Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED

G.O., No. 2067-68

DATED 5th Oct 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

CIVIL JUSTICE.

Reviewing the report on the administration of----during 1894.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Govt of {1895} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., &c., Nos. 2067, 2068,
5th October 1895.

OCTOBER.

Civil Justice.

Reviewing the report on the administration of — during 1894.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Registrar, High Court, dated 14th August 1895, No. 2180.

ORDER—No. 2067, Judicial, dated 5th October 1895.

The report on the administration of Civil Justice during the year 1894 is arranged on the usual plan, but, like that relating to Criminal Justice, has been materially curtailed in length by the omission from the body of it of a considerable amount of detailed information available in the appended statements.

2. From paragraph 27 of the report it appears that the main features of the period under review as regards the amount of litigation which came before the Courts were as follows :—

(i) An increase in the number of Village Courts and in the institutions therein which more than made up for the decline noticed in the preceding year.

(ii) A continuance of the general advance in original litigation in the regular Mufassal Courts which commenced in 1892 and of the decline of these appellate work which characterized the year 1893.

(iii) A further slight decline in the institutions in the Presidency Small Cause Courts.

The aggregate number of suits instituted in all the Courts in the Presidency was far in excess of any figure previously recorded. The following table compares the institutions under the two main heads during the last five years :—

Year.	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Total.
1890	259,963	11,333	271,296
1891	259,111	10,798	269,909
1892	289,181	12,348	301,529
1893	295,100	11,777	306,877
1894	313,849	11,240	325,089

These figures show a continuous advance in original litigation since 1891, and it might have been expected that there would have been a similar increase in appellate work. It is explained in paragraph 21 of the report, however, that the decrease in the number of appeals instituted in 1894 is due to the fact that a much smaller number of rent appeals were filed in the Kistna district than in 1893. The variations in that district under this item have been remarkable throughout the past quinquennium, as the following figures show :— (1890) 1,053, (1891) 439, (1892)

2,159, (1893) 1,689, (1894) 239. If these be excluded from consideration, the total number of appeals varied but slightly during the period 1890—1893, while an increase of over 9 per cent. took place in 1894.

3. The number of Village Munsifs exercising jurisdiction during the year rose to 3,160—a figure higher than in any year since 1889 when 3,250 of these Courts decided suits. The total number of institutions was 67,679, or 6,206 more than in 1893; the increase included a considerable advance in the number of more important cases, as the following figures show:—

	Suits exceeding Rs. 20 and not exceeding Rs. 50 in value.	Suits exceeding Rs. 50 in value.
1889	641	84
1890	195	52
1891	150	48
1892	134	71
1893	98	30
1894	740	66

In paragraph 17 of the Civil Justice Report for 1889, the Hon'ble the Judges assigned reasons for the belief that Village Courts would continue to wane in popularity until the tendency to have less frequent recourse to them should be "counteracted by such an improvement in the education and ability of Village Munsifs as would enable them to command public confidence by their individual merits." The adverse influences mentioned by the High Court are still at work but the Government trusts that the statistics of the past year are an indication that they have been counteracted by an improvement in the calibre of the ordinary Village Munsif. The growth in petty litigation noticed in the last two reviews became more rapid in 1894, the number of suits not exceeding Rs. 20 in value instituted in the Courts of Village and District Munsifs rising from 111,951 to 120,324—an increase of 7·5 per cent., as compared with 2·1 per cent. in 1893 and 9·3 per cent. in 1892. As usual, institutions in the Courts of Village Munsifs varied considerably in different districts, the most remarkable variations occurring in Madura, Trichinopoly and Kistna, where the institutions exceeded those of the previous year by 2,811, 1,713 and 1,527, respectively—an advance which is attributed to the favourable season.

The great advance in the number of suits instituted in Revenue Courts which was noticed in the previous year was sustained during 1894, the aggregate file amounting to 8,543 against 8,262. No less than 44 per cent. of these suits were filed in the Kistna district—an even higher proportion than in the preceding year; the bulk of the remaining work was contributed by the districts of Madura, North Arcot, Tanjore and Chingleput.

District Munsifs received a considerable accession to their files both as regards ordinary suits and small causes, the institutions of the former increasing by 5·1 per cent. and those of the latter by 6·8 per cent. The increase, which was common to all but five districts, has not been fully explained, but from the reasons assigned in the case of those districts by which the bulk of the increase was contributed, the advance appears to be chiefly attributable to growing prosperity and to the favourable nature of the season. The only noticeable decline in institutions took place in South Malabar and Kurnool. Local depression of trade and the failure of harvests is the explanation given in the former case, but no reasons have been assigned for the decrease in Kurnool.

There was a trifling fall in the number of ordinary suits instituted in Sub-courts and a considerable advance in small causes, while in District Courts 507 ordinary suits were instituted against 422 in the previous year, the number of small causes rising from 282 to 465—the highest figure on record.

The average value of the different classes of suits instituted in the regular Mufassal Courts showed no remarkable variations except in the case of ordinary suits filed in the District Courts where there was an unexplained fall from Rs. 28,225 to Rs. 18,012.

The amount of appellate work coming before the Mufassal Courts declined somewhat, the number of appeals instituted falling from 10,093 to 9,508, owing to the marked variation in the Kistna district of which mention has been made above. Excluding this district from consideration, there was an increase of 1,054 in the total number of regular appeals.

The year witnessed no recovery from the great fall in the amount of work coming before the Presidency Court of Small Causes which took place in 1893; on the contrary there was a further slight decline in the number of institutions—ascribed, as in the previous year, to the depression of trade.

The statistics relating to the City Civil Court show a marked increase in the number of petty suits instituted—a circumstance which serves to confirm the belief expressed in last year's review that the establishment of this Court has removed a serious defect in the system of the administration of Civil Justice by providing a less expensive means of disposing of petty litigation in the Presidency town. An advance in the number of suits between Rs. 1,000 and Rs. 2,500 in value is noticeable as leading to the inference that the heavier institution fees leviable under the Court Fees Act have exercised no deterrent effect upon litigants.

The original work coming before the High Court advanced in quantity, while the appellate business remained practically stationary. The average value of original suits rose considerably, while that of first appeals exhibited a still greater fall. Appeals from decrees passed by the City Civil Court continued to be remarkably infrequent, while the orders of single-judge benches were appealed against in twice as many cases as in 1893, and first appeals from decrees of Mufassal Courts reached a higher figure than in any previous year.

4. (1) Paragraph 59 of the report gives the usual summary of the year's record as regards the disposal of business. The High Court considers the salient features in the work done by the Courts to be as follows:—

(i) A larger outturn of work by Village Courts.

(ii) An increase in the original work disposed of by Mufassal Courts except in the case of ordinary suits in Revenue Courts, accompanied by an increase of arrears in small causes in District Munsifs' Courts and in ordinary suits except in District Courts.

(iii) A decline in the appellate work done by Mufassal Courts.

(iv) A decrease in the number of suits disposed of by the Presidency Court of Small Causes accompanied by a slight increase in arrears.

(v) An increase in the amount of work done by the City Civil Court.

As it appears to the Government, however, a further noticeable feature of the year's record was the increase in the disposal of original litigation in the High Court itself.

(2) *Village Courts.*—The disposals of the year practically kept pace with the institutions and there was consequently only a trifling increase in arrears. The number of suits decided was, however, in excess of the figure for any year subsequent to 1886. Arrears exhibited a marked improvement in Chingleput and Gódávari, where they represented only 8·7 and 7·3 per cent. of the institutions as compared with 20·1 and 16·9 per cent., respectively, in the preceding year. On the other hand, arrears were apparently excessive in Coimbatore (12·4 per cent. of the institutions), Kistna (11·6 per cent.), Kurnool (13·5 per cent.), Madura (13·4 per cent.), Salem (13·2 per cent.), Tanjore (11·9 per cent.) and Vizagapatam Agency (33·6 per cent.). It is but fair to observe, however, that in some of these districts the average file per Village Munsif was considerably in excess of the general rate of 21 suits per Court: thus in Madura the average number of institutions was 34, in Kurnool 50, and in Vizagapatam Agency 76. The Collectors concerned will, however, be requested to adopt such measures as may be practicable to ensure the more rapid disposal of work in these Courts.

(3) *Revenue Courts.*—The subjoined table compares the business of the Revenue Courts during the last seven years :—

Years.	Number of Suits.		
	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending
1888	9,147	5,526	3,621
1889	11,805	5,461	6,344
1890	14,658	8,456	6,202
1891	13,031	7,546	5,485
1892	11,766	9,975	1,791
1893	10,164	7,701	2,463
1894	11,539	7,142	4,397

It will be seen that the year was characterized, like its predecessor, by a fall in the amount of work disposed of and by a serious increase in arrears. A further unsatisfactory feature is to be found in the fact that 221 suits had been pending for more than twelve months at the close of the year, of which no less than 213 were contributed by the district of North Arcot, where the arrears increased from 142 in 1892 and 338 in 1893 to 822. The Collector will be requested to submit to Government, with his remarks, the explanations of the officers who are responsible for this apparently discreditable state of affairs. Other districts in which arrears exhibit an unsatisfactory increase are Kistna (from 377 to 1,863) and Madura (191 to 843 including eight suits pending for more than a year); the work in both districts is exceptionally heavy, and in the latter case it is explained in paragraph 30 of the report that the number pending was made up of large batches of connected suits. At the same time disposals have been steadily falling in Kistna; the Collector will be requested to submit the explanations of the officers to whom the growth in arrears is due. Arrears increased in Trichinopoly also notwithstanding the small number of institutions and the fact that special attention was drawn to this matter in the last review; on the other hand, there was an improvement in this respect in Tanjore, Chingleput and Gódávari—districts which displayed excessive arrears in the previous year. Disposals were most numerous in Kistna (2,352), Chingleput (941), Tanjore (893), and Madura (774). Bellary, Kurnool, Malabar (North and South) and Nilgiris received no institutions. The proportion of contested cases to disposals fell from 70·6 to 49·5 in consequence of a marked variation in the Kistna district; but in spite of this the average duration of such suits rose from 129 to 137 days. There was a considerable increase in the proportion of uncontested suits accompanied by a slight improvement in average duration; it is noticeable that the percentage of compromises rose from 6·1 to 11·8 and that of *ex-parte* decisions from 13·8 to 25·1.

In individual districts the duration of uncontested suits was much above the average in Tanjore (222 days), Cuddapah (203), Trichinopoly (178), Gódávari (174), and Chingleput (155), and that of contested suits was similarly excessive in Gódávari (229), Trichinopoly (174), Tinnevelly (170), Salem (159), Tanjore (156), and Chingleput (153). In Chingleput and Tanjore the files were heavy, which may perhaps serve as a reason; but in the other districts mentioned, particularly Trichinopoly and Cuddapah where the file was unusually light, this explanation does not exist. The attention of the Collectors concerned will be drawn to the matter.

Fifty-two per cent. of the appeals against the decisions of these Courts which were decided during the year resulted in confirmation against 71 per cent. in 1893; at the same time the proportion of appeals to appealable decisions fell from 37·6 to 19·1. Both these variations are attributable to the fluctuations in the Kistna district, in which 1,689 appeals were preferred and 1,445 rejected in 1893, as against 239 preferred and 402 rejected in 1894.

Judged by the appeal test, the work of the following officers appears to have been deficient in quality :—the Sub-Collector, North Arcot (no decisions confirmed in 30 appeals decided); Deputy Collector, Madura division (1 confirmed out of 19);

Head Assistant Collector, Tinnevelly (36 out of 172). On the other hand, the following officers would appear to have done exceptionally good work :—Head Assistant Collector, Madura (25 confirmed out of 31); Sub-Collector, Chingleput (42 out of 46); Sub-Collector, Tanjore (24 out of 32); Principal Assistant, Vizagapatam (15 out of 15); the Deputy Collector, Tanjore division (35 out of 52); and Head-quarter Deputy Collector, Kistna (35 out of 49). It is, however, unsafe to draw inferences from these figures, as the confirmation or reversal of one batch of cases on the same point of law may produce a deceptive appearance of good or bad work.

On the whole the work of the Revenue Courts must be considered as comparing unfavourably with the record of the previous year. The length of time for which many of these so-called summary suits drag on is particularly to be regretted. They appear, it is believed, in the periodical returns of business disposed of, which are rendered to the Board of Revenue. It lies therefore with the Board to take such steps as may be needed to secure in the future a more rapid transaction of this description of business than has characterized the past. The matter should have its earnest and continuous attention.

(4) *District Munsifs' Courts.*—The following table details the work of District Munsifs during the last five years :—

	Number.		
	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending.
Suits.			
1890	89,062	66,695	22,367
1891	86,603	65,859	20,744
1892	95,512	71,812	23,700
1893	97,863	76,000	21,863
1894	99,806	77,566	22,240
Small Causes.			
1890	1,00,505	92,711	7,794
1891	1,00,513	92,760	7,753
1892	1,13,706	1,05,115	8,591
1893	1,20,075	1,12,750	7,325
1894	1,26,459	1,16,951	9,508
Total of Suits and Small Causes.			
1890	1,89,567	1,59,406	30,161
1891	1,87,116	1,58,619	28,497
1892	2,09,218	1,76,927	32,291
1893	2,17,938	1,88,750	29,188
1894	2,26,265	1,94,517	31,748

The number of District Munsifs has varied but little during the quinquennium and the increased outturn of work may therefore be regarded as highly satisfactory. The growth in arrears during the year under review is a natural sequel to the advance in institutions and contested cases. It is worthy of note that the disposals of the year reached a record figure under both ordinary and small cause suits, in spite of the fact that the number contested was far higher than in any previous year. The number of long pending suits declined slightly while that of small causes pending for over three months increased considerably, but there was a general improvement in the average duration of both suits and small causes, contested and uncontested. Appeals were relatively about as frequent as in 1893, but the percentage of confirmations was higher, being 65·79 against 64·53. The increase in the quantity of work disposed of thus appears to have been accompanied by an improvement in quality.

Six District Munsifs disposed of 500 or more contested suits each, viz., Amalápuram (702), Cuddalore (680), Sholinghur (666), Sómpet (522), Chidambaram (514), Chávakkád (500), while no less than nineteen others decided from 400 to 500 such suits. These figures form a striking contrast to those of the previous year, during which only one Court decided over 500 contested suits and only five others from 400 to 500.

The number of suits pending trial at the close of the year exceeded 400 in three Courts, viz., Masulipatam (723), Guntúr (459) and Dindigul (492). In Guntúr there

was an improvement as compared with the previous year, and in Masulipatam and Dindigul the arrears were probably due to the large amount of small cause work devolving on those Courts. The average duration of contested suits exceeded 300 days in Masulipatam (441), Guntúr (383), Ellore (360), Peddápuram (358), Mannárgudi (330), Tanuku (329), Dindigul (326), Gudiváda (313) and Nedunganad (305). The small cause work was heavy in all these Courts except Tanuku and Nedunganad and this may perhaps be regarded as some explanation of the excessive delay. Masulipatam was the only Court in which the average duration of uncontested suits exceeded 100 days; in the previous year there were eleven such Courts.

No less than 2,000 small causes were decided in each of the following Courts, viz., Vellore (2,554), Cuddalore (2,408), Masulipatam (2,366), Kumbakónam (2,330), Trichinopoly (2,153), Tiruvarur (2,117), Dindigul (2,090) and Coimbatore (2,000).

The number of contested small causes was over 500 in Cuddalore (589), Gudiváda (543), Kumbakónam (523) and Trichinopoly (520). Arrears exceeded 250 in only four Courts, viz., Coimbatore (277), Gudiváda (289), Salem (351), and Masulipatam (1,007). The institutions and disposals were very high in all these Courts except perhaps Gudiváda, where, however, the number of contested causes was great. The average duration of contested small causes was highest in Gudiváda (183 days) and Masulipatam (126 days), being less than 100 days in every other Court. Salem, Dindigul, Gúdalúr, Nundalur, Chicacole, Bapatla, Masulipatam and Gudiváda were the only Courts in which uncontested small causes occupied more than fifty days in disposal.

On the whole the work of District Munsifs appears to indicate a decided improvement as compared with the previous year. Perhaps the most satisfactory results were achieved in the Court of Cuddalore, where no fewer than 1,293 suits and 2,408 small causes were decided, of which 680 and 589, respectively, were contested. The number of pending suits was only 72 and that of small causes ten, while the average duration of uncontested suits and small causes was 23 days, that of contested suits 91, and that of contested small causes 26. These figures show remarkable promptitude in disposal and the high quality of the work done is to be inferred from the fact that the proportion of appealable decisions which were not interfered with was nearly 98 per cent.

(5) *Subordinate Judges' Courts.*—Subordinate Judges had 1,510 original suits for disposal, of which 841 were decided and 669 left pending, the corresponding figures for the previous year being 1,564, 970 and 594. The outturn of small cause work, on the other hand, increased considerably, the number of causes decided rising from 17,014 to 18,067, but in consequence of the marked advance in institutions the number pending at the close of the year only declined slightly, viz., from 3,313 to 3,186; it is, however, noticeable that only 438 of these had been pending for more than three months as against 843 at the beginning of the year. By far the greatest number of suits were disposed of in the Nilgiri Sub-court, while the largest outturn of small cause work was produced at Kumbakónam. Arrears under the former item were large in Cocanada, Tinnevelly and Nilgiris, and under the latter at Tanjore, Ellore (Kistna side) and Madura West. The number of long pending suits was excessive at Cocanada (32) and Palghat (19), and that of small causes at Cocanada and at Ellore (Kistna side), where, however, there was a great improvement as compared with the previous year. The average duration of contested suits was highest in the Palghat Sub-court (542 days) and exceeded 400 days in three other Courts, viz., Cocanada and Ellore (Gódávari and Kistna sides). There was a general increase in the duration of contested suits and a marked improvement in that of cases decided without contest, Bellary-Salem (Bellary side) being the only Court in which the average duration was more than 100 days. On the small cause side the greatest delay was exhibited in the Ellore Court (Gódávari side), where the average duration, both in contested and uncontested cases, was greatly in excess of the figures in other Courts, in spite of the fact that the file was much below the average.

The number of appeals for disposal was 3,875 or 293 more than in the previous year, but the disposals fell from 2,801 to 2,607, in consequence of which the arrears rose from 781 to 1,268. Arrears were heaviest at Cocanada and Calicut, the

duration of both contested and uncontested appeals being excessive in both these Courts. In Palghat also, as in the previous year, the duration of contested appeals was exceedingly high (246 days).

Appeals from the decisions of Sub-Judges were much more frequent than in the previous year, the proportion of appealable decisions contested rising from 35 to 45 per cent.; the percentage of confirmations at the same time improved considerably from 55·8 to 61·3.

The work of Sub-courts generally was satisfactory, but there appears to be considerable room for improvement in some Courts, notably Palghat, Ellore and Cocanada.

(6) *District Courts.*—In District Courts there was a marked advance in the outturn of original work, 502 suits and 554 small causes being disposed of as compared with 404 and 217 in the preceding year, while the total number of pending suits and small causes was reduced from 710 to 593 and there was a decrease of 83 in the number of suits pending for more than a year and of 122 in that of small causes pending for more than three months. These figures are the more satisfactory in view of the fact that the number of contested cases was the highest on record. It is to be noted, however, that the reduction in the number of pending suits was in part due to the transfer of a number of them to temporary Sub-Judges' Courts. Four Courts decided from forty to fifty suits, namely, Chingleput, Ganjám, Kistna and Trichinopoly, as against one only in 1893. Small cause work came before only four Courts and no less than 402 out of 554 decided causes were disposed of in the Trichinopoly Court. The average duration of all classes of cases except contested small causes increased slightly, the delay in disposal being most above the average in the following instances:—

District.	Average duration in days of Uncontested suits.	Contested suits.
Cuddapah	430	680
Trichinopoly	453	1,138
Chingleput	..	652
Nellore	..	613
Average of all districts	207	473

The actual arrears were heaviest in Nellore (71 suits), Coimbatore (46) and North Arcot (44). The Hon'ble the Judges brought to notice the unsatisfactory condition of the Nellore Court in March last and it was consequently found necessary to recommend to the Government of India the appointment of a special Sub-Judge for the purpose of clearing off the arrears which had been allowed to accumulate. In Coimbatore the disposals of civil suits considerably exceeded those of the previous year and the criminal work of the Court was very heavy. No explanation for the heavy arrears in the North Arcot Court has been furnished.

The general improvement in the outturn in original work was, however, to some extent counterbalanced by a fall from 7,570 to 6,643 in the number of appeals decided; the number of pending appeals was reduced, but this apparent improvement is accounted for by the fact that institutions declined while an unusually large number of appeals were transferred to Sub-Judges for disposal. The average duration of appeals was particularly high in the undermentioned Courts:—

Courts.	Average duration in days of Uncontested appeals.	Contested appeals.
North Arcot	530	613
Coimbatore	433	445
Kistna	408	..
Nellore	321	806
Trichinopoly	727	824

Arrears were heaviest in Kistna (613) and Nellore (643). Making every allowance for the amount of original work disposed of in the Trichinopoly Court, the delay in

disposing of appeals must be regarded as unsatisfactory, in view of the fact that the institutions numbered only 225 as against an average of 440, while only 111 were contested as against an average of 283.

First appeals against the decrees of District Courts were much less frequent than in 1893 and the percentage of decisions confirmed was higher; there was also a marked improvement in the proportion of second appeals resulting in confirmation. So far, therefore, as the appeal test is reliable, the quality of the work done in District Courts was much superior to that of the previous year.

(7) *Presidency Courts.*—Only 22,831 suits were disposed of in the Presidency Court of Small Causes as against 24,969 in the previous year, but of these a larger number were contested. Owing to the fall in institutions the growth in arrears was comparatively trifling, and it is satisfactory to note that there was a marked improvement in the average duration of both contested and uncontested cases.

The City Civil Court disposed of 386 suits, or 53 more than in the previous year, in spite of the facts that 210 suits were contested as against 144 in the preceding year and that the amount of miscellaneous work disposed of increased considerably. Appeals were almost as rare as in 1893 and only two out of 274 appealable decrees were actually interfered with. This was highly creditable to the Judge.

(8) *High Court.*—There were only five Judges on the bench during the year and a further decline in the outturn of work might therefore have been expected. As a matter of fact, however, the disposals of original suits increased from 253 to 331, notwithstanding an advance in the number contested, and as a consequence of this the arrears fell from 331 to 290. The average duration of contested suits also improved from 590 to 516 days, while that of uncontested cases was, as in the previous year, 292 days. Appeals against decrees on the Original Side decreased in number in spite of a large increase in appealable decrees; the number decided was 36 as against 50 in the previous year. The number of execution applications for disposal again advanced and there was a considerable increase in the number of insolvency and miscellaneous applications disposed of.

On the Appellate Side the total amount of work for disposal was less than in the previous year, and although the actual outturn was not quite as great, there was a decrease in arrears chiefly in consequence of a decline in the institutions of first appeals from orders passed by Mufassal Courts. The revisional work of the Court increased considerably, 1,284 petitions being received as against 942 in the preceding year; the disposals, however, fell from 703 to 519. Miscellaneous applications numbered 1,912 as against 1,264 in 1893 and the disposals only increased from 1,252 to 1,424, in consequence of which the arrears increased from 202 to 690.

On the whole, the High Court may be said to have kept pace with the work of the year except as regards miscellaneous business, but this result has been achieved only by the most strenuous exertions on the part of the Judges and it cannot be expected that any material reduction in the heavy arrears of the Court can be effected otherwise than by an addition to the strength of the Bench.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, with C.L.
„ the Revenue Department.
„ all Collectors.
Editors' Table.

Endorsement—No. 2068, Judicial, dated 5th October 1895.

Copy to the Government of India, Home Department.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. Raitt.

CURRENT No. 3394

Judicial {1895} Department.

No.

From

The Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

Dated 14th August
Received 15th do. } 1895.
Repd 16th do.

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE 15 AUG 95 GOVERNMENT

No. 2180.
Enc 54 Sl. q'ties et

Submitting 55 copies of the report on the administration of Civil Justice for the year 1894.

with Report received with Lr. dated 14th inst.

G.O. 2067-8 Jud. 5.10.95

CURRENT NO. 3894

REPORT

ON

THE ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

MADRAS PRESIDENCY

FOR THE YEAR

1894.

No. 2180.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,

MADRAS, 14*th August* 1895.

FROM

D. W. G. COWIE, ESQUIRE,

Ag. Registrar of the High Court of Judicature,

Appellate Side,

Madras.

To

THE HONORABLE J. F. PRICE, C. S. I.,

Chief Secretary to Government,

Fort Saint George.

HONORABLE SIR,

I have the honor to submit 55* copies of the Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in this Presidency for the year 1894.

I have the honor to be,

Honorable Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D. W. G. Cowie

Ag. Registrar.

* 5 copies are forwarded herewith. The rest will be sent to the Chief Secretariat at Fort St. George.

4 copies sent by parcel post.

CONTENTS.

CIVIL JUSTICE.

I.—Introductory.

	Paras.
Plan of the Report ...	1
Territorial changes ...	2
Investiture of powers	3

II.—Courts administering Civil Justice.

Classes of Courts ...	4
Village Munsifs' Courts	5
Revenue Courts ...	6
Agency Courts ...	7
Other Mufassal Courts	8
Average number of working days ...	*ib.*
Presidency Court of Small Causes	9
City Civil Court ...	10
High Court ...	11
Vacation ...	*ib.*
Sittings ...	*ib.*

III.—The Litigation of the Presidency.

1.—All Courts together.

Aggregate litigation...	12

2.—Village Munsifs' Courts.

Institutions ...	13
Comparative statement ...	*ib.*

3.—Regular Courts in the Mufassal.

A.—Original Litigation.

Total institutions ...	14
Revenue Courts ...	15
Agency Courts ...	16

	Paras.
District Munsifs' Courts	17
Subordinate Judges' Courts	18
District Courts	19
Average Value	20

B.—Appellate Litigation.

Institutions	21

4.—Presidency Court of Small Causes.

Institutions...	22
Nature of suits	*ib.*
Average value	*ib.*

5.—The City Civil Court.

Institutions...	23
Comparison	*ib.*
Average value	*ib.*

6.—High Court.

A.—Original Litigation.

Institutions and nature of suits...	24
Average value	*ib.*

B.—Appellate Litigation.

Institutions	25
Comparison	*ib.*
Appeals from mufassal Courts	*ib.*
Nature of appeals	*ib.*
Value of appeals	26
Recapitulation	27

IV.—Business in the Courts.

1.—Village Munsifs' Courts.

Disposals	28

	Paras.
2.—Regular Courts in the Mufassal.	
A.—Original Jurisdiction.	
(i).—Suits.	
Disposals	29
Arrears	*ib.*
Comparison of disposals	*ib.*
Revenue Courts	30
Disposals	*ib.*
Arrears	*ib.*
Average duration	*ib.*
Appeal test	*ib.*
Agency Courts	31
Cantonment Small Cause Court	32
DistrictMunsifs' Courts	33
Disposals	*ib.*
Arrears	*ib.*
Average duration	*ib.*
Appeal test	*ib.*
Remarks	*ib.*
Munsifs exercising extended small cause powers	*ib.*
Subordinate Judges' Courts	34
Disposals	*ib.*
Arrears	*ib.*
Average duration	*ib.*
Appeal test	*ib.*
Remarks	*ib.*
District Courts	35
Disposals	*ib.*
Arrears	*ib*
Average duration	*ib.*
Remarks	*ib.*
Appeal test	*ib.*
(ii).—Execution.	
Applications disposed of	36
(iii).—Insolvency.	
Applications disposed of	37
(iv).—Miscellaneous Cases.	
Number disposed of	38
B.—Appellate Jurisdiction.	
Appeals	39
For disposal	*ib.*
Disposals	*ib.*
Subordinate Judges' Courts	40
Remarks	*ib.*

	Paras.
District Courts	41
Remarks	*ib.*
Appeals from orders	42

C.—*Business of all kinds.*

Business of all kinds	43

3.—Presidency Court of Small Causes.

(i).—Suits.

Disposals	44
Average duration	*ib.*

(ii).—Execution.

Applications disposed of	45

(iii).—Miscellaneous.

Applications disposed of	46

4.—The City Civil Court.

(i).—Suits.

Disposals	47
Average duration	*ib.*
Appeal test	*ib.*

(ii).—Execution Applications.

Number disposed of	48

(iii).—Miscellaneous Applications.

Number disposed of	49

5.—High Court.

A.—Original Side.

(i).—Suits.

Disposals	50
Average duration	*ib.*
Result of appeals	*ib.*
References	*ib.*

(ii).—Execution.

Applications disposed of	51

(iii).—Insolvency.

Applications disposed of	52

(iv).—Miscellaneous Cases.

Applications disposed of	53

B.—Appellate Side.

(i).—Appeals from Decrees and Orders.

	Paras.
Disposals	54
Results	*ib.*
Average duration...	*ib.*

(ii).—Reference and Revision.

Revision Cases	55
Disposals	*ib.*
Results	*ib.*
Pending cases	*ib.*
Average duration	*ib.*
References	56

(iii).—Miscellaneous.

Miscellaneous Applications	57
Appeals to the Privy Council	*ib.*

C.—*Business of all kinds.*

Total disposals	58
Recapitulation	59

V.—Financial.

Financial results	60
Average cost per suit	*ib.*

VI.—Miscellaneous.

Practitioners in the High Court	61
Pleadership Examination	62
Number admitted and results	*ib.*
Receipts and charges	*ib.*
Pleadership certificates. New issues and receipts	63
Renewals and receipts	*ib.*
Total receipts	*ib.*
Service Books	64
Rules	65
Records	66
Libraries	67
Securities	68
Inspection of Subordinate Courts	69
Inventories	70

APPENDIX.

I.—Imperial Statements.

		Pages.
Judicial Statement No. 1 (Civil & criminal.)	Showing the number of Judicial Divisions and the number of Officers exercising Appellate or Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras on the last day of the year 1894 with the cost of Tribunals	iii
Judicial Statement No. 1 (Civil & criminal) *Supplement.*	Showing the number of European and Native Judicial Officers of each grade exercising Appellate or Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras for the year 1894	iv
Judicial Statement No. 7 (Civil)	Showing the number and description of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1894	v
Judicial Statement No. 8 (Civil).	Showing the number and value of Suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1894	vi
Judicial Statement No. 9 (Civil).—Part I	Showing the General Result of the Trial of Civil and Revenue cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras in the year 1894	vii
„ Part II	Showing the General Result of the Trial of Miscellaneous, Civil and Revenue cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras in the year 1894 ...	viii
Judicial Statement No. 10 (Civil).—Part I	Showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts of the Province of Madras in the year 1894	ix
„ Part II	Showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts of the Province of Madras in the year 1894	x
Judicial Statement No. 11 (Civil)	Showing the result of Proceedings on Applications for the Execution of the Decrees of the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1894...	xi
Judicial Statement No. 12 (Civil)	Showing the Number and Result of Applications and Proceedings under Chapter XX, Act XIV of 1882, in the Province of Madras in the year 1894 ...	xii

II.—Special Statements.

A.—Statement showing the territorial jurisdictions of District, Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts as they stood on 31st December 1894	xiv to xx
B.—Statement showing the relative contribution of the several Districts of the Presidency to the aggregate litigation of the year 1894 and the ratio of population to suits	xxi
C 1.—Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the Village Munsifs' Courts in the years 1892, 1893 and 1894	xxii
C 2.—Do. do. in all classes of Regular Courts do. do. ...	xxiii
C 3.—Do. do. Revenue Courts do. do. ...	xxiv
C 4.—Do. do. District Munsifs' Courts do. do. ...	xxv
C 5.—Do. do. Subordinate Judges' Courts do. do. ...	xxvi
C 6.—Do. do. District Judges' Courts do. do. ...	xxvii
C 7.—Do. of Regular Appeals do. in the several Districts do. do. ...	xxviii
C 8.—Do. of Miscellaneous Appeals do.... do. do. ...	xxix

	Pages.
D 1.—Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in all classes of Regular Courts, except Village Munsifs' in each District in the years 1893 and 1894	xxx
D 2.— Do. showing the nature of suits instituted in the Revenue Courts in the years 1893 and 1894	xxxi
D 3.— Do. showing the nature of suits instituted in the District Munsifs' Courts of each District in the years 1893 and 1894	xxxii
D 4.— Do. showing the nature of suits instituted in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in the years 1893 and 1894	xxxiii
D 5.— Do. showing the nature of suits instituted in the District Judges' Courts in each District in the years 1893 and 1894	xxxiv
D 6.— Do. showing the nature of Regular Appeals instituted in the several Districts in the years 1893 and 1894	xxxv
E 1.—Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in the Regular Courts in each District in the years 1893 and 1894.. ...	xxxvi & xxxvii
E 2.— Do. showing the value of suits instituted in the Revenue Courts in the years 1893 and 1894	xxxviii & xxxix
E 3.— Do. showing the value of suits instituted in the District Munsifs' Courts in each District in the years 1893 and 1894...	xl & xli
E 4.— Do. showing the value of suits instituted in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in the years 1893 and 1894...	xlii & xliii
E 5.— Do. showing the value of suits instituted in the District Judges' Courts in the years 1893 and 1894...	xliv
E 6.— Do. showing the value of Regular Appeals instituted in the several Districts in the years 1893 and 1894	xlv
F. —Statement showing the manner of disposal of ordinary suits and small causes and their results in the Regular Courts in each District in 1894.	xlvi
G1.— Do. showing the mode of disposal of Appeals in each District in the year 1894	xlvii
G2.— Do. showing the result of Appeals from Revenue Courts to District Courts in 1894	xlviii & xlix
G3.— Do. showing the result of Appeals from District Munsifs to District Judges and Subordinate Judges in 1894	l & li
G 4.— Do. showing the result of Appeals from Subordinate Judges' Courts to District Courts in 1894	lii
H 1.— Do. showing the results of proceedings in execution of decrees in the Regular Courts in 1894	liii
H 2.— Do. showing the extent and result of proceedings in insolvency in the Courts of the several Districts in 1894	liv
I 1.— Do. showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in the Revenue Courts in 1894	lv
I 2.— Do. showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in each District Munsif's Court in 1894 ...	lvi to lx

PAGES.

I 3.—Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending. and the average pendency of cases in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in 1894 ... lxi

I 4.— Do. showing the number of Suits and Appeals instituted, disposed of and pending, and of Petitions and Criminal Cases disposed of in the District Courts in 1894 lxii

J.—Comparative Statement showing, by Districts, the number of First and Second Appeals from decrees instituted in the High Court during the years 1890 to 1894 lxiii

K.—Statement showing the judicial receipts and charges of Civil Courts for 1894 lxiv

L.—Statement showing the number of processes actually executed by Amins and Peons in the year 1894 ... ... lxv & lxvi

M.—List of the District and Subordinate Judges who held office during the year 1894 lxvii

MAP

Shewing the limits of Districts
and Territorial Jurisdictions
of

DISTRICT MUNSIFS & SUB-JUDGES

In the

MADRAS PRESIDENCY

(Northern Section)

Scale 1 Inch = 40 Miles $\frac{1}{2534400}$

0 10 20 30 40 60 Miles

CANJAM DISTRICT

1	Goomsur	Taluk
2	Aska	Proprietary
3	Berhampur	Taluk
4	Sompet	Estate
5	Tekkali	Do.
6	Chicacole	Taluk
7	Parlakimedi	Zem.

VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT


1	Parvatipur	Taluk
2	Salur	do.
3	Bobbili	do.
4	Palconda	do.
5	Chipurupalle	do.
6	Gajapatinagrum	do.
7	Vizianagrum	do.
8	Srungavarapukota	do.
9	Bimlipatam	do.
10	Vizagapatam	do.
11	Anakapalle	do.
12	Viravalli	do.
13	Sarvasiddhi	do.
14	Golgonda	do.
15	Jeypore	Zem.

GODAVARI DISTRICT

1	Bhadrachalam	Taluk
2	Rekapalle	do.
3	Rambha	Division
4	Rajahmundry	Taluk
5	Peddapuram	do.
6	Cocanada	Division
7	Ramachandrapuram	Taluk
8	Amalapuram	do.
9	Narsapur	do.
10	Bhimavaram	do.
11	Tanuku	do.
12	Yernagudem	do.
13	Ellore	do.

KISTNA DISTRICT

1	Nandigama	Taluk
2	Bezwada	do.
3	Gudivada	do.
4	Bunder	do.
5	Renalle	do.
6	Bapatla	do.
7	Guntur	do.
8	Sattenapalle	do.
9	Narasaraopet	do.
10	Vinukonda	do.
11	Palnad	do.



REFERENCE

- Limits of the Local Jurisdiction of District Munsifs
- Do. do. of Subordinate Judges
- Do. of the Small Cause Jurisdiction of do.
- Do. do. of District Judges
- VIZAGAPATAM Head Quarter Station of Munsifs
- COCANADA Do. do. of Sub-Judges
- District Boundary
- Taluk do.

Compiled from the information received from the High Court

Reg: No. 2217 Copies 300

Photo-Print., Survey Office, Madras.
1895.

