

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 2183

Dated 28th Oct. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

FIFTY-FIVE YEARS' RULE.

Requesting the Hon. the Judges of the High Court to favour Government with their ^{views} regarding the retention in service of M.R.RY. V. Narayana Rao, District Munsif of Krishnagiri, and certain other officers.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	585		16-3-95				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	5242		1895				

CURRENT NO. 4577

Judicial { 1395 } Department.

No. CHIEF SECRETARY
28 SEP 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

FROM

Ag.
The Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

Dated 25th September 1895.

Received 28th

Regd 30th

to the H.C. virtually proper
to destroy an order of the H.C. for
the return of this from the H.C. may be
quashed. 4/12/95
and
3.12/95
No. 2723
Enc. one.

Stating that re-
-commendations regarding
the retention of gazetted
officers in the judicial
Department of and
above the age of 55 years
will be sent towards
the end of the current
official year.

585 D. 11. 3. 95 this
582 D. 12. 3. 95
1089 D. 10. 12. 94 (sic) (sic)
1079 D. 5. 5. 94 this
143 D. 23. 1. 91 (sic) (sic)

4.11.95 + 11.9.95

For Orders as to whether the
recommendations of the High Court
may be awaited. It is submitted
that if this delay is permitted
the ~~very~~ object of presenting
the submission of these returns
in September (please see art. 507
C.A., art. 803, C.A. Code, 1884) will
be defeated. In 90. 77
9 April 1895 No. 276 H.C. the Govt. has
drawn attention to the hardship caused
by postponing orders till the last
day of the year. The service of five of the
officers mentioned in this list may terminate
on the 31st March, while another, whose
name should have been shown in a previous
list, may have 30 on the 31st December
next.

12
7/10/95.

A.S.'s arguments in his 2nd in
G.O. 276 are of great weight.
9.4.95
I would ask the H.C. to be good enough
to let us have their recommendations
without waiting to the end of the
year or the year.

There is one name here which apparently
shd. be considered before Dec. 1st. This
shd. be pointed out to the H.C. and we
shd. at the same time say that we would
be obliged if they would make their
recommendations as to the others. as
20 Oct

No. 2723.

High Court of Judicature,
MADRAS, 25th September 1895.

From

CURRENT NO. 4577

D.W.G. Cowie, Esquire,

Acting Registrar of the High Court

of Judicature, Appellate Side, MADRAS.

To

The Honorable J. F. Price, C.S.I.,

Chief Secretary to Government,

Fort Saint George.

Honorable Sir,

In accordance with article 803 of the Civil Account Code I am directed to forward herewith a list dated the 30th ultimo, No. ^{H.A.D.}₅, received from the Accountant-General, of gazetted officers employed in the Judicial Department under the Madras Government who will attain the age of 55 years or whose extensions of service after the attainment of that age will expire during the next official year, 1896-97, I am to state that the Honorable the Judges have not made any recommendations with regard to the retention of any of these officers in the service after April 1st next, as they consider it would be premature to do so at present. Such recommendations will be sent towards the end of the current official year.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D.W.G. Cowie

Acting Registrar.

Every letter, whether printed or in manuscript, should be docketed and abstracted on the back; and the abstract should state clearly the purport of the letter and not merely its title subject.

Enclosures and statements also, whether sent with letters, or merely under cover, should be numbered, docketed and abstracted. The headings of statements should be written in full to prevent mistakes. (G. O. 5th April 1893, No. 239, Public.)

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O, dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No. 4577

of 189 5, dated 25 Sept; from High Court

" "

" 189 , " " "

" "

" 189 , " " "

ORDER. 28 October/95 No 2183

As Mr R. Ry. V. Narayana Rao
of Krishnaji, attains the age of 55
years on the 1st Decr: 1895, the ^{question} ~~whether his services shd. be retained~~
of the ~~question of whether his services~~
cannot be postponed ~~until the close of the official year~~
~~after that date has to be decided~~
~~at once~~. The Hon. the Judges will
accordingly be requested to be so good as to
favour look with their ^{in the case of}
recommendations ~~about this~~
officer (at an early date) H.R.
in Council ^{would be obliged if the}
judges ~~old. at the same time, communicate~~
~~their views regarding the retention in~~
~~service of the other officers specified in the~~
~~above officers~~
Ry's letter
(from orders)

25-X-95

25/10/95

The Hon the C.J. with C.J. & with
to be read. ^{copy 22/10}
31/10

fifty five years' order

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

FROM ORDERS.

CURRENT No.

C. S.
ms

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.