No 1477 of 95
Tinnevely District Magistrate's office
Date 15th October 1895.

UNDER SECRETARY
17 OCT 95
JUDICIAL DEPT.

From
A. H. B. Higgins Esq
District Magistrate
Tinnevely

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department
Madras.

Sir,
I have the honor to request that
Government will be pleased to sanction
a fee of Rs 200 to the Public Prosecutor
in calendar cases Nos 16.16A and 16B
of 1895 on the file of the Head Quarters
Deputy Magistrate of Tinnevely against
the channel superintendent of Pakazan
kal in Tinnevely Sahib whose
prosecution was sanctioned in G. O.
no. 3020 W. dated 23rd November 1894, the
channel superintendent being charged
with obtaining illegal remuneration
and causing diminution of water
supply to the ryots. The trial lasted
for 6 full days and portions of 5 other
days and ended in the conviction of
the accused who was sentenced to 4
months rigorous imprisonment and to
pay a fine of Rs 150 and in default to
undergo further rigorous imprisonment.

not comd to
jud.

150

for 3 months. The prosecutor claimed also
 Rs 10-4-0 being the expense incurred by
 him in travelling to the Deputy Magis-
 trate's camp. This I have not allowed
 in consideration of the fact that a
 special fee of Rs 200 have been re-
 commended for conducting the
 whole case. The conviction of the
 Deputy Magistrate was also up-
 held by the session court on appeal.

I have the honor to be
 Sir
 your most Obedt Servant

for Dh Magistrate

263

14/10/95

15/10/95

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.