MAP

Shewing the limits of Districts
and Territorial Jurisdictions
of

DISTRICT MUNSIFS & SUB-JUDGES

in the

MADRAS PRESIDENCY

(Eastern Section)

Scale 1 Inch = 40 Miles 1/2534400

0 10 20 30 40 80 Miles

76°

80°

12°

CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT

1	Ponneri	Taluk
2	Tiruvallur	do.
3	Saidapet	do.
4	Conjeeveram	do.
5	Chingleput	do.
6	Madurantakam	do.

NORTH ARCOT DISTRICT

1	Kalahasti	Zem.
2	Karvetnagar	do.
3	Chendragiri	Taluk
4	Punganuru	Zem.
5	Chittoor	Taluk
6	Palmaner	do.
7	Kangundi	Zem.
8	Gudiyattam	Taluk
9	Vellore	do.
10	Walajapet	do.
11	Arcot	do.
12	Arni	do.
13	Polur	do.
14	Wandiwash	do.

SOUTH ARCOT DISTRICT

1	Tiruvannamalai	Taluk
2	Tindivanam	do.
3	Villupuram	do.
4	Tirukkoyilur	do.
5	Kallakurchi	do.
6	Vriddhachalam	do.
7	Cuddalore	do.
8	Chidambaram	do.


SALEM DISTRICT

1	Tiruppattur	Taluk
2	Krishnagiri	do.
3	Hosur	do.
4	Dharmapuri	do.
5	Uttangarai	do.
6	Atur	do.
7	Salem	do.
8	Tiruchengodu	do.
9	Namakkal	do.

REFERENCE

- Limits of the Local Jurisdiction of District Munsifs
- ☼SALEM Do. Local Jurisdiction of Subordinate Judges
- CHINGLEPUT Head Quarter Station of Munsifs
- District Boundary
- Taluk do.

Compiled from the information received from the High Court



Reg. No. 2217 Copies 300

Photo-Print., Survey Office, Madras.
1895.

MAP

Shewing the limits of Districts
and Territorial Jurisdictions
of

DISTRICT MUNSIFS & SUB-JUDGES

in the

MADRAS PRESIDENCY

(Central Section)

Scale 1 Inch = 40 Miles 1/2534400

0 10 20 30 40 80 Miles

BELLARY DISTRICT

1	Adoni	Taluk
2	Alur	do.
3	Bellary	do.
4	Hospet	do.
5	Huvinhadgalli	do.
6	Harpanahalli	do.
7	Kudligi	do.
8	Rayadrug	do.

ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

1	Gooty	Taluk
2	Tadpatri	do.
3	Anantapur	do.
4	Dharmavaram	do.
5	Penukonda	do.
6	Hindupur	do.
7	Madakasira	do.

KURNOOL DISTRICT

1	Markapur	Taluk
2	Nandikotkur	do.
3	Cumbum	do.
4	Nandyal	do.
5	Sirvel	do.
6	Koilkuntla	do.
7	Ramallakot	do.
8	Pattikonda	do.

CUDDAPAH DISTRICT


1	Jammalamadugu	Taluk
2	Proddatur	do.
3	Badvel	do.
4	Siddhavattam	do.
5	Cuddapah	do.
6	Pulivendla	do.
7	Kadiri	do.
8	Madanapalle	do.
9	Rayachoti	do.
10	Vayalpad	do.
11	Pullampet	do.

NELLORE DISTRICT

1	Ongole	Taluk
2	Kanigiri	do.
3	Kandukur	do.
4	Kavali	do.
5	Udayagiri	do.
6	Atmakur	do.
7	Nellore	do.
8	Gudur	do.
9	Rapur	do.

REFERENCE

- Limits of the Local Jurisdiction of District Munsifs
- ☼ BELLARY Do. do. of Subordinate Judges
- Do. of the Small Cause Jurisdiction of District Judges
- CUDDAPAH Head Quarter Station of Munsifs
- District Boundary
- Taluk do.



Compiled from the information received from the High Court.

Reg: No. 1217 Copies 300

Photo-Print, Survey Office, Madras.
1895.

MAP

Shewing the limits of Districts
and Territorial Jurisdictions
of

DISTRICT MUNSIFS & SUB-JUDGES

in the

MADRAS PRESIDENCY

(Western Section)

Scale 1 Inch = 40 Miles $\frac{1}{2534400}$

0 10 20 30 40 80 Miles

SOUTH CANARA DISTRICT

1	Coondapoor	Taluk
2	Udipi	do.
3	Mangalore	do.
4	Uppinangadi	do.
5	Kasaragod	do.

MALABAR DISTRICT

1	Chirakkal	Taluk
2	Kottayam	do.
3	Kurumbranat	do.
4	Wynaad	do.
5	Calicut	do.
6	Ernad	do.
7	Walavanad	do.
8	Palghat	do.
9	Ponnani	do.

NILGIRI DISTRICT

1	Kottagiri	
2	Coonoor Settlement Including Wellington Cant	
3	Ootacamund	
4	Paranginad	
5	Merknad	
6	Kundahs	
7	Todanad	
8	Ouchterlony Valley	
9	Nambolukod	Amsham
10	Munanad	do.
11	Cherankod	do.

COIMBATORE DISTRICT

1	Kollegal	Taluk
2	Bhavani	do.
3	Satyamangalam	do.
4	Coimbatore	do.
5	Palladam	do.
6	Erode	do.
7	Karur	do.
8	Dharapuram	do.
9	Udamalpet	do.
10	Pollachi	do.

REFERENCE

Limits of the Local Jurisdiction of District Munsifs

Do. do. of Subordinate Judges

Do. Small Cause Jurisdiction of do.

BADAGARA Head Quarter Station of Munsifs

OOTACAMUND Do do. of Sub-Judges

District Boundary

Taluk do.

BOMBAY

ARABIAN SEA

MYSORE

COORG

KASARAGOD

MANGALORE

COONDAPOOR

Udipi

KARKALA

PUTTUR

TALIPPARAMBA

CANNANORE

TELLICHERRY

BADAGARA

PANUR

VAYITTIRI

CUDALUR

PAYYOLI

OOTACAMUND

QUILANDI

CALICUT

MANJERI (ERNAD)

PARAPANANGADI (SHERNAD)

ANGADIPURAM

TIRUR (BETUTNAD)

VATAIPALAIYAM

NEDUNGANAD

PALGHAT

PONNANI (KUTNAD)

ALATTUR

TENNALAPURAM (TEMELPROM)

Cochin State

CHOWGHAT

KOLLEGAL

ERODE

COIMBATORE

KARUR

UDAMALPET

SALEM

TRICHINOPOLY

MADURA

COIMBATORE

COCHIN

TRAVANCORE

EAST

76°

12°

Compiled from the information received from the High Court.

Reg: No. 217 Copies 300

Photo-Print., Survey Office Madras.
1895.

MAP

Shewing the limits of Districts
and Territorial Jurisdictions
of

DISTRICT MUNSIFS & SUB-JUDGES

in the

MADRAS PRESIDENCY

(Southern Section)

Scale 1 Inch = 40 Miles 1/2534400

0 10 20 30 40 80 Miles

TANJORE DISTRICT

1	Shiyali	Taluk
2	Mayavaram	do.
3	Nannilam	do.
4	Kumbakonam	do.
5	Tanjore	do.
6	Mannargudi	do.
7	Negapatam	do.
8	Tirutturaippundi	do.
9	Pattukkottai	do.

TRICHINOPOLY DISTRICT

1	Udaiyarpalaiyam	Taluk
2	Perambalur	do.
3	Musiri	do.
4	Trichinopoly	do.
5	Kulittalai	do.
6	Pudukkottai	do.

MADURA DISTRICT

1	Palni	Taluk
2	Periyakulam	do.
3	Tirumangalam	do.
4	Dindigul	do.
5	Melur	do.
6	Madura	do.
7	Sivaganga	Zem.
8	Ramnad	do.

TINNEVELLY DISTRICT

1	Srivilliputtur	Taluk
2	Sattur	do.
3	Sankaranayinarkoyil	do.
4	Ottappidaram	do.
5	Tinneveliy	do.
6	Tenkasi	do.
7	Ambasamudram	do.
8	Nanguneri	do.
9	Tenkarai	do.

REFERENCE

Limits of the Local Jurisdiction of District Munsifs

Do. do. of Subordinate Judges

Do. of the Small Cause Jurisdiction of do.

Do. do. of District Judges

SIVAGANGA Head Quarter Station of Munsifs

PALAMCOTTAH Do do. of Sub Judges

District Boundary

Taluk do.

N. B. In Tinnevelly is located the additional Munsifs' Court.
Kumbakonam is the Head Quarter Station of the Valangiman Munsif also.
In Madura are located East and West Sub-courts and the Madura and Tirumangalam Munsifs' Courts
Trichinopoly is the Head Quarter Station of the Srirangam Munsif also.

Compiled from the information received from the High Court.

Reg: No. 2217 Copies 300

Photo-Print., Survey Office, Madras.
1895.

REPORT

ON

THE ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

PRESIDENCY OF MADRAS

IN

1894.

I.—Introductory.

Plan of the report.

THE report has been drawn up on the usual plan, but certain information which can be gathered from the statements appended thereto has been omitted from the body of the report and a list showing the names of District and Subordinate Judges who held office during the year has been added in accordance with the suggestion of the Government in its review on the report for 1893.

Territorial changes.

2. The Kachinad Taluq of the Kalahasti Zemindary having been transferred from the North Arcot to the Chingleput District has passed from the jurisdiction of the District Munsif of Tirupati to that of the District Munsif of Tiruvallur, and 116 villages of the Ponneri Taluq formerly included in the jurisdiction of the District Munsif of Tiruvallur have been transferred to that of the District Munsif of Poonamallee. These changes took effect from 1st April and no other territorial changes occurred during the year.

In view of the congested state of the file of the District Court of Trichinopoly a temporary Subordinate Judge was appointed for six months from 1st November to assist the District Judge in the disposal of civil business. Similarly, to enable the District Judge of North Arcot to deal with arrears of civil work the Subordinate Judge of Bellary and Salem was deputed to sit at Chittoor for six months from the same date. The Subordinate Judge of Ootacamund sat at Coimbatore for ten days in December to assist the District Judge in the disposal of civil appeals.

Investiture of powers.

3. The District Munsifs of Chingleput, Salem, Trichinopoly, Arni, Poonamallee, Srivilliputtur, Cuddalore and Berhampore and the Principal District Munsif of Calicut were invested during the year with extended small cause powers up to Rupees 200, and the Munsifs of Chicacole, Villupuram, Rajahmundry and Kundapur, with similar powers up to Rupees 100.

II.—Courts administering Civil Justice.

Classes of Courts.

4. During the year under report 3,160 Village Munsifs' Courts, 65 Revenue Courts, 1 Cantonment Court of Small Causes, 27 Agency Courts, 117 District Munsifs' Courts, 16 Subordinate Judges' Courts, and 20 District Courts exercised original jurisdiction in the mufassal; and the Presidency Court of Small Causes, the City Civil Court and the High Court exercised such jurisdiction at the Presidency Town. 7 Agency Courts, 15 Subordinate Judges' Courts, 20 District Courts and the High Court exercised appellate jurisdiction.

Village Munsifs' Courts.

5. The number of Village Munsifs returned as having exercised civil jurisdiction during the year was 230 more than in 1893. The number was largest in Tanjore (416) and smallest in Kurnool (17); and the increase was marked in Madura (+140) and Cuddapah (+108). Godavari (—77) showed the largest decrease.

Revenue Courts.

6. The number of Revenue Courts which exercised summary jurisdiction was 2 more than in the previous year.

Agency Courts.

7. As in 1893, in the Agency tracts of Ganjam, Vizagapatam and Godavari, 3 Agents exercised the powers of District Judges; 6 Assistant Agents those of Subordinate Judges; and 18 Sub-Magistrates those of District Munsifs.

Other mufassal Courts.

8. The number of regular District Munsifs' Courts and District Courts remained the same as in 1893, and the number of Subordinate Judges' Courts was one less than in that year.

Average number of working days.

In the District Munsifs' Courts the average number of working days was 237 as against 239 in 1893, the number being highest in Karur, Puttur and Nandyal (255 each), and smallest in Tanuku (204). The average in the Subordinate Judges' Courts was 219 days as against 224 in 1893, the highest being 237 in Madura West, and smallest (162) in Tanjore where the Subordinate Judge spent 49 days on criminal work. The average number of days devoted to civil business in the District and Sessions Courts was 140 days as against 135 in the previous year. The number was highest in North Malabar (193), and lowest in Tinnevelly (52) where 165 days were devoted to criminal work.

Presidency Court of Small Causes.

9. Mr. P. D. Shaw, Chief Judge of the Presidency Court of Small Causes, who had been absent on leave, died on 8th May; and Mr. N. Subrahmanyam continued to act as Chief Judge until 8th July, when Mr. R. B. Michell who was appointed permanent Chief Judge took charge of the appointment. Mr. J. G. Smith acted for Mr. N. Subrahmanyam. The Court had thus its full strength of three Judges throughout the year. The number of working days was 267. During the annual recess the vacation Judge held Court on 42 days.

City Civil Court.

10. The City Civil Judge sat for 216 days in the year as against 204 in 1893.

High Court.

11. The High Court had a strength of five Judges except during the absence of the Honorable Mr. Justice Shephard on privilege leave for a month. Mr. J. A. Davies, I. C. S., continued to officiate as a Judge of the High Court up to 8th March 1894 during the Honorable Mr. Justice Parker's absence on leave.

Vacation.

The Court was adjourned for the annual vacation for two months from 7th May, and the Honorable Justices Parker and Best were the vacation Judges.

Sittings.

The Court sat for 136 days on the Original and for 196 days on the Appellate Side, the number of Benches being 165 on the former and 327 on the latter as shown below:—

Original Side.	Days.	Benches.
Sittings of only one Judge, excluding Insolvent Court	127	127
„ 2 Judges separately and simultaneously.	9	18
„ 1 Judge in Insolvent Court	20	20
„ 1 Judge in Sessions Court	28	28
Total ... Civil		165
Criminal.. ...		28
Grand Total ...		193
Compare 1893... Civil		203
Criminal ...		39

Appellate Side.		Days.	Benches.
Sittings of one Judge for admission of cases and final disposal of petitions, &c.	Civil ...	51	61
	Criml. ...	4	4
„ a Bench of two Judges ...	Civil ...	91	91
	Criml. ...	57	57
„ 2 Benches of 2 Judges separately and simultaneously...	Civil ...	86	172
	Criml. ...	1	2
„ a Bench of 3 Judges ...	Civil ...	1	1
„ a Bench of 5 Judges ...	Civil ...	2	2
		...	327
		...	63
			390
			355
			60

The decrease in the number of Benches in the year as compared with 1893 is due to the fact that in the latter there were 6 Judges on duty for 3 months, and 5 during the remainder of the year.

III.—The Litigation of the Presidency.

1.—All Courts together.

Aggregate Litigation.

12. The number of original suits and the number of appeals instituted in all the Courts in the Presidency were 313,849 and 11,240 as against 295,100 and 11,777 in 1893. The increase in original litigation which began in 1892 has been maintained, the increase during the year being treble that of last year. The decline in the number of appeals was nearly the same as in 1893. The most litigious districts continued to be Tanjore and Madura.

2.—Village Munsifs' Courts.

Institutions.

13. 67,679 suits or 6,206 more than in last year were instituted before village Courts. The increase was most noticeable in Madura (+2,811), Trichinopoly (+1,713) and Kistna (+1,527), while there was a noticeable decrease in Tanjore (—555) although the number of Courts which exercised jurisdiction in that district was 28 more than in 1893. The increase in the districts named above appears to be due partly to an increase in the number of Village Munsifs who tried cases and partly to a favorable season.

Comparative Statement.

The following table compares the institutions of small causes in Village Munsifs' Courts with similar institutions in District Munsifs' Courts for three years:—

	Not exceeding Rs. 10.			Exceeding Rs. 10 and not exceeding Rs. 20.			Exceeding Rs. 10 and not exceeding Rs. 50.		
	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.
In Village Munsifs' Courts...	39,117	39,911	43,625	22,166	21,434	23,248	22,300	21,532	23,988
In District Munsifs' Courts ..	21,951	22,545	23,899	26,419	28,061	29,552	73,849	77,987	82,924

3.—Regular Courts in the Mufassal.

A.—Original Litigation.

Institutions.

14. There were 86,835 ordinary suits and 136,073 small causes instituted in all the regular Courts in the mufassal during the year under report as against 82,610 and 127,410 in the previous year. The increase under both heads is marked and has continued since 1892. The increase in ordinary suits commenced in that year while the increase in small causes began in 1888. Ordinary suits increased in all classes of Courts except in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in which there was a slight decline. Small causes increased in the District Munsifs', Subordinate Judges' and District Courts. There was the usual falling off in the case of the Cantonment Court of Small Causes.

Revenue Courts.

15. 8,543 suits were filed in the Revenue Courts against 8,262 in 1893. The largest increase occurred in Madura (+700) and the largest decrease in Tinnevelly (—525). The former is due to a large number of suits for enforcement of patta and enhancement of rent from Bodinaikanur and Ramnad Zemindaries; while the latter is stated to be normal, due partly to the absence of batches of suits by landlords against tenants during the year and partly to suitors preferring Civil to Revenue Courts.

Agency Courts.

16. Institutions increased in the Agencies, particularly in Vizagapatam.

District Munsifs' Courts.

17. Institutions of ordinary as well as of small cause suits in the District Munsifs' Courts increased from 72,296 to 75,970 and 110,250 to 117,741 respectively. More than four-fifths of the increase in ordinary suits was contributed by Kistna (+867), Tanjore (+593), Coimbatore (+458), South Arcot (+453), Madura (+415) and Trichinopoly (+413); and nearly the whole of the increase in small causes by Tanjore (+2,182), Kistna (+1,994), Coimbatore (+1,184), North Arcot (+1,166) and South Arcot (+806). There was a large decrease in both classes of suits in South Malabar (—596 O. S. and—1,341 S. C.). In Kistna batches of rent suits filed by landlords against their tenants in some of the Munsifs and growing prosperity accounted for the increase under ordinary suits, while the cause last named with the failure of two speculative local Banks helped to swell the small cause file. The increase in both classes of suits in Tanjore is attributed to the favorable nature of the season.

Depression of trade and failure of the harvests in some Munsifs are assigned as the chief causes of the decrease in South Malabar.

The average institutions, in the regular Munsifs' Courts, of ordinary and of small cause suits have risen from 632 and 965 in 1893 to 664 and 1,031, respectively.

Subordinate Judges' Courts.

18. The ordinary suits instituted in Subordinate Judges' Courts numbered 814 or 22 less than in 1893, but the increase in the number of small causes was again considerable. The most marked variation appears in the case of the Negapatam Court, where however the number of ordinary suits filed in the year did not seriously differ from the average for the previous five years. The marked decline in the number of small causes in the East Madura Subordinate Judge's Court was more apparent than real, owing to the fact that an unusually large number of rent suits was filed in 1893.

District Courts.

19. The number of ordinary suits instituted in the District Courts was 507 or 85 more than in the previous year. The number of small causes also advanced from 282 to 465, the highest on record. The number of ordinary suits instituted increased from 19 to 45 in Ganjam and from 2 to 26 in Vizagapatam. The most conspicuous falling off was in North Arcot. In Ganjam 12 suits were filed in the District Court by the Zemindar of Tekkali for the resumption of grants made by his predecessors. In Vizagapatam, the increased file was due to the fact that there was not as in 1893 a temporary Subordinate Judge. The decrease in North Arcot is ascribed to the fact that the creditors of the Zemindar of Karvetnaggar abstained from suing him pending the decision of the suit which he has brought for a declaration relating to his predecessors' debts. The small cause file of the Trichinopoly District Court has increased considerably. No special reason is assigned for this.

Average value.

20. The marginal statement compares the average values of ordinary and small cause suits in each class of Courts with the corresponding figures for 1893.

	Average value.	
	1893.	1894.
Ordinary Suits.		
Revenue Courts ... Rs.	51	55
Agency Courts ... ,,	106	153
District Munsifs' Courts ... ,,	188	190
Subordinate Judges' Courts ,,	8,934	9,569
District Courts ... ,,	28,225	18,012
Small Causes.		
Cantonment Court of Small Causes ,,	63	62
District Munsifs' Courts ... ,,	29	29
Subordinate Judges' Courts. ,,	136	138
District Courts ... ,,	237	226

B.—Appellate Litigation.

Institutions.

21. The total number of appeals from decrees and appeals from orders instituted in the year in the appellate Courts in the mufassal were respectively 9,103 and 405, being 534 and 51 less than in 1893, so that the decline which began in that year has continued. Of the appeals from decrees instituted, 8,157 were from the decrees of District Munsifs, 726 from those of Revenue Courts, 174 from Subordinate Judges, 42 from Subordinate Agency Courts and 4 from the decisions of Forest Officers. The most marked variation was in Kistna where it decreased by 1,588 appeals. This was due to the exceedingly large number of rent appeals filed in 1893 in this district. In South Malabar, Godavari and Madura there was an increase of 217, 279 and 391, respectively, as compared with the previous year and but for the decline in Kistna the appeals instituted in the year would have exceeded the figure of 1893 by 1,000.

4.—*Presidency Court of Small Causes.*

Institutions.

22. 22,606 small causes and 13 summary suits on negotiable instruments under Chapter XXXIX of the Civil Procedure Code were instituted in the Presidency Court of Small Causes in 1894 as against 22,914 and 71 in 1893. The falling off in the number of small causes as well as summary suits noticed last year has continued and the summary suits filed in the year is the smallest on record. It has been suggested as in last year that the depression of trade may, to a certain extent, be held accountable for the falling off in the institutions in this Court.

Nature of suits.

Excluding 327 applications received under section 41 of the Presidency Small Cause Courts' Act and including the 13 summary suits, there were 22,292 suits, of which 8,195 were suits on written obligation, 1,604 for rent, 238 for moveable property or its value, 33 for damages and the remaining 12,209 were suits on unwritten obligation or other suits.

Average value.

The average value of suits was Rupees 59 or Rupees 2 more than in 1893.

5.—*The City Civil Court.*

Institutions. Comparison.

23. The following statement compares the number of suits instituted in the City Civil Court arranged according to their value, with the average of suits of similar nature and value filed on the Original Side of the High Court during the years 1887 to 1892:—

		Not exceeding Rs. 50.	Above Rs. 50 and not exceeding Rs. 100.	Above Rs. 100 and not exceeding Rs. 500.	Above Rs. 500 and not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Above Rs. 1,000 and not exceeding Rs. 2,500.
High Court Original Side.	Average 1887—1892...	1		23	33	77
City Civil Court	1893...	24	38	144	81	74
	1894...	43	35	132	61	81

It is to be noted however that the number of petty suits of Rupees 50 and less in value was nearly double that in 1893 and that suits over Rupees 1,000 in value have increased, *viz.* from 74 to 81 and exceeded the average institutions (77 of such suits in the High Court during the years 1887-92. These figures which are those of the Court's second year of existence confirm the inference drawn from the figures of 1893 (paragraph 38 of the report for that year).

Average value.

The average value of the suits filed in the City Civil Court declined from Rupees 665 to Rupees 658.

6.—*High Court.*

A.—Original Litigation.

Institution and nature of suits.

24. 279 suits were instituted in the High Court as against 242 in 1893. Of these, 6 were summary suits on negotiable instruments, 3 suits were for dissolution of marriage, 7 related to shipping, 3 were administration and 10 testamentary suits.

Average value.

The average value rose from Rupees 13,346 to Rupees 18,820, which was principally due to an increase in the number of suits of over Rupees 50,000 in value as compared with 1893.

The number of suits between Rupees 2,500 and Rupees 5,000 in value filed in the High Court has increased from 97 in 1893 to 121, 8 of which were testamentary and other suits which are not by their nature transferrable to the City Civil Court. The remainder 113 suits which comprise 41 per cent. of the total institutions in the High Court represent the measure of relief which the Court, judging from the figures of the year under report, might expect, were the jurisdiction of the City Civil Court raised to Rupees 5,000, the limit originally proposed by the Secretary of State.

The number of appeals presented against the decrees passed by the City Civil Court was 9, the same figure as in 1893, although the number of appealable decrees passed by that Court has considerably increased.

B.—Appellate Litigation.

Institutions. Comparison.

25. The number of appeals of all kinds filed in the High Court during the years 1893 and 1894 as compared with the average for the preceding five years is shown in the following table:—

	Average of (1888–92).	1893.	1894.
1. *Appeals from decrees.*			
First appeals from a Division Bench	39	43	31
Do. from mufassal Courts	214	231	250
First appeals from the City Civil Court	...	9	10
Do. from the Presidency Small Cause Court	...	1	...
Second appeals from mufassal Courts	1,773	1,856	1,846
2. *Appeals from orders.*			
First appeals from a Single-Judge Bench	40	29	61
Do. from the City Civil Court	...	...	2
Do. from mufassal Courts	102	99	60
Second appeals from do.	11	5	3
Total ...	2,178	2,273	2,263

It is worthy of note that the number of appeals from original decrees passed by mufassal Courts was larger than in any previous year. While appeals from original orders of mufassal Courts have decreased by 37 per cent. appeals from the orders of a single Judge of the High Court have more than doubled during the year.

Appeals from mufassal Courts.

The appeals received from mufassal Courts are arranged below according to the classes of Courts from which they were received, and compared with the figures of the previous year :—

	District Courts.		Subordinate Judges' Courts.		District Munsifs' Courts.	
	1893.	1894.	1893.	1894.	1893.	1894.
First appeals from decrees ...	139	107	92	143	...	...
Second do. ...	1,528	1,375	328	471	...	...
First appeals from orders ...	73	35	26	24	...	1
Second do. ...	5	3	...	...	...	...
Total...	1,745	1,520	446	638	...	1

Of appeals from appellate decrees Kistna, as in 1893, contributed the largest number, *viz.* 664, of which over 500 were rent appeals.

Nature of appeals.

The first and second appeals filed are distributed below according to the classes of suits out of which they arose :—

	1st Appeals.		2nd Appeals.	
	1893.	1894.	1893.	1894.
Suits for money or movables	56	82	173	216
„ under the Rent Recovery Act ...	...	...	846	581
Title and other suits...	228	209	837	1,049
Total...	284	291	1,856	1,846

The number of second appeals from suits under the Rent Recovery Act declined, but was larger than the number in 1892.

Value of appeals.

26. The appeals against original and appellate decrees instituted during the year and in 1893 are arranged below according to grades of value :—

	1st Appeals.		2nd Appeals.	
	1893.	1894.	1893.	1894.
Not exceeding ... 10 Rupees...	...	1	111	141
„ ... 50 „ ...	11	7	564	532
„ ... 100 „ ...	3	2	351	302
„ ... 500 „ ...	22	19	472	508
„ ... 1,000 „ ...	15	11	104	125
„ ... 2,500 „ ...	21	27	58	64
„ ... 5,000 „ ...	32	44	13	11
„ ... 10,000 „ ...	33	42	...	...
„ ... 1,00,000 „ ...	35	46	...	...
Exceeding ... „ „ ...	5	2	...	...
Not admitting of valuation ... „ ...	107	90	183	163
Total...	284	291	1,856	1,846

The aggregate and average values of appeals from original decrees were Rupees 19,81,128 and Rupees 9,856 as against Rupees 44,47,723 and Rupees 25,128 in 1893. These values in the case of appeals from appellate decrees were Rupees 3,77,432 and Rupees 224, the corresponding figures for the preceding

year being Rupees 3,54,104 and Rupees 212. There was a marked fall in the average value of first appeals.

27. To recapitulate ; the main features of the year are :—

Recapitulation.

(1). An increase in the number of Village Courts accompanied by a large increase in the number of suits instituted in them ;

(2). A large increase of original litigation (ordinary and small cause) in the mufassal accompanied by a decrease in appeals ; an increase of summary suits in the Revenue Courts and of ordinary suits in the District Munsifs' and District Courts, and a small decrease of such suits in the Subordinate Judges' Courts. An increase of small causes in the District Munsifs', the Subordinate Judges' and the District Courts and a decrease of such suits in the Cantonment Court of Small Causes ; and

(3). A slight falling off in the institutions in the Presidency Court of Small Causes.

IV.—Business in the Courts.

1. Village Munsifs' Courts.

Disposals.

28. In these Courts the disposals were practically equal to the institutions in spite of the fact that the latter were considerably larger than in 1893.

The number of disposals was especially large in Tanjore and Madura, but in both these districts there are still considerable arrears.

2. Regular Courts in the Mufassal.

A.—Original Jurisdiction.

(i).—Suits.

Disposals.

29. The following table shows the number of ordinary suits and small causes disposed of by the various classes of regular Courts in the mufassal during the year under report :—

		Pending at the beginning of 1894.	For disposal in 1894.	Disposed of in 1894.	Pending at the end of 1894.
Ordinary Suits.	Revenue Courts	2,463	11,539	7,142	4,397
	Agency Courts	189	1,206	955	251
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	21,863	99,806	77,566	22,240
	Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	581	1,510	841	669
	District Courts	491	967	502	465
	Total...	25,587	115,028	87,006	28,022
Small Causes.	Cantonment S. C. Court ...	13	147	142	5
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	7,325	126,459	116,951	9,508
	Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	3,313	21,253	18,067	3,186
	District Courts	220	682	554	128
	Total ...	10,871	148,541	135,714	12,827
	Grand Total ..	36,458	263,569	222,720	40,849

Appeals from mufassal Courts.

The appeals received from mufassal Courts are arranged below according to the classes of Courts from which they were received, and compared with the figures of the previous year :—

	District Courts.		Subordinate Judges' Courts.		District Munsifs' Courts.	
	1893.	1894.	1893.	1894.	1893.	1894.
First appeals from decrees ...	139	107	92	143	...	...
Second do. ...	1,528	1,375	328	471	...	...
First appeals from orders ...	73	35	26	24	...	1
Second do. ...	5	3	...	...	...	...
Total...	1,745	1,520	446	638	...	1

Of appeals from appellate decrees Kistna, as in 1893, contributed the largest number, *viz.* 664, of which over 500 were rent appeals.

Nature of appeals.

The first and second appeals filed are distributed below according to the classes of suits out of which they arose :—

	1st Appeals.		2nd Appeals.	
	1893.	1894.	1893.	1894.
Suits for money or movables	56	82	173	216
„ under the Rent Recovery Act ...	...	...	846	581
Title and other suits...	228	209	837	1,049
Total...	284	291	1,856	1,846

The number of second appeals from suits under the Rent Recovery Act declined, but was larger than the number in 1892.

Value of appeals.

26. The appeals against original and appellate decrees instituted during the year and in 1893 are arranged below according to grades of value :—

	1st Appeals.		2nd Appeals.	
	1893.	1894.	1893.	1894.
Not exceeding ... 10 Rupees...	...	1	111	141
„ ... 50 „ ...	11	7	564	532
„ ... 100 „ ...	3	2	351	302
„ ... 500 „ ...	22	19	472	508
„ ... 1,000 „ ...	15	11	104	125
„ ... 2,500 „ ...	21	27	58	64
„ ... 5,000 „ ...	32	44	13	11
„ ... 10,000 „ ...	33	42	...	...
„ ... 1,00,000 „ ...	35	46	...	...
Exceeding ... „ „ ...	5	2	...	...
Not admitting of valuation ... „ ...	107	90	183	163
Total...	284	291	1,856	1,846

The aggregate and average values of appeals from original decrees were Rupees 19,81,128 and Rupees 9,856 as against Rupees 44,47,723 and Rupees 25,128 in 1893. These values in the case of appeals from appellate decrees were Rupees 3,77,432 and Rupees 224, the corresponding figures for the preceding

year being Rupees 3,54,104 and Rupees 212. There was a marked fall in the average value of first appeals.

27. To recapitulate ; the main features of the year are :— Recapitulation.

(1). An increase in the number of Village Courts accompanied by a large increase in the number of suits instituted in them ;

(2). A large increase of original litigation (ordinary and small cause) in the mufassal accompanied by a decrease in appeals ; an increase of summary suits in the Revenue Courts and of ordinary suits in the District Munsifs' and District Courts, and a small decrease of such suits in the Subordinate Judges' Courts. An increase of small causes in the District Munsifs', the Subordinate Judges' and the District Courts and a decrease of such suits in the Cantonment Court of Small Causes ; and

(3). A slight falling off in the institutions in the Presidency Court of Small Causes.

IV.—Business in the Courts.

1. Village Munsifs' Courts.

28. In these Courts the disposals were practically equal to the institutions in spite of the fact that the latter were considerably larger than in 1893. Disposals.

The number of disposals was especially large in Tanjore and Madura, but in both these districts there are still considerable arrears.

2. Regular Courts in the Mufassal.

A.—Original Jurisdiction.

(i).—Suits.

29. The following table shows the number of ordinary suits and small causes disposed of by the various classes of regular Courts in the mufassal during the year under report :— Disposals.

		Pending at the beginning of 1894.	For disposal in 1894.	Disposed of in 1894.	Pending at the end of 1894.
Ordinary Suits.	Revenue Courts	2,463	11,539	7,142	4,397
	Agency Courts	189	1,206	955	251
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	21,863	99,806	77,566	22,240
	Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	581	1,510	841	669
	District Courts	491	967	502	465
	Total...	25,587	115,028	87,006	28,022
Small Causes.	Cantonment S. C. Court ...	13	147	142	5
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	7,325	126,459	116,951	9,508
	Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	3,313	21,253	18,067	3,186
	District Courts	220	682	554	128
	Total ...	10,871	148,541	135,714	12,827
	Grand Total ..	36,458	263,569	222,720	40,849

Taking ordinary suits and small causes together, the disposals nearly equalled the institutions and considerably exceeded the disposals of 1893, which were the largest on record.

So also taking the two classes separately, the disposals of each class exceeded those of the previous year, but in spite of this the number of cases pending at the end of the year exceeded by 4,379, the number pending at the end of 1893 in consequence of the large number (4,191) of cases received on remand or restoration &c. during the year.

In ordinary suits the disposals most noticeably exceeded the institutions in the District Munsifs' Courts and fell short of them in the Revenue and Agency Courts. The excess was marked in the Districts of Godavari, South Malabar and Tanjore ; and the deficiency in Kistna.

Comparing the disposals with those of the previous year Godavari and Tanjore show larger figures, and Kistna the reverse.

Arrears.

Arrears of ordinary suits increased in all classes of Courts except in the District Courts, but arrears of small causes increased only in the Courts of District Munsifs upon whom the great bulk of original litigation must generally fall.

Comparison of disposals.

The following table compares the work done by each class of Courts in the last 3 years :—

		For disposal.			Disposed of.		
		1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.
Ordinary Suits.	Revenue Courts	11,766	10,164	11,539	9,975	7,701	7,142
	Agency Courts	1,251	1,133	1,206	911	944	955
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	95,512	97,863	99,806	71,812	76,000	77,566
	Subordinate Judges' Courts.	1,397	1,564	1,510	772	970	841
	District Courts	876	894	967	388	404	502
	Total...	110,802	111,618	115,028	83,858	86,019	87,006
Small Causes.	Cantonment S. C, Court ...	338	263	147	248	250	142
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	113,706	120,075	126,459	105,115	112,750	116,951
	Subordinate Judges' Courts.	17,615	20,327	21,253	14,382	17,014	18,067
	District Courts	449	437	682	309	217	554
	Total...	132,108	141,102	148,541	120,054	130,231	135,714
	Grand Total...	242,910	252,720	263,569	203,912	216,250	222,720

Revenue Courts. Disposals.

30. In the Revenue Courts disposals fell short of the figure of 1893 by 559 cases although the institutions were larger than in that year. The fall was most marked in Kistna and Tinnevelly, but in the last named district institutions were few in number as compared with the previous year. The districts in which there was a noticeable increase in disposals were Madura, Chingleput and Tanjore.

Arrears.

Arrears in the Revenue Courts increased considerably, especially in Kistna which contributes three-fourths of the total. They were large in Madura, North Arcot

and Tanjore but in Madura the number is made up by large batches of connected suits. In North Arcot there was a large number of long pending cases at the close of the year.

Average duration.

The average duration of uncontested and contested suits disposed of in the Revenue Courts in the year was 107 and 137 days as compared with 115 and 129 days respectively in the previous year. The improvement which was most marked in Madura was noticeable in Tinnevelly, Vizagapatam, Tanjore and South Canara ; while the districts in which the reverse was most conspicuously the case were Cuddapah and Trichinopoly. There is generally considerable room for improvement.

Appeal test.

3,792 appealable decisions were passed in the Revenue Courts during the year and appeals were preferred against 726 decisions as against 6,238 such decisions passed and 2,343 appeals preferred in the previous year. 1,273 appeals were decided and in 664 or 52 per cent. the decisions appealed against were upheld, the corresponding figures for the previous year being 2,502 and 1,778, or 71 per cent.

Agency Courts.

31. Disposals in the Agency Courts were larger than in the previous year. Arrears in these Courts increased particularly in Vizagapatam, but the number of cases pending more than a year declined considerably. A few more cases than in 1893 were decided after contest and on the whole disposals were more speedily effected than in that year and in 1892.

Cantonment Small Cause Court.

32. In the Cantonment Court of Small Causes at St. Thomas' Mount the number of disposals exceeded the institutions and cases were more speedily decided than in 1893.

District Munsifs' Courts. Disposals.

33. In the District Munsifs' Courts the disposals in ordinary suits as well as in small causes were the largest on record, but arrears increased in both classes of suits, namely, from 21,863 to 22,240 in ordinary suits and from 7,325 to 9,508 in small causes, of which 750 ordinary suits were pending for more than a year and 1,001 small causes for over three months as against 872 and 596, the corresponding figures for 1893. The increase in disposals was largest in ordinary suits in Godavari (+528), Tanjore (+525), Trichinopoly (+431) and Bellary (+409). The increase was largest in the case of small causes in Tanjore (+1,960), Kistna (+1,117), Coimbatore (+959), North Arcot (+928) and Trichinopoly (+503). The rise in all these districts was in a great measure due to the large number of institutions, while the Amalapuram District Munsif's Court was the cause of the large disposals of suits in Godavari. In South Malabar 1,544 and in North Malabar 600 small causes less than in the previous year were disposed of, but this was due to the fall in the number of institutions.

Arrears.

Arrears in ordinary suits as well as in small causes increased very largely in Kistna (+342 and + 923). Arrears in ordinary suits increased also in Salem (+173) and South Arcot (+171) and those in small causes in Madura (+442). The number of ordinary suits pending for more than a year was considerable in Tanjore (170), Godavari (134) and Kistna (115) and the number of small

causes pending for more than three months was large in Kistna (412) and Madura (190).

Average duration.

The average number of ordinary suits and of small causes disposed of after contest by each Munsif was 327 and 205 or 67 and 13 more than in the previous year. There is also an improvement as compared with that year in the average duration of ordinary suits and small causes, both contested and uncontested.

Appeal test.

57,988 appealable decrees were passed in these Courts as against 50,343 in 1893 ; and 8,157 or 14·07, nearly the same percentage as in that year, were appealed against. In 65·79 per cent. of the appeals decided during the year the decisions were confirmed, the corresponding percentage for 1893 being 64·53. 4,594 appeals were decided by District Judges and 2,485 by Subordinate Judges and 67·52 per cent. of the former and 62·58 per cent. of the latter resulted in the confirmation of District Munsifs' decrees, as against 63·84 and 65·71 respectively in the previous year. The percentage of appeals preferred during the year to appealable decrees passed was less than ten per cent. in North Arcot (6·00), South Arcot (7·20), Bellary (8·29) and Salem (8·38), while it exceeded twenty per cent. in Madura (24·42), South Canara (20·75) and North Malabar (20·43). The percentage of confirmation was high in Ganjam (77·57) and Madura (76·00), and lowest in Kistna (55·89).

Remarks.

11 District Munsifs disposed of over 1,000 ordinary suits each and 8 disposed of more than 2,000 small causes each. Taking contested ordinary suits, 702 were disposed of by the District Munsif of Amalapuram and over 500 each by 5 other Munsifs. In 4 Courts over 500 contested small causes were disposed of.

The improvement in the disposal of ordinary suits was most marked in the Amalapuram, Cuddalore, Karur, Sholinghur and Tirumangalam Courts; that of small causes in Tiruturaipundi. Disposals of contested cases were smallest on the regular side in Vayitri (82), and on the small cause side in Naraindevarkerai and Vayitri (19 each), Udamalpet (43), Kasaragod, Puttur and Karkal (51 each) and Nandyal (54).

The number of ordinary suits and small causes disposed of after contest in these Courts was the largest on record, the excess over last year being 7,652 and 1,561 or 25·74 per cent. and 7·13 per cent. respectively. Arrears of ordinary as well as small cause suits increased very largely in Masulipatam (+359 O. S. and 759 S. C.). Those of ordinary suits were heavy in Dindigul, Guntur, Gudivada, Penukonda and Peddapuram and increased considerably in Kanigiri (+138), Villupuram (+122), Narsaraopet (+119), Vriddhachalam (+113), Rajahmundry (+110) and Berhampore (+100). On the other hand such arrears were much reduced in the Amalapurm Court and to an appreciable extent in those of Tirumangalam, Gooty, Manamadura and Cuddapah. Arrears of small causes were reduced in Kumbakonam and Tiruturaipundi and were most heavy in Salem, Gudivada and Coimbatore.

Ordinary suits pending for more than one year numbered more than 20 in 15 Courts and 40 cases in 4 Courts. The number in Tanuku was 72, due to the illness and death of the Munsif. In Gudivada 125 and in Manamadura 181 small causes which had been pending more than 3 months at the close of the year are said to have stood over awaiting the decision of the High Court on a point of law.

The average duration of contested ordinary suits was highest, namely, 441 days in Masulipatam. It was also high in Guntur (383 days), Ellore (360), Peddapuram (358), Mannargudi (330), Tanuku (329), Dindigul (326), Gudivada (313) and Nedunganad (305), and the disposal of contested small causes was very slow in the Gudivada and Masulipatam Courts. But with these exceptions, it is satisfactory to observe that work was disposed of expeditiously in the District Munsifs' Courts in spite of the large increase of work coming before them. The delay in the Courts named above is attributed to the disposal of old suits during the year and to the general growth of work.

The percentage of appeals was small in Vellore (2·66), Sholinghur (3·10), Narsaraopet (4·20), Karur (4·22), Nandalur (4·40), Mannargudi (4·77) and Tuticorin (5), and largest in Manamadura (76·98). This was due in a large measure to the appeals having been preferred during the year against the decisions passed in a large number of connected rent suits in the preceding year. The proportion of appeals to decisions was large too in the case of the Additional District Munsif's Court, Tinnevelly (42·29), and the Mangalore Munsif's Court (35·96).

The percentage of confirmation was highest in Manamadura (92 per cent.) for the same reason as the percentage of appeals and decisions in that Court.

Munsifs exercising extended small cause powers.

10 District Munsifs, in the exercise of extended small cause powers up to Rupees 100, tried 2,019 suits of the aggregate value of Rupees 1,41,527; 8 Munsifs, 1,859 suits and Rupees 1,33,488 were the corresponding figures for 1893. 20 District Munsifs, in the exercise of similar powers up to Rupees 200, tried 5,439 suits not exceeding Rupees 100 in value and 2,931 suits not exceeding Rupees 200, the aggregate value of the whole being Rupees 7,95,528 as against 19 Munsifs, 4,843 and 2,692 suits and Rupees 7,18,352 respectively in that year.

Subordinate Judges' Courts. Disposals.

34. The disposals on the regular side of the Subordinate Judges' Courts during the year fell short of those of the preceding year, but exceeded the institutions in the year and also the disposals of 1892. The disposals on the small cause side considerably exceeded last year's figures which were the largest then on record. Contested litigation on both sides again increased, the number of contested ordinary suits being 631 and that of contested small causes 5,427 as against 566 and 4,858 in 1893, and 444 and 3,566 in 1892.

This being the case and considering the fact that the number of Judges at work in 1892 and 1893 was greater than that in the year under report, it may be remarked that the outturn of work during the year was far larger than in 1892 and not inferior to that of 1893.

The average number of contested ordinary suits and small causes disposed of in the Subordinate Judges' Courts was 42 and 362, or 7 and 40 more than in 1893.

Arrears.

The slight increase in the arrears of ordinary suits in the arrears of ordinary suits in these Courts was due to the number of cases restored and remanded during the year.

Average duration.

The average duration of contested ordinary suits and contested as well as uncontested small causes rose from 294, 94 and 58 days in 1893 to 315, 106 and 62 days respectively, while the duration of uncontested ordinary suits fell from 132 days in that year to 55 days in the year under report.

Appeal test.

There were 701 appealable decrees passed in these Courts during the year as against 728 in 1893, 214 of the number were appealable to the High Court and the remainder to the District Courts. 143 appeals were preferred to the High Court and 174 to the District Courts. Of the 104 and 188 appeals decided in the High Court and in the District Courts respectively, 67·31 per cent. and 57·98 resulted in the confirmation of the Subordinate Judges' decrees as against 63·83 and 50·68 per cent. in 1893.

Remarks.

The Subordinate Judges of Madura West, Ellore and Palghat disposed of a larger number of ordinary suits than in 1893 and those of Bellary and Salem, Madura East, Mangalore and Tellicherry disposed of more cases than they received. There was on the other hand a marked falling off in the Ootacamund, Cochin and Cocanada Courts.

The work done on the small cause side in the Ellore and Madura East Courts compared favorably with that done in 1893, while in the case of the Ootacamund Court the figures fell considerably.

The Courts that disposed of the greatest number of contested cases, ordinary and small causes, were those of Madura East, Tinnevelly and Madura West.

Arrears of ordinary suits increased in Ootacamund (+23) and Kumbakonam (+19), and those of small causes rose in Tanjore (+224) and Negapatam (+107).

The arrears of ordinary suits were considerable in Cocanada (74), Tinnevelly (65), Ootacamund (62), Kumbakonam (57) and Palghat (52). Arrears of small causes were heavy in Ellore (539), Tanjore (429), Madura West (375), Kumbakonam (348) and Cocanada (343). Arrears of both kinds of work were considerably reduced in Madura East.

The Cocanada and Palghat Courts had the largest number of long pending ordinary suits at the close of the year.

The Tanjore and Kumbakonam Subordinate Judges would have shown smaller arrears had not the former done duty as Assistant Sessions Judge for 3 months and the latter tried a suit that occupied the same period.

The number of long pending small causes was reduced to nearly one-half the number pending at the end of 1893, considerable reductions having been effected in Madura East and Ellore.

The average pendency of contested ordinary suits was large (542) in the Subordinate Judge's Court at Palghat, and may be accounted for by the large and increasing file of that Court. It was large also (over 400 days) in the Cocanada and Ellore Courts.

The percentage of appeals preferred to District Courts to appealable decrees passed in the Subordinate Judges' Courts was smallest in Cochin (12 per cent.) and

Ootacamund (15 per cent.) Madura East showed the highest percentage (65). The percentage was large also in Calicut (60). Of the 6 decisions of the Cocanada Court that were contested in appeal, 5 were confirmed; and of 11 decisions of the Ootacamund Court so contested, 8 were confirmed. Calicut showed the lowest percentage with 4 out of 16 confirmed. Out of 13 decisions of the Palghat Court only 5, or 38 per cent., were confirmed.

District Courts. Disposals.

35. District Judges disposed of 502 ordinary suits and 554 small causes. These figures compare favorably with those of 1893 *viz.* 404 and 217. The number of contested ordinary suits was larger by 112 than the number of such suits disposed of in the previous year.

The number of contested ordinary suits and that of contested small causes tried during the year in these Courts were also the highest on record.

Arrears.

The number of ordinary suits pending for more than a year in these Courts fell from 217 to 134, but this diminution was to some extent due to some of the old suits pending in the District Courts of North Arcot and Trichinopoly having been transferred to the temporary Subordinate Judges' Courts. The same remark applies to the total number of suits pending in the District Courts at the close of the year.

Average duration.

The average duration of uncontested as well as of contested ordinary suits and uncontested small causes in the District Courts rose from 204, 303 and 109 days in 1893 to 207, 473 and 163 days respectively during the year under report, but the duration declined in the case of contested small causes from 172 to 165 days.

Remarks.

There was a very marked increase in the number of ordinary suits disposed of in Coimbatore.

Arrears of ordinary suits increased in Bellary, Godavari, Vizagapatam, South Canara and Nellore. The increase in Godavari is however due to the trial of the Pittapur suit.

The number of long pending cases was appreciably reduced in Chingleput, Coimbatore, Cuddapah and Ganjam and rose considerably in Nellore in which district other arrears also increased and were heavy. The state of this Court at the close of the year was unsatisfactory and the Judges responsible for it were Messrs. C. Ramachendra Iyer and K. C. Manavedan Raja.

Arrears were heavy in Coimbatore (46), North Arcot (44), Kistna and Trichinopoly (34 each) and Bellary and Ganjam (30 each), but it should be noted that in Coimbatore the number of ordinary suits disposed of was 38 as against 7 in 1893. The criminal work in this district was again exceedingly heavy.

The average duration of uncontested ordinary suits diminished in Vizagapatam, Salem and Godavari. The duration of contested suits was high in Cuddapah, Chingleput, Nellore and Coimbatore, and though it declined in North Arcot it was not satisfactory in that district. In Trichinopoly it was extraordinarily high and was a result of the accumulation of heavy arrears. In Vizagapatam and South Arcot there was an appreciable improvement.

Appeal test.

Of the appealable decrees passed by the District Courts during the year under report, 28·76 per cent., *i. e.*, 24·29 per cent. less than in last year were appealed against. 125 appeals from the decrees of these Courts were decided in the year, a larger percentage of the decisions being confirmed than in the previous year. Judged by the result of appeals, the quality of the work done in these Courts was better than in any previous year except 1887.

(ii).—Execution.

Applications disposed of.

36. There were 204,303 applications for execution of decrees for disposal in the different classes of Courts, of which 182,358 or 89 per cent. were disposed of, the corresponding figures for the previous year being 194,755, 174,604 or 90 per cent.

The applications received and disposed of increased largely as compared with the previous year in the District Munsifs' Courts, the increase being more than 9,000 and 7,000 applications respectively. The number disposed of in the Revenue Courts continued to decline. 953 judgment-debtors were imprisoned in execution of decrees during the year, of whom 330 were liable for sums of Rupees 50 or less. The average pendency of applications diminished in all classes of Courts except in the District Munsifs' Courts in which it was the same as in the previous year.

(iii).—Insolvency.

Applications disposed of.

37. There were 553 applications for declaration of insolvency for disposal during the year, of which 436 were disposed of and 117 were pending at the close of the year as against 505, 390 and 115 respectively, the corresponding figures for 1893. The average duration of these applications rose from 89 days in 1893 to 101 days in the year under report.

(iv).—Miscellaneous cases.

Number disposed of.

38. The number of miscellaneous applications for disposal in the several classes of Courts was 322,439, of which 314,615 were disposed of during the year, the numbers for the previous year being 320,112 and 312,474 respectively.

B.—Appellate Jurisdiction.

Appeals. For disposal.

39. There were 15,252 appeals from decrees for disposal in the regular Courts in the mufassal as against 16,302 in the previous year. Of this number, 58 appeals were before the Agency Courts, 3,875 before the Subordinate Judges' Courts and 11,319 before the District Courts as against 32, 3,582 and 12,688 respectively in 1893.

Disposals.

Subordinate Judges disposed of 2,607 appeals, and District Judges 6,643 in the year. The corresponding figures for 1893 were 2,801 and 7,570 appeals. The decline in the total number of disposals is due to the fall in the number of rent appeals in the Kistna District.

The average number of appeals and of contested appeals disposed of per Subordinate Judge's Court was 189 and 175, and per District Court 332 and 283 respectively. Disposal was more prompt than in 1893 in the Subordinate Judges' Courts, but less so in the District Courts.

Subordinate Judges' Courts. Remarks.

40. In the Subordinate Judges' Courts the largest number of appeals was disposed of in Calicut (387) and Mangalore (304). The number of appeals decided after contest also was largest in these two Courts (357 and 282 respectively).

Arrears were heavy in Cocanada (275) and Calicut (218). There were no arrears in Madura East. The arrears in Cocanada are said to be mainly due to the transfer of appeals from the District Court while that Court was engaged in the trial of the Pittapur suit.

The average duration of an uncontested appeal rose from 41 to 132 days in Ellore and from 12 to 122 in Tinnevelly, but was reduced in Kumbakonam in the case of both contested and uncontested appeals.

The percentage of appeals preferred from appellate decrees passed by these Courts was 18·95 against 12·37 in 1893, but the percentage of confirmation of decrees in second appeal rose from 78·57 in that year to 81·37 in the year under report.

District Courts. Remarks.

41. The District Courts which disposed of the largest number of appeals were Kistna (1,013), Madura (809) and North Malabar (605). Arrears were very large in Nellore (643) and Kistna (613), and heavy in Coimbatore (366), Tinnevelly (336), Vizagapatam (328), Bellary and South Malabar (310 each). There was great delay in the disposal of cases in Trichinopoly, Nellore and North Arcot, and more than in 1893 in Coimbatore, Kistna and Tinnevelly. The reasons assigned are arrears and heavy work. There was no delay in disposal in Godavari, South Arcot and North Malabar.

The percentage of second appeals from appellate decrees passed in the District Courts was 22·64 against 21·46 in 1893, and the percentage of confirmation rose from 66·72 to 79·80.

Appeals from orders.

42. There were altogether 508 appeals from orders for disposal by the mufassal appellate Courts during the year. Of these 432 were disposed of, 11 by the Agency Courts, 75 by the Subordinate Judges' Courts and 346 by the District Courts. The decline in the number of these appeals noticed last year has continued.

C.—Business of all kinds.

Business of all kinds.

43. The following statement shows in one view the total amount of work done in each of the three principal classes of regular mufassal Courts during the year as compared with 1893 and the average of the previous five years.

		Suits.		Appeals.		Petitions.			Cases under the Land Acquisition Act.	Criminal.	
		Ordinary.	Small Causes.	Regular.	Miscellaneous.	Execution.	Insolvency.	Miscellaneous.		Sessions trials.	Appeals and Revision Cases.
District Munsifs	Average for 1888—92...	66,253	92,943	...	...	136,022	309	239,808	...	...	...
	1893... ...	76,000	112,750	...	...	156,449	287	260,987	...	...	...
	1894... ...	77,566	116,951	...	...	163,838	357	264,297	...	...	...
Subordinate Judges	1888—92...	765	13,355	2,700	12	13,987	86	21,503	...	...	...
	1893... ...	970	17,014	2,801	34	15,827	99	25,682	...	...	...
	1894... ...	841	18,067	2,607	75	16,225	69	24,461	...	22*	18*
District Judges	1888—92...	376	301	6,500	664	1,136	8	14,226	29	1,029	1,175
	1893... ...	404	217	7,570	447	1,253	4	14,944	5	1,088	1,547
	1894... ...	502	554	6,643	346	1,265	10	14,974	73	970	1,609

* These cases were disposed of by the Subordinate Judge of Tanjore as Assistant Sessions Judge.

3.—*Presidency Court of Small Causes.*

(i).—Suits.

Disposals.

44. There were 24,795 suits for disposal during the year in the Presidency Court of Small Causes; and 22,831 were disposed of as against 26,867 and 24,969, respectively in 1893 and 27,682 and 24,067 in 1892. There were 297 suits pending for more than three months at the end of the year as against 307 in 1893. The number disposed of includes 332 applications for possession of real property. The number of suits decided after contest again increased.

Average duration.

The average duration of contested and uncontested suits was 63 and 23 days.

(ii).—Execution.

Applications disposed of.

45. There were 20,103 execution applications for disposal in 1894 as against 16,643 in 1893. Of these 3,229 were withdrawn or transferred during the year and 14,250 were disposed of on the merits. Of the pending applications, 756 were of more than three months' duration, all of them being cases in which salaries were under attachment. Infructuous applications were more numerous than in 1893. Of the 443 judgment-debtors imprisoned during the year, 243 were liable for sums not exceeding Rupees 50 and were confined in jail for 8 days on the average as against 9 days in the previous year. The remainder were liable for larger sums and were imprisoned for an average term of 13 days as compared with 18 in 1893.

The average pendency of an application was 53 days.

(iii).—Miscellaneous.

Applications disposed of.

46. There were 3,345 applications for disposal, of which 3,221 were disposed of. The average duration of contested and uncontested applications was 18 and 11 days, respectively.

4.—*The City Civil Court.*

(i).—Suits.

Disposals.

47. Including 208 suits pending at the beginning of the year, 2 transferred from the High Court, Original Side, and 14 re-admitted, the total number of suits for disposal was 588; out of which 386 were disposed of in the year. The disposals exceeded the institutions and the disposals of 1893. The number of suits decided after contest also increased from 144 to 210, rising by 46 per cent. over 1893.

Average duration.

The average duration of uncontested suits fell from 75 to 63 days, but contested suits took on an average nearly twice as much time as in the previous year. This is attributed by the Judge to the disposal in the year of old suits, of suits once disposed of *ex-parte* and subsequently restored to file and of suits wherein service of processes was delayed.

Appeal test.

It is worthy of note that while the number of appealable decrees passed by this Court during the year under report was 274 as against 218 in 1893, the number of appeals preferred against its decrees was the same as in that year. The number of appeals heard was 9 and in 7 of them the City Civil Court's decrees were confirmed. Besides these, one appeal was presented to the High Court against an order passed by the City Court under section 244, Civil Procedure Code.

(ii).—Execution Applications.

Number disposed of.

48. There were 356 applications for execution of decrees for disposal during the year, of which 220 were disposed of. The corresponding figures for the previous year were 115 and 50.

The average duration of an execution application was 131 days as against 102 in 1893.

(iii).—Miscellaneous Applications.

Number disposed of.

49. There were 805 applications for disposal, of which 750 were disposed of as against 428 and 382 in 1893.

5.—*High Court.*

A.—Original Side.

(i).—Suits.

Disposals.

50. Including 331 suits pending at the beginning of the year and those re-admitted and received by transfer, and excluding 2 suits transferred to the City Civil Court, there were 621 suits for disposal, of which 331 were disposed of as against 584 for disposal and 253 disposed of in 1893. The number disposed of includes 6 summary suits on negotiable instruments. It is to be noted that the disposals during the year exceeded not only the disposals of the previous year but also the number of suits instituted during the year, while the number of suits decided after contest rose from 99 to 137 which is 38·38 per cent. more than in the preceding year; and arrears diminished by 41 suits. Further, arrears of suits more than one year old decreased considerably.

621
331
290

Average duration.

The average duration of contested suits has fallen from 590 to 516 days while that of uncontested ones has remained the same as in last year, namely, 292 days. As in the previous year a considerable number of old cases was disposed of.

Result of appeals.

220 appealable decrees were passed on the Original Side during the year, 137 of these being in contested suits as against 173 and 99 respectively in the previous year. 31 appeals were preferred from decrees as against 43 in that year. Of 36 appeals from the Original Side decided in the year, 22 or 61 per cent. resulted in the confirmation of decrees against 64 per cent. in 1893.

References.

During the year the Court ordered references to a Judge in Chambers in 7 cases. Including those pending from 1893 there were 22 such references for disposal, 6 of which were disposed of. This work occupied one Judge for 17 days.

(ii).—Execution.

Applications disposed of.

51. There were 550 applications for disposal, of which 65 were withdrawn or transferred and 121 disposed of. In 287 of the pending cases property was attached at the request of the parties and no further steps were taken.

(iii).—Insolvency.

Applications disposed of.

52. Including 122 applications for the benefit of the Act pending at the beginning of the year there were 377 applications for disposal, of which 258 were disposed of. Of the applications disposed of, 229 were granted and 29 dismissed. In 159 of the number disposed of oppositions were filed by 246 creditors. Of the 299 insolvents concerned in the cases decided, 269 obtained discharge and 30 were unsuccessful. No person was committed to prison. 289 persons applied for relief, 35 being Government servants, 10 Government pensioners, 125 employés of private individuals or Companies, 71 traders and 48 unemployed.

The amount of debts in respect of which relief was sought during the year was Rupees 19,00,058 as against Rupees, 12,90,905 in 1893.

The Insolvent Court granted protection orders in the case of 318 persons and disposed of 30 Miscellaneous applications and 277 applications from the Official Assignee.

(iv).—Miscellaneous.

Applications disposed of.

53. The Court also disposed of 2,089 interlocutory applications for *ad interim* orders in suits, 16 applications for leave to sue *in forma pauperis*, 214 applications for probates and letters of administration, 12 claims to attached property, and 54 applications for appointment of guardians, admission of attorneys &c.

B. Appellate Side.

(i).—Appeals from decrees and orders.

Disposals.

54. The number of appeals for disposal, disposed of and pending in 1894 is compared in the following table with the corresponding figures for 1893 and the average of the preceding five years.

		Average of 1888—92.	1893.	1894.
1. *Appeals from decrees.*				
First ...	For disposal	559	542	575
	disposed of	267	259	295
	pending	292	283	280
Second...	For disposal	3,382	3,780	3,688
	disposed of	1,653	1,953	1,856
	pending	1,729	1,827	1,832
2. *Appeals from orders.*				
First ...	For disposal	169	233	198
	disposed of	88	97	146
	pending	82	136	52
Second...	For disposal	20	9	9
	disposed of	13	4	4
	pending	7	5	5

The number of first appeals for disposal as well as the number disposed of during the year increased as compared with last year; but the reverse was the case

with second appeals. Disposals of first appeals from orders increased considerably. Of the first and second appeals pending at the close of the year 22 and 104 respectively had been heard but remitted for findings.

Of the first and second appeals decided during the year 63·54 per cent. and 80·41 per cent. resulted in the confirmation of the decrees appealed against, in each case a slight advance upon the previous year. In the case of first appeals from orders the percentage fell by 13 per cent. In 47 out of 121 second appeals in which the decrees of the lower appellate Courts were reversed, those of the Courts of first instance were restored. Results.

There were 45 appeals from the order of a single Judge of the High Court disposed of during the year as against 37 in the preceding year, and the orders were confirmed in 30 cases, and reversed in 8; 2 cases were remanded, 3 rejected and 2 withdrawn.

The average duration of first and second appeals from decrees was 390 and 306 days in contested cases as against 435 and 394 days in the previous year, and 346 and 288 in uncontested cases against 299 and 329 in that year. In the case of contested first and second appeals against orders, the duration was 456 and 424 against 445 and 407 days in 1893. The high average in these cases was due to the disposal of old cases. Average duration.

(ii).—Reference and Revision.

Revision cases.

55. 1,284 petitions for revision were received in the year as against 942 in 1893; 1,149 of these being for revision of the proceedings of Courts in small causes. Of the number received,

56	were for revision of proceedings of	District Judges,
330	,, ,,	Subordinate Judges,
886	,, ,,	District Munsifs,
8	,, ,,	Presidency Court of Small Causes,
3	,, ,,	Revenue Courts, and
1	,, ,,	City Civil Court.

The increase in applications for revision of the proceedings of District Munsifs' Courts noticed last year has continued and applications for the revision of the proceedings of the Subordinate Judges' Courts were more than double the number preferred in that year.

Disposals.

Including 870 cases pending from 1893 there were 2,154 for disposal, of which 519 as against 703 were determined during the year 128 being disposed of without trial. The results of these applications arranged according to the classes of Courts from which they came are given below:— Results.

	Cases decided.	Confirmed.	Reversed or varied.	Fresh trial ordered.
District Judges	88	66	7	15
Subordinate Judges.... ...	99	61	29	9
District Munsifs	202	141	44	17
Others	2	2	...	...
Total ...	391	270	80	41

Pending cases.

Of the 1,635 petitions pending disposal at the end of the year, 903 were over three months old and 38 had been remitted for findings on issues.

Average duration.

The average duration of the petitions disposed of was 443 days in contested and 464 days in uncontested cases as against 438 and 434 respectively in 1893, the increase in duration being due to the disposal of batches of old cases in some of which reference had to be made to a Full Bench.

References.

56. The Court also disposed of 8 references under the Civil Procedure Code, 5 under the Stamp Act, 2 under the Divorce Act and 3 under the Court Fees Act.

(iii).—Miscellaneous.

Miscellaneous applications.

57. The number of miscellaneous applications received was 1,912 as against 1,264 in 1893. Including those pending at the beginning of the year there were 2,114 applications for disposal, of which 1,424 were disposed of.

Appeals to the Privy Council.

During the year 18 applications were received for leave to appeal to the Privy Council and 3 were pending from 1893. Of these 15 were disposed of certificates being issued in 10 cases. Including 24 appeals pending from last year there were 34 for disposal, of which 8 were disposed of, 6 were pending before the Privy Council and in 20 the records were under preparation in the High Court.

C.—Business of all kinds.

Total disposals.

58. The following statement shows in one view particulars of the various classes of work done by the High Court on both its Original and Appellate Sides in 1894, as compared with the work done in 1893 and the average of the preceding five years :—

	Average of 5 years, (1888–92.)	1893.	1894.
ORIGINAL SIDE.			
Civil.			
Suits	368	253	331
References to Chambers	22	13	6
Execution petitions	129	115	121
Insolvency cases	148	196	258
Miscellaneous cases	2,006	2,535	3,010
Criminal.			
Sessions cases	52	46	55
APPELLATE SIDE.			
Civil.			
First appeals from decrees	267	259	295
Second „ „	1,653	1,953	1,856
First „ from orders	123	134	191
Second „ „	13	4	4
Revision petitions	391	703	519
References and Miscellaneous cases ...	1,301	1,262	1,442
Criminal.			
Referred trials	60	59	65
Appeals	529	603	571
Revision cases	677	686	618
Miscellaneous cases	143	167	164

Recapitulation.

59. To recapitulate; the salient features in the work done by Civil Courts in 1894 were :—

[1]. An increase in the aggregate number of suits disposed of by Village Munsifs;

[2]. A larger outturn of ordinary and small cause work than in 1893 in all classes of Courts in the mufassal except in the case of ordinary suits in Revenue Courts and an increase of arrears of ordinary suits in all classes of Courts except District Courts, accompanied by an increase of arrears of small causes in the District Munsifs' Courts;

[3]. A decline in the appellate work done in the District and Subordinate Judges' Courts;

[4]. A decrease in the number of suits disposed of by the Presidency Court of Small Causes, accompanied by a slight increase of arrears; and

[5]. An increase in the number of suits disposed of and other work done by the City Court.

V.—Financial.

Financial results.

60. There was a net surplus of Rupees 15,94,600 in the Civil Courts in 1894 as against Rupees 14,54,448 in 1893. The surplus under the Imperial and Provincial Funds was Rupees 10,40,943, under the Process Fund, Rupees 4,72,422; and under the Copyist Fund, Rupees 81,235; as against Rupees 9,42,541, Rupees 4,36,363 and Rupees 75,544 respectively in 1893, showing an increase of Rupees 98,402, Rupees 36,059 and Rupees 5,691.

All the districts contributed to the surplus under each of the funds.

Average cost per suit.

Suits filed in the Village and Revenue Courts being excepted the average cost per suit was as given below :—

Total number of suits and appeals instituted		248,867
Total receipts (excluding probate and administration duty) Rupees...		42,49,899
Average cost per suit was	Rupees ...	17·08

VI.—Miscellaneous.

Practitioners in the High Court.

61. In the course of the year, 2 Advocates and 36 Vakils were enrolled in the High Court, and fees amounting to Rupees 19,000 were levied under the Stamp Act and Rupees 380 under the Court Fees Act.

Pleadership Examination.

62. The annual Pleadership Examination was held from 5th to 9th February 1894.

Number admitted and results.

775 candidates were admitted to the examination, 127 for the First Grade and 648 for the Second Grade, but only 734 presented themselves for examination, of whom 42 passed in the First Grade and 276 in the Second Grade.

Receipts and charges.

The receipts from examination fees, including Rupees 20,400-5-5 the amount reserved last year, were Rupees 47,525-5-5, of which a sum of Rupees 35,526-15-7 was reserved, the balance Rupees 11,998-5-10 having been expended under G. O. dated 18th May 1894, No. 1185, Judicial.

Pleadership certificates. New issues and receipts.

63. Certificates were issued by the High Court during the year to 274 Pleaders, 48 First Grade and 226 Second Grade. The stamp fees and the admission fees realized thereon amounted to Rupees 2,759 and Rupees 1,465 respectively. Besides this, 2,159

Renewals and receipts.

Pleadership certificates were renewed during the year, 552 First Grade and 1,607 Second Grade. The stamp fees collected amounted to Rupees 37,598½, Rupees 13,702½ being realized from First Grade and Rupees 23,896 from Second Grade Pleaders.

Total receipts.

The total receipts from stamps and admission fees amounted to Rupees 41,822½.

Service books.

64. The service books of the employés in the several Courts were correctly maintained and verified.

Rules.

65. The principal matters in respect of which the High Court made rules during the year are mentioned below :—

1. Under section 75 of the Madras Village Courts Act, 1889, forms of summonses and notices for use in the Courts of Village Munsifs were prescribed.

2. District Judges were directed, before taking into consideration, or passing any order upon, an application for probate or letters of administration, to require the applicant to deposit a sum of money equal to the amount of the Court fee leviable on the estimated amount of the assets as specified in the application.

3. Rules for the greater security and better preservation of judgments and decrees.

4. Instructions were issued to District Judges pointing out that section 64 of the Administrator General's Act II of 1874 imposes *quasi* executive duties upon the District Judge and that the District Judge is bound to take action in respect of the cases reported to him by the Collector by letter.

Records.

66. Considerable progress has been made in the destruction of useless records in all the districts, especially in North and South Arcot, Bellary, South Canara, Coimbatore, South Malabar and Tanjore. In South Canara the work of sorting the records received has been brought up to date. In Vizagapatam the work was completed up to 1893.

Libraries.

67. The Court and Bar libraries are reported to be in good order.

Securities.

68. The securities furnished were examined in all cases and found to be sufficient. In the City Civil Court, the securities furnished by the ministerial servants have all taken the shape of deposits in the Madras Bank and the interest drawn periodically is paid to the persons concerned.

Inspection of subordinate Courts.

69. The District Judges of Bellary, Nellore, Madura and Tanjore were not able to inspect any of the District Munsifs' Courts in their districts owing to the pressure of work at head-quarters. The District Judges of Coimbatore, Ganjam, Godavari and Salem inspected only one Court each ; and those of Chingleput, Cuddapah, Kurnool, North Malabar and Vizagapatam inspected all the Munsifs' Courts in their districts.

Inventories.

70. The instructions relating to the maintenance of an inventory of valuable stock have been carried out in all the Courts of North Arcot, Chingleput, North Malabar except Cannanore, South Malabar, Nellore and Tanjore (G. O. dated 18th September 1893, No. 920, Financial).

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
APPELLATE SIDE,
MADRAS, *14th August* 1895.

Ag. Registrar.

APPENDIX.

I.—Imperial Statements.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 1—(Civil and Criminal).

Statement showing the number of Judicial Divisions and the number of Officers exercising Appellate or Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras on the last day of the year 1894, with the cost of Tribunals.

PROVINCE OF MADRAS.		Area (in square miles).	Population.	Number of Divisions for Courts under Chief Court, but superior to Chief Courts of Districts.	Number of Districts.	Number of Sub-Districts.	Total Number of Officers exercising Original or Appellate Jurisdiction: Judges of Chief Court of Province.	Judges of other Courts superior to Chief District Courts.	Judges of Chief Courts of Districts.	Judges of District Courts other than Chief Courts.	Judges of other Subordinate Courts.	Total Number of Cases decided: Original, Regular.	Original, Miscellaneous.	Appeal, Regular.	Appeal, Miscellaneous.	Total Receipts of the Courts.	Total Charges of the Courts.	REMARKS.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Territory subject to the High Court.	Civil ...	141,189	35,630,440	...	21	114	5	...	...	20	130	239,126	510,959	11,456	582	56,33,579	47,33,199	Grades of Judicial Officers.
	Criminal...				22	337				21	733	287,069	5,113	9,888	...			Officers exercising both original and appellate jurisdiction. High Court Judges...
	Revenue ...				21	80				21	83	7,142	10,870	...	...			District Judges ...
																		Additional Judges ...
																		Subordinate Judges...
Territory not subject to the High Court.	Civil ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Criminal...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Officers exercising original jurisdiction only. Judge of the City Civil Court ...
	Revenue ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Judges of the Presidency Small Cause Court ...
																		Do. Cantonment do.
																		Munsifs...
	Total...	141,189	35,630,440	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	

Grades of Judicial Officers.	Europeans.	Natives.
High Court Judges...	4	1
District Judges ...	20	...
Additional Judges ...	...	...
Subordinate Judges...	1	15
Judge of the City Civil Court ...	...	1
Judges of the Presidency Small Cause Court ...	1	2
Do. Cantonment do.	1	...
Munsifs...	...	114

N. B.—This statement does not exhibit Village Munsifs.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 1—(Civil & Criminal)—Supplement.

Statement showing the number of European and Native Judicial Officers of each Grade exercising Appellate or Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras for the year 1894.

TRIBUNALS.	Original Powers.			Appeal Powers.			Grand Total.			REMARKS.
	European.	Native (including Eurasian).	Total.	European.	Native (including Eurasian).	Total.	European.	Native (including Eurasian).	Total.	
1	2			3			4			5
High Court Judges	4	1	5	4	1	5	4	1	5	
City Civil Court Judge	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	1	
Presidency Small Cause Judges	1	2	3	...	...	...	1	2	3	
District and Sessions Judges	20	...	20	20	...	20	20	...	20	
Subordinate Judges	1	15	16	1	15	16	1	15	16	
District Munsifs	...	114	114	...	...	...	...	114	114	
Presidency Magistrates	1	3	4	...	...	...	1	3	4	
Collectors and District Magistrates	21	...	21	21	...	21	21	...	21 (a)	(a) 3 of these had also the powers of a District and Sessions Judge and 1 had those of an Additional Sessions Judge.
Sub-Divisional Officers and Magistrates	50	59	109	40	55	95	50	59	109 (b)	(b) Of these, 1 Deputy Collector exercised the powers of a Sub-Judge and 1 Head Assistant Collector and 1 Deputy Collector exercised the powers of a District Munsif.
Cantonment Magistrates	3	...	3	...	...	...	3	...	3 (c)	(c) 1 of these exercised the powers of a Small Cause Judge.
Special Magistrates	7	41	48	...	...	...	7	41	48	
Other paid Magistrates	...	450	450	...	...	...	...	450	450 (d)	(d) Of these, 19 exercised the powers of a District Munsif.
Benches of Magistrates	1	53	54	...	...	...	1	53	54 (e)	(e) 4 of these were composed of both Europeans and Natives.
Total...	109	739	848	86	71	157	109	739	848	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 7—(Civil).

Statement showing the number and description of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1894.

CLASS OF TRIBUNALS.	Suits for money or moveable property.	Suits under the Rent Law.					Title and other suits.								Total.	REMARKS.
		Arrears of rent with or without ejectment.	Enhancement or abatement of rent.	For penalties or damages or compensation for wrongful act on the part of landlord or tenant.	For ejectment or recovery of possession alone.	All other suits under Rent Law.	Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Suits to establish a right of pre-emption.	Mortgage suits.	Suits relating to religious and other endowments.	Matrimonial suits.	Testamentary suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																
I.—Civil Courts.																
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts	67,679	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	67,679	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	163,545	...	...	...	...	...	18,087	1,624	50	26,514	50	138	5	3,241	213,254	
Small Cause Courts (at the Cantonments)	135	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	135	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	545	...	...	...	...	...	109	14	13	56	17	6	3	213	976	
Total...	231,904	...	...	...	...	...	18,196	1,638	63	26,570	67	144	8	3,454	282,044	
II.—Revenue Courts.																
Unpaid Local Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Other Subordinate Courts	...	429	3,404	507	51	4,152	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,543	
District Courts	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	...	429	3,404	507	51	4,152	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	8,543	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.																
Presidency Small Cause Court	22,292	...	...	...	...	...	327	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22,619	
The City Civil Court	28	...	...	...	...	...	143	14	...	115	...	...	...	64	364	
Superior Courts	113	...	...	...	...	...	8	4	...	42	1	3	10	98	279	
Total...	22,433	...	...	...	...	...	478	18	...	157	1	3	10	162	23,262	
Grand Total...	254,337	429	3,404	507	51	4,152	18,674	1,656	63	26,727	68	147	18	3,616	313,849	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 8—(Civil).

Statement showing the number and value of Suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1894.

VALUE OF SUITS.	Number of Suits instituted in the different Courts.										Total value of suits.	REMARKS.
	Not exceeding Rs. 10.	Ditto Rs. 20.	Ditto Rs. 50.	Ditto Rs. 100.	Ditto Rs. 500.	Ditto Rs. 1,000.	Ditto Rs. 5,000.	Ditto Rs. 10,000.	Exceeding Rs. 10,000.	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.												
I.—Civil Courts.												
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts	43,625	23,248	740	47	19	...	...	...	...	...	5,93,430	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	26,956	33,672	65,910	38,217	40,411	4,667	2,450	141	108	722	279,04,056	
Small Cause Courts (at the Cantonments)	10	20	52	31	22	...	...	...	...	...	8,309	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	4	...	...	94	384	12	205	77	62	138	68,10,967	
Total...	70,595	56,940	66,702	38,389	40,836	4,679	2,655	218	170	860	353,16,762	
II.—Revenue Courts.												
Unpaid Local Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Other Subordinate Courts	2,188	1,404	1,949	1,053	816	52	15	2	1	1,063	4,08,457	
District Courts	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	2,188	1,404	1,949	1,053	816	52	15	2	1	1,063	4,08,457	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.												
Presidency Small Cause Court	8,513	4,217	4,832	2,372	2,203	306	176	...	...	...	13,39,889	
The City Civil Court	2	19	22	35	132	61	81	...	...	12	2,31,791	
Superior Courts	...	...	...	...	...	1	126	61	83	8	51,00,262	
Total...	8,515	4,236	4,854	2,407	2,335	368	383	61	83	20	66,71,942	
Grand Total...	81,298	62,580	73,505	41,849	43,987	5,099	3,053	281	254	1,943	423,97,161	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 9—(Civil).

Statement showing the General Result of the trial of Civil and Revenue Cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras in the year 1894.

Part I.—Civil Suits.

CLASS OF COURTS.	Total number of suits before the Courts.	NUMBER OF SUITS DISPOSED OF.										Pending at the close of the year.	Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Average Duration of Suits.		REMARKS.
		Transferred to Courts in other Provinces.	Without trial.	Without Contest.				On reference to Arbitration.		With Contest.				Contested.	Uncontested.	
				Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed *ex-parte*.	Dismissed *ex-parte*.	For Plaintiff.	For Defendant.	Judgment for Plaintiff in whole or in part.	Judgment for Defendant.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																
I.—Civil Courts.																
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts	74,525	...	9,990	12,465	15,854	18,028	2,394	149	24	6,679	1,861	7,081	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	250,231	...	32,605	21,344	13,018	76,228	1,919	222	66	51,079	17,898	35,852	11,819	127	37	
Small Cause Courts (at the Cantonments)	147	...	20	4	28	60	...	...	...	28	2	5	...	28	38	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	1,652	...	169	113	29	269	...	7	2	314	154	595	337	375	175	
Total...	326,555	...	42,784	33,926	28,929	94,585	4,313	378	92	58,100	19,915	43,533	12,156	...	...	
II.—Revenue Courts.																
Unpaid Local Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Other Subordinate Courts	11,539	...	885	846	78	1,381	417	1	1	2,125	1,408	4,397	3,747	137	107	
District Courts	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	
Total...	11,539	...	885	846	78	1,381	417	1	1	2,125	1,408	4,397	3,747	...	...	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.																
Presidency Small Cause Court	24,795	...	1,349	5,791	3,988	8,409	98	5	2	2,217	972	1,964	297	63	23	
The City Civil Court	588	...	51	14	47	49	15	...	...	154	56	202	126	288	63	
Superior Courts	621	...	72	1	33	72	11	5	...	97	40	290	203	516	292	
Total...	26,004	...	1,472	5,806	4,068	8,530	124	10	2	2,468	1,068	2,456	626	...	...	
Grand Total...	364,098	...	45,141	40,578	33,075	104,496	4,854	389	95	62,693	22,391	50,386	16,529	...	...	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 9—(Civil).

Statement showing the General Result of the trial of Civil and Revenue Cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras in the year 1894.

Part II.—Miscellaneous Cases (Judicial).

CLASS OF COURTS.	Total number of cases before the Courts.	NUMBER OF CASES DISPOSED OF.										Pending at the close of the year.	Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Average duration of cases, contested and uncontested.	REMARKS.
		Transferred to Courts in other Provinces.	Without trial.	Without Contest.				On reference to Arbitration.		With Contest.					
				Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed *ex-parte*.	Dismissed *ex-parte*.	For Plaintiff.	For Defendant.	Judgment for Plaintiff in whole or in part.	Judgment for Defendant.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.															
I.—Civil Courts.															
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals ...	295,249	...	217,433	1,870	18,326	23,381	1,399	5	4	14,941	11,771	6,119	255	20	
Small Cause Courts (at the Cantonments)	80	...	18	1	10	32	...	...	...	6	1	12	7	50	
District Courts	16,123	...	9,309	21	238	2,745	227	...	13	1,394	1,039	1,137	160	58	
Total...	311,452	...	226,760	1,892	18,574	26,158	1,626	5	17	16,341	12,811	7,268	422	...	
II.—Revenue Courts.															
Unpaid Local Tribunals... ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Other Subordinate Courts ...	10,987	...	8,950	193	27	877	66	...	...	242	76	556	281	24	
District Courts	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	10,987	...	8,950	193	27	877	66	...	...	242	76	556	281	...	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.															
Presidency Small Cause Court ...	3,345	...	1,404	26	196	381	157	...	...	625	432	124	23	15	
The City Civil Court	805	...	442	1	5	2	28	...	...	140	132	55	4	37	
Superior Courts...	*3,010	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	* The total number disposed of.
Total ..	7,160	...	1,846	27	201	383	185	...	...	765	564	179	27	...	
Grand Total...	329,599	...	237,556	2,112	18,802	27,418	1,877	5	17	17,348	13,451	8,003	730	...	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 10—(Civil).

Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts of the Province of Madras in the year 1894.

Part I.—Appeals from Decrees.

CLASS OF COURTS.	Total number of Appeals before the Courts.	Transferred to Courts in other Provinces.	Decisions confirmed, Section 551, C. P. C.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard *ex-parte*.				Contested.				Pending.	Of these pending more than three months.	Average duration of Appeals.	Objections under Section 561, Act XIV of 1882.	REMARKS.
					Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																	
Appeals from original decrees.																	
A.—Civil Courts.																	
District Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	3,916	...	14	126	28	32	10	3	1,536	306	444	148	1,269	446	131	249	
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts	11,336	...	279	572	49	40	30	15	3,568	580	1,012	513	4,678	2,905	259	275	
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	15,252	...	293	698	77	72	40	18	5,104	886	1,456	661	5,947	3,351	...	524	
B.—Revenue Courts.																	
Collectors' Appellate Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.																	
Chief Court of Province. — Appeals from Original decrees ...	575	...	...	18	9	1	...	1	167	36	43	20	280	229	389	18	
Chief Court of Province. — Appeals from Appellate decrees ...	3,688	...	215	452	22	4	3	...	892	67	118	83	1,832	1,619	304	156	
Total...	4,263	...	215	470	31	5	3	1	1,059	103	161	103	2,112	1,848	...	174	
Grand Total...	19,515	...	508	1,168	108	77	43	19	6,163	989	1,617	764	8,059	5,199	...	698	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 10—(Civil).

Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts of the Province of Madras in the year 1894.

Part II.—Miscellaneous (Judicial) cases before Appellate Courts.

CLASS OF COURTS.	Miscellaneous cases before Appellate Courts.	Transferred to Courts in other Provinces.	Decisions confirmed, Section 551, C. P. C.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard *ex-parte*.				Contested.				Pending.	Of these pending more than three months.	Average duration of Miscellaneous cases before Appellate Courts.	Objections under Section 561, Act XIV of 1882.	REMARKS.
					Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																	
Appeals from original orders.																	
A.—Civil Courts.																	
District Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	97	...	3	6	2	...	3	1	35	4	13	10	20	...	68	1	
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts	411	...	37	29	10	...	8	4	173	11	57	26	56	...	89	4	
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	508	...	40	35	12	...	11	5	208	15	70	36	76	...	...	5	
B.—Revenue Courts.																	
Collectors' Appellate Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.																	
Chief Court of Province. Appeals from Original orders ...	198	...	...	6	3	1	9	2	74	2	18	31	52	42	458	...	
Chief Court of Province. Appeals from Appellate orders ...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	5	4	424	...	
Total...	207	...	...	6	3	1	9	2	78	2	18	31	57	46	...	...	
Grand Total...	715	...	40	41	15	1	20	7	286	17	88	67	133	46	...	5	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 11—(Civil).

Statement showing the Result of Proceedings on Applications for the Execution of the Decrees of the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1894.

CLASS OF COURTS.	Total Number of Applications for the execution of Decrees before the Courts.	APPLICATIONS DISPOSED OF.				Pending at the end of the year.	Number of Applications pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Amount realized.	NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS.												REMARKS.
		By Transfer.	Satisfaction obtained in full.	Satisfaction obtained in part.	Wholly infructuous.				On which the judgment-debtor was imprisoned.	On which he was arrested, but released without imprisonment.	On which Moveable property: Was sold.	On which Moveable property: Was attached, but subsequently released.	On which Immoveable property: Was sold.	On which Immoveable property: Was dealt with under Sections 305, 322 or 326, Act XIV of 1882.	On which Immoveable property: Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 275.	On which Possession was given: Of Moveables.	On which Possession was given: Of Immoveables.	On which specific performance was enforced.	On which partition was effected.	On which execution was effected otherwise than in the preceding columns.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																					
I.—Civil Courts.																					
Unpaid Tribunals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	1,28,917	598	35,894	21,557	49,534	21,334	2,477	40,22,995	918	7,570	2,038	2,095	10,202	209	2,082	55	6,830	78	63	74,785	
Small Cause Courts (Cantt.)	57	...	22	15	15	5	2	2,234	1	2	3	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	1,155	102	264	168	231	390	173	4,65,158	7	17	10	26	53	3	16	5	42	1	11	472	
Total...	130,129	700	36,180	21,740	49,780	21,729	2,652	44,90,387	926	7,589	2,051	2,126	10,255	212	2,098	60	6,932	79	74	75,298	
II.—Revenue Courts.																					
Unpaid Local Tribunals...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Other Subordinate Courts.	597	1	289	4	87	216	107	5,445	...	2	3	12	...	...	3	...	4	17	...	339	
District Courts	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	597	1	289	4	87	216	107	5,445	...	2	3	12	...	...	3	...	4	17	...	339	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.																					
Presidency Small Cause Court	17,677	803	4,599	556	9,095	2,624	756	2,13,674	443	566	347	623	...	...	...	...	82	...	...	12,189	
The City Civil Court ...	354	3	105	14	96	136	56	23,354	4	15	1	8	16	19	18	...	31	...	...	103	
Superior Courts ...	528	43	89	4	28	364	...	...	2	8	1	2	4	...	1	...	24	...	...	79	
Total...	18,559	849	4,793	574	9,219	3,124	812	2,37,028	449	589	349	633	20	19	19	...	137	...	...	12,371	
Grand Total...	1,49,285	1,550	41,262	22,318	59,086	25,069	3,571	47,32,860	1,375	8,180	2,403	2,771	10,275	231	2,120	60	7,073	96	74	88,008	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 12—(Civil).

Statement showing the Number and Result of Applications and Proceedings under Chapter XX, Act XIV of 1882, in the Province of Madras in the year 1894.

CLASS OF COURTS.	APPLICATIONS FOR A DECLARATION OF INSOLVENCY.								Number of Insolvents discharged during the year under Section 355.	Number of Insolvents' Estates in the hands of Receivers in which Proceedings were finally closed during the year.	AMOUNT OF CREDITORS' CLAIMS DEALT WITH DURING THE YEAR.		GROSS AMOUNT OF INSOLVENTS' ASSETS REALIZED AND DISBURSED.		REMARKS.
	Total number for hearing.	Transferred to another Province, withdrawn, &c.	Granted.		Rejected.			Pending at the close of the year.			Admitted.	Satisfied.	Realized during the year.	Disbursed during the year.	
			A Receiver being appointed.	A Receiver not being appointed.	Penal Proceedings under Section 359 not being taken.	Sentence of Imprisonment being passed under Section 359.	Applicant being sent to the Magistrate to be dealt with.								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	540	186	15	88	130	7	...	114	28	2	3,896	1,473	1,647	1,628	
Chief Courts of Districts.	13	6	...	2	2	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Superior Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	553	192	15	90	132	7	...	117	28	2	3,896	1,473	1,647	1,628	

APPENDIX.

II.—Special Statements.

A.

Statement showing the territorial jurisdictions of District, Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts as they stood on 31st December 1894.

DISTRICTS.	Names of District, Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts.	Names of Revenue Taluks comprized within the jurisdiction of each Court.
Arcot (North)	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
	Vellore Munsifi	The Revenue Taluks of Vellore and Gudiyatam and the Zemindari of Kangundi.
	Arni do.	The Revenue Taluks of Arcot, Polur and Wandiwash and the Jaghir of Arni.
	Sholinghur do.	The Revenue Taluk of Walaja, and Pallipat and Tirutani Taluks of the Zemindari of Karvetnagar.
	Chittoor do.	The Revenue Taluks of Chittoor and Palmaner, the Mogarala Palayam in Chandragiri Taluk and the Punganur Zemindari.
	Tirupati do.	The Revenue Taluk of Chandragiri (except Mogarala Palayam), the Kalahasti Zemindari (except Kachinad Taluk) and Narayanavanam Taluk of the Karvetnagar Zemindari.
Arcot (South)	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
	Cuddalore Munsifi	The Revenue Taluk of Cuddalore.
	Chidambaram do.	The Revenue Taluk of Chidambaram *less* the Srimushnam Division.
	Villupuram do.	The Revenue Taluk of Villupuram.
	Vriddachalam do.	The Revenue Taluk of Vriddhachalam and the Srimushnam Division of the Revenue Taluk of Chidambaram.
	Tirukoilur do.	The Revenue Taluks of Tirukoilur and Kallakurichi.
	Tindivanam do.	The Revenue Taluks of Tindivanam and Tiruvannamalai.
Bellary	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
	Sub-Court, Bellary and Salem	*Ordinary and Small Cause Jurisdiction* :—The Municipal limits of the Town of Bellary.
	Bellary Munsifi	The Revenue Taluks of Bellary, Rayadrug and Alur.
	Gooti do.	The Revenue Taluks of Tadpatri, Adoni and Gooti.
	Penukonda do.	The Revenue Taluks of Anantapur, Dharmavaram, Penukonda, Hindupur and Madagasira.
	Narayanadeverkarai do.	The Revenue Taluks of Hospet, Kudligi, Hadagali and Harpanahalli.
Canara (South)	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
	Sub-Court, Mangalore	Do. :— Do.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The whole of the Mangalore Munsifi, 21 maganes in the Karkal Munsifi and 2 maganes in the Kasaragod Munsifi.
	Mangalore Munsifi	5 maganes of the Revenue Taluk of Kasaragod and the Revenue Taluk of Mangalore except (a) the eastern portion consisting of 16 maganes, (b) the northern portion lying to the north of the Sashittal river and (c) the village of Kallamundkur in Murnad magane.
	Kasaragod do.	The Revenue Taluk of Kasaragod except (a) 5 maganes included in the Mangalore Munsifi and 4 others included in the Puttur Munsifi, (b) 15 villages of the Vittal magane and (c) the Ishwaramangal division of the Nettanige magane.
	Udipi do.	Nearly all the western portion of the Revenue Taluk of Udipi excepting 8 villages which were transferred to the Kundapur Munsifi.
	Kundapur do.	The Revenue Taluk of Kundapur and a portion of the Udipi Taluk and 8 villages transferred from the Udipi Munsifi.
	Karkal do.	The northern portion of the Mangalore Taluk lying to the north of the Sashittal river and the village of Kallamundkur in Murnad magane, most of the eastern portion of the Mangalore Taluk comprising 12 out of the 16 maganes excluded from the Mangalore Munsifi, a portion of the Udipi Taluk and the Mujur magane in the Uppinangadi Taluk.
	Puttur do.	The whole of the Uppinangudi Taluk except the Mujur magane included in the Karkal Munsifi, 4 maganes of the Mangalore Taluk, and a portion of the Kasaragod Taluk.
Chingleput	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
	Chingleput Munsifi	The Revenue Taluks of Chingleput and Madrantakam.
	Tiruvallur do.	The Revenue Taluk of Tiruvallur, the Revenue Taluk of Ponneri except 116 villages included in the Poonamallee Munsifi, and the Kachinad Taluk of the Kalahastri Zemindari.
	Poonamallee do.	The Revenue Taluk of Saidapet and 116 villages forming that portion of the Revenue Taluk of Ponneri which lies to the east of the Madras—Nellore road and to the south of the road leading from Tachur to Pulicat.
	Conjeeveram do.	The Revenue Taluk of Conjeeveram.
Coimbatore	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
	Sub-Court, Ootacamund	The Revenue Taluks of Ootacamund, Coonoor and Gudalur.
	Coimbatore Munsifi	The Revenue Taluk of Coimbatore, and the portion of the Palladam Taluk lying to the south of the Madras Railway.
	Erode do.	The Revenue Taluks of Erode and Bhavani, a part of the Palladam Taluk except the portion lying to the south of the Madras Railway, and the portion of the Satyamangalam Taluk lying below the ghats.
	Kollegal do.	The Kollegal Taluk and the portion of the Satyamangalam Taluq not included in the Erode Munsifi.
	Udumalpet do.	The Taluks of Pollachi and Udumalpet and a portion of the Dharapuram Taluk.
	Karur do.	The Taluk of Karur, the portion of the Dharapuram Taluk not included in the Udumalpet Munsifi and the portion of the Palladam Taluk not included in the Coimbatore and Erode Munsifis.
	Gudalur do.	Namolecode, Munu Nad, Cherakode of S. E. Wynaad and the Ouchterlony valley.
Cuddapah	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
	Cudddapah Munsifi	The Taluks of Cuddapah and Pulivendla and all the villages in the Royachoti Taluk except those included in the Madanapalle Munsifi.
	Proddutur do.	The Taluks of Jammalamadugu and Proddutur.
	Nandalur do.	The Taluks of Sidhout, Pullempet and Badvel.
	Madanapalle do.	The Taluks of Madanapalle, Kadiri and Vayalpad and 42 villages in the Royachoti Taluk.
Ganjam	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The District Munsifi of Berhampore.
	Aska Munsifi	The Revenue Taluk of Gumsur.
	Berhampore do.	The Berhampore Taluk excluding Budarasingi, Jalantra Jarada and the Itchapur Division.

A.

Statement showing the territorial jurisdictions of District, Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts as they stood on 31st December 1894.—(*Continued*).

DISTRICTS.	Names of District, Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts.	Names of Revenue Taluks comprized within the jurisdiction of each Court.
Ganjam	Sompeta Munsifi	The sub-divisions of Parlakimidi and Tekkali of the Chicacole Taluk except the Varanasi, Kotturu and Sarvakota khandams in the former and the Talagam and Raghunadapuram khandams in the latter and the portion of the Berhampore Taluk not included in the Berhampore Munsifi.
	Chicacole do.	The sub-divisions of Narasannapeta and Chicakole and the portions of the Parlakimidi and Tekkali sub-divisions not included in the Sompeta Munsifi.
Godavari	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The whole District.
	Sub-Court, Cocanada	*Do.* :—The District Munsifis of Amalapur, Cocanada and Peddapur. *Small Cause Jurisdiction*:— Do. and Rajahmundry.
	Do. Ellore	*Ordinary and Small Cause Jurisdiction*:—The District Munsifis of Ellore and Tanuku in the Godavari District and the District Munsifis of Gudivada and Bezwada and 14 villages in the Guntur Munsifi in the Kistna District.
	Rajahmundry Munsifi	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Rajahmundry except five muttahs in Agency tracts, 44 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Ramachendrapuram, 54 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Amalapur and 123 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Yernagudem.
	Peddapur do.	The Zemindari Taluks of Tuni and Pittapur, the Revenue Taluk of Peddapur with the exception of the Jaddangi muttah in the Agency tracts, 19 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Cocanada and 25 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Ramachendrapuram.
	Cocanada do.	The sub-division of Coringa, all the villages in the Revenue Taluk of Cocanada not included in the Peddapur Munsifi; and 104 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Ramachendrapuram.
	Amalapur do.	138 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Amalapur and the Nagaram sub-division of the Revenue Taluk of Narsapur.
	Narsapur do.	86 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Narsapur and 92 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Bhimavaram.
	Ellore do.	The Revenue Taluk of Ellore, 34 villages in the Yernagudem Revenue Taluk and 56 villages in the Bhimavaram Revenue Taluk.
	Tanuku do.	The Revenue Taluk of Tanuku.
Kistna...	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The whole District
	Masulipatam Munsifi	The Revenue Taluk of Bandar except the Kuchipidi Agraharam.
	Bezwada do.	The Revenue Taluks of Bezwada and Nandigama, the Visannapet sub-division, the Nuzvid Zemindari, except the Medur and Vuyyur pergannas.
	Gudivada do.	The Revenue Taluk of Gudivada with the Medur and Vuyyur pergannas of the Nuzvid Zemindari and the Kuchipidi Agraharam of the Bandar Taluk.
	Guntur do.	The Guntur Taluk, 21 villages in the Narsaraopet Taluk, 70 villages in the Repalli Taluk, 14 villages in the Bapatla Taluk and 87 villages in the Sattenapalli Taluk.
	Bapatla do.	105 villages in the Repalli Taluk, 106 villages in the Bapatla Taluk and 53 villages in the Narsaraopet Taluk.
	Narsaraopet do.	The Palnad Taluk, the Vinukonda Taluk, 99 villages in the Narsaraopet Taluk and 76 villages in the Sattenapalle Taluk.
Kurnool	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The whole District.
	Kurnool Munsifi	The Revenue Taluks of Pattikonda, Ramallakot and Nandikotkur and 15 villages in the Nandyal Taluk.
	Nandyal do.	The Revenue Taluks of Koilkuntla and Sirvel and the whole of the Nandyal Taluk except the 15 villages included in the Kurnool Munsifi.
	Markapur do.	The Revenue Taluks of Kumbum and Markapur.
Madura	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The whole District.
	Sub-Court Madura (East)	*Do.* :—The Zemindaries of Ramnad and Sivaganga and the estates situated within their limits. *Small Cause Jurisdiction*:—Do. do. except the Zemindari Taluks of Ramnad, Sikkal, Rajasingamangalam Mudukulattur, Arunuthumangalam, Anumandakudi, Kelakadupatti and Pappangulam.
	Do. Madura (West)	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The whole District except the Zemindaries of Ramnad and Sivaganga. *Small Cause Jurisdiction*:—Do. do. and except the Revenue Taluk of Palni.
	Madura Munsifi	The area lying within the present local limits of the Municipality of Madura, that portion of the Revenue Taluk of Madura (comprising 92 villages) which lies to the south of Vaigai river and the whole of the Revenue Taluk of Melur.
	Tirumangalam do.	The Revenue Taluk of Tirumangalam and that portion of the Revenue Taluk of Madura which is not included in the jurisdiction of the District Munsif of Madura.
	Sivaganga do.	The portions of the Sivaganga Zemindari comprised in the Zemindari Taluks of (1) Sivaganga, (2) Tirunagampatti, (3) Solapuram, (4) Tirupatur and (5) Elavankottai.
	Paramagudi do.	The portions of the Ramnad Zemindari comprised in the Zemindari Taluks of (1) Ramnad, (2) Rajasingamangalam, (3) Anumanthangudi and (4) Mudukolathur.
	Manamadura do.	Those portions of the Zemindaries of Sivaganga and Ramnad which are not included in the jurisdiction of the District Munsifs of Sivaganga and Paramagudi, comprising the Zemindari Taluks of (1) Tiruppuvanam, (2) Pallimadam, (3) Kamuthi, (4) Mana Madura and (5) Ilyangudi.
	Dindigul do.	The Revenue Taluks of Dindigul, Palni and Periyakulam.
Malabar (North)	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The Revenue Taluks of Chirakal, Kottayam and Kurumbranad and 7 amshoms in the Wynaad Taluk.
	Sub-Court, Tellicherry	*Do.* :— Do. do. *Small Cause Jurisdiction*:—Do. except the said 7 amshoms in the Wynaad Taluk.
	Tellicherry Munsifi	14 amshoms in Kottayam Taluk.
	Cannanore do.	7 amshoms in Kottayam Taluk and 20 amshoms in Chirakal Taluk.
	Taliparamba do.	23 amshoms in Chirakal Taluk.
	Badagara do.	15 amshoms in Kurumbranad Taluk.
	Quilandi do.	18 amshoms in Kurumbranad Taluk.
	Panoor do.	7 amshoms in Kottayam Taluk and 10 amshoms in Kurumbranad Taluk.
	Payoli do.	14 amshoms in Kurumbranad Taluk.
Malabar (South)	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The Revenue Taluks of Calicut, Ernad, Ponani, Palghat, Walwanad and Cochin and 6 amshoms in the Wynaad Taluk.
	Sub-Court, Calicut	*Do.* :—The Taluks of Calicut, Ernad and Ponani; 3 amshoms in the Walwanad Taluk and 6 amshoms in the Wynaad Taluk. *Small Cause Jurisdiction*:—The District Munsifis of Calicut, Shernad and Betutnad.
	Do., Palghat	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The Taluk of Palghat and the whole of the Walwanad Taluk except the three amshoms included in the Calicut Sub-Court's jurisdiction. *Small Cause Jurisdiction*:—The District Munsifis of Palghat, Temelprom and Nedunganad.

A.

Statement showing the territorial jurisdictions of District, Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts as they stood on 31st December 1894.—(*Continued*).

DISTRICTS.	Names of District, Subordinate Judges and District Munsifs' Courts.	Names of Revenue Taluks, comprized within the jurisdiction of each Court.
Malabar (South)	Sub-Court, Cochin	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The Taluk of Cochin.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The town of Cochin.
	Calicut Munsifi	The whole of the Calicut Taluk except the Pannicode amshom.
	Shernad do.	26 amshoms of the Ernad Taluk and the Pariyapuram amshom of the Ponani Taluk.
	Ernad do.	The portion of the Ernad Taluk not included in the Shernad Munsifi, the Pannicode amshom of the Calicut Taluk and 3 amshoms of the Walwanad Taluk.
	Betutnad do.	27 amshoms of the Ponani Taluk.
	Chavakad do.	The southernmost portion of the Ponani Taluk consisting of 21 amshoms and conterminous with the Sub-Magistrate's division of Chavakad.
	Kutnad do.	The portion of the Ponani Taluk not included in the Shernad, Betutnad and Chavakad Munsifis.
	Angadipuram do.	31 amshoms of the Walwanad Taluk
	Palghat do.	21 amshoms of the Palghat Taluk.
	Vayitri do.	The Taluk of Wynaad.
	Anjengo do.	The two amshoms of the Cochin Taluk.
	Temelprom do.	The portion of the Palghat Taluk not included in the Munsifis of Palghat and Nedunganad.
	Nedunganad do.	The portion of the Walwanad Taluk not included in the Ernad and Angadipuram Munsifis and 6 amshoms of the Palghat Taluk.
Nellore	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The District Munsifi of Nellore.
	Nellore Munsifi	The Taluks of Gudur and Rapur, the portions of the Taluks of Nellore and Atmakur which lie to the south of the Pennar river, and the Zemindari Divisions of Venkatagiri and Polur.
	Kavali do.	The Taluks of Kavali and Udayagiri, the portions of the Taluks of Nellore and Atmakur which lie to the north of the Pennar river, and 32 villages of the Kandukur Taluk situated to the south of the Manner river.
	Kanigiri do.	The remainder of Kandukur Taluk, the Taluk of Kanigiri and the Zemindari Divisions of Podili and Darsi.
	Ongole do.	The Taluk of Ongole.
Salem	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The Municipal limits of the Town of Salem.
	Sub-Court, Bellary and Salem	*Ordinary and Small Cause Jurisdiction* :— Do.
	Salem Munsifi	The Revenue Taluks of Salem and Atur and 148 villages in the Sankaridrug division forming the northern portion of the Tiruchengod Taluk and extending as far as 10 miles to the south of the Madras Railway line which runs through this part of the Taluk east to west.
	Namakal do.	The Revenue Taluk of Namakal and 450 villages forming the southern portion of the Tiruchengod Taluk.
	Tirupatur do.	The Revenue Taluks of Tirupatur and Uttankarai and 495 villages forming the southern portion of the Dharmapuri Taluk.
	Krishnagiri do.	The Revenue Taluks of Krishnagiri and Hosur and 243 villages forming the northern part of the Dharmapuri Taluk.
Tanjore	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
	Sub-Court, Tanjore	*Ordinary and Small Cause Jurisdiction* :—The Munsifis of Tanjore, Tiruvadi, Mannargudi and Patukota.
	Do. Kumbakonum	Do. The Munsifis of Kumbakonum, Valangiman, Mayavaram and Shiyali.
	Do. Negapatam	Do. The Munsifis of Negapatam, Tiruvalur and Tirutturaipundi.
	Tanjore Munsifi	Portion of Tanjore Taluk, comprising 14 maganams and the Zemindari of Gandarvakota.
	Tiruvadi do.	The remainder of the Tanjore Taluk, comprising 10 maganams and the maganam of Unbalapadi in Kumbakonum Taluk.
	Kumbakonum do. ..	Portion of Kumbakonum Taluk comprising four maganams.
	Valangiman do. ...	The remainder of Kumbakonum Taluk comprising 12 maganams.
	Patukota do. ...	Patukota Taluk.
	Mannargudi do. ...	Mannargudi Taluk (except Sendangudi Vadamugam and Sendangudi Tenmugam).
	Tirutturaipundi do. ...	The said two maganams of Mannargudi Taluk, the whole of Tirutturaipundi Taluk and Sattiagudi and Kilayur maganams of Negapatam Taluk.
	Negapatam do. ...	5 maganams in Negapatam Taluk and 2 maganams in Nannilam Taluk.
	Tiruvalur do. ...	The remainder of Negapatam Taluk and the whole of Nannilam Taluk except 2 maganams.
	Mayavaram do. ...	The whole of Mayavaram Taluk except 4 maganams.
	Shiyali do. ...	The whole of Shiyali Taluk and 4 maganams in Mayavaram Taluk.
Tinnevelly	District Court ...	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
	Sub-Court, Tinnevelly ...	*Do.* Do.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The whole District except the Taluk of Srivilliputtur and the Vilatikulam and Otapidaram Divisions of the Otapidaram Taluk.
	Tinnevelly Munsifi	The Revenue Taluks of Tinnevelly and Nanguneri.
	Srivilliputtur do, ...	The Revenue Taluks of Srivilliputtur and Sankaranainarkoil.
	Ambasamudram do.	The Revenue Taluks of Ambasamudram and Tenkasi.
	Srivaikuntam do.	The Revenue Taluk of Tenkarai.
	Tuticorin do.	The Revenue Taluk of Otapidaram with the exception of the Vilatikulam division.
	Satur do.	The Revenue Taluk of Satur and the Vilatikulam division of the Revenue Taluk of Otapidaram.
Trichinopoly	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The District Munsifi of Trichinopoly.
	Trichinopoly Munsifi	The Municipality of Trichinopoly and all that part of the Revenue Taluk of Trichinopoly which lies east of the high road from Trichinopoly to Pudukota and south of the river Cauveri.
	Kulittalai do.	The Revenue Taluks of Kulittalai and Musiri.
	Ariyalur do.	The Revenue Taluks of Perambalur and Udayarpalayam.
	Srirengam do.	That portion of the Revenue Taluk of Trichinopoly which is not comprised in the jurisdiction of the District Munsif of Trichinopoly (including the Iluppur maganam).

A.

Statement showing the territorial jurisdictions of District, Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts as they stood on 31st December 1894.—(*Concluded*).

DISTRICTS.	Names of District, Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts.	Names of Revenue Taluks comprized within the jurisdiction of each Court.
Vizagapatam	District Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The whole District.
	Vizagapatam Munsifi	The Revenue Taluks of Bimilipatam, Vizagapatam and Anakapalle.
	Ellamanchili do.	The Revenue Taluks of Sarvasidhi and Golgonda.
	Chodavaram do.	The Revenue Taluks of Viravalli and Srungavarapukota.
	Vizianagaram do.	The Revenue Taluks of Vizianagaram and Gajapatinagaram.
	Razam do.	The Revenue Taluks of Palkonda and Chepurapalle excepting 10 villages transferred to the Parvatipur Munsifi.
	Parvatipur do.	The Revenue Taluks of Parvatipur, Salur and Bobbili, and 10 villages transferred from the Rajam Munsifi.

B.

Statement showing the relative contribution of the several Districts of the Presidency to the aggregate litigation of the year 1894, and the ratio of population to suits.

DISTRICTS.	Population.	Suits.			Ratio of population to suits.	Appeals.		Total of suits and appeals.	Percentage to total.
		Before Village Munsifs' Courts.	Before Regular Courts.	Total.		To District and Subordinate Judges' Courts.	To High Court.		
Tanjore	2,228,114	11,859	28,976	40,835	55	715	143	41,693	13·82
Madura	2,608,404	11,626	16,700	28,326	92	912	149	29,387	9·74
Kistna	1,855,582	5,372	18,082	23,454	79	726	672	24,852	8·23
Tinnevelly	1,916,095	6,471	14,036	20,507	93	392	69	20,968	6·95
Malabar (South)	1,753,311	946	16,636	17,582	100	988	171	18,741	6·21
Malabar (North)	899,254	1,185	15,664	16,849	53	632	113	17,594	5·83
Godavari	1,951,645	2,809	13,415	16,224	120	769	108	17,101	5·67
Do. (Agency)	127,137	...	352	352	361	7	...	359	·12
Arcot (South)	2,162,851	1,628	13,169	14,797	146	235	59	15,091	5·01
Coimbatore	2,104,636	4,759	9,705	14,464	146	341	37	14,842	4·92
Arcot (North)	2,114,917	2,254	11,644	13,898	152	268	45	14,211	4·71
Trichinopoly	1,372,717	3,714	8,586	12,300	112	225	19	12,544	4·16
Chingleput	1,202,498	3,816	7,413	11,229	107	301	68	11,598	3·84
Salem	1,962,591	2,403	8,762	11,165	176	214	52	11,431	3·79
Vizagapatam	1,943,211	1,820	7,313	9,133	213	453	73	9,659	3·20
Do. (Agency)	859,781	152	536	688	1,250	36	...	724	·24
Nellore	1,463,736	1,815	6,300	8,115	180	402	65	8,582	2·84
Ganjam	1,589,477	1,481	6,061	7,542	211	294	36	7,872	2·61
Do. (Agency)	307,326	...	131	131	2,346	4	...	135	·04
Bellary	1,608,675	1,214	6,037	7,251	208	305	29	7,585	2·51
Canara (South)	1,056,081	832	5,121	5,953	177	479	128	6,560	2·17
Cuddapah	1,272,072	678	5,102	5,780	220	236	42	6,058	2·00
Kurnool	817,811	845	3,167	4,012	204	169	18	4,199	1·39
Total...	35,177,922	67,679	222,908	290,587	121	9,103	2,096	301,786	100·00
Average per District...	...	3,384	11,148	14,532	121	455	105	15,032	...
Madras...	452,518	...	23,262	23,262	19	...	41	23,303	...
Total for the Presidency...	35,630,440	67,679	246,170	313,849	114	9,103	2,137	325,089	...

C 1.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the Village Munsifs' Courts in the years 1892, 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICTS.	No. of Village Munsifs who tried cases.			Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.		
	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.
Arcot (North)	164	181	191	2,232	2,468	2,254	2,154	2,491	2,316	276	253	191
Do. (South)	102	72	88	1,473	1,278	1,628	1,438	1,255	1,604	113	136	155
Bellary	25	42	27	1,075	1,178	1,214	1,068	1,174	1,192	44	49	75
Canara (South)	79	70	71	591	681	832	573	708	818	90	55	59
Chingleput	129	125	146	2,832	3,719	3,816	2,734	3,513	4,228	511	746	333
Coimbatore	299	223	200	5,821	4,039	4,759	5,866	5,255	4,702	847	531	588
Cuddapah	43	51	159	317	608	678	275	626	687	102	74	63
Ganjam	63	55	83	1,239	1,408	1,481	1,252	1,314	1,509	77	164	116
Godavari	300	270	193	3,167	2,847	2,809	3,233	2,864	2,948	505	480	207
Kistna	304	303	369	4,063	3,845	5,372	3,948	3,726	5,202	352	465	622
Kurnool	14	18	17	515	1,115	845	484	1,078	811	46	83	114
Madura	279	200	340	10,785	8,815	11,626	10,070	8,582	11,240	1,299	1,091	1,553
Malabar (North)	22	25	21	1,026	1,296	1,185	1,027	1,297	1,235	43	64	45
Do. (South)	71	70	60	1,439	866	946	1,425	934	946	131	63	61
Nellore	175	164	139	1,849	1,513	1,815	1,941	1,526	1,802	145	132	142
Salem	195	189	170	3,219	2,401	2,403	3,141	2,344	2,418	272	333	318
Tanjore	368	383	416	9,335	12,414	11,859	9,164	12,464	11,804	1,374	1,381	1,415
Tinnevelly	322	351	311	6,495	6,257	6,471	6,332	6,602	6,468	996	654	540
Trichinopoly	59	65	94	2,370	2,001	3,714	2,352	1,937	3,631	158	206	297
Vizagapatam	62	66	63	1,570	1,704	1,820	1,572	1,704	1,761	78	74	136
Do. (Agency)	3	2	2	135	120	152	137	105	122	6	21	51
Total	3,078	2,930	3,160	61,488	61,473	67,679	60,186	61,499	67,444	7,465	7,055	7,081

C 2.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in all classes of Regular Courts in the years 1892, 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.												Small Causes.											
	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.						Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.									Total.			More than 3 months.		
	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.
Arcot (North)	4,575	4,695	5,165	4,620	4,754	4,767	1,302	1,333	1,828	55	99	278	4,542	5,313	6,479	4,585	5,480	6,408	343	225	357	9	25	29
Do. (South)	5,400	5,490	5,939	5,618	5,728	5,866	1,041	937	1,110	10	14	17	5,952	6,424	7,230	5,914	6,617	7,113	256	153	313	2	...	4
Bellary	3,171	3,499	3,810	3,039	3,722	4,029	1,250	1,041	1,023	18	14	24	1,361	2,150	2,227	1,305	2,240	2,314	182	273	204	1	5	3
Canara (South)	2,338	2,439	2,378	2,490	2,469	2,514	839	873	808	14	6	4	2,794	2,779	2,743	2,712	2,958	2,782	320	169	173	6	...	2
Chingleput	3,029	3,325	3,543	3,382	3,295	3,797	973	1,055	903	115	48	40	3,644	3,648	3,870	3,767	3,854	3,901	417	248	257	97	25	20
Coimbatore	3,528	3,525	4,006	3,239	3,773	4,094	1,180	1,005	1,008	116	66	64	4,265	4,642	5,699	4,175	4,846	5,541	655	508	723	57	35	80
Cuddapah	2,705	2,740	2,692	2,700	2,899	2,946	973	961	773	33	31	15	2,266	2,424	2,410	2,234	2,424	2,462	241	295	273	7	4	12
Ganjam	3,016	2,971	3,010	3,023	2,956	2,954	677	773	897	7	34	4	2,919	3,058	3,051	2,973	3,000	3,063	156	249	300	11	7	32
Godavari	3,824	4,348	4,271	3,713	4,193	4,846	1,737	2,120	1,746	104	113	173	7,941	8,277	9,144	7,968	8,600	9,261	1,124	968	979	139	204	174
Kistna	6,856	7,151	8,383	8,343	7,651	6,790	2,371	2,287	4,114	161	250	132	6,876	7,526	9,699	6,525	7,483	9,012	1,345	1,398	2,181	117	659	546
Kurnool	1,800	2,025	1,754	1,853	2,110	1,907	451	437	347	...	13	1	1,280	1,652	1,413	1,310	1,656	1,492	87	106	66	...	1	...
Madura	5,966	4,536	5,663	4,308	5,470	5,566	2,917	1,863	2,298	40	95	58	9,002	10,798	11,037	9,153	10,824	11,063	964	1,069	1,174	85	263	190
Malabar (North)	3,445	3,706	3,652	3,312	3,728	3,584	712	736	852	4	...	1	12,234	12,559	12,012	12,132	12,772	12,136	601	492	486	...	1	...
Do. (South)	7,214	6,916	6,314	6,902	7,007	6,679	2,066	2,114	1,916	39	99	38	12,848	11,758	10,322	12,839	12,261	10,586	1,152	837	749	64	7	17
Nellore	2,785	3,020	2,890	2,662	2,863	2,865	558	791	890	19	33	47	3,043	3,084	3,410	3,031	3,131	3,462	268	247	254	14	3	6
Salem	3,654	3,790	3,947	3,983	3,715	3,924	818	960	1,074	42	29	19	4,046	4,679	4,815	4,180	4,516	4,888	377	580	571	8	91	87
Tanjore	6,216	6,071	6,720	7,308	6,166	7,020	2,508	2,564	2,448	95	156	181	18,368	19,200	22,256	18,073	19,916	22 103	2,053	1,562	1,982	174	64	137
Tinnevelly	4,455	4,912	4,725	5,709	5,509	4,917	2,031	1,538	1,624	30	10	51	8,815	9,284	9,311	9,196	9,239	9,257	590	720	880	11	24	37
Trichinopoly	2,797	2,743	3,095	3,088	2,713	3,145	995	1,094	1,104	73	83	36	4,556	4,669	5,491	4,553	4,707	5,501	525	530	560	42	170	30
Vizagapatam	3,848	3,905	3,859	3,640	4,342	3,826	1,199	925	1,002	12	4	5	3,472	3,486	3,454	3,429	3,707	3,369	398	242	345	8	...	57
Total	80,623	81,807	85,816	82,932	85,063	86,036	26,598	25,407	27,765	987	1,197	1,188	120,224	127,410	136,073	120,054	130,231	135,714	12,054	10,871	12,827	852	1,588	1,463
Ganjam (Agency)	127	89	131	116	90	127	16	15	19	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	323	310	352	301	315	336	86	83	105	2	4	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	598	404	536	509	551	507	244	94	133	4	20	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total	1,048	803	1,019	926	956	970	346	192	257	6	25	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total	81,671	82,610	86,835	83,858	86,019	87,006	26,944	25,599	28,022	993	1,222	1,198	120,224	127,410	136,073	120,054	130,231	135,714	12,054	10,871	12,827	852	1,588	1,463

C3.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the Revenue Courts in the years 1892, 1893 and 1894.

REVENUE COURTS.	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.		
	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.
Anantapur	66	43	24	4	150	56	136	29	10	...	...	...
Arcot (North)	610	610	774	745	419	311	142	338	822	...	...	213
Do. (South)	59	24	13	133	34	10	11	1	3	...	...	...
Bellary	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Canara (South)	13	10	16	12	10	17	2	2	1	...	...	...
Chingleput	672	802	685	849	535	941	123	395	143	...	...	...
Coimbatore	32	47	56	35	37	66	2	12	2	...	...	...
Cuddapah	84	44	7	19	97	20	66	13	...	...	...	...
Ganjam	135	103	68	127	108	64	17	14	18	...	...	...
Godavari	301	501	329	180	407	555	180	309	86	...	...	...
Kistna	1,886	3,355	3,725	3,896	3,307	2,352	116	377	1,863	...	...	...
Kurnool	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Madura	606	535	1,235	588	296	774	179	191	843	...	...	8
Malabar (North)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. (South)	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Nellore	30	144	196	52	104	222	3	49	23	...	...	...
Nilgiris	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Salem	211	218	192	305	189	257	29	70	14	...	...	...
Tanjore	627	734	772	1,721	535	893	264	497	415	...	...	...
Tinnevelly	335	699	174	927	953	345	317	64	28	...	...	...
Trichinopoly	161	182	121	210	136	107	34	80	94	...	...	...
Vizagapatam	278	199	139	156	369	137	164	19	26	...	...	...
Total...	6,107	8,253	8,525	9,960	7,689	7,127	1,785	2,460	4,391	...	...	221
Ganjam (Agency)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	...	...	5	1	...	4	...	...	1	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	7	9	13	14	12	11	6	3	5	...	...	...
Total...	7	9	18	15	12	15	6	3	6	...	...	...
Grand Total...	6,114	8,262	8,543	9,975	7,701	7,142	1,791	2,463	4,397	...	...	221

C4.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the District Munsifs' Courts in the years 1892, 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.												Small Causes.											
	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.						Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.									Total.			More than 3 months.		
	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892	1893	1894	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892	1893	1894
Arcot (North)	3,916	4,034	4,357	3,844	4,287	4,414	1,080	912	930	21	48	37	4,542	5,313	6,479	4,585	5,480	6,408	343	225	357	9	25	29
Do. (South)	5,311	5,451	5,904	5,468	5,670	5,833	999	913	1,084	2	2	9	5,952	6,424	7,280	5,914	6,617	7,113	256	153	313	2	...	4
Bellary	3,069	3,439	3,752	3,016	3,539	3,948	1,080	991	983	12	14	24	1,361	1,938	2,028	1,305	2,020	2,015	182	145	204	1	5	3
Canara (South)	2,260	2,343	2,266	2,422	2,367	2,403	792	827	756	6	2	4	2,360	2,363	2,323	2,321	2,441	2,364	214	157	155	1	...	1
Chingleput	2,333	2,487	2,829	2,505	2,721	2,812	813	625	739	93	29	36	3,398	3,475	3,735	3,499	3,604	3,759	327	235	252	23	22	20
Coimbatore	3,366	3,335	3,793	3,073	3,602	3,887	1,108	910	898	112	45	49	3,618	3,883	5,067	3,515	4,022	4,981	507	423	565	34	19	44
Cuddapah	2,616	2,680	2,662	2,677	2,786	2,893	884	923	755	17	20	13	2,266	2,424	2,410	2,234	2,424	2,462	241	295	273	7	4	12
Ganjam	2,857	2,849	2,897	2,873	2,829	2,847	635	733	849	1	25	2	2,893	3,044	3,028	2,953	2,980	3,035	144	242	298	3	3	31
Godavari	3,431	3,740	3,839	3,467	3,675	4,203	1,456	1,710	1,541	80	78	134	6,086	6,362	6,949	6,095	6,579	7,034	599	482	491	30	34	38
Kistna	4,929	3,727	4,594	4,402	4,285	4,369	2,210	1,853	2,195	153	232	115	6,432	6,678	8,672	6,252	6,699	7,816	908	864	1,787	74	323	412
Kurnool	1,774	2,012	1,742	1,839	2,087	1,887	431	427	344	...	8	1	1,280	1,652	1,413	1,310	1,656	1,492	87	106	66	...	1	...
Madura	5,209	3,882	4,297	3,609	5,024	4,628	2,603	1,558	1,364	14	86	47	6,845	8,168	8,388	7,201	8,441	8,042	447	268	710	22	5	190
Malabar (North)	3,371	3,647	3,610	3,244	3,670	3,531	692	701	826	4	...	1	10,352	10,728	10,246	10,282	10,967	10,367	493	343	327	...	1	...
Do. (South)	7,083	6,787	6,191	6,796	6,865	6,555	1,968	2,023	1,819	12	51	16	11,078	10,136	8,795	11,179	10,500	8,956	797	587	556	8	7	11
Nellore	2,721	2,842	2,661	2,587	2,734	2,620	506	683	796	...	2	5	2,945	3,016	3,325	2,934	3,059	3,376	248	226	232	10	2	1
Salem	3,421	3,553	3,724	3,661	3,514	3,630	773	867	1,040	41	27	12	3,976	4,631	4,757	4,094	4,464	4,817	369	559	561	7	91	85
Tanjore	5,408	5,178	5,771	5,388	5,454	5,979	2,147	1,981	1,909	87	152	170	13,995	14,351	16,533	13,941	14,795	16,755	1,179	923	917	51	12	29
Tinnevelly	4,043	4,125	4,448	4,668	4,449	4,475	1,631	1,402	1,513	18	5	40	7,367	7,683	7,739	7,691	7,699	7,691	434	491	633	9	13	20
Trichinopoly	2,603	2,526	2,939	2,844	2,558	2,989	905	937	942	49	43	30	4,299	4,495	5,170	4,381	4,596	5,099	418	359	466	23	29	14
Vizagapatam	3,531	3,659	3,694	3,429	3,884	3,663	987	887	957	4	3	5	3,472	3,486	3,454	3,429	3,707	3,369	398	242	345	8	...	57
Total...	73,252	72,296	75,970	71,812	76,000	77,566	23,700	21,863	22,240	726	872	750	104,517	110,250	117,741	105,115	112,750	116,951	8,591	7,325	9,508	322	596	1,001
Ganjam (Agency)	121	83	115	109	85	110	15	13	15	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	297	280	317	277	282	307	75	74	90	2	1	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	563	378	489	484	533	472	227	80	120	4	19	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	981	741	921	870	900	889	317	167	225	6	21	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total...	74,233	73,037	76,891	72,682	76,900	78,455	24,017	22,030	22,465	732	893	758	104,517	110,250	117,741	105,115	112,750	116,951	8,591	7,325	9,508	322	596	1,001

C 5.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in the years 1892, 1893 and 1894.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.												Small Causes.											
	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.						Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.									Total.			More than 3 months.		
	1892	1893	1894.	1892.	1893	1894	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894	1892	1893	1894
Bellary & Salem — Bellary side	...	3	5	...	28	20	...	20	...	...	...	...	...	212	199	...	220	299	...	128	...	...	...	...
Bellary & Salem — Salem side	2	3	2	14	8	10	6	...	...	...	...	...	57	22	22	86	39	33	8	...	...	1	...	...
Bellary & Salem — Tinnevelly side	...	...	...	24	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bellary & Salem — North Arcot side	...	...	3	...	...	5	...	...	32	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Canara, South (Mangalore)	52	60	62	43	35	72	41	40	35	7	4	...	434	416	420	391	517	418	106	12	18	5	...	1
Godavari — Cocanada	55	67	49	30	63	45	65	69	74	17	25	32	1,311	1,333	1,575	1,344	1,439	1,546	373	293	343	77	33	78
Godavari — Ellore — Godavari side	14	11	21	10	10	22	16	19	19	4	7	3	544	582	620	529	581	681	151	193	145	31	137	58
Godavari — Ellore — Kistna side	14	21	22	3	22	29	26	27	22	4	11	4	444	848	1,027	273	784	1,196	437	534	394	43	336	134
Madura — East	88	67	60	46	92	82	93	54	37	23	5	...	895	1,250	1,058	828	1,002	1,441	184	455	89	62	268	...
Madura — West	41	45	61	44	45	67	29	51	50	...	...	2	1,262	1,380	1,591	1,124	1,381	1,580	333	346	375	1	...	...
Malabar, North (Tellicherry)	74	59	42	67	58	52	20	35	26	...	...	...	1,882	1,831	1,766	1,850	1,805	1,769	108	149	159	...	...	...
Malabar, South — Calicut	39	28	27	38	46	32	34	18	28	7	3	2	461	368	346	425	437	376	111	54	40	15	...	3
Malabar, South — Cochin	48	51	37	45	53	34	10	10	16	...	...	1	143	131	126	135	122	142	18	31	15	...	...	2
Malabar, South — Palghat	36	42	51	19	35	46	49	58	52	20	21	19	1,166	1,123	1,055	1,100	1,202	1,112	226	165	138	41	...	1
Nilgiris (Ootacamund)	94	117	121	101	127	103	45	39	62	4	2	3	647	759	632	660	824	560	148	85	158	23	16	36
Tanjore — Negapatam	51	36	55	53	58	48	40	21	29	4	1	...	1,274	1,402	1,855	1,272	1,502	1,760	269	181	288	52	18	56
Tanjore — Kumbakonam	69	60	67	76	57	52	33	38	57	2	2	7	1.781	1,977	2,103	1,609	2,124	2,028	382	253	348	65	26	33
Tanjore — Tanjore	42	46	40	51	45	35	20	22	31	2	1	4	1,318	1,470	1,765	1,251	1,495	1,560	223	205	429	6	8	19
Tinnevelly	60	75	84	74	85	83	48	60	65	11	2	6	1,448	1,601	1,572	1,503	1,538	1,566	153	229	247	2	11	17
Do. Additional	...	...	...	1	12	...	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	3	...	...	...	...	...
Trichinopoly	...	...	5	...	...	4	...	...	34	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam	18	45	...	33	61	...	23	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	797	836	814	772	970	841	625	594	669	105	84	83	15,067	16,705	17,732	14,382	17,014	18,067	3,233	3,313	3,186	424	843	438
Assistant Agents' Courts.																								
Ganjam (Agency)	6	6	14	7	5	17	1	2	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	...	14	29	23	32	25	11	9	13	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	25	16	33	11	6	23	8	11	8	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	31	36	76	41	43	65	20	22	24	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Cantonment Courts.																								
St. Thomas' Mount	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	226	173	135	248	250	142	90	13	5	74	3	...
Total...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	226	173	135	248	250	142	90	13	5	74	3	...
Grand Total...	828	872	890	813	1,013	906	645	616	693	105	85	85	15,293	16,878	17,867	14,630	17,264	18,209	3,323	3,326	3,191	498	846	438

C 6.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the District Judges' Courts in the years 1892, 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICT COURTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.												Small Causes.											
	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.						Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.									Total.			More than 3 months.		
	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.
Arcot (North)	49	51	31	31	48	37	80	83	44	34	51	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. (South)	30	15	23	17	24	23	31	23	23	8	12	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bellary	36	14	29	19	5	5	34	1	30	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Canara (South)	13	26	34	13	27	22	4	4	16	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Chingleput	24	36	29	28	39	44	37	35	21	22	19	4	20	...	...	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Coimbatore	36	26	36	30	7	38	25	44	46	...	19	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Cuddapah	6	16	23	4	16	33	23	25	18	16	11	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Ganjam	24	19	45	23	19	43	25	26	30	6	9	2	26	14	23	20	20	28	12	7	2	8	4	1
Godavari	23	29	33	26	38	21	20	13	26	3	3	4	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	1	...	...
Kistna	27	48	42	42	37	40	19	30	34	4	7	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Kurnool	26	10	12	14	20	20	20	10	3	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Madura	22	7	10	21	13	15	13	9	4	3	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Malabar (North)	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. (South)	7	8	8	3	8	12	5	5	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Nellore	34	34	33	23	25	23	49	59	71	19	31	42	98	68	85	97	72	86	20	21	22	4	1	5
Salem	20	16	29	3	4	27	10	23	20	1	2	7	13	26	36	...	13	38	...	21	10	...	...	2
Tanjore	19	17	15	19	17	13	4	5	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Tinnevelly	17	13	19	15	10	14	8	12	18	1	3	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Trichinopoly	33	35	30	34	19	45	56	77	34	24	40	6	257	174	321	172	111	402	107	171	94	19	141	16
Vizagapatam	21	2	26	22	28	26	25	6	19	8	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	467	422	507	388	404	502	488	490	465	156	217	134	414	282	465	309	217	554	140	220	128	32	146	24
Ganjam (Agency)...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)...	26	16	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)...	3	1	1	...	...	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	29	17	4	...	1	1	3	...	2	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total...	496	439	511	388	405	503	491	490	467	156	220	134	414	282	465	309	217	554	140	220	128	32	146	24

C 7.

Comparative Statement of Regular Appeals instituted, disposed of and pending in the several Districts in the years 1892, 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICTS.	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.		
	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.
Arcot (North)	353	281	268	205	259	425	447	471	319
Do. (South)	342	366	235	275	397	298	123	94	35
Bellary	201	214	305	125	201	193	180	195	310
Canara (South)	413	394	479	493	563	484	333	183	184
Chingleput	253	299	301	257	322	292	216	195	208
Coimbatore	317	239	341	237	113	237	161	289	394
Cuddapah	176	133	236	53	164	199	208	179	220
Ganjam	232	221	294	235	180	280	48	92	110
Godavari	622	490	769	529	584	540	348	278	517
Kistna	2,673	2,314	726	2,106	2,482	1,013	1,060	893	613
Kurnool	108	105	169	86	126	123	60	41	89
Madura	447	521	912	468	549	1,134	230	222	91
Malabar (North)	547	621	632	556	632	625	132	130	142
Do. (South)	920	771	988	773	938	950	707	553	605
Nellore	359	346	402	382	451	262	593	499	643
Salem	317	293	214	304	212	409	163	252	76
Tanjore	1,001	710	715	723	821	827	465	369	303
Tinnevelly	441	538	392	509	537	387	351	357	365
Trichinopoly	251	274	225	165	125	292	301	456	392
Vizagapatam	361	478	453	426	715	280	379	151	328
Total...	10,334	9,608	9,056	8,857	10,371	9,250	6,505	5,899	5,944
Ganjam (Agency)	7	1	4	7	...	6	...	1	...
Godavari (do.)	8	10	7	17	7	8	...	3	2
Vizagapatam (do.)	15	18	36	18	16	41	2	5	1
Total...	30	29	47	42	23	55	2	9	3
Grand Total ...	10,364	9,637	9,103	8,899	10,394	9,305	6,507	5,908	5,947

C 8.

Comparative Statement of Miscellaneous Appeals instituted, disposed of and pending in the several Districts in the years 1892, 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICTS.	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.		
	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1892.	1893.	1894.
Arcot (North)	19	24	11	14	16	26	11	19	4
Do. (South)	14	8	5	14	10	7	3	2	...
Bellary	30	10	7	28	14	9	8	4	2
Canara (South)...	62	11	29	70	14	20	16	1	10
Chingleput	36	13	9	35	13	11	2	2	...
Coimbatore	21	22	16	21	16	19	1	7	4
Cuddapah	14	8	20	8	13	21	7	3	2
Ganjam	2	5	12	2	4	13	1	2	1
Godavari	73	54	32	67	65	39	18	8	3
Kistna...	24	17	36	15	19	37	5	4	3
Kurnool	2	4	4	4	3	5	...	1	...
Madura	55	33	26	49	35	29	14	9	7
Malabar (North)	89	56	29	90	58	36	11	8	2
Do. (South)	101	54	55	101	73	40	21	2	17
Nellore	13	16	14	10	9	12	1	1	4
Salem	9	15	13	10	12	18	2	5	...
Tanjore	54	49	38	49	45	44	8	12	7
Tinnevelly	41	27	13	39	32	13	9	4	4
Trichinopoly	21	11	16	14	20	14	8	...	2
Vizagapatam	18	11	9	19	10	8	1	2	4
Total...	698	453	394	663	481	421	147	96	76
Ganjam (Agency)	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	6	3	2	4	4	2	1	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	...	...	7	...	...	7	...	...	...
Total...	6	3	11	4	4	11	1	...	...
Grand Total...	704	456	405	667	485	432	148	96	76

D 1.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in all classes of Regular Courts except Village Munsifs', in each District in the years 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICTS.	1893.												1894.											
	SUITS FO MONEY OR MOVEABLE PROPERTY.		Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.								Total.	SUITS FOR MONEY OR MOVEABLE PROPERTY.		Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.								Total.
	Ordinary.	Small Causes.		Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Suits to establish a right of pre-emption.	Mortgage suits.	Suits relating to religious and other endowments.	Matrimonial suits.	Testamentary suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.		Ordinary.	Small Causes.		Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Suits to establish a right of pre-emption.	Mortgage suits.	Suits relating to religious and other endowments.	Matrimonial suits.	Testamentary suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	
Arcot (North) ...	1,561	5,313	610	896	39	1	1,391	4	8	...	185	10,008	1,841	6,479	774	823	50	9	1,547	3	6	...	112	11,644
Do. (South) ...	1,413	6,424	24	1,070	153	...	2,718	2	6	1	103	11,914	1,649	7,230	12	1,021	147	...	2,996	1	6	...	107	13,169
Bellary ...	2,351	2,150	43	450	17	...	556	1	2	...	79	5,349	2,617	2,227	24	474	5	2	598	...	3	...	87	6,037
Canara (South) ...	1,192	2,779	10	656	36	1	307	3	1	3	230	5,218	1,149	2,743	16	573	79	...	347	26	1	1	121	7,413
Chingleput ...	922	3,648	802	393	30	1	885	2	...	5	280	6,973	1,096	3,870	685	518	19	8	1,088	6	1	1	142	9,705
Coimbatore ...	1,455	4,642	47	593	51	...	1,205	5	7	...	162	8,167	1,801	5,699	56	596	17	...	1,392	...	1	...	51	5,102
Cuddapah ...	2,013	2,424	44	474	45	...	114	...	5	...	45	5,164	1,994	2,410	7	503	23	...	113	...	1	1	148	6,061
Ganjam ...	930	3,058	103	631	44	9	1,048	1	2	...	203	6,029	1,049	3,051	68	706	50	4	980	3	1	1	316	13,415
Godavari ...	879	8,277	501	1,577	84	1	982	4	7	10	303	12,625	952	9,144	329	1,452	72	...	1,134	2	12	2	502	18,082
Kistna ...	1,674	7,526	3,355	991	82	10	795	11	4	3	226	14,677	2,253	9,699	3,725	936	55	32	870	6	2	...	102	3,167
Kurnool ...	1,411	1,652	3	324	14	...	199	...	...	...	74	3,677	1,197	1,413	...	263	9	...	183	...	14	...	244	16,700
Madura ...	935	10,798	535	635	270	...	1,873	3	9	1	275	15,384	722	11,037	1,235	723	236	...	2,485	4	2	...	248	15,664
Malabar (North) ...	227	12,559	...	1,851	45	...	1,373	...	...	...	205	16,265	187	12,012	...	1,793	28	...	1,394	...	47	...	291	16,636
Do. (South) ...	1,352	11,758	...	3,501	23	16	1,783	1	32	...	208	18,674	1,154	10,322	...	3,183	38	7	1,594	...	...	...	146	6,300
Nellore ...	1,561	3,084	144	508	40	1	508	1	1	...	256	6,104	1,354	3,410	196	599	75	...	520	...	4	1	119	8,762
Salem ...	1,300	4,679	218	584	23	...	1,454	1	3	...	207	8,469	1,555	4,815	192	652	40	...	1,383	1	11	2	130	28,976
Tanjore ...	622	19,200	734	1,686	242	...	2,633	4	10	2	138	25,271	732	22,256	772	1,586	228	...	3,251	8	5	1	145	14,036
Tinnevelly ...	485	9,284	699	644	236	...	2,679	7	3	1	158	14,196	437	9,311	174	569	248	...	3,143	3	5	1	112	8,586
Trichinopoly ...	864	4,669	182	468	93	...	1,051	12	2	...	71	7,412	1,009	5,491	121	460	152	...	1,229	4	8	...	139	7,313
Vizagapatam ...	2,493	3,486	199	603	20	...	292	1	10	3	284	7,391	2,481	3,454	139	696	64	...	322	...	18	...		
Total...	25,640	127,410	8,253	18,540	1,587	40	23,851	63	112	29	3,692	209,217	27,231	136,073	8,525	18,126	1,635	62	26,569	67	144	8	3,449	221,889
Ganjam (Agency) ...	52	...	...	33	3	...	...	...	1	...	...	89	86	...	...	43	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	131
Godavari (do.) ...	292	...	...	7	...	...	1	...	...	...	10	310	332	...	5	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	352
Vizagapatam (do.) ...	376	...	9	8	...	1	2	...	2	...	6	404	503	...	13	15	2	...	1	...	...	...	2	536
Total...	720	...	9	48	3	1	3	...	3	...	16	803	921	...	18	70	3	1	1	...	...	...	5	1,019
Grand Total...	26,360	127,410	8,262	18,588	1,590	41	23,854	63	115	29	3,708	210,020	28,152	136,073	8,543	18,196	1,638	63	26,570	67	144	8	3,454	222,908

D 2.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in the Revenue Courts in the years 1893 and 1894.

REVENUE COURTS.	1893.					1894.					
	SUITS UNDER THE RENT LAW.						SUITS UNDER THE RENT LAW.					
	Arrears of rent with or without ejectment.	Enhancement or abatement of rent.	For penalties or damages or compensation for wrongful act on the part of landlord or tenant.	For ejectment or recovery of possession alone.	All other suits under Rent Law.	Total.	Arrears of rent with or without ejectment.	Enhancement or abatement of rent.	For penalties or damages or compensation for wrongful act on the part of landlord or tenant.	For ejectment or recovery of possession alone.	All other suits under Rent Law.	Total.
Anantapur ...	...	...	...	...	43	43	...	...	...	...	24	24
Arcot (North) ...	126	...	4	...	480	610	152	...	2	...	620	774
Do. (South) ...	...	...	...	...	24	24	...	...	...	...	12	12
Bellary ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Canara (South) ...	...	...	...	...	10	10	...	...	...	...	16	16
Chingleput ...	39	104	1	...	658	802	80	...	11	25	569	685
Coimbatore ...	...	...	8	1	38	47	...	...	12	1	43	56
Cuddapah ...	...	...	...	...	44	44	...	...	...	...	7	7
Ganjam ...	4	9	11	12	67	103	...	18	13	3	34	68
Godavari ...	34	...	13	9	445	501	23	...	22	3	281	329
Kistna ...	648	2,671	...	1	35	3,355	11	3,356	357	1	...	3,725
Kurnool ...	...	...	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	...
Madura ...	...	...	...	...	535	535	...	...	3	...	1,232	1,235
Malabar (North) ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. (South) ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Nellore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Salem ...	20	...	...	2	122	144	6	1	...	1	188	196
Tanjore ...	...	...	2	...	216	218	55	12	53	1	71	192
Tinnevelly ...	...	...	...	...	732	734	71	...	1	...	700	772
Trichinopoly ...	...	...	2	...	698	699	...	...	...	3	171	174
Vizagapatam ...	...	...	1	...	61	182	...	17	29	...	75	121
	...	96	22	3	147	199	24	...	3	13	99	139
	27	...	15	10								
Total...	893	2,880	79	38	4,358	8,253	422	3,404	506	51	4,142	8,525
Ganjam (Agency) ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.) ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	5
Vizagapatam (do.) ...	...	...	3	4	2	9	7	...	1	...	5	13
Total...	...	...	3	4	2	9	7	...	1	...	10	18
Grand Total...	898	2,880	82	42	4,360	8,262	429	3,404	507	51	4,152	8,543

D 3.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in the District Munsifs' Courts of each District in the years 1893 and 1884.

DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.	1893.										1894.									
		TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.										TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.								
	Suits for money or moveable property.	Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Suits to establish a right of pre-emption.	Mortgage suits.	Suits relating to religious and other endowments.	Matrimonial suits.	Testamentary suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Total.	Suits for money or moveable property.	Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Suits to establish a right of pre-emption.	Mortgage suits.	Suits relating to religious and other endowments.	Matrimonial suits.	Testamentary suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Total.
Arcot (North)	1,544	889	39	1	1,375	3	8	...	175	4,034	1,834	821	50	...	1,539	...	5	...	108	4,357
Do. (South)	1,411	1,069	151	...	2,716	1	6	1	96	5,451	1,644	1,018	146	...	2,991	...	6	...	99	5,904
Bellary	2,346	446	17	...	555	1	2	...	72	3,439	2,601	469	5	...	597	...	2	...	78	3,752
Canara (South)	1,181	628	36	1	301	...	1	...	195	2,343	1,149	547	79	...	334	20	...	...	137	2,266
Chingleput	914	388	29	1	882	1	...	...	272	2,487	1,093	508	19	8	1,084	2	1	...	114	2,829
Coimbatore	1,420	566	45	...	1,146	4	5	...	149	3,335	1,788	566	15	...	1,327	...	...	...	117	3,793
Cuddapah	2,005	470	45	...	114	...	5	...	41	2,680	1,987	498	23	...	113	...	1	..	40	2,662
Ganjam	928	631	43	6	1,046	1	2	...	192	2,849	1,045	691	50	3	975	2	1	...	130	2,897
Godavari	852	1,557	77	1	981	4	7	8	253	3,740	931	1,418	67	...	1,127	1	12	1	282	3,839
Kistna	1,652	975	80	10	789	9	3	1	208	3,727	2,238	926	45	32	869	5	2	...	477	4,594
Kurnool	1,409	320	14	...	197	...	...	...	72	2,012	1,195	259	9	...	183	...	...	...	96	1,742
Madura	896	610	251	...	1,851	3	8	...	263	3,882	676	683	225	...	2,463	4	14	...	282	4,297
Malabar (North)	212	1,830	45	...	1,378	...	...	...	182	3,647	180	1,775	28	...	1,394	...	2	...	231	3,610
Do. (South)	1,320	3,464	21	16	1,752	1	31	...	182	6,787	1,118	3,162	36	7	1,564	...	47	...	257	6,191
Nellore	1,548	494	40	1	504	1	...	...	254	2,842	1,347	584	75	..	516	...	...	...	139	2,661
Salem	1,295	578	23	...	1,449	1	2	...	205	3,553	1,553	645	39	...	1,373	1	3	1	109	3,724
Tanjore	582	1,633	227	...	2,614	1	10	...	111	5,178	686	1,542	211	...	3,230	...	11	1	90	5,771
Tinnevelly	466	628	222	...	2,660	2	2	1	144	4,125	416	553	231	...	3,119	2	4	1	122	4,448
Trichinopoly	850	463	93	...	1,050	12	2	...	56	2,526	1,003	445	147	...	1,229	...	8	...	107	2,939
Vizagapatam	2,481	601	19	...	285	1	9	3	260	3,659	2,474	687	62	...	314	...	18	...	139	3,694
Total...	25,312	18,240	1,517	37	23,645	46	103	14	3,382	72,296	26,938	17,797	1,562	50	26,341	37	137	4	3,104	75,970
Ganjam (Agency)	49	33	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	83	76	39	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	115
Godavari (do.)	263	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	280	310	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	317
Vizagapatam (do.)	363	5	...	...	2	...	2	...	6	378	480	7	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	489
Total...	675	45	...	...	2	...	3	...	16	741	866	51	1	...	...	...	...	...	3	921
Grand Total...	25,987	18,285	1,517	37	23,647	46	106	14	3,398	73,037	27,804	17,848	1,563	50	26,341	37	137	4	3,107	76,891

D 4.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in the years 1893 and 1894.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.	1893.										1894.									
		TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.										TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.								
	Suits for money or moveable property.	Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Suits to establish a right of pre-emption.	Mortgage suits.	Suits relating to religious and other endowments.	Matrimonial suits.	Testamentary suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Total.	Suits for money or moveable property.	Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Suits to establish a right of pre-emption.	Mortgage suits.	Suits relating to religious and other endowments.	Matrimonial suits.	Testamentary suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Total.
Bellary & Salem... Bellary side ...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	5
Bellary & Salem... Salem side ...	2	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	2
Bellary & Salem... North Arcot side ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	3
Canara, South (Mangalore)	11	28	...	...	6	3	...	...	12	60	...	26	...	...	13	6	...	...	17	62
Godavari ... ... Cocanada ...	24	8	2	...	...	...	...	2	31	67	15	16	1	...	...	...	...	1	16	49
Godavari ... ... Ellore Godavari side ...	2	4	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	2	11	4	...	4	...	...	...	...	21
Godavari ... ... Ellore Kistna side ...	7	7	2	...	1	...	...	2	2	21	10	5	5	...	1	1	...	...	...	22
Madura ... ... East	25	6	19	...	11	...	1	...	5	67	29	15	10	...	6	...	...	...	...	60
Madura ... ... West	14	19	...	...	11	...	...	1	...	45	17	25	1	...	16	...	...	...	2	61
Malabar, North (Tellicherry)	15	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	59	7	18	..	...	...	...	...	...	17	42
Malabar, South ... Calicut	12	5	...	...	4	...	...	...	7	28	5	6	1	...	5	...	...	...	10	27
Malabar, South ... Cochin	14	11	2	...	21	...	1	...	2	51	20	3	1	...	13	...	...	...	...	37
Malabar, South ... Palghat	6	21	...	...	6	...	...	...	9	42	11	12	...	...	12	...	...	...	19	51
Nilgiris (Ootacamund)	29	21	3	...	54	...	...	...	10	117	25	20	2	...	54	...	...	...	20	121
Tanjore ... Negapatam	9	13	6	...	6	...	...	...	2	36	16	8	7	...	10	1	...	...	13	55
Tanjore ... Kumbakonam	20	20	2	...	9	...	...	...	9	60	24	27	1	...	9	1	...	...	5	67
Tanjore ... Tanjore	11	20	7	...	4	1	...	2	1	46	6	9	9	...	2	4	...	...	10	40
Tinnevelly	19	16	14	...	19	...	...	...	7	75	21	16	17	...	24	...	1	...	5	84
Trichinopoly	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5
Vizagapatam	12	1	1	...	7	...	...	...	24	45	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	235	221	63	...	160	4	2	7	144	836	214	222	59	...	172	13	1	1	132	814
Ganjam (Agency)	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	9	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	14
Godavari (do.)	13	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	14	22	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	29
Vizagapatam (do.)	12	3	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	16	23	7	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	33
Total...	28	3	3	1	1	...	...	...	...	36	54	17	2	...	1	...	...	...	2	76
Grand Total...	263	224	66	1	161	4	2	7	144	872	268	239	61	...	173	13	1	1	134	890

D 5.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in the District Judges' Courts in each District in the years 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICT COURTS.	1893.										1984.									
	Suits for money or moveable property.	Title and other suits.									Suits for money or moveable property	Title and other suits.								
		Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Suits to establish a right of pre-emption.	Mortgage suits.	Suits relating to religious and other endowments.	Matrimonial suits.	Testamentary suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Total.		Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Suits to establish a right of pre-emption.	Mortgage suits.	Suits relating to religious and other endowments.	Matrimonial suits.	Testamentary suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Total.
Arcot (North)	17	7	...	...	16	1	...	...	10	51	6	1	...	9	7	3	1	...	4	31
Do. (South)	2	1	2	...	2	1	...	...	7	15	5	3	1	...	5	1	...	...	8	23
Bellary	2	4	...	...	1	...	...	...	7	14	12	5	...	2	1	...	1	...	8	29
Canara (South)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	23	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	33	34
Chingleput	8	10	1	...	3	1	...	5	8	36	3	10	...	...	4	4	...	1	7	29
Coimbatore	6	6	3	...	5	1	2	...	3	26	8	10	...	...	11	...	2	...	5	36
Cuddapah	8	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	16	7	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	23
Ganjam	2	...	1	3	2	...	...	...	11	19	4	15	...	1	5	1	...	1	18	45
Godavari	1	8	...	...	1	...	...	...	19	29	4	7	...	...	3	1	...	...	18	33
Kistna	15	9	...	...	5	2	1	...	16	48	7	5	5	...	...	...	...	...	25	42
Kurnool	2	4	...	...	2	...	...	...	2	10	2	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	12
Madura	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	10
Malabar (North)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. (South)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	8
Nellore	13	14	...	...	4	...	1	...	2	34	7	15	...	...	4	...	...	...	7	33
Salem	3	6	...	...	4	...	1	...	2	16	2	7	1	...	8	...	1	...	10	29
Tanjore	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	15	17	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	12	15
Tinnevelly	...	...	...	...	...	5	1	...	7	13	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	18	19
Trichinopoly	14	5	...	...	1	...	...	...	15	35	5	11	5	...	...	4	...	...	5	30
Vizagapatam	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	7	9	2	...	8	...	...	...	...	26
Total...	93	79	7	3	46	13	7	8	166	422	79	107	14	12	56	17	6	3	213	507
Ganjam (Agency)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	2
Godavari (do.)	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
Vizagapatam (do.)	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
Total...	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	1	2	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	4
Grand Total...	110	79	7	3	46	13	7	8	166	439	80	109	14	13	56	17	6	3	213	511

D 6.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of Regular Appeals instituted in the several Districts in the years 1893 and 1894.

APPELLATE COURTS.	1893. Appeals from				1894. Appeals from			
	Suits relating to money or moveable property.	Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	Title and other suits.	Total.	Suits relating to money or moveable property.	Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	Title and other suits.	Total.
Arcot (North)	57	59	165	281	65	67	136	268
Do. (South)	72	...	294	366	34	...	201	235
Bellary...	69	23	122	214	120	19	166	305
Canara (South)	140	2	252	394	149	2	328	479
Chingleput	41	77	181	299	58	79	164	301
Coimbatore	54	3	182	239	74	6	261	341
Cuddapah	77	2	54	133	107	1	128	236
Ganjam	73	8	140	221	77	6	211	294
Godavari	83	25	382	490	113	47	609	769
Kistna	331	1,689	294	2,314	202	239	285	726
Kurnool	49	...	56	105	60	...	109	169
Madura	110	46	365	521	87	67	758	912
Malabar (North)...	81	...	540	621	58	...	574	632
Do. (South)...	214	...	557	771	284	...	704	988
Nellore	123	38	185	346	157	8	237	402
Salem	48	33	212	293	38	13	163	214
Tanjore	85	50	575	710	72	80	563	715
Tinnevelly	150	181	207	538	93	32	267	392
Trichinopoly	64	31	179	274	39	22	164	225
Vizagapatam	169	76	233	478	144	33	276	453
Total...	2,090	2,343	5,175	9,608	2,031	721	6,304	9,056
Ganjam (Agency)	...	...	1	1	...	...	4	4
Godavari (do.)	8	...	2	10	6	...	1	7
Vizagapatam (do.)	16	...	2	18	28	5	3	36
Total...	24	...	5	29	34	5	8	47
Grand Total...	2,114	2,343	5,180	9,637	2,065	726	6,312	9,103

E 1.

Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in all classes of Regular Courts except Village Munsifs', in each District in 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICTS.		1893. Not exceeding Rupees 50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.	Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000.	Not estimable in money.	Total. No.	Total. Value. Rs.	1894. Not exceeding Rupees 50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.	Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000.	Not estimable in money.	Total. No.	Total. Value. Rs.
Arcot (North)	O. S.	1,218	1,337	1,500	166	69	22	9	12	1	361	4,695	12,04,214	1,207	1,431	1,715	165	69	10	6	7	1	554	5,165	1,078,715
	S. C.	4,504	534	275	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,313	1,72,448	5,492	670	317	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,479	2,06,506
Do. (South)	O. S.	2,045	1,594	1,652	131	52	5	4	1	...	6	5,490	7,52,983	2,207	1,665	1,800	160	83	13	3	1	...	7	5,939	8,48,777
	S. C.	5,673	580	171	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,424	1,81,379	6,410	644	176	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,230	2,01,248
Bellary	O. S.	315	1,349	1,533	152	73	5	2	1	...	69	3,499	6,82,444	329	1,477	1,638	178	91	16	4	3	...	74	3,810	9,44,626
	S. C.	1,938	93	119	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,150	84,878	2,028	99	100	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,227	84,071
Canara (South)	O. S.	271	676	1,091	175	100	39	16	5	...	66	2,439	8,98,146	271	709	974	195	102	36	17	8	...	66	2,378	10,91,264
	S. C.	2,184	362	233	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,779	1,24,171	2,236	284	223	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,743	1,19,456
Chingleput	O. S.	1,288	926	914	93	51	15	4	2	...	32	3,325	6,18,003	1,039	968	1,160	121	50	12	4	3	...	186	3,543	6,67,569
	S. C.	3,047	382	219	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,648	1,32,260	3,210	447	213	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,870	1,36,348
Coimbatore	O. S.	598	966	1,570	228	124	19	7	6	...	7	3,525	11,19,150	582	1,225	1,764	257	120	25	9	8	...	16	4,006	11,77,759
	S. C.	2,968	1,041	633	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,642	2,44,662	3,878	1,092	729	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,699	2,67,113
Cuddapah	O. S.	363	1,060	1,153	72	47	7	4	3	...	31	2,740	5,80,165	276	1,129	1,087	98	39	14	4	5	...	40	2,692	6,02,096
	S. C.	2,424	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,424	62,159	2,410	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,410	56,403
Ganjam	O. S.	811	884	1,038	125	64	10	3	2	...	34	2,971	5,73,168	863	850	1,012	138	53	14	14	4	...	62	3,010	8,08,514
	S. C.	2,582	360	116	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,058	1,03,915	2,492	420	139	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,051	1,12,996
Godavari	O. S.	1,415	741	1,419	406	162	63	12	6	3	121	4,348	71,85,406	1,303	697	1,441	438	193	52	20	4	1	122	4,271	14,90,393
	S. C.	5,626	1,329	1,322	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,277	5,20,455	6,091	1,555	1,498	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,144	5,67,413
Kistna	O. S.	3,352	1,536	1,744	294	103	23	9	7	1	82	7,151	14,04,376	3,996	1,616	2,060	402	145	20	8	2	...	134	8,383	12,79,722
	S. C.	5,511	1,177	838	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,526	3,50,800	7,019	1,593	1,087	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,699	4,57,837
Kurnool	O. S.	177	900	778	76	22	5	3	1	...	63	2,025	3,73,114	169	778	633	59	12	4	3	1	...	95	1,754	3,37,272
	S. C.	1,652	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,652	42,063	1,413	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,413	36,570
Madura	O. S.	1,324	851	1,689	389	157	58	26	26	3	13	4,536	33,02,315	1,655	941	1,968	357	208	67	26	25	6	410	5,663	33,48,462
	S. C.	7,475	2,049	1,274	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,798	5,92,432	7,525	2,169	1,343	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11,037	6,38,776
Malabar (North)	O. S.	1,430	869	1,067	171	110	41	15	3	...	...	3,706	8,82,284	1,420	804	1,125	187	73	26	10	6	...	1	3,652	8,32,547
	S. C.	10,728	971	860	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12,559	4,67,665	10,246	956	810	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12,012	4,50,862
Do. (South)	O. S.	2,534	1,877	1,938	307	156	42	22	9	...	31	6,916	15,53,607	2,383	1,582	1,750	319	169	55	19	6	...	31	6,314	15,12,689
	S. C.	9,538	1,149	1,071	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11,758	4,92,568	8,261	1,034	1,027	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,322	4,45,543
Nellore	O. S.	611	996	1,114	163	64	19	8	6	...	39	3,020	7,42,346	583	951	1,041	180	65	21	5	5	1	38	2,890	41,92,169
	S. C.	2,545	292	247	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,084	1,27,094	2,754	343	313	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,410	1,50,188
Salem	O. S.	1,038	1,320	1,234	117	54	9	5	3	...	10	3,790	6,54,678	1,014	1,436	1,256	149	58	12	6	9	...	7	3,947	9,22,270
	S. C.	4,027	406	246	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,679	1,57,750	4,195	356	264	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,815	1,63,934
Tanjore	O. S.	2,325	1,070	1,759	503	258	81	44	27	1	3	6,071	27,85,199	2,330	1,326	2,046	574	280	90	35	30	5	4	6,720	45,69,633
	S. C.	14,351	2,466	2,383	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	19,200	9,47,867	16,533	2,892	2,831	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22,256	11,14,632
Tinnevelly	O. S.	1,741	887	1,728	290	146	45	19	11	1	44	4,912	15,41,411	1,143	1,056	1,899	394	130	53	15	15	...	20	4,725	15,87,231
	S. C.	7,137	1,105	1,042	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,284	4,29,139	7,111	1,113	1,087	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,311	4,47,142
Trichinopoly	O. S.	891	775	841	141	62	22	4	6	1	...	2,743	17,21,286	902	953	973	167	63	19	8	6	...	4	3,095	7,10,211
	S. C.	3,663	560	446	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,869	2,09,530	4,479	497	515	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,491	2,32,654
Vizagapatam	O. S.	679	1,481	1,403	184	68	23	13	7	3	44	3,905	15,82,056	659	1,446	1,429	175	80	13	4	7	...	46	3,859	10,03,714
	S. C.	3,486	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,486	81,595	3,454	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,454	81,070
Total ...	O. S.	24,426	22,095	27,165	4,183	1,942	553	229	144	14	1,056	81,807	301,56,351	24,331	23,040	28,771	4,713	2,083	572	220	155	14	1,917	85,816	290.05,633
	S. C.	101,059	14,856	11,495	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	127,410	55,24,830	107,237	16,164	12,672	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,36,073	59,70,762
Ganjam (Agency)	O. S.	42	25	20	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	89	8,157	85	18	21	2	3	...	...	1	...	1	131	38,056
Godavari (do.)	O. S.	171	61	68	5	3	2	...	...	...	...	310	37,404	189	69	81	10	1	...	...	1	...	1	352	48,441
Vizagapatam (do.)	O. S.	221	98	78	4	2	1	...	...	...	...	404	39,614	323	104	88	6	6	5	...	...	...	4	536	68,897
Total ...		434	184	166	9	6	3	...	...	...	1	803	85,175	597	191	190	18	10	5	...	2	...	6	1,019	1,55,394
Grand Total...		125,919	37,135	38,826	4,192	1,948	556	229	144	14	1,057	210,020	357,66,356	132,165	39,395	41,633	4,731	2,093	577	220	157	14	1,923	222,908	351,31,789

E 2.

Comparative Statement showing the valu of suits instituted in the Revenue Courts in 1893 and 1894.

REVENUE COURTS.	1893.									1894.								
	Not exceeding Rupees					Exceeding Rupees 2,500.	Not estimable in money.	Total.		Not exceeding Rupees					Exceeding Rupees 2,500.	Not estimable in money.	Total.	
	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.			No.	Value.	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.			No.	Value.
Anantapur	36	5	2	...	...	...	...	43	1,494	20	2	2	...	...	...	...	24	1,180
Arcot (North)	258	30	16	1	...	...	305	610	8,893	249	16	14	1	...	...	494	774	7,474
Do. (South)	23	...	1	...	...	...	...	24	304	9	...	...	...	...	...	3	12	55
Bellary	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Canara (South)	5	3	2	...	...	...	...	10	653	5	3	8	...	...	...	...	16	1,563
Chingleput	672	89	35	1	...	...	5	802	22,030	463	41	21	2	...	...	158	685	14,286
Coimbatore	37	5	4	...	...	...	1	47	2,308	42	11	3	...	...	...	...	56	2,066
Cuddapah	42	2	...	...	...	...	...	44	836	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	115
Ganjam	39	25	31	7	1	...	...	103	15,575	38	10	14	5	1	...	...	68	9,988
Godavari	307	86	102	6	...	...	...	501	35,198	196	59	65	5	1	1	2	329	30,523
Kistna	2,561	492	293	7	2	...	...	3,355	1,30,023	2,717	590	400	11	5	2	...	3,725	1,91,482
Kurnool	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Madura	437	62	36	...	...	...	...	535	18,852	737	60	31	1	1	1	404	1,235	32,505
Malabar	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Nellore	102	21	21	...	...	...	...	144	7,277	133	27	32	4	...	...	...	196	13,034
Nilgiris	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Salem	184	27	7	...	...	...	...	218	4,712	157	21	14	...	...	...	...	192	6,916
Tanjore	438	103	166	18	8	1	...	734	70,861	430	160	159	18	5	...	...	772	71,552
Tinnevelly	629	26	26	5	3	2	8	699	64,260	146	19	8	1	...	...	...	174	5,917
Trichinopoly	146	19	14	3	...	...	...	182	9,210	92	12	11	3	1	...	2	121	7 818
Vizagapatam	114	43	33	2	...	...	7	199	13,140	83	22	33	1	...	...	...	139	11,363
Total...	6,033	1,038	789	50	14	3	326	8,253	4,05,644	5,524	1,053	815	52	14	4	1,063	8,525	4,07,837
Ganjam (Agency) ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.) ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	180
Vizagapatam (do.) ...	1	5	3	...	...	...	...	9	1,010	12	...	1	...	...	...	...	13	440
Total...	1	5	3	...	...	...	...	9	1,010	17	...	1	...	...	...	...	18	620
Grand Total...	6,034	1,043	792	50	14	3	326	8,262	4,06,654	5,541	1,053	816	52	14	4	1,063	8,543	4,08,457

E 3.

Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in the District Munsifs' Courts in each District in 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.		1893.								1894.							
		Not exceeding Rupees					Not estimable in money.	Total.		Not exceeding Rupees					Not estimable in money.	Total.	
		50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.		No.	Value.	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.		No.	Value.
Arcot (North)...	O. S.	960	1,306	1,483	165	67	53	4,034	6,67,481	958	1,415	1,701	163	69	51	4,357	7,09,709
	S. C.	4,504	534	275	...	...	...	5,313	1,72,448	5,492	670	317	...	...	...	6,479	2,06,506
Do. (South)...	O. S.	2,022	1,594	1,651	129	52	3	5,451	6,81,017	2,198	1,665	1,800	158	80	3	5,904	7,63,864
	S. C.	5,673	580	171	...	...	...	6,424	1,81,379	6,410	644	176	...	...	...	7,230	2,01,248
Bellary...	O. S.	279	1,344	1,531	151	72	62	3,439	6,33,646	309	1,475	1,636	177	89	66	3,752	7,00,898
	S. C.	1,938	...	...	...	...	...	1,938	53,484	2,028	...	...	...	...	...	2,028	56,460
Canara (South)	O. S.	266	673	1,089	175	100	40	2,343	5,65,316	266	706	966	195	102	31	2,266	5,68,845
	S. C.	2,184	179	...	...	...	...	2,363	66,398	2,236	87	...	...	...	...	2,323	62,639
Chingleput	O. S.	609	837	874	92	48	27	2,487	4,10,331	572	927	1,136	117	49	28	2,829	4,77,576
	S. C.	2,938	349	188	...	...	...	3,475	1,21,375	3,128	416	191	...	...	...	3,735	1,28,039
Coimbatore	O. S.	555	940	1,520	204	111	5	3,335	7,34,253	536	1,191	1,716	231	109	10	3,793	7,86,818
	S. C.	2,661	771	451	...	...	...	3,883	1,79,859	3,626	911	530	...	...	...	5,067	2,10,697
Cuddapah	O. S.	321	1,058	1,153	72	47	29	2,680	4,74,663	269	1,129	1,087	98	39	40	2,662	4,23,019
	S. C.	2,424	...	...	...	...	...	2,424	62,159	2,410	...	...	...	...	...	2,410	56,403
Ganjam	O. S.	772	859	1,007	118	62	31	2,849	4,63,398	825	840	996	131	47	58	2,897	4,61,967
	S. C.	2,582	360	102	...	...	...	3,044	99,026	2,492	420	116	...	...	...	3,028	1,06,374
Godavari	O. S.	1,108	655	1,316	400	162	99	3,740	9,20,484	1,107	636	1,374	430	188	104	3,839	9,52,428
	S. C.	5,626	596	140	...	...	...	6,362	2,12,632	6,091	706	152	...	...	...	6,949	2,29,470
Kistna...	O. S.	791	1,044	1,448	285	99	60	3,727	7,61,665	1,279	1,025	1,660	390	139	101	4,594	9,47,519
	S. C.	5,511	740	427	...	...	...	6,678	2,40,833	7,019	1,088	565	...	...	...	8,672	3,20,301
Kurnool	O. S.	174	900	778	76	22	62	2,012	3,09,981	169	778	633	59	12	91	1,742	2,39,670
	S. C.	1,652	...	...	...	...	...	1,652	42,063	1,413	...	...	...	...	...	1,413	36,570
Madura	O. S.	887	789	1,651	388	156	11	3,882	9,66,253	918	880	1,934	356	203	6	4,297	10,87,159
	S. C.	7,475	693	...	...	...	...	8,168	2,17,128	7,525	863	...	...	...	...	8,388	2,41,425
Malabar (North)	O. S.	1,430	869	1,067	171	110	...	3,647	6,10,554	1,420	804	1,125	187	73	1	3,610	5,64,922
	S. C.	10,728	...	...	...	...	...	10,728	2,28,555	10,246	...	...	...	...	...	10,246	2,27,455
Do. (South)	O. S.	2,521	1,865	1,921	303	156	21	6,787	10,45,377	2,373	1,578	1,738	312	168	22	6,191	10,24,455
	S. C.	9,437	455	244	...	...	...	10,136	2,68,573	8,181	401	213	...	...	...	8,795	2,29,371
Nellore	O. S.	509	975	1,093	163	64	38	2,842	5,27,950	450	924	1,009	176	65	37	2,661	5,13,780
	S. C.	2,545	292	179	...	...	...	3,016	1,06,700	2,754	343	228	...	...	...	3,325	1,23,991
Salem	O. S.	854	1,293	1,227	117	54	8	3,553	5,46,407	857	1,415	1,242	149	58	3	3,724	5,59,585
	S. C.	4,027	404	200	...	...	...	4,631	1,44,535	4,195	353	209	...	...	...	4,757	1,46,303
Tanjore	O. S.	1,886	967	1,593	483	246	3	5,178	11,79,250	1,894	1,164	1,883	555	271	4	5,771	13,82,037
	S. C.	14,351	...	...	...	...	...	14,351	3,25,427	16,533	...	...	...	...	...	16,533	3,84,245
Tinnevelly	O. S.	1,112	861	1,702	285	142	23	4,125	8,86,926	997	1,037	1,890	393	130	1	4,448	9,82,973
	S. C.	7,137	451	95	...	...	...	7,683	1,93,451	7,111	517	111	...	...	...	7,739	1,93,340
Trichinopoly	O. S.	745	756	827	137	61	...	2,526	4,48,567	810	941	960	164	62	2	2,939	4,95,738
	S. C.	3,663	514	318	...	...	...	4,495	1,74,862	4,479	406	285	...	...	...	5,170	1,71,521
Vizagapatam	O. S.	565	1,438	1,370	182	68	36	3,659	6,26,029	576	1,424	1,396	173	80	45	3,694	6,25,285
	S. C.	3,486	...	...	...	...	...	3,486	81,595	3,454	...	...	...	...	...	3,454	81,070
Total ...	O. S.	18,366	21,023	26,301	4,096	1,899	611	72,296	134,59,548	18,783	21,954	27,882	4,614	2,033	704	75,970	142,68,247
	S. C.	100,542	6,918	2,790	...	...	...	110,250	31,72,482	106,823	7,825	3,093	...	...	...	117,741	34,13,428
Ganjam (Agency)	O. S.	40	24	18	...	...	1	83	6,562	83	18	14	...	...	...	115	5,611
Godavari (do.)	,,	163	60	57	...	...	...	280	17,350	178	67	72	...	...	...	317	21,163
Vizagapatam (do.)	,,	215	90	73	...	...	...	378	27,484	302	103	82	...	...	2	489	33,761
Total...		418	174	148	...	...	1	741	51,396	563	188	168	...	...	2	921	60,535
Grand Total ...		119,326	28,115	29,239	4,096	1,899	612	183,287	166,83,426	126,169	29,967	31,143	4,614	2,033	706	194,632	177,42,210

E 4.

Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in 1893 and 1894.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.		1893.												1894.											
		Not exceeding Rupees							Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000.	Not estimable in money.	Total.		Not exceeding Rupees							Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000.	Not estimable in money.	Total.	
		50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.			No.	Value.	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.			No.	Value.
Bellary & Salem.	Bellary side O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	3	11,906	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	2	...	...	5	73,911
	Bellary side S. C.	...	93	119	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	212	31,394	...	99	100	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	199	27,611
	Salem side O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	3	12,796	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	2	5,441
	Salem side S. C.	...	...	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22	6,253	...	1	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22	6,550
	N. Arcot side O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	...	3	25,702
	N. Arcot side S. C.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Canara (South) Mangalore	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	39	16	5	...	...	60	3,32,177	...	...	...	...	...	36	17	8	...	1	62	5,20,856
	S. C.	...	183	233	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	416	57,773	...	197	223	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	420	56,817
Godavari — Cocanada	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	49	10	6	2	...	67	6,20,666	...	...	...	...	...	33	10	3	1	2	49	3,55,246
	S. C.	...	450	883	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,333	2,21,056	...	573	1,002	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,575	2,53,926
Godavari — Ellore — Godavari side	O. S.	...	...	1	...	...	5	1	...	...	4	11	21,261	...	2	2	2	4	3	4	...	...	4	21	47,205
	S. C.	...	283	299	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	582	86,767	...	276	344	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	620	84,007
Godavari — Ellore — Kistna side	O. S.	...	...	2	1	...	7	4	2	...	5	21	1,54,894	...	...	...	...	1	13	3	1	...	4	22	81,252
	S. C.	...	437	411	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	848	1,09,967	...	505	522	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,027	1,37,536
Madura — East	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	30	13	20	2	2	67	13,20,361	...	1	...	...	1	30	10	16	2	...	60	9,03,253
	S. C.	...	641	609	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,250	1,62,948	...	481	577	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,058	1,48,090
Madura — West	O. S.	...	...	...	...	1	26	12	5	1	...	45	9,61,913	...	...	...	...	...	37	13	8	3	...	61	11,39,454
	S. C.	...	715	665	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,380	2,12,356	825	766	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,591	2,49,261
Malabar, (North) Tellicherry	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	41	15	3	...	...	59	2,71,730	...	...	...	...	...	26	10	6	...	...	42	2,67,625
	S. C.	...	971	860	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,831	2,39,110	...	956	810	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,766	2,23,407
Malabar, (South). Calicut	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	17	7	4	...	...	28	2,43,143	...	...	...	...	...	17	8	2	...	...	27	1,86,433
	S. C.	...	126	242	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	368	64,683	...	116	230	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	346	61,961
Malabar, (South). Cochin	O. S.	13	12	17	4	...	1	1	1	...	2	51	32,845	10	4	12	7	1	1	1	...	...	1	37	19,748
	S. C.	101	20	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	131	4,175	80	26	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	126	5,872
Malabar, (South). Palghat	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	24	14	4	...	...	42	2,32,242	...	...	...	...	...	37	10	4	...	...	51	2,82,053
	S. C.	...	548	575	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,123	1,55,137	...	491	564	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,055	1,48,339
Nilgiris (Ootacamund)	O. S.	6	21	46	24	13	5	...	1	...	1	117	1,58,209	4	23	45	26	9	3	5	5	...	1	121	1,69,572
	S. C.	307	270	182	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	759	64,803	252	181	199	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	632	56,416
Tanjore — Negapatam	O. S	...	...	...	...	...	22	11	3	...	...	36	2,24,535	...	...	1	...	...	34	11	9	...	...	55	3,55,955
	S. C.	...	726	676	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,402	1,83,659	...	945	910	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,855	2,48,961
Tanjore — Kumbakonam	O. S.	1	...	...	...	...	32	13	13	1	...	60	7,46,728	6	2	1	...	1	35	13	7	2	...	67	6,82,941
	S. C.	...	982	995	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,977	2,66,658	...	1,017	1,086	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,103	2,91,342
Tanjore — Tanjore	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	20	17	9	...	...	46	4,68,516	...	...	1	...	2	18	7	9	3	...	40	19,16,693
	S. C.	...	758	712	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,470	1,72,123	...	930	835	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,765	1,90,084
Tinnevelly	O. S.	...	...	...	...	1	45	19	9	1	...	75	5,90,225	...	...	1	...	...	53	15	15	...	...	84	5,98,341
	S. C.	...	654	947	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,601	2,35,688	...	596	976	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,572	2,53,802
Trichinopoly	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	1	...	...	5	33,277
Vizagapatam	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	22	13	7	3	...	45	9,39,611	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
Total	O. S.	20	33	66	29	15	391	166	92	10	14	836	73,43,758	20	32	63	35	19	383	141	97	11	13	814	76,64,958
	S. C.	408	7,857	8,440	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16,705	22,74,550	1,157	8,156	8,419	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17,732	24,43,992
Assistant Agents' Courts.																									
Ganjam (Agency)	O. S.	2	1	2	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	6	1,595	2	...	6	2	3	...	...	...	...	1	14	7,245
Godavari (do.)	„	7	1	2	2	1	1	...	...	...	...	14	9,809	6	2	9	10	1	...	...	...	...	1	29	10,955
Vizagapatam (do.)	„	5	3	2	4	2	...	...	...	...	...	16	8,130	9	1	5	6	6	5	...	...	...	1	33	34,696
Total		14	5	6	6	4	1	...	...	...	...	36	19,534	17	3	20	18	10	5	...	...	...	3	76	52,896
Cantonment Court.																									
St. Thomas' Mount	...	109	33	31	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	173	10,885	82	31	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	135	8,309
Total		109	33	31	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	173	10,885	82	31	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	135	8,309
Grand Total		551	7,928	8,543	35	19	392	166	92	10	14	17,750	96,48,727	1,276	8,222	8,524	53	29	388	141	97	11	16	18,757	101,70,155

E 5.

Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in the District Judges' Courts in 1893 and 1894.

DISTRICT COURTS.	1893. Not exceeding Rupees 50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.	Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000.	Not estimable in money.	Total. No.	Value.	1894. Not exceeding Rupees 50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.	Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000.	Not estimable in money.	Total. No.	Value.
Arcot (North) ... ... O. S.	...	1	1	...	2	22	9	12	1	3	51	5,27,840	...	...	...	1	...	9	5	6	1	9	31	3,35,830
Do. (South) ... ... O. S.	...	...	...	2	...	5	4	1	...	3	15	71,662	...	...	...	2	3	13	3	1	...	1	23	84,858
Bellary ... ... O. S.	...	...	...	1	1	2	2	1	...	7	14	35,398	...	...	...	1	2	14	3	1	...	8	29	1,68,637
Canara (South) ... ... O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	34	34	...
Chingleput ... ... O. S.	7	...	5	...	3	15	4	2	...	...	36	1,85,642	4	...	3	2	1	12	4	3	...	...	29	1,75,707
Coimbatore ... ... O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	14	7	5	...	...	26	2,24,380	...	...	...	...	2	22	4	3	...	5	36	2,19,303
Cuddapah ... ... O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	7	4	3	...	2	16	1,04,666	...	...	...	...	...	14	4	5	...	...	23	1,78,962
Ganjam ... ... {O. S.	...	...	...	...	1	10	3	2	...	3	19	94,195	...	...	2	2	5	14	14	4	...	4	45	3,36,559
{S. C.	...	...	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	4,889	...	...	23	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	6,622
Godavari ... ... O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	9	1	...	1	18	29	55,87,797	...	...	...	1	...	16	5	1	...	10	33	1,04,991
Kistna ... ... O. S.	...	...	1	1	2	16	5	5	1	17	48	3,57,794	...	1	...	1	...	6	5	...	...	29	42	59,469
Kurnool ... ... O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	5	3	1	...	1	10	63,115	...	...	...	...	...	4	3	1	...	4	12	97,602
Madura ... ... O. S.	...	...	2	1	...	2	1	1	...	...	7	34,936	...	...	3	...	3	...	2	1	1	...	10	1,86,091
Malabar (North) ... O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. (South) ... O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Nellore ... {O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	8	...
{S. C.	...	...	68	...	...	19	8	6	...	1	34	2,07,119	...	...	...	...	...	21	5	5	1	1	33	36,65,355
Salem ... {O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	68	20,394	...	...	85	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	85	26,197
{S. C.	...	...	...	...	...	6	5	3	...	2	16	90,763	...	...	...	...	...	10	6	9	...	4	29	3,50,328
Tanjore ... ... O. S.	...	2	24	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	6,962	...	2	34	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	36	11,081
Tinnevelly ... ... O. S.	...	...	...	2	4	6	3	2	...	...	17	95,309	...	...	1	1	1	3	4	5	...	...	15	1,60,455
Trichinopoly ... {O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	19	19	...
{S. C.	...	46	128	1	1	22	4	6	1	...	35	12,63,509	...	...	2	...	...	17	6	5	...	...	30	1,73,378
Vizagapatam ... ... O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	2	3,276	...	...	...	1	...	13	4	7	...	1	26	3,67,066
Total... {O. S.	7	1	9	8	14	161	63	50	4	105	422	89,47,401	4	1	11	12	17	188	77	57	3	137	507	66,64,591
{S. C.	...	48	234	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	282	66,913	...	93	372	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	465	1,05,033
Ganjam (Agency) O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	25,200
Godavari (do) O. S.	1	...	9	3	2	1	...	...	...	...	16	10,245	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	16,143
Vizagapatam (do) O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	2,990	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...
Total...	1	...	9	3	2	2	...	...	...	...	17	13,235	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	4	41,343
Grand Total...	8	49	252	11	16	163	63	50	4	105	721	90,27,549	4	94	384	12	17	188	77	59	3	138	976	68,10,967

E 6.

Comparative Statement showing the value of Regular Appeals instituted in the several Districts in 1893 and 1894.

APPELLATE COURTS.	1893. Not exceeding Rupees 50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	Not estimable in money.	Total. No.	Value.	1894. Not exceeding Rupees 50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	Not estimable in money.	Total. No.	Value.
Arcot (North)	100	46	87	17	8	...	23	281	49,670	110	51	69	11	12	...	15	268	44,884
Do. (South)	142	74	122	20	7	...	1	366	56,498	98	63	60	7	5	...	2	235	31,573
Bellary	33	42	60	18	5	1	55	214	44,213	37	70	122	16	16	1	43	305	73,372
Canara (South)	82	62	130	33	20	7	60	394	1,10,867	95	88	193	36	13	3	51	479	1,10,522
Chingleput	141	61	86	9	2	...	...	299	33,013	131	59	90	13	8	...	...	301	46,899
Coimbatore	70	49	82	24	12	...	2	239	56,597	90	63	135	35	13	...	5	341	87,358
Cuddapah	18	31	55	7	4	...	18	133	26,032	58	80	45	30	13	...	10	236	80,018
Ganjam	47	44	92	18	11	...	9	221	56,356	70	60	120	15	9	...	20	294	57,722
Godavari	159	67	186	42	16	3	17	490	1,11,197	272	103	206	56	27	7	98	769	1,67,442
Kistna	1,171	507	511	56	20	...	49	2,314	2,43,827	302	144	194	29	17	3	37	726	1,14,852
Kurnool	12	28	40	6	2	...	17	105	17,751	22	39	56	11	10	...	31	169	41,724
Madura	188	73	158	46	36	15	5	521	1,91,636	180	79	545	57	30	20	1	912	2,72,212
Malabar (North)	209	112	211	38	15	5	31	621	1,27,546	238	117	177	44	16	8	32	632	1,23,661
Do. (South)	190	166	254	69	41	16	35	771	2,55,733	278	179	373	74	46	13	25	988	2,58,611
Nellore	102	81	121	16	9	...	17	346	58,789	100	82	162	32	14	...	12	402	89,253
Salem	94	58	98	16	6	2	19	293	53,762	64	38	69	15	5	...	23	214	40,468
Tanjore	233	95	244	73	43	22	...	710	2,48,144	246	130	203	70	54	12	...	715	2,45,937
Tinnevelly	285	59	123	33	26	6	6	538	1,21,674	138	61	118	44	21	7	3	392	1,20,358
Trichinopoly	82	55	100	22	15	...	...	274	74,190	97	31	73	13	11	...	...	225	46,055
Vizagapatam	106	105	170	24	19	3	51	478	1,00,437	112	101	134	20	11	2	73	453	81,463
Total...	3,464	1,815	2,930	587	317	80	415	9,608	20,37,932	2,738	1,638	3,144	628	351	76	481	9,056	21,34,384
Ganjam (Agency)	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	50	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	4	260
Godavari (do.)	8	...	1	...	...	...	1	10	351	2	1	4	...	...	...	...	7	898
Vizagapatam (do.)	3	6	7	1	1	...	...	18	4,837	8	7	13	1	2	1	4	36	13,019
Total...	12	6	8	1	1	...	1	29	5,238	12	10	17	1	2	1	4	47	14,177
Grand Total...	3,476	1,821	2,938	588	318	80	416	9,637	20,43,170	2,750	1,648	3,161	629	353	77	485	9,103	21,48,561

F.

Statement showing the manner of disposal of ordinary suits and small causes and their results in the Regular Courts in each District in 1894.

DISTRICTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Small Causes.							
	Withdrawn, confessed, &c.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Decided on oath or by arbitration.	Contested.	Total.	Number* that resulted		Withdrawn, confessed, &c.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Decided on oath or by arbitration.	Contested.	Total.	Number* that resulted	
							In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.							In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.
Arcot (North)	955	301	1,293	25	2,193	4,767	3,226	621	1,911	359	2,920	30	1,188	6,408	4,490	248
Do. (South)	1,560	499	1,264	47	2,496	5,866	4,053	519	2,586	557	2,425	61	1,484	7,113	4,533	351
Bellary	375	48	1,984	7	1,615	4,029	2,898	776	328	14	1,578	3	391	2,314	1,831	198
Canara (South)	406	230	565	25	1,288	2,514	1,614	372	817	285	942	67	671	2,782	1,658	237
Chingleput	688	257	1,188	29	1,635	3,797	2,602	557	1,175	212	1,665	26	823	3,901	2,811	304
Coimbatore	911	206	1,417	22	1 538	4,094	2,964	445	1,348	246	3,041	32	874	5,541	4,147	201
Cuddapah	579	87	1,004	21	1,255	2,946	1,913	489	716	31	1,341	22	352	2,462	1,705	173
Ganjam	425	272	551	37	1,669	2,954	1,804	575	925	246	994	40	858	3,063	1,830	263
Godavari	398	423	1,151	31	2,843	4,846	3,288	878	1,890	1,060	4,064	73	2,174	9,261	6,123	690
Kistna	592	513	2,158	28	3,499	6,790	4,448	1,348	1,735	282	4,398	51	2,546	9,012	6,589	768
Kurnool	293	29	748	4	833	1,907	1,335	334	343	18	895	4	232	1,492	1,128	85
Madura	803	676	1,173	69	2,845	5,566	3,259	1,008	2,184	1,479	4,659	170	2,571	11,063	6,961	928
Malabar (North)	260	307	824	22	2,171	3,584	2,493	612	2,078	2,771	4,422	216	2,649	12,136	6,664	893
Do. (South)...	688	460	1,560	69	3,902	6,679	4,727	971	2,546	1,286	4,076	274	2,404	10,586	6,261	878
Nellore	408	185	954	23	1,295	2,865	1,868	472	780	276	1,651	6	749	3,462	2,223	274
Salem...	857	409	1,093	23	1,542	3,924	2,390	450	1,434	576	2,070	37	771	4,888	2,942	232
Tanjore	1,257	566	1,613	46	3,538	7,020	4,866	822	5,777	2,095	9,704	150	4,377	22,103	15,260	767
Tinnevelly	583	903	1,040	13	2,378	4,917	2,926	705	1,750	2,309	2,901	193	2,104	9,257	4,758	691
Trichinopoly	740	464	652	20	1,269	3,145	1,831	397	1,640	753	2,073	32	1,003	5,501	3,394	160
Vizagapatam	692	214	838	31	2,051	3,826	2,524	670	1,102	237	1,170	24	836	3,369	2,023	353
Total...	13,470	7,049	23,070	592	41,855	86,036	57,039	13,021	33,065	15,092	56,989	1,511	29,057	135,714	87,340	8,694
Ganjam (Agency)	25	36	13	7	46	127	44	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	82	83	77	3	91	336	169	38	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	190	47	125	7	138	507	275	88	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	297	166	215	17	275	970	488	152	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total...	13,767	7,215	23,285	609	42,130	87,006	57,527	13,173	33,065	15,092	56,989	1,511	29,057	135,714	87,340	8,694

* Excluding cases compromised and decided without trial.

G 1.

Statement showing the mode of disposal of Appeals in each District in the year 1894.

APPELLATE COURTS.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Rejected under Sec. 551.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Total.	Remarks.
Arcot (North)	54	9	217	46	53	41	425	
Do. (South)	12	51	141	49	35	10	298	
Bellary	10	4	117	16	21	25	193	
Canara (South)	33	...	310	47	63	31	484	
Chingleput	37	1	166	43	33	7	292	
Coimbatore	23	...	139	25	35	14	237	
Cuddapah	8	6	125	12	42	6	199	
Ganjam	11	64	143	19	33	10	280	
Godavari	6	4	265	46	259	193	1,013	
Kistna	11	10	66	11	20	5	123	
Kurnool	18	1	212	62	86	61	540	
Madura	107	39	648	84	142	24	1,134	
Malabar (North)	4	36	334	112	117	22	625	
Do. (South)	43	39	539	144	134	46	950	
Nellore	21	...	153	15	60	13	262	
Salem	40	...	244	33	66	26	409	
Tanjore	62	5	436	102	136	36	827	
Tinnevelly	8	...	164	32	39	144	387	
Trichinopoly	58	4	144	26	45	15	292	
Vizagapatam	32	10	145	27	61	5	280	
Total...	693	283	5,158	956	1,486	674	9,250	6
Ganjam (Agency) ...	...	1	4	...	...	1	6	
Godavari (do.) ...	...	...	6	1	...	1	8	
Vizagapatam (do.) ...	5	...	13	1	10	3	41	
Total...	5	10	23	2	10	5	55	
Grand Total...	698	293	5,181	958	1,496	679	9,305	

G 2.

Statement showing the result of appeals from Revenue Courts to District Courts in 1894.

Name of the District.	REVENUE COURTS.	Appealable decrees passed in disposed of cases.	Appeals preferred.	Appeals decided.	Decisions affirmed.	Percentage of decisions confirmed to total disposals.
Arcot (North).	Sub-Collector	60	53	30	...	12·20
	Head Assistant Collector	87	13	1	...	
	Head Quarter Dy. Clolector	...	...	7	2	
	General Dy. Collector	6	1	3	3	
	Total...	153	67	41	5	
Arcot (South).	Sub-Collector	1	...	...	...	...
	Head Assistant Collector	3	...	...	...	
	Head Quarter Deputy Collector ...	3	...	...	...	
	Total...	7	...	...	...	
Anantapur and Bellary.	Head Assistant Collector	39	19	26	11	42·31
Canara (South).	Head Assistant Collector	5	1	1	1	10·00
	General Deputy Collector	1	...	...	...	
	Head Quarter Deputy Collector ...	2	1	1	...	
	Total ...	8	2	2	1	
Chingleput.	Sub-Collector	275	70	46	42	91·67
	Dy. Collector, Tiruvallur	94	1	...	...	
	Do. Saiapet	196	3	2	2	
	Total...	565	79	48	44	
Coimbatore.	Sub-Collector	11	...	...	...	...
	Head Assistant Collector	16	3	...	...	
	Dy. Collector, Coimbatore Division ...	3	1	...	...	
	Do. Kollegal do.	10	1	...	...	
	Assistant-Collector	...	1	...	...	
	Total...	40	6	...	...	
Cuddapah.	Deputy Collector, Cuddapah Division ...	8	1	1	1	100·00
	Deputy Collector, Sidhout do ...	6	...	2	2	
	Total...	14	1	3	3	
Ganjam.	Principal Assistant Collector	30	...	1	...	50·00
	Senior Assistant Collector	12	1	3	1	
	General Dy. Collector, Gumsur ...	11	5	2	2	
	Head Quarter Deputy Collector	1	...	...	...	
	Total...	54	6	6	3	
Godavari.	Sub-Collector	21	4	1	...	31·58
	Head Assistant Collector	67	18	13	5	
	Dy. Collector, Narsapur	121	10	1	...	
	Dy. Collector, Cocanada	26	15	4	1	
	Total...	235	47	19	6	
Kistna.	Sub-Collector	1	...	...	...	56·70
	Head Assistant Collector	660	163	660	367	
	Assistant Collector	198	4	...	...	
	General Dy. Collector	1	...	...	...	
	Head Quarter Dy. Collector	219	72	49	35	
	Total...	1,079	239	709	402	
Madura.	Sub-Collector	75	16	14	3	45·31
	Head Assistant Collector	305	35	31	25	
	Deputy Collector, Madura division ...	29	12	19	1	
	Do. Melur division ...	115	4	...	...	
	Total...	524	67	64	29	
Nellore.	Sub-Collector	2	...	...	...	83·33
	Head Assistant Collector	2	...	...	...	
	Dy. Collector, Naidupet	26	8	6	5	
	Total...	30	8	6	5	
Salem.	Sub-Collector	39	9	8	3	60·00
	Head Assistant Collector	48	1	6	3	
	General Deputy Collector	82	...	9	9	
	Head Quarter Dy. Collector	20	3	7	3	
	Total...	189	13	30	18	
Tanjore.	Sub-Collector	116	8	32	24	65·69
	Head Assistant Collector	2	...	...	...	
	Deputy Collector, Patukotah	290	6	13	3	
	Do. Tanjore	138	66	52	35	
	Do. Mannargudi ...	10	...	5	5	
	Probationary Depnty Collector	2	...	...	...	
	Total ..	558	80	102	67	
Tinnevelly.	Sub-Collector	11	4	7	7	24·02
	Head Assistant Collector	74	16	172	36	
	Head Quarter Deputy Collector ...	20	12	...	...	
	Total...	105	32	179	43	
Trichinopoly.	Head Assistant Collector	8	1	1	1	57·14
	General Dy. Collector	60	8	2	1	
	Deputy Collector, Trichinopoly	21	13	4	2	
	Total...	89	22	7	4	
Vizagapatam.	Principal Assistant Collector	15	3	15	15	69·23
	Hd. Assistant Collector	65	28	8	2	
	Senior Assistant Collector	8	1	2	1	
	Huzur Dy. Collector	7	1	1	...	
	Total...	95	33	26	18	
Vizagapatam (Agency).	Senior Assistant Agent...	4	4	4	4	100·00
	Special Assistant Agent	3	1	1	1	
	Total...	7	5	5	5	

G 3.

Statement showing the result of appeals from District Munsifs to District Judges and Subordinate Judges in 1894.

Name of the District.	DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.	Appealable decrees passed in disposed of cases.	Appeals preferred.	Appeals decided.	Decisions affirmed.	Percentage of decisions confirmed to total disposals.
Arcot (North).	Vellore	676	18	50	36	66·97
	Sholinghur	1,098	34	53	42	
	Tirupati	678	38	69	39	
	Arni	486	58	66	44	
	Chittoor	408	53	92	60	
	Total...	3,346	201	330	221	
Arcot (South).	Cuddalore	875	50	45	27	67·02
	Chidambaram	590	42	59	42	
	Villupuram	432	36	40	33	
	Vriddhachalam	333	19	41	24	
	Tirukoilur	506	31	29	23	
	Tindivanam	512	56	71	42	
	Total...	3,248	234	285	191	
Bellary.	Bellary	1,151	77	49	40	69·33
	Pennkonda	811	111	51	30	
	Naraindevarkerai...	483	34	8	5	
	Gooti	1,090	60	42	29	
	Total...	3,535	282	150	104	
Canara (South).	Mangalore	317	114	101	65	68·81
	Kasaragod	329	67	67	52	
	Udipi	429	86	85	57	
	Kundapur	373	39	42	31	
	Puttur	370	62	60	39	
	Karkal	399	92	81	56	
	Total...	2,217	460	436	300	
Chingleput.	Chingleput	427	66	56	37	59·42
	Tiruvallur	566	52	45	25	
	Poonamallee	487	49	27	17	
	Conjeeveram	620	55	79	44	
	Total...	2,100	222	207	123	
Coimbatore.	Coimbatore	653	138	62	38	64·53
	Udamalpet	723	80	64	42	
	Erode	464	50	40	26	
	Karur	830	35	14	11	
	Kollegal	88	19	22	13	
	Gudalur	27	1	1	1	
	Total...	2,785	323	203	131	
Cuddapah.	Cuddapah	630	95	36	22	67·76
	Nandalur	454	20	32	22	
	Proddutur...	612	74	56	40	
	Madanapalle	513	46	59	40	
	Total...	2,209	235	183	124	
Ganjam.	Berhampore	379	32	41	30	77·57
	Chicacole	588	72	63	47	
	Aska	509	63	54	46	
	Sompet	656	121	105	81	
	Total..	2,132	288	263	204	
Godavari.	Rajahmundry	361	72	72	52	61·27
	Cocanada	511	72	52	28	
	Peddapuram	570	81	51	35	
	Amalapuram	937	217	111	58	
	Narsapur	628	112	103	76	
	Ellore	303	85	55	25	
	Tanuku	234	68	44	25	
	Total...	3,544	707	488	299	
Kistna.	Guntur	653	104	84	33	55·89
	Bapatla	384	92	18	11	
	Narsaraopet	689	29	14	7	
	Masulipatam	486	91	36	24	
	Bezwada	615	75	71	57	
	Gudivada	537	92	74	34	
	Total...	3,364	483	297	166	
Kurnool.	Kurnool	575	47	29	19	67·57
	Nandyal	605	83	56	36	
	Markapur	385	38	26	20	
	Total...	1,565	168	111	75	
Madura.	Madura	561	87	137	99	76·00
	Tirumangalam	604	99	118	73	
	Sivaganga	409	75	99	63	
	Paramakudi	689	60	56	37	
	Dindigul	532	76	86	48	
	Manamadura	543	418	335	307	
	Total...	3,338	815	825	627	
Malabar (North).	Tellicherry	371	70	80	61	60·00
	Taliparamba	365	74	83	51	
	Badagara	490	91	82	47	
	Quilandi	345	63	65	39	
	Cannanore	538	83	81	46	
	Panoor	370	97	90	54	
	Pyoli	353	124	111	56	
	Vayitri	63	10	8	6	
	Total...	2,995	612	600	360	
Malabar (South).	Calicut	465	76	51	32	64·90
	Chavakad	706	75	49	30	
	Ernad	396	79	66	47	
	Palghat	448	83	91	70	
	Shernad	501	102	94	58	
	Betutnad	463	60	89	64	
	Kutnad	598	145	82	43	
	Nedunganad	392	62	49	30	
	Angadipuram	467	83	113	79	
	Temelprom	384	91	81	49	
	Vayitri	139	11	9	6	
	Anjengo	9	2	2	1	
	Addl. Munsif, Calicut	384	93	90	53	
	Total...	5,352	962	866	562	
Nellore.	Ongole	570	126	54	41	62·98
	Nellore	391	108	46	25	
	Kavali	623	61	82	48	
	Kanigiri	392	99	53	34	
	Total...	1,976	394	235	148	
Salem.	Salem	629	49	83	59	67·16
	Namakal	546	64	107	69	
	Tirupatur	748	52	86	63	
	Krishnagiri	475	36	59	34	
	Total...	2,398	201	335	225	
Tanjore.	Negapatam	359	72	86	52	64·07
	Mayavaram	377	41	41	16	
	Tiruvalur	346	83	71	53	
	Shiyali	280	64	57	38	
	Tirutturaipundi	426	42	35	15	
	Tanjore	657	37	33	26	
	Kumbakonam	459	41	61	41	
	Patukota	329	22	32	27	
	Valangiman	325	73	68	46	
	Mannargudi	377	18	23	13	
	Tiruvadi	469	110	122	76	
	Total...	4,404	603	629	405	
Tinnevelly.	Tinnevelly	428	22	12	7	60·10
	Srivilliputur	442	60	35	21	
	Ambasamudram	275	44	15	10	
	Srivaikuntham	593	39	31	20	
	Tuticorin	466	23	14	10	
	Satur	423	52	37	28	
	Addl. Munsif, Tinnevelly	253	107	49	20	
	Total...	2,874	347	193	116	
Trichinopoly.	Trichinopoly	262	29	64	36	63·44
	Ariyalur	584	52	61	46	
	Kulitalai	649	35	65	40	
	Srirengam	349	87	37	22	
	Total...	1,844	203	227	144	
Vizagapatam.	Vizagapatam	493	66	24	22	62·04
	Chodavaram	313	28	33	14	
	Yellamanchili	580	81	20	12	
	Vizianagrum	534	82	31	13	
	Razam	422	80	49	31	
	Parvatipore	420	80	59	42	
	Total...	2,762	417	216	134	
Ganjam (Agency).	Balliguda	22	...	...	...	80·00
	Udyagiri	23	4	5	4	
	Ramagiri	1	...	...	...	
	Total...	46	4	5	4	
Godavari (Agency).	Bhadrachalam	44	2	2	1	71·43
	Polavaram	84	3	3	3	
	Chodavaram	1	1	2	1	
	Yellavaram	18	...	...	...	
	Total...	147	6	7	5	
Vizagapatam (Agency).	Golgonda	3	4	5	3	56·52
	Gunupur	78	16	16	9	
	Koraput	11	...	...	...	
	Jeypore	51	...	...	...	
	Narayanapatnam	30	...	...	...	
	Nowrangapore	9	...	...	...	
	Rayaghada	49	2	2	1	
	Pottinghi	2	...	...	...	
	Malakanageri	9	...	...	...	
	Total...	242	22	23	13	

APPENDIX.

G 4.

Statement showing the result of appeals from Subordinate Judges' Courts to District Courts in 1894.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.	Appealable decisions passed in disposed of cases.	Appeals preferred.	Appeals decided.	Decisions affirmed.	Percentage of decisions confirmed to total disposals.
Bellary and Salem .. Bellary side ...	14	4	6	5	83·33
Bellary and Salem .. Salem side ...	9	...	3	1	33·33
Bellary and Salem .. N. Arcot side ...	4	...	...	...	...
Canara (South), Mangalore	63	17	13	9	69·23
Godavari ... Cocanada	41	10	6	5	83·33
Godavari ... Ellore Godavari side ...	22	5	3	1	33·33
Godavari ... Ellore Kistna side ...	19	3	1	1	100·00
Madura ... East	54	20	28	17	60·71
Madura ... West	47	9	18	12	66·67
Malabar (North), Tellicherry	61	20	21	10	47·62
Malabar (South) Calicut	30	9	16	4	25·00
Malabar (South) Cochin	26	3	2	2	100·00
Malabar (South) Palghat	44	14	13	5	38·46
Nilgiris (Ootacamund)	73	12	11	8	72·73
Tanjore ... Negapatam	45	10	13	7	53·85
Tanjore ... Kumbakonam	37	13	8	5	62·50
Tanjore ... Tanjore	25	9	13	9	69·23
Tinnevelly	74	13	6	4	66·67
Do. Additional	...	...	1	1	100·00
Trichinopoly	3	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam	...	3	6	3	50·00
Total ...	701	174	188	109	57·98
Ganjam (Agency)	13	...	1	1	100·00
Godavari (do.)	18	1	1	1	100·00
Vizagapatam (do.)	14	9	8	4	50·00
Total...	45	10	10	6	60·00
Grand Total...	746	184	198	115	58·08

H 1.

Statement showing the results of proceedings in execution of decrees in the Regular Courts in 1894.

DISTRICTS.	Number of Applications in which Satisfaction was obtained: In full.	Satisfaction was obtained: In part.	Execution was wholly infructuous.	Amount realized.	Number of Applications in which immoveable property was sold.	Imprisonment of judgment-debtors: Number of persons.	Imprisonment of judgment-debtors: Average detention in jail.
Arcot (North)	1,447	852	3,384	2,56,085	565	41	23
Do. (South)	1,592	847	1,481	1,35,401	573	43	20
Bellary	852	652	901	1,41,428	304	14	29
Canara (South)	1,147	713	1,418	2,95,421	161	32	31
Chingleput	1,259	567	2,049	90,319	377	59	31
Coimbatore	1,482	853	1,069	2,24,899	450	121	35
Cuddapah	670	316	1,613	60,876	133	40	32
Ganjam	952	655	1,967	1,81,210	243	11	24
Godavari	2,035	1,500	3,893	2,40,000	485	12	28
Kistna	1,964	922	3,716	2,21,684	414	9	16
Kurnool	531	222	895	69,129	198	3	81
Madura	2,686	1,355	4,827	4,56,388	913	146	24
Malabar (North)	3,987	2,577	1,613	3,59,659	1,125	59	23
Do. (South)	3,771	2,655	4,035	3,63,024	1,010	52	23
Nellore	1,033	610	710	1,37,175	317	7	23
Salem	1,243	897	1,784	1,34,345	420	32	38
Tanjore	4,917	2,526	6,528	5,07,590	936	135	24
Tinnevelly	2,380	1,359	3,856	3,09,746	1,031	94	54
Trichinopoly	1,214	709	2,001	1,71,710	373	35	18
Vizagapatam	1,097	786	2,279	1,23,377	215	6	42
Total...	36,259	21,643	49,819	44,85,466	10,243	951	30
Ganjam (Agency)	23	2	1	322	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	70	27	42	3,171	6	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	117	72	5	6,873	6	...	...
Total...	210	101	48	10,366	12	...	...
Grand Total...	36,469	21,744	49,867	44,95,832	10,255	951	30

H 2.

Statement showing the extent and results of proceedings in insolvency in the Courts of the several Districts in 1894.

DISTRICTS.	Instituted.	Disposed of.				Amount of insolvents' assets.	
		Withdrawn, not prosecuted, &c.	Granted.	Refused.	Pending.	Number of insolvents discharged under § 355.	No. of insolvents' estates placed in Receivers' hands in which proceedings were finally closed.	Realized.	Disbursed.
Arcot (North)	20	14	5	3	3	1	...	...	...
Do. (South)	15	10	5	2	2	...	...	...	...
Bellary	12	6	3	3	2	3	...	...	...
Canara (South)	17	4	2	13	2	...	...	...	...
Chingleput	8	1	7	1	2	...	...	...	...
Coimbatore	36	20	9	14	17	3	1	1,975	1,356
Cuddapah	27	11	10	5	12	7	...	...	...
Ganjam	7	3	1	1	2	...	...	...	...
Godavari	18	6	4	6	4	...	...	...	...
Kistna	24	12	7	10	8	...	...	...	...
Kurnool	5	5	1	1	1	...	...	...	...
Madura	36	12	9	12	9	8	...	...	...
Malabar (North)	28	13	3	16	3	...	...	...	...
Do. (South)	70	22	19	28	12	2	...	...	...
Nellore	4	3	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
Salem	18	13	6	4	5	2	1	282	262
Tanjore	32	12	3	11	16	1	...	...	...
Tinnevelly	26	13	5	5	8	1	...	...	...
Trichinopoly	15	6	2	5	5	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam	12	6	4	3	2	...	10	1,647	1,623
Total...	430	192	105	139	116	28	2	1,647	1,623

I 1.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in the Revenue Courts in 1894.

REVENUE COURTS.	Summary Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.								Petitions disposed of.		Remarks.
	Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Execution.	Miscellaneous.	
		Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Contested.*		Uncontested.	Contested.			
Anantapur	24	56	...	8	39	10	22	14	...	...	* Cases decided on oath or by arbitration are excluded from this head in statements I1 to I4
Arcot (North)	774	311	14	14	96	822	74	91	4	...	
Do. (South)	12	10	...	2	6	3	27	108	2	...	
Bellary	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Canara (South)	16	17	4	...	8	1	30	67	3	164	
Chingleput	685	941	102	224	461	143	155	153	67	1,357	
Coimbatore	56	66	3	13	39	2	59	73	2	9	
Cuddapah	7	20	1	6	12	...	203	103	...	...	
Ganjam	68	64	3	4	50	18	100	76	8	16	
Godavari	329	555	158	157	217	86	174	229	15	718	
Kistna	3,725	2,352	397	879	1,027	1,863	83	124	29	...	
Kurnool	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Madura	1,235	774	73	112	417	843	43	99	64	5,370	
Malabar (North)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. (South)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Nellore	196	222	43	123	28	23	116	85	3	1	
Nilgiris	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Salem	...	257	16	32	180	14	54	159	9	368	
Tanjore	192	893	6	111	552	415	222	156	171	1,541	
Tinnevelly	772	345	3	97	214	28	62	170	20	685	
Trichinopoly	174	107	11		83	94	178	174	21	9	
Vizagapatam	121	137	10		95	26	38	70	18	186	
Total...	139	8,525	7,127	844	1,797	3,524	4,391	...	...	436	10,424
Ganjam (Agency)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Godavari (do.)	5	4	1	...	3	1	152	156	1	7	
Vizagapatam (do.)	13	11	1	1	6	5	73	46	2	...	
Total...	18	15	2	1	9	6	...	...	3	7	
Grand Total...	8,543	7,142	846	1,798	3,533	4,397	10...7	1.3 7	439	10,431	

7,142) 87600 (12.8
78562
1038

7142) 1,53300 (49.5
28568
67620
64278
3342

7142) 179500 (25.1
14284
36960
35710
= 8500

12.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in each District Munsif's Court in 1894.

	DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Small Causes.								Petitions disposed of.	
			Disposed of.					Average duration of cases in days.			Disposed of.					Average duration of cases in days.			
		Instituted.	Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Contested.	Pending.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Instituted.	Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex parte*.	Contested.	Pending.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Execution.	Miscellaneous.
Arcot (North).	Vellore	873	871	46	263	413	302	25	222	2,616	2,554	139	1,276	475	200	23	59	2,192	2,373
	Sholinghur	1,353	1,419	75	432	666	116	21	142	1,202	1,227	53	521	223	16	19	40	1,706	1,805
	Tirupati	823	844	44	308	370	71	15	67	805	801	48	416	159	10	18	23	1,832	2,012
	Chittoor	554	567	67	114	294	121	30	109	622	615	33	209	129	41	26	25	748	1,724
	Arni	744	713	51	158	328	320	47	233	1,234	1,211	86	498	202	90	25	31	1,340	2,099
	Total...	4,357	4,414	283	1,275	2,071	930	...	...	6,479	6,408	359	2,920	1,188	357	...	...	7,818	10,013
Arcot (South).	Cuddalore	1,180	1,293	40	326	680	72	23	91	2,407	2,408	58	1,068	589	10	23	26	2,754	2,468
	Chidambaram	1,180	1,148	183	214	514	137	27	68	1,156	1,138	180	381	231	37	27	31	1,274	3,297
	Villupuram	871	755	73	153	320	169	30	72	1,298	1,219	145	343	210	98	24	29	1,615	1,684
	Vriddhachalam	863	758	102	165	212	225	32	134	845	812	86	229	128	59	29	39	1,201	1,216
	Tirukoilur	901	896	60	225	345	177	39	107	774	780	67	221	154	61	33	35	961	1,150
	Tindivanam	909	983	39	176	411	304	35	224	750	756	21	183	172	48	29	49	961	1,618
	Total...	5,904	5,833	497	1,259	2,482	1,084	..	...	7,230	7,113	557	2,425	1,484	313	...	...	8,766	11,433
Bellary.	Bellary	1,276	1,262	5	745	406	256	38	113	880	856	7	603	119	88	35	49	1,940	2,091
	Penukonda... ...	926	870	19	386	425	394	48	186	310	307	6	203	77	28	37	46	995	2,208
	Naraindevarkerai ...	523	606	9	234	249	152	95	210	172	159	...	83	19	40	35	77	535	1,365
	Gooti	1,027	1,210	15	607	483	181	40	210	666	693	...	512	100	48	31	59	1,287	1,689
	Total...	3,752	3,948	48	1,972	1,563	983	...	...	2,028	2,015	13	1,401	315	204	...	...	4,757	7,353
Canara (South).	Mangalore	258	304	14	50	195	96	42	201	627	626	71	195	160	48	19	37	757	2,089
	Kasaragod	339	373	36	98	187	66	32	123	186	183	23	59	51	10	20	25	542	1,902
	Udipi	494	472	43	125	207	179	55	212	401	414	31	134	100	23	26	36	888	2,195
	Kundapur	439	463	66	130	194	94	53	134	704	712	96	256	158	49	25	35	1,015	1,998
	Puttur	381	378	26	79	197	183	74	237	194	202	11	66	51	8	30	51	532	1,991
	Karkal	355	413	34	76	245	138	78	214	211	227	10	66	51	17	33	34	516	1,940
	Total...	2,266	2,403	219	558	1,225	756	...	...	2,323	2,364	242	776	571	155	...	...	4,250	12,115
Chingleput.	Chingleput	576	618	36	116	311	240	51	264	987	955	31	326	189	88	26	37	1,825	2,109
	Poonamallee	517	567	27	195	292	184	38	278	1,010	1,092	64	474	288	50	29	63	1,781	2,909
	Tiruvallur	876	785	37	352	214	223	36	134	969	965	47	516	135	77	28	39	1,183	2,047
	Conjeeveram	860	842	55	300	320	92	17	56	769	747	66	289	181	37	15	18	1,459	2,030
	Total...	2,829	2,812	155	963	1,137	739	...	...	3,735	3,759	208	1,605	793	252	...	...	6,248	9,095
Coimbatore.	Coimbatore	792	825	33	326	333	203	36	184	2,080	2,000	82	1,247	272	277	28	55	2,159	2,100
	Udamalpet	1,066	1,052	62	380	343	172	31	134	438	437	31	216	43	18	29	42	1,216	1,756
	Erode	636	649	39	173	291	351	48	289	1,643	1,651	68	877	299	223	42	83	1,487	1,886
	Karur	1,104	1,165	50	429	401	148	27	153	694	691	43	307	111	32	21	29	1,354	1,978
	Kollegal	152	155	12	43	45	16	44	80	174	165	4	74	21	13	44	37	233	121
	Gudalur	43	41	3	20	7	8	71	81	38	37	1	16	7	2	61	94	39	91
	Total ...	3,793	3,887	199	1,371	1,420	898	...	...	5,067	4,981	229	2,737	753	565	...	...	6,488	7,932
Cuddapah.	Cuddapah	749	895	32	216	414	185	78	279	705	728	8	359	94	90	46	91	1,037	1,315
	Nundalur	646	590	8	250	205	264	89	217	680	633	5	330	97	124	59	64	1,236	2,035
	Proddutur	683	767	8	342	287	142	45	176	656	707	8	477	89	29	32	55	1,682	1,523
	Madanapalle	584	641	26	188	325	164	47	194	369	394	10	175	72	30	34	42	969	1,391
	Total...	2,662	2,893	74	996	1,231	755	...	...	2,410	2,462	31	1,341	352	273	...	...	4,864	6,264
Ganjam.	Berhampore	561	477	38	104	275	136	33	67	1,164	1,117	89	407	320	97	28	40	1,145	1,595
	Chicacole	762	713	12	152	436	338	80	214	851	852	15	295	223	144	66	87	1,329	2,188
	Aska	862	848	188	157	352	84	25	62	513	515	123	125	119	9	20	28	1,007	1,593
	Sompet	712	809	29	134	522	291	91	216	500	551	15	161	184	48	38	69	1,212	2,431
	Total...	2,897	2,847	267	547	1,585	849	...	...	3,028	3,035	242	988	846	298	...	...	4,693	7,807
Godavari.	Rajahmundry	555	470	40	78	283	260	42	167	1,157	1,137	116	439	287	63	20	31	1,734	3,007
	Cocanada	592	622	43	204	307	107	23	91	981	1,006	94	543	239	22	25	40	1,675	2,699
	Peddapuram	640	684	46	157	413	370	47	358	1027	1,030	159	284	257	92	32	76	1,537	3,168
	Amalapuram	713	1,071	61	235	702	87	31	139	951	1,036	162	377	229	65	25	36	2,425	3,234
	Narsapur	620	702	36	149	479	139	25	144	1,097	1,110	143	509	277	27	21	49	1,960	3,363
	Ellore	364	372	16	102	201	250	44	360	1,224	1,215	46	802	177	155	33	83	1,323	1,917
	Tanuku	355	282	20	61	173	328	78	329	512	500	50	207	129	67	36	56	919	2,213
	Total...	3,839	4,203	262	986	2,558	1,541	...	...	6,949	7,034	770	3,161	1,595	491	...	...	11,573	19,601
Kistna.	Guntur	713	827	16	231	496	459	75	383	1,166	1,136	36	691	209	95	36	66	1,627	3,600
	Bapatla	568	686	30	167	340	228	65	211	1072	1,042	64	467	194	120	51	71	1,231	3,080
	Narsaraopet	912	845	15	372	322	269	25	129	553	571	11	280	132	29	24	35	1,431	2 399
	Masulipatam	894	563	19	174	312	723	127	441	3,122	2,366	56	1,394	465	1,007	96	126	2,495	2,736
	Bezvada	785	754	18	165	488	120	42	77	1,458	1,303	32	527	416	247	36	34	1,619	1,695
	Gudivada	722	694	17	166	466	396	82	313	1,301	1,398	52	604	543	289	87	183	1,888	3,149
	Total...	4,594	4,369	115	1,275	2,424	2,195	...	...	8,672	7,816	251	3,963	1,959	1,787	...	...	10,291	16,659
Kurnool.	Kurnool	679	708	6	296	279	83	28	102	455	476	4	256	88	22	22	40	844	917
	Nandyal	642	709	12	268	337	207	27	228	500	526	3	379	54	32	26	50	1,306	2,506
	Markapur	421	470	10	182	203	54	26	90	458	490	11	260	90	12	23	34	701	1,482
	Total...	1,742	1,887	28	746	819	344	...	...	1,413	1,492	18	895	232	66	...	...	2,851	4,905

I 2.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in each District Munsif's Court in 1894.—(*Continued*).

	DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Small Causes.								Petitions disposed of.	
			Disposed of.					Average duration of cases in days.			Disposed of.					Average duration of cases in days.			
		Instituted.	Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Contested.	Pending.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Instituted.	Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Contested.	Pending.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Execution.	Miscellaneous.
Madura.	Madura ...	812	815	126	161	400	299	43	199	1,840	1,860	263	897	305	87	22	38	2,700	2,508
	Tirumangalam ...	666	903	138	179	425	120	35	227	910	923	184	378	136	50	32	42	1,338	2,422
	Sivaganga ...	556	581	74	121	288	70	33	63	1,239	1,220	106	605	189	51	32	45	1,530	1,367
	Paramakudi ...	838	889	95	245	444	275	54	196	1,308	1,223	131	483	371	125	42	88	1,414	3,172
	Dindigul ...	924	794	122	211	321	492	92	326	2,195	2,090	348	753	443	208	64	93	1,967	3,339
	Manamadura ...	501	646	45	132	411	108	47	213	896	726	103	290	194	189	39	55	1,291	1,993
	Total...	4,297	4,628	600	1,049	2,289	1,364	...	...	8,388	8,042	1,135	3,406	1,638	710	...	...	10,240	14,801
Malabar (North).	Tellicherry ...	445	458	38	121	257	91	21	108	1,549	1,646	197	665	342	41	25	27	2,433	2,993
	Taliparamba ...	629	623	69	147	389	91	21	73	1,321	1,328	322	478	340	32	16	18	2,243	2,970
	Badagara ...	590	553	22	155	294	105	23	63	1,882	1,865	215	755	311	69	20	23	2,400	3,498
	Quilandy ...	408	359	53	54	243	133	45	112	1,101	1,118	483	388	175	24	18	29	1,726	2,795
	Cannanore ...	600	597	25	181	334	125	47	121	1,819	1,829	547	629	346	63	20	30	2,539	3,521
	Panoor ...	488	493	74	112	262	111	41	129	1,367	1,391	464	428	279	42	26	31	2,040	3,157
	Payoli ...	450	448	26	52	345	170	61	126	1,207	1,190	337	366	284	56	30	35	1,332	2,861
	Total...	3,610	3,531	307	822	2,124	826	...	...	10,246	10,367	2,565	3,709	2,077	327	...	...	14,713	21,795
Malabar (South).	Calicut ...	932	519	20	104	361	163	33	164	614	611	58	240	214	64	25	41	2,185	3,478
	Chavakad ...	794	827	52	206	500	166	55	128	522	527	54	196	143	26	25	30	1,247	2,455
	Ernad ...	503	481	26	107	289	230	61	198	239	246	26	93	69	15	43	48	993	1,879
	Palghat ...	520	515	48	140	308	234	51	230	1,495	1,513	212	707	235	81	22	44	1,829	4,255
	Shernad ...	687	643	51	164	337	149	33	75	727	754	141	262	138	43	22	33	1,467	2,992
	Betutnad ...	522	652	67	169	294	69	50	163	529	583	80	210	137	18	21	39	1,420	2,605
	Kutnad ...	655	775	44	218	380	105	42	160	451	451	56	192	75	31	26	32	1,489	2,800
	Nedunganad ...	402	477	49	92	300	147	40	305	679	745	65	206	150	59	34	74	939	2,587
	Angadipuram ...	548	554	32	141	326	188	45	182	320	311	30	150	63	33	25	41	630	2,105
	Temelprom ...	434	467	12	66	318	192	61	216	1,394	1,390	155	420	180	99	23	47	1,236	3,082
	Vayitri ...	169	181	15	57	82	26	32	113	117	120	18	48	19	7	26	45	377	723
	Anjengo ...	23	23	5	3	6	...	33	61	15	16	1	6	4	...	18	23	9	62
	Addl. Munsif, Calicut ...	2	441	36	80	304	150	31	103	1,693	1,689	239	711	445	80	17	24	2,187	3,533
	Total...	6,191	6,555	457	1,547	3,805	1,819	...	...	8,795	8,956	1,135	3,441	1,872	556	...	...	16,008	32,556
Nellore.	Nellore ...	571	618	49	99	381	175	78	181	1,703	1,718	184	738	438	109	26	34	1,980	3,000
	Ongole ...	734	734	31	253	326	213	29	158	507	509	20	293	76	32	22	50	1,482	3,000
	Kavali ...	745	781	43	270	353	216	34	159	626	698	57	328	125	34	31	45	1,309	1,679
	Kanigiri ...	611	487	19	206	189	192	36	93	489	451	7	262	76	57	34	59	1,210	1,341
	Total...	2,661	2,620	142	828	1,249	796	...	...	3,325	3,376	268	1,621	715	232	...	...	5,981	9,020
Salem.	Salem ...	965	943	78	258	371	291	65	190	1,840	1,893	247	794	352	351	58	83	2,805	3,522
	Namakal ...	784	758	38	223	323	275	33	176	592	612	39	268	111	30	22	34	932	2,036
	Tirupatur ...	1,199	1,197	170	406	342	115	34	53	1,559	1,527	175	693	170	123	35	35	2,244	2,237
	Krishnagiri ...	776	732	100	171	304	359	53	240	766	785	110	296	117	57	35	61	1,191	2,104
	Total...	3,724	3,630	386	1,058	1,340	1,040	...	...	4,757	4,817	571	2,051	750	561	...	...	7,172	9,899
Tanjore.	Negapatam ...	491	493	47	111	246	194	60	186	1,383	1,371	65	678	271	127	32	43	1,337	2,521
	Mayavaram ...	500	516	49	122	249	241	51	251	1,790	1,744	164	748	266	187	40	71	1,341	1,941
	Tiruvarur ...	518	519	41	84	254	281	64	285	2,106	2,117	191	834	350	112	23	46	1,787	2,454
	Shiyali ...	202	347	30	48	229	77	36	192	674	688	75	218	146	8	29	33	606	1,265
	Tiruturaipundi ...	480	553	34	167	255	77	36	193	1,453	1,585	83	908	202	27	31	43	1,107	1,888
	Tanjore ...	841	876	93	278	376	177	36	171	1,720	1,635	130	804	290	204	29	51	1,915	1,862
	Kumbakonam ...	584	551	39	161	292	298	29	253	2,164	2,330	182	1,082	523	36	21	47	2,201	2,316
	Patukota ...	572	555	64	121	208	74	21	63	763	808	72	278	173	14	21	28	1,344	2,304
	Valangiman ...	434	436	63	96	223	157	50	213	1,603	1,590	325	619	224	81	20	40	1,367	2,344
	Mannargudi ...	500	530	57	129	245	208	50	330	1,538	1,525	274	494	328	79	34	69	1,700	2,891
	Tiruvadi ...	559	603	42	161	303	125	28	136	1,339	1,362	91	668	258	42	15	20	2,004	2,134
	Total...	5,771	5,979	559	1,478	2,880	1,909	...	...	16,533	16,755	1,652	7,331	3,031	917	...	...	16,709	23,920
Tinnevelly.	Tinnevelly ...	594	558	83	96	332	242	42	197	1,148	1,162	221	327	292	100	28	51	1,102	3,194
	Srivilliputur ...	872	811	248	177	265	356	57	201	869	857	234	246	183	113	28	82	1,352	5,405
	Ambasamudram ...	597	516	156	105	170	161	44	134	1,706	1,657	422	434	246	130	39	52	1,014	2,312
	Srivaikantham ...	845	764	125	180	413	260	31	116	1,669	1,682	680	374	439	118	18	41	1,828	2,171
	Tuticorin ...	836	726	157	225	235	191	35	101	1,533	1,508	250	751	236	145	39	46	1,955	3,789
	Addl. Munsif, Tinnevelly...	...	325	5	6	286	178	72	208	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	698
	Satur ...	704	775	122	144	386	125	40	114	814	825	131	307	138	27	26	32	1,184	2,359
	Total...	4,448	4,475	896	933	2,087	1,513	...	...	7,739	7,691	1,888	2,439	1,534	633	...	...	8,435	19,928
Trichinopoly.	Trichinopoly ...	389	395	45	68	182	130	44	184	2,123	2,153	255	983	520	161	34	41	2,687	2,097
	Ariyalur ...	1,089	1,104	206	215	360	210	27	164	1,169	1,145	204	224	158	69	26	36	1,078	3,291
	Kulitalai ...	980	1,003	139	268	370	348	49	236	1,030	1,024	139	372	119	115	38	77	1,092	2,215
	Srirengam ...	481	487	58	90	248	254	78	282	842	777	92	333	114	121	32	32	1,048	1,556
	Total...	2,939	2,989	448	641	1,160	942	...	...	5,170	5,099	690	1,912	911	466	...	...	5,905	9,159
Vizagapatam.	Vizagapatam ...	656	643	41	164	329	122	28	87	605	591	21	202	168	48	23	30	994	1,519
	Chodavaram ...	560	470	31	132	181	166	43	100	600	579	14	235	135	70	30	38	1,066	1,283
	Yellamanchili ...	725	758	67	167	413	109	26	117	722	721	117	284	161	21	16	21	1,132	2,021
	Vizianagrum ...	678	726	24	153	381	233	36	194	635	660	41	187	140	82	35	45	1,015	2,287
	Razam ...	530	524	25	107	315	144	46	117	488	476	31	177	135	41	29	40	926	1,742
	Parvatipur ...	545	542	16	105	315	183	40	197	404	342	13	85	97	83	25	39	943	1,190
	Total...	3,694	3,663	204	828	1,934	957	...	...	3,454	3,369	237	1,170	836	345	...	...	6,076	10,042

I 2.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in each District Munsif's Court in 1894.—(*Concluded*).

DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.		Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Small Causes.								Petitions disposed of.	
		Instituted.	Disposed of. Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Contested.	Pending.	Average duration of cases in days. Uncontested.	Contested.	Instituted.	Disposed of. Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Contested.	Pending.	Average duration of cases in days. Uncontested.	Contested.	Execution.	Miscellaneous.
Ganjam (Agency).	Balliguda ...	52	49	16	1	21	4	28	36	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...
	Ramagiri ...	9	4	2	...	1	1	64	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
	Udayagiri ...	42	49	8	10	13	3	63	80	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...
	Parlakimidi ...	10	6	5	...	...	7	162	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...
	Surada ...	2	2	2	...	...	...	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total...	115	110	33	11	35	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	28	...
Godavari (Agency).	Bhadrachalam ...	76	76	13	14	30	6	34	67	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	39	8
	Polavaram ...	180	158	30	45	39	73	76	166	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	174	305
	Chodavaram ...	18	23	16	1	...	6	162	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...
	Yellavaram ...	43	50	20	8	10	5	53	127	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	9
	Total...	317	307	79	68	79	90	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	235	322
Vizagapatam (Agency).	Golgonda ...	7	8	...	...	3	11	...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	1
	Narayanapatnam ...	30	44	2	22	8	2	110	110	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	5
	Gunupuram ...	159	137	4	23	55	41	52	73	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	78	11
	Rayaghada ...	65	80	1	35	14	9	82	254	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	71	4
	Koraput ...	26	27	10	9	2	26	72	146	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	1
	Jeypore ...	137	120	22	23	28	25	58	104	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	24	...
	Malkanagiri ...	25	24	2	5	4	1	32	40	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...
	Nowrangapur ...	15	12	1	5	4	3	15	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...
	Pottingi ...	16	20	3	2	...	2	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
	Padwa ...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total...	489	472	45	124	118	120	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	223	22

I 3.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in 1894.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.		Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Appeals.						Small Causes.								Petitions disposed of.	
		Instituted.	Disposed of. Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Contested.	Pending.	Average duration of cases in days. Uncontested.	Contested.	Instituted.	Disposed of. Total.	After contest.	Pending.	Average duration of cases in days. Uncontested.	Contested.	Instituted.	Disposed of. Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Contested.	Pending.	Average duration of cases in days. Uncontested.	Contested.	Execution.	Miscellaneous.
Bellary and Salem	Bellary side ...	5	20	...	2	12	...	109	131	...	...	...	...	...	...	199	299	1	177	76	...	38	72	69	230
	Salem side ...	2	10	...	...	9	...	...	89	...	158	141	...	35	56	22	33	2	2	11	...	30	47	26	163
	North Arcot side ...	3	5	...	...	4	32	...	13	...	66	52	139	37	46	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	59
Canara (South), Mangalore ...		62	72	6	5	43	35	72	275	...	304	282	90	85	112	420	418	43	166	100	18	34	44	487	973
Godavari	Cocanada ...	49	45	3	3	38	74	90	496	246	235	225	275	159	217	1,575	1,546	222	616	385	343	77	118	1,160	2,147
	Ellore { Godavari side...	21	22	...	2	20	19	63	435	...	124	110	120	132	169	620	681	68	287	194	145	104	273	1,118	3,214
	Ellore { Kistna side ...	22	29	...	...	19	22	...	463	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,027	1,196	31	435	587	394	84	195	...	...
Madura	East ...	60	82	1	8	71	37	39	355	...	200	192	...	64	71	1,058	1,441	96	580	558	89	51	124	1,070	1,578
	West ...	61	67	2	4	56	50	92	348	...	125	121	15	64	133	1,591	1,580	248	673	375	375	94	128	1,476	1,799
Malabar (North), Tellicherry ...		42	52	...	2	46	26	94	272	...	*20	19	...	...	75	1,766	1,769	206	713	572	159	39	52	1,742	2,537
Malabar (South)	Calicut ...	27	32	...	3	27	28	55	295	...	387	357	218	139	192	346	376	26	152	132	40	45	53	567	1,466
	Cochin ...	37	34	3	5	21	16	42	175	...	...	...	...	...	...	126	142	10	88	19	15	72	76	149	369
	Palghat ...	51	46	...	2	42	52	39	542	...	186	172	77	68	246	1,055	1,112	115	395	381	138	48	70	1,134	2,628
Nilgiris, Ootacamund ...		121	103	4	26	52	62	49	189	...	20	20	28	...	6	632	560	17	304	121	158	48	70	855	455
Tanjore	Negapatam ...	55	48	...	9	33	29	36	186	...	181	172	48	...	113	1,855	1,760	111	880	419	288	43	80	1,673	1,643
	Kumbakonam ...	67	52	1	4	44	57	40	273	...	178	165	32	76	95	2,103	2,028	212	793	517	348	44	93	1,644	1,346
	Tanjore ...	40	35	...	5	23	31	35	273	...	145	138	46	40	105	1,765	1,560	120	700	410	429	95	107	1,589	1,346
Tinnevelly ...		84	83	4	6	68	65	51	324	...	151	124	29	122	120	1,572	1,566	421	462	570	247	56	59	1,466	2,448
Trichinopoly ...		5	4	...	...	3	34	17	37	...	127	112	151	12	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	60
Total...		814	841	24	86	631	669	...	...	246	2,607	2,402	1,268	...	...	17,732	18,067	1,949	7,423	5,427	3,186	...	...	16,225	24,461
Assistant Agents' Courts.																									
Ganjam (Agency) ...		14	17	3	2	11	3	50	14	4	5	1	...	26	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
Godavari (do.) ...		29	25	3	9	9	13	189	287	6	8	7	1	116	59	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	20
Vizagapatam (do.) ...		33	23	1	...	14	8	464	191	22	27	18	...	95	91	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	8
Total...		76	65	7	11	34	24	...	...	32	40	26	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	32	28
Grand Total...		890	906	31	97	665	693	...	...	278	2,647	2,428	1,269	...	...	17,732	18,067	1,949	7,423	5,427	3,186	...	...	16,257	24,489

* These were received by transfer from the District Court of Coimbatore and disposed of by the Subordinate Judge during his sitting at Coimbatore in December.

I 4.

Statement showing the number of suits and appeals instituted, disposed of and pending, and of petitions and criminal cases disposed of in the District Courts in 1894.

DISTRICT COURTS.	CIVIL.																						CRIMINAL.	
	Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Appeals.						Small Causes.								Petitions disposed of.			
		Disposed of.					Average duration of suits in days.			Disposed of.			Average duration of appeals in days.			Disposed of.					Average duration of cases in days.					
	Instituted.	Total.	Compromised.	Decided ex-parte.	Contested.	Pending.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Instituted.	Total.	After contest.	Pending.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Instituted.	Total.	Compromised.	Decided ex-parte.	Contested.	Pending.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Execution.	Miscellaneous.	Trials.	Appeals and Revision Cases.
Arcot (North) ...	31	37	4	4	22	44	261	557	268	359	296	180	530	613	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	46	898	51	73
Do. (South) ...	23	23	2	3	8	23	212	311	235	298	215	35	92	100	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	48	450	40	59
Bellary ...	29	5	...	2	1	30	30	299	305	193	165	310	291	344	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	31	374	82	60
Canara (South) ...	34	22	1	2	12	16	66	93	479	180	156	94	190	231	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	1,017	29	43
Chingleput ...	29	44	...	1	37	21	76	652	301	292	253	208	120	257	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	65	379	40	44
Coimbatore ...	36	38	...	7	27	46	228	554	341	217	180	366	433	445	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	39	642	91	143
Cuddapah ...	23	33	12	2	12	18	430	680	236	199	185	220	...	242	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	482	50	67
Ganjam ...	45	43	2	...	34	30	250	447	294	280	203	110	199	176	23	28	4	6	12	2	59	87	92	561	32	43
Godavari ...	33	21	...	3	10	26	128	344	523	181	178	122	29	81	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	44	509	21	65
Kistna ...	42	40	1	4	29	34	53	233	726	1,013	1,001	613	408	247	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	76	802	33	38
Kurnool ...	12	20	1	2	14	3	139	411	169	123	101	89	162	201	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	288	30	23
Madura ...	10	15	...	...	12	4	66	305	912	809	565	76	92	133	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	392	74	230
Malabar (North) ...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	129	632	605	559	142	108	96	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	297	28	28
Do. (South) ...	8	12	...	3	7	1	93	148	988	377	319	310	68	224	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	2,666	66	101
Nellore ...	33	23	...	5	18	71	145	613	402	262	237	643	321	806	85	86	8	30	34	22	74	122	198	911	20	38
Salem ...	29	27	7	3	13	20	114	213	214	251	217	76	144	216	36	38	3	17	10	10	75	53	139	651	71	143
Tanjore ...	15	13	...	6	6	7	123	174	715	323	267	177	120	172	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	839	57	148
Tinnevelly ...	19	14	...	4	9	18	120	314	392	236	234	336	264	223	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	1,189	97	95
Trichinopoly ...	30	45	5	2	23	34	453	1,138	225	165	111	241	727	824	321	402	63	161	92	94	191	203	291	1,076	32	95
Vizagapatam ...	26	26	...	4	22	19	104	294	453	280	228	328	122	185	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	112	551	26	62
Total ...	507	502	35	55	317	465	...	...	8,810	6,643	5,670	4,676	...	...	465	554	78	214	148	128	...	...	1,265	14,974	970	1,608
Ganjam (Agency)	2	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	215	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...
Godavari (do.)	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	14	1	1	55	127	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	10	35	5
Total...	4	1	...	...	...	2	...	...	15	15	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	12	44	5
Grand Total...	511	503	35	55	317	467	...	...	8,825	6,658	5,672	4,678	...	...	465	554	78	214	148	128	...	...	1,269	14,986	1,014	1,613

J.

Comparative statement showing, by Districts, the number of First and Second Appeals from decrees instituted in the High Court during the years 1890—1894.

DISTRICTS.	First Appeals from decrees.					Second Appeals from decrees.				
	1890.	1891.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1890.	1891.	1892.	1893.	1894.
Arcot (North) ...	10	7	4	4	6	29	64	9	90	39
Do. (South) ...	6	4	3	5	4	65	51	51	40	55
Bellary ...	6	8	2	1	3	39	30	9	16	26
Canara (South) ...	13	17	10	5	14	64	81	76	92	114
Chingleput ...	15	4	10	9	13	155	48	67	65	55
Coimbatore ...	18	9	6	16	8	54	61	46	34	29
Cuddapah ...	7	2	1	...	6	28	33	15	12	36
Ganjam ...	6	4	8	17	12	14	33	76	27	24
Godavari ...	12	12	18	22	28	49	117	107	109	80
Kistna ...	10	8	14	21	8	80	416	504	711	664
Kurnool ...	...	2	4	4	2	15	24	12	16	16
Madura ...	10	17	7	19	32	90	257	106	67	117
Malabar (North) ...	13	13	10	7	6	171	191	100	96	107
Do. (South) ...	27	24	20	8	24	195	216	148	97	147
Nellore ...	12	17	6	10	7	23	58	42	38	58
Salem ...	3	16	3	7	3	29	129	54	39	49
Tanjore ...	15	27	32	24	37	201	166	166	154	106
Tinnevelly ...	9	6	5	19	7	33	40	101	92	62
Trichinopoly ...	7	23	10	11	8	36	53	31	22	11
Vizagapatam ...	12	15	11	22	22	38	19	39	39	51
Total...	211	235	184	231	250	1,408	2,082	1,759	1,856	1,846
Madras ...	43	34	41	53	41	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total...	254	269	225	284	291	1,408	2,082	1,759	1,856	1,846

K.

Statement showing the judicial receipts and charges of Civil Courts for 1894.

DISTRICTS.	RECEIPTS.								CHARGES.							Surplus or Deficit.	Remarks.
	In Stamps.					In cash.		Total.	Share of officers' salaries debitable to Civil Justice.	Establishments and Contingencies.					Total.		
	Institution fees.	Process fees.	Probate, administration and certificate fees.	Other Court fees.	Special (copying) Stamps.	Fines, penalties and forfeitures.	Other receipts credited to Government.			Regular.	Process-servers.	Copyists and supervising staff.	Refunds.	Charges on account of petty construction and repairs.			
Arcot (North)	94,750	49,470	2,503	14,155	7,905	9	2,818	1,71,610	38,500	21,357	27,887	4,232	3	1,381	93,660	+77,950	
Do. (South)	89,561	46,091	2,644	14,322	6,859	8	2,562	1,62,054	45,144	21,103	28,066	5,385	33	100	99,831	+62,223	
Bellary	80,530	33,702	5,631	9,070	4,240	39	2,662	1,35,874	27,278	15,290	23,501	2,516	42	217	68,844	+67,030	
Canara (South)	71,447	37,487	2,380	14,012	9,790	25	2,416	1,37,557	47,567	23,784	29,289	7,084	227	245	1,08,196	÷29,361	
Chingleput	61,948	28,080	2,149	8,622	5,575	32	3,614	1,10,020	45,679	16,591	16,051	3,468	46	726	82,561	+27,459	
Coimbatore	1,22,386	45,658	6,422	8,757	10,592	22	2,876	1,97,263	42,745	21,813	23,030	4,738	437	1,328	94,091	+1,03,172	
Cuddapah	50,252	24,110	2,255	8,288	4,823	14	1,583	91,325	33,851	15,776	19,713	3,261	...	1,205	73,806	+17,519	
Ganjam	61,733	29,504	2,272	9,246	6,878	189	2,566	1,12,338	37,872	16,776	19,880	4,159	87	1,152	79,926	+32,412	
Godavari	1,80,838	83,733	8,943	22,640	17,928	143	4,441	3,18,666	64,779	36,493	51,542	9,925	869	1,422	1,65,030	+1,53,636	
Kistna	1,19,958	66,471	6,365	17,704	11,751	153	2,477	2,24,879	36,228	23,604	31,357	5,997	5	228	97,419	+1,27,46	
Kurnool	30,144	19,930	1,437	6,900	3,833	47	1,422	62,873	25,169	11,077	13,250	2,147	21	1,328	52,992	+9,881	
Madura	1,96,836	89,125	2,678	27,491	22,499	418	5,728	3,44,776	53,116	32,171	47,062	14,384	620	...	1,47,353	+1,97,423	
Malabar (North)	1,16,458	60,437	1,536	19,100	14,903	6	3,354	2,15,794	59,373	29,876	35,763	10,163	397	468	1,36,040	+79,754	
Do (South)	1,77,360	90,034	2,589	26,307	26,397	38	9,503	3,32,228	80,701	49,182	70,523	18,053	817	671	2,19,947	+1,12,281	
Nellore	73,137	38,193	2,958	11,825	5,015	56	2,010	1,33,194	28,581	17,249	23,448	3,822	163	...	73,263	+59,931	
Salem	80,907	38,315	3,902	1,439	7,415	72	2,026	1,34,126	35,163	21,081	20,877	3,937	113	628	81,799	+52,327	
Tanjore	2,63,226	1,22,475	7,153	32,485	23,256	83	7,402	4,56,080	72,350	45,692	66,313	15,986	416	26	2,00,783	+2,55,297	
Tinnevelly	1,66,742	79,445	3,755	7,679	10,784	49	3,640	2,72,094	37,507	24,242	40,960	6,825	132	1,019	1,10,685	+1,61,409	
Trichinopoly	79,179	38,201	3,579	5,734	6,971	5	1,908	1,35,577	33,700	20,074	22,186	5,453	23	876	82,312	+53,265	
Vizagapatam	88,309	36,159	1,416	7,909	8,378	135	3,458	1,45,764	41,112	22,594	27,976	5,043	238	556	97,519	+48,245	
Ganjam (Agency) ...	622	6	...	...	35	5	5	673	6,892	3,576	5	...	...	23	10,565	−9,832	
Godavari (do.) ...	3,194	1,450	...	222	247	...	179	5,292	8,069	438	714	205	...	...	9,426	−4,134	
Vizagapatam (do.) ...	4,966	1,231	...	824	248	...	1,284	8,553	10,887	2,052	923	...	...	...	13,862	−5,309	
Total...	22,15,033	10,59,30-	72,567	2,73,881	2,16,382	1,498	69,941	39,08,610	9,12,263	4,92,191	6,40,316	1,36,792	4,689	13,599	21,99,850	+17,08,760	
Madras { Presy. S. C. Court ...	1,38,350	49,970	...	98	2,198	...	27,488	2,18,104	56,352	30,597	6,561	1,000	20,495	...	1,15,005	+1,03,099	
The City Civil Court...	14,460	4,366	...	1,005	683	...	180	20,688	14,400	2,850	1,305	643	571	...	26,769	−6,081	
High Court ...	1,42,922	6,966	39,776	22,075	3,101	...	...	2,14,840	1,97,980	2,20,720	...	2,694	4,624	...	4,26,018	−2,11,178	
Total...	2,95,732	61,29-	39,776	23,178	5,982	...	27,668	4,53,632	2,68,732	2,61,167	7,866	4,337	25,690	...	5,67,792	−1,14,160	
Grand Total...	25,10,765	11,20,604	1,12,343	2,97,059	2,22,364	1,498	97,609	43,62,242	11,80,995	7,53,358	6,48,182	1,41,129	30,379	13,599	27,67,642	+15,94,600	

L.

Statement showing the number of processes actually executed by Amins and Peons in the year 1894.

District.	Name of Court.	Processes actually executed by Amins.	Processes actually executed by Peons.	Processes of a nature to be entrusted to Amins only.	Other processes.
Arcot (North).	Chittoor	519	10,662	523	10,597
	Vellore	1,340	12,962	1,340	12,962
	Sholinghur	1,149	15,956	1,169	13,936
	Tirupati	1,636	20,885	1,825	20,696
	Arni	1,055	9,232	1,055	9,232
	Total...	5,699	67,686	5,912	67,423
Arcot (South).	Central Nazarat, Cuddalore	1,551	22,149	1,551	22,149
	Chidambaram	960	13,547	960	13,547
	Villupuram	981	9,838	981	9,838
	Vriddhachalam	1,090	8,388	1,090	8,388
	Tirukoilur	704	8,027	704	8,027
	Tindivanam	786	9,230	786	9,230
	Total...	6,072	71,179	6,072	71,179
Bellary.	Sub-Court, Bellary and Salem	...	...	...	...
	Bellary	1,538	8,917	1,538	8,917
	Penukonda	746	15,619	752	15,613
	Naraindevarkerai	569	8,456	581	8,444
	Gooti	1,846	21,020	2,019	20,847
	Total...	4,699	54,012	4,890	53,821
Canara (South).	Central Nazarat, Mangalore...	1,310	14,248	1,310	14,248
	Kasaragod	1,184	10,264	1,184	10,264
	Udipi	546	5,245	546	5,245
	Kundapur	809	12,209	809	12,209
	Puttur	965	9,219	992	9,192
	Karkal	626	7,385	626	7,385
	Total...	5,440	58,570	5,467	58,543
Chingleput.	Central Nazarat, Chingleput...	966	13,523	966	13,523
	Poonamallee	1,281	11,249	1,300	11,230
	Tiruvallur	1,006	10,761	1,034	10,733
	Conjeeveram	813	10,704	813	10,704
	Small Cause Court, St. Thomas' Mount	26	353	26	353
	Total...	4,092	46,590	4,139	46,543
Coimbatore.	Sub-Court, Nilgiris	827	2,932	359	3,400
	Coimbatore	874	12,328	874	12,328
	Udamalpet	487	8,085	505	8,067
	Erode	530	10,969	530	10,969
	Karur	768	8,844	768	8,844
	Kollegal	168	2,442	168	2,442
	Gudalur	65	185	55	195
	Total...	3,719	45,785	3,259	46,245
Cuddapah.	Central Nazarat, Cuddapah	360	6,395	360	6,395
	Nandalur	464	6,396	495	6,365
	Proddutur	531	5,887	531	5,887
	Madanapalle	378	6,322	392	6,308
	Total...	1,733	25,000	1,778	24,955
Ganjam.	Berhampore	940	7,955	934	7,961
	Chicacole	1,110	7,005	1,110	7,005
	Aska	883	7,078	883	7,078
	Sompet	1,121	6,271	1,127	6,265
	Total...	4,054	28,309	4,054	28,309
Godavari.	Rajahmundry	1,109	10,343	1,221	10,231
	Cocanada	1,506	11,125	1,506	11,125
	Peddapuram	1,692	11,235	1,692	11,235
	Amalapuram	2,370	23,271	2,275	23,366
	Narsapur	1,439	8,837	1,439	8,837
	Ellore	994	8,463	1,116	8,341
	Tanuku	708	6,753	708	6,753
	Total...	9,818	80,027	9,957	79,888
Kistna.	Masulipatam	1,879	12,022	1,887	12,014
	Guntur	1,343	25,676	1,357	25,662
	Bapatla	1,149	16,783	1,161	16,771
	Narsaraopet	1,079	8,458	1,152	8,385
	Bezvada	1,269	11,123	1,269	11,123
	Gudivada	1,836	13,818	1,851	13,803
	Total...	8,555	87,880	8,677	87,758

L.

Statement showing the number of processes actually executed by Amins and Peons in the year 1894.—(*Concluded*).

District.	Name of Court.	Processes actually executed by Amins.	Processes actually executed by Peons.	Processes of a nature to be entrusted to Amins only.	Other processes.
Kurnool.	Kurnool		598	673	11,095
	Nandyal		872	1,112	10,682
	Markapur		426	437	5,903
	Total...	1,806	2,596	2,222	27,680
Madura.	Central Nazarat, Madura		2,596	2,757	26,230
	Sivaganga		1,211	1,211	19,806
	Paramakudi		1,442	1,442	11,764
	Dindigul		1,209	1,209	16,587
	Manamadura		1,255	1,279	11,518
	Total...	7,713	9,207	7,898	85,905
Malabar (North).	Central Nazarat, Tellicherry		1,727	1,787	9,207
	Taliparamba		1,713	1,713	10,498
	Badagara		1,818	1,307	8,667
	Quilandy		954	786	8,859
	Cannanore		1,745	1,745	9,779
	Panoor		1,863	1,863	9,631
	Payoli		1,412	1,251	8,632
	Total...	11,232	64,333	10,392	65,173
Malabar (South).	Central Nazarat, Calicut		25,074	2,240	25,074
	Sub-Court, Cochin		696	54	696
	Central Nazarat, Palghat		24,103	2,084	24,103
	Chavakad		12,961	773	12,961
	Eruad		8,476	825	8,476
	Shernad		6,822	1,278	7,183
	Betutnad		10,539	859	10,539
	Kutnad		10,587	840	10,587
	Nedunganad		9,648	980	9,648
	Angadipuram		5,326	745	5,326
	Tomelprom		10,831	755	10,831
	Vayiri		3,637	849	3,637
	Anjengo		295	12	254
	Total...	1,23,956	1,23,956	11,933	129,305
Nellore.	Nellore		22,800	1,789	22,800
	Ongole		18,177	922	18,177
	Kavali		16,268	650	16,266
	Kanigiri		10,140	725	10,055
	Total...	67,385	67,385	4,086	67,298
Salem.	Central Nazarat, Salem		1,455	1,455	22,840
	Namakal		679	679	11,615
	Tirupatur		1,149	1,149	14,260
	Krishnagiri		741	741	8,617
	Total...	4,024	4,024	4,024	57,332
Tanjore.	Central Nazarat, Tanjore		2,571	2,571	20,405
	Do. Kumbakonam		2,993	2,993	38,972
	Do. Negapatam		2,196	2,196	21,907
	Mayavaram		1,170	1,170	10,240
	Tiruvalur		1,687	1,645	14,308
	Shiyali		761	761	10,226
	Tiruturaipundi		1,044	1,044	8,769
	Patukota...		791	791	7,813
	Mannargudi		1,336	1,336	12,587
	Tiruvadi		1,065	1,065	12,627
	Total...	15,514	158,006	15,572	157,948
Tinnevelly.	Central Nazarat, Tinnevelly		1,104	1,104	13,494
	Srivilliputur		1,162	1,162	21,593
	Ambasamudram		877	877	9,120
	Srivaikunthum		1,794	1,794	14,257
	Tuticorin		1,270	1,270	12,723
	Satur		905	905	13,033
	Total...	7,112	84,220	7,112	84,220
Trichinopoly.	Central Nazarat, Trichinopoly		18,097	2,146	18,097
	Ariyalur		8,286	641	8,286
	Kulitalai...		10,466	1,044	10,470
	Total...	3,831	36,849	3,827	36,853
Vizagapatam.	Central Nazarat, Vizagapatam		10,666	811	10,666
	Chodavaram		9,172	873	9,461
	Yellamanchili		1,035	1,035	10,212
	Vizianagrum		10,315	638	10,296
	Razam		753	753	8,695
	Parvatipur		979	984	9,161
	Total...	5,218	58,267	4,994	58,491

M.

List of the District and Subordinate Judges who held office during the year 1894.

District Judges.			Subordinate Judges.		
Name of District.	Name of Judge.	Period for which he held charge.	Name of Court.	Name of Judge.	Period for which he held charge.
Arcot (North)	E. J. Sewell Esq.	8th Jan. to 8th Sep.	Bellary	...	8th January to 29th April.
	C. Vencoba Chariar Esq.*	8th Sep. to 25th Oct.	Bellary — Salem	Mr. M. B. Sundara Rao Pantulu.	30th June to the end of October.
	E. C. Rawson Esq.	25th Oct. to end of year	Bellary — N. Arcot	...	10th November to end of year.
Do (South)	W. F. Graham Esq.	Whole year			
Bellary	Lewis Moore Esq.	Do.			
South Canara	W. C. Holmes Esq.	Do.			
Chingleput	S. Russel Esq.	Do.	Mangalore	Mr. O. Chandu Menon	Whole year ...
Coimbatore	R. D. Broadfoot Esq.	1st to 16th Jan. ...	Nilgiris (Ootacamund)	Mr. A. F. Elliot	1st January to end of April.
	T. Weir Esq.	17th Jan. to end of year...		„ W. E. T. Clarke	From end of April to end of year.
Cuddapah	M. D. Bell Esq.	1st to 15th Jan. ...			
	W. H. Welsh Esq.	16th Jan. to 12th Dec. ...			
	W. G. Underwood Esq.	13th to 31st Dec. ...	Godavari — Cocanada	„ C. Suri Aiyar	1st January to 22nd September.
Ganjam	H. G. Joseph Esq.	1st Jan. to 11th Mar. ...		„ K. Krishna Rao	8th October to end of year.
	J. P. Fiddian Esq.	12th May to end of year...			
Godavari	H. T. Ross Esq.	1st Jan. to 9th Nov. ...	Godavari — Ellore	„ M. B. Sundara Rao Pantulu.	1st to 6th January.
	G. T. Mackenzie Esq.	10th Nov. to end of year...		„ N. Swaminatha Aiyar.	8th January to end of year.
Kistna	G. T. Mackenzie Esq.	1st Jan. to 27th Feb. ...			
	E. C. Rawson Esq.	28th Feb. to 10th Aug. ...			
	E. A. Elwin Esq.	11th Aug. to 31st Dec. ...	Madura — East	„ C. V. Gopalan Nayar	Whole year ...
Kurnool	W. M. Thorburn Esq.	Whole year	Madura — West	„ P. Narayanaswamy Aiyar.	do. ...
Madura	J. W. F. Dumergue Esq.	Do.		„ S. Subbaiyar	do. ...
Malabar, (North).	A. Thompson Esq.	Do.	Malabar, (North) Tellicherry — Calicut	„ A. Venkataramana Poi.	do. ...
Do. (South)	R. S. Benson Esq.	Do.	Malabar, (South) — Palghat	„ E. K. Krishnan	do. ...
Nellore	C. Ramachandra Aiyar Esq.	1st Jan. to 22nd Mar. ...	Malabar, (South) — Cochin	„ B. M. D'Cruz	1st January to 27th March.
	J. A. Davies Esq.	27th Mar. to 16th June ...		„ V. Chappan Menon.	28th March to 19th October.
	K. C. Manavedan Raja Esq.	18th June to end of year.		„ P. S. Singaravelu Pillai.	19th October to end of year.
Salem	W. J. Tate Esq.	1st Jan. to 29th Mar. ..	Tanjore — Negapatam	„ T. Ramaswami Aiyangar.	Whole year ...
	T. M. Horsfall Esq.	29th Mar. to end of year..	Tanjore — Kumbakonum	„ V. Srinivasa Charlu.	do. ...
Tanjore	J. H. A. Tremenhere Esq.	1st Jan. to 19th April. ...			
	J. A. Davies Esq.	20th June to 10th Sep. ...			
	E. J. Sewell Esq.	10th Sep. to end of year...	Tanjore — Tanjore	„ C. Venkoba Chariar.	8th January to 18th April and 1st December to end of year
Tinnevelly	F. H. Hamnet Esq.	1st Jan. to 20th Feb. ...			
	M. D. Bell Esq.	9th Mar. to 21st Dec. ...			
	Bannetine Macleod Esq.	22nd Dec. to end of year...		„ K. Krishna Rao	19th June to 1st October.
Trichinopoly	J. P. Fiddian Esq.	1st Jan. to 10th June. ...			
	C. Vencoba Chariar Esq.	11th June to 30th Aug. ...		„ U. Achutan Nayar..	8th October to 1st December.
	R. D. Broadfoot Esq.	31st Aug. to end of year...			
Vizagapatam	E. C. Rawson Esq.	1st Jan. to 23rd Feb. ...	Tinnevelly	„ S. Gopala Chariar...	Whole year ...
	H. R. Farmer Esq.	24th Feb. to end of year...	Trichinopoly	„ T. Ramachandra Rao	1st November to end of year.

* *Vide* Tanjore under Subordinate Judges.

PRINTED AT THE SCOTTISH PRESS, BY GRAVES, COOKSON AND CO., MADRAS.

Note for H. H.

In S. of 15. Jany. 95. No 83. Judicial visit of Messrs Farmer, Thorburn & Jeffrian unfavourably commented upon by Govt. In his note of the 5 ultimo on the draft report in S. of S. dtd 25. Oct. 95. No 2067. H. enquires how far the merits of these officers has improved during 1894 and whether the arrears in Vizag. have fallen

In 1894 Mr. Sewell was in charge of the N. Arcot D. Court from the 8. January to the 8. Sept. and was then transferred to the same where he remained as D. Judge till the end of the year. Mr Farmer has been D. Judge of Vizag. from 24. Feb. to the end of the year & Mr Thorburn was has been the D. Judge of Kurnool throughout 1894. Mr Jeffrian was in Trichinopoly from 1. Jany. to the 12. May and was afterwards transferred to Tanjore.

He has joined elsewhere

Wherein something wrong about the figures in the statement, e.g.

N. Arcot

Obj.d Suits pending 31/12/93	83
Rec.d in 94	31
	114
disposed of in 94	37
Balance 31/12/94	77

But the figures given is only 64 – There are several other cases of this kind – I presume a Subcourt must have been at work for a part of the year & suits have been transferred to it – This source of error, or whatever cause there may be for the figures not tallying, should have been detected & the figures have been made to tally –

Mr Sewell is still very bad but perhaps he should be given more time.

As Mrs Farmer is about to retire & Mr Thorburn really is helpless, perhaps the matter may now be allowed to drop.

W
13/12/95

With reference to the part of H.'s note marked with red chalk above it is respectfully submitted that H.'s original instructions did not require that the figures should be made to tally. To make them tally would have necessitated reference to each judge to discover how many suits were sent to the Sub Courts & how many suits & when were transferred by the High Court to other districts, & it was not understood that H. desired that this should

the District courts of N. Arcot. Tanjore. Vizagapatam, Kurnool, Trichinopoly & Ganjam during the past 3 years:—

District	Year	Ordinary & summary suits: Instituted	Disposed of	Pending	Average duration from the day of institution: Uncontested	Contested	Appeals: Instituted	Disposed of	Pending	Average duration from the day of institution: Uncontested	Contested
N. Arcot	1892 (Mr Sewell)	49	31	80	159	498	353	205	447	615	529
	1893 (Mr [illegible] July 93)	51	48	83	229	778	281	257	471	742	591
	1894 (Mr Sewell [illegible] Sept. 94)	31	37	44	261	551	268	359	180	530	513
Tanjore	1892	19	19	4	49	161	1001	320	333	82	87
	1893	17	17	5	107	106	710	435	249	269	26[illegible]
	1894 (Mr Sewell [illegible] 10 Sept. to 31 Dec. 94)	15	13	7	123	174	715	323	177	120	172
Vizagapatam	1892 (Mr Farmer