10-7-22 MC

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 2241

Dated 4th Nov 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Endorsing paragraphs II and V (7) of the Petition rules on--from S. Siddha Lingappa of Kogili, Bellary district, complaining of certain frauds practised against him by one of his covillagers and praying for redress.

Md.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Jud. 4849
Pub 3279.

From Swapirappanavara Sid.
-ha Lingappa
of Kogili
Kurrinahadgali P.O
Bellary Dt

Dated. 3-10-95
Rcd. 5.10.95.
Rqd. 7.10.95.

Subject.

Complaining of certain frauds practised against him by one of his covillagers & praying for redress

Pub Cir 3279

Note It is submitted that the enclosed petition is much involved.

The petitioner was a banker and cotton merchant of Kogili. In the Census of 1891 he was appointed Enumerator for one portion of the above village. Subsequently he went mad and sent a (petition-pad) to the Tashildar to the effect that he was insane. That his covillager Sidlakanta Gowdara Basavana Gowd was watching for an opportunity to murder him and that he was unable to do the work of Enumerator. (The petn states that the Tashildar acknowledgement for having received the above petition receipt is herewith submitted). He was Revenue removed from this office another being appointed. A notice was then sent to Kogili on which the villagers wrote that the petn was insane. The village Munsiff too has sent in a report to the same effect.

The petn and the above Basavanagow were joint proprietors in the same trade and he (latter) with the jealous intention of taking possession of the petn's effects made him insane (instead of the agency of the "evil eye" &c). When the petn was insane the above Basava-

Rev

Lord in conjunction with the village Munsiff of Kojli created a "Lord Cornwallis" to the effect that the peti had sold to him his house, and got the deed registered. By this means he got possession of the bonds which were in the peti's house and some moveable property worth about Rs 1000. When the peti was wandering in the villages of the Nizam's territory the above Basavanagoud sold his house to another man who occupied it when the peti after restoration to health visited his village. The peti thereupon filed a suit against Basavanna in the Court of the Magistrate of Hospet who referred it to the Taluk Magistrate of Harrinahadgali. The peti then applied for a copy of his petition he submitted to the Tashildar at the outset expressing fear of being murdered by Basavana & respectfully received Endt. to the effect that the copy will be granted & the date is also given (peti states Endt is here with submitted) Basavana bribed the Police Inspector of Harrinahadgali who is a relation of the Taluk Magistrate & who gave a letter of recommendation to him. The peti petitioned the Magistrate of Hospet to

x not received by the Translator

the peti's enemies forged also two promissory notes purporting to have been executed by the peti in their favour. The peti can write Canarese well & many of his village can attest to this fact. But in the above forged papers it is also alleged that the peti has only affixed his signature to them. The peti prays that the records in his case may be sent for from the Court of the Harrinahadgali Magistrate perused & that justice may be done to him. Basavanagoud being a very rich man has succeeded in his frauds & forgeries against the peti. The peti further prays that Basavana may be punished for his offences, that he may be ordered to give Rs 1000 as compensation to the peti for having taken away his moveable property & that proper steps may be taken for the discovery of the records in this case which by the influence of corruption have been concealed in the Court of the Taluk Magistrate of Harrinahadgali

C.V.
11. x. 95.

to have the case transferred to some other magistrate, but this petition was refused in and not (which the petitioner states is herewith submitted) the Harrinahadgali magistrate dismissed the case without going through the records. Subsequently the Tashildar declared that a copy of the petitioners first petition to him cannot be granted as no such papers were forthcoming. The injustice of this may be seen on a perusal of the postal acknowledgement of the then Tashildar of having received his petition for a perusal of the deposition before the magistrate given by Kallappa Karnam of Kopli Basavana his accomplice the Village Munsiff not being satisfied in forging a sale deed (vide supra) gave also false depositions before the magistrate as to the reasons for the petr having ceased to be the Census Enumerator. This deposition is quite contradictory to the Report sent by the above village Munsiff to the Tashildar about the petitioners misdeeds. In addition to the above the

× Not received by the Translator

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
CHIEF SECRETARY
-5 OCT. 1895

4849

۹۵ کاغذ سفید ۷-۶ سانٹی میٹر

53
۷-۶-۹۴

مقدمة في أصول الفقه

مسألة [illegible] ... [illegible] ... [illegible]

[illegible] ... [illegible] ... [illegible]

[illegible] ... [illegible] ... [illegible]

[

/8 ۹۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۱۹۹۱ ۲۰۰۰ ۹۰ ۸

Jud C. 4849 Pw

from Swayiakkaravara
Sadhikkayakka
of Kovili
Kurrimikadpali &
Bellary Dt.

Translation attached
11-x-95

Dated 3rd
Regd 5th
Regd 7th
Oct 95.

Subject U.P.
Complaining of certain frauds
practised against him by one of
his co-villagers & praying for
redress.

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,
Pw

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.