

3 600-8-1-95.

Treasury DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 19-10-95
No. 2146

G.O., DATED 19-10-95

No. 2146

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Directing the trans-
-mission to the Secy
of State of the Supple-
-mental Memorial
Submitted by A. Chatter,
late Tah^r, Kurnumbraud
Taluk, Badagara, Malabar
praying for restoration
this post.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT NO.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-

- A - Copy in full.
- B - Not to be copied.
- P - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No. 1467/8 d/2795 of 189

FURTHER PAPER, No. of 189

{ Secretary & Labid Deputy }
No 4 d/270.95
ct 2002 5124/95.

[G]
[5]
2,002-24-35.

Current No. 4424

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

From

A. Chattru,
Late Tahsildar,
Tellicherry,
Malabar.

No.

Dated 14

Received 16

Regd 17

Enclosures - 2-

} Sept/95.

SUBJECT.

Requesting ^{that} his supplemental
memorial to the S. of S. may
be forwarded at an early
date

MR 32579
W 30/9

1467/68 d/12.7.95 ngl.
1386 d/1.7.95 ngl
88 d/12.1.95 Mis
2351 d/28.7.94
2407 d/30.8.94 se.

H. M. + Co.

1. Under Secretary

2. For order

3. As to whether the memorial may be

forwarded? or Returned under Para D & F

[C. P. Rules for submission through the

Insistent that in this case it is necessary

to insist on strict conformity

with the rules; no arguments are advanced

in the pet. & the Dist. has to make a decision

has no remarks to offer on it. -

It will presumably suffice to forward

the pet. to the Secy of State with the

remark that the Govt. considered that the

constables were entitled to receive more

beneficial treatment on the ground that they

were acting with the approval of pet. the only

present, a copy of the full paper being enclosed

to show that the Hon. the Secy. dissented.

26.8.95

27/4/95

But should take exception

to the word "admittedly"

W 30/9

30/9/95

2/10/95

Cover

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 1st July 1895, No. 1386.

Again G.O., dated 12th July 1895, No. 1467

Current No. 4424 of 1895, dated 14.9.95, from A. Chathu late Tahsildar of ^{Badagara}

" " " 189 " " "

" " " 189 " " "

This G.O. & Despatch
were cancelled
vide notes on 16.11.95

no 2361 Jud 8/2

ORDER. No. 2146 of 19-10-95
P.S. M

The supplemental memorial will be
transmitted to the Rt. Hon. the Secretary
of State.

(From orders)

To.
The memorialist (Q86) C.H.
despd 25/10/95-2 W/100
Copied 24/10

Letter
To P.S.

The Rt. Hon. the Secretary of State
for India,

My Lord,

In continuation of our
letter dated 13th July 1895, No. 5, Judl.,
we have the honour to submit the accom-
panying supplemental memorial addressed
to your Lordship by A. Chathu, late Tah-
sildar and Taluk Magte. of Badagara in
the Dt. of Malabar.

2. He urges, as an additional
ground for an indulgent consideration
of his case, the fact that in our

Proceedings
dated

dated 13th July 1895, No. 1467, Judl.,
 we have converted the original order
 dismissing the Police officers concerned
 in the Badagara shooting case into one
 of suspension for six months. We attach
 a copy of that order containing the
 minute of dissent recorded by the senior
 member of Council, the Hon. Mr. H.W.
 Bliss, C.I.E., and have only to remark
 that we considered the constables enti-
 tled to receive more lenient treatment
 on the ground that they were acting
 with the approval of the petitioner who
 as a Magistrate & the senior officer present
~~[was the senior Magistrate present and]~~ should
 have interfered in order to prevent
 resort to such an extreme measure as
 the use of fire arms until every means
 of rendering the fanatic harmless had
 been tried.

6. The memorialist speaks of
 the constables as having admittedly
 shot the fanatic without orders in self-
 defence. To this remark we must take
 exception as we hold that his failure
 to interfere amounted to a tacit appro-
 val of the constables' action, and that they
 were ^{therefore} to some extent justified in belie-
 ving themselves to be acting under his
 orders.

We have etc.

10
 5-10-95 10/10/95

both copy of 20. 12. July 1895 No 1467
 with minute of dissent

MEMORIAL to the Secretary of State
 re. Badagara Shooting Case.

H.M.

(FROM ORDERS)

This was corrected
 15/10/95

Is this right? I was not
 aware any other Magistrate was
 present —

MS Please see alteration
 The papers do not show that
 any other Magistrate was present
 I submit this but
 thought that I
 had returned it before
 13/10

Note for H.C.

Please send a copy of
 But I thought they were first
 G.O. 8. 12. July 1895 No 1467 in full
 ordered to fire by the H.C. Const.
 it with a copy of the minute of dissent by
 the Hon. Bliss, to the S. of S. 11/10/95

They were ordered to fire two shots
 at the ground aimed to the head
 Constables' statement: "by his orders &
 with the J. M. approval"; but those
 shots did no damage; when they fired
 at the Mopleh it was without orders

C. 11/10
 12/10 C. 14/10
 R. 15/10 13/10/95