

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 2078

Dated 8 Oct. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PLEADER'S FEES.

Approving the recommendation of the District Magistrate of Tanjore that a fee of Rs. 172 be paid to the Government Pleader, Tanjore for the conduct of the prosecution of one Jalthi Balakrishna Chetti before the Head Assistant Magistrate, Tanjore.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 584. *Tanjore.*
dated 13th August 1895.

From.
S. A. Saminada Aiyar
Government Pleader,
Tanjore.

To The District Magistrate
Tanjore.

Sir, In reply to your Ref on Cur. No. 712 of 1895 dated 26th June 1895, I have the honor to state that the 3 items claimed in the bill are the most reasonable in the circumstances and could not have been avoided in the interests of the case. With regard to the 1st item in the bill, I have to state that on 22nd January 1895 I addressed my letter No. 119 to the Head Assistant Magistrate requesting him to fix the 19th or 20th February 1895

for enquiry into the case against
Jalthee Balakrishna Chetti and
that till the 13th February 1898,
no communication was received
from the Head Assistant Magistrate
about the matter. In the interests
of the case, I thought it desirable
and I believe rightly that my clerk
should go to the office direct and
ascertain the exact date of hearing.
It was only after my clerk made the
enquiry in the office, that the office
knew that the matter had not been
communicated to me and the result
of this enquiry in the office was the
letter No. A dated 16th February 1898
Magr.
from the Head Assistant Magistrate's
office, communicating to me the
date of hearing which appears to
have been fixed many days before.

With regard to the 2nd item in the
bill, I have to state that the case

was again fixed for enquiry at
Nannilam on the 12th March 1898.
As I was then busy with the Sessions
and as there was no likelihood of
the Sessions being over by the 12th March,
I addressed my letter No. 239 dated
8th March 1898 requesting the Head
Assistant Magistrate to adjourn
the hearing of the case to some day
after the 18th March 1898. As no reply
to the said letter had been received
till the 12th March, I had necessarily
to send my clerk to see to the postpone-
ment of the case as also, having a
convenient date fixed for the
hearing of it.

As regards the 3rd item, I have
to state that the further enquiry into
the case was fixed for the 15th of April

and that as I was then busy with
the Sessions and specially with ^{the} Nachiarkovil murder Case, I had
to telegraph to the Head Assistant
Magistrate to postpone the trial of
the Case as his communication dated
14th April 1895 declining to postpone
was received by me on the morning
of the 15th at 7 A.M. As for the trainage
and batta of my clerk, the trainage
from Tanjore to Negapatam and
back is clearly Rupee 1. Vide South
Indian Railway fare table for 1895,
that the clerk's Subsistence allowance
at the lowest rate for 2 meals is
annas 5 for the day and that the
jutka charge from the Railway Station
to the Head Assistant Magistrate's
office and back is 3 annas. I have
also to point out that on previous
occasions, similar amounts have
been sanctioned for the trainage,
batta etc. of my clerk

In these circumstances, I believe
you will agree with me in thinking
that the bill of costs in question is
very reasonable and that my discretion
in the matter has been rightly exercised
in the interest of the case.

The telegraph receipt called for in
your letter is herein enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

(Signed) S. A. Saminadaiyer

Government Pleader

(True Copy)

Mulund

For Ag. Collector

20-55

Guy

Enclos: 1

In a letter

From


The District Magistrate
Tanjore

To

The Chief Secretary
to Govt. G.O.
Madras

Reference No. 756
magl 17.8.85

Government Pleader's
Letter No. 584 13.8.85



Ref on Cur.
No. 756
magl

FROM

E. C. Rawson Esquire,

As District Magistrate,
TANJORE.

TO

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Judicial Department,
MADRAS.

SIR,

One Jalthree Balakrishna Chetti
was charged before the Head
Assistant Magistrate, Negapatam,
for an offence under Section 424 I.P.C.
The case being an important one,
being a sequel to the Negapatam
stamp defalcation case, the local
Government Pleader was requested
to conduct the prosecution.

2. The accused was convicted
and sentenced to six months'

Judicial Department.

FROM
The District Magistrate of
TANJORE.

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government,
Judicial Department,
MADRAS.

Dated 25 SEP 1895
Despatched 25 SEP 1895
Received 25 SEP 1895

Refonc. No. 75
ENCLOSURE. one

Subject.

Recommending that Rs 172 be paid to the Govt Pleader Tanjore for the conduct of the prosecution of one Talthi Balakrishna Chetti before the Head Assistant Magistrate Tanjore

H. M.
Order of 8-10-95 No. 2078
The L. Magistrate recommends that the sum is approved.

B. The Govt. considers that a fee of Rs 125/- plus expenses amounting to Rs 22 is sufficient for a mount only with can be sanctioned

At 3/10/95. 14/10/95
To the L. Magistrate, Tanjore
And Encl (As dated 14/10/95)

Rs 30
The fee recommended (Rs. 125/- a day) is slightly in excess of the sanctioned scale. Rs 25 per diem. There is apparently no reason why the ordinary scale should be exceeded

Govt pay the Rs 30 per diem - men can't be expected to go wandering about the country after Magistrate for the same fee as they get when staying at home

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.--

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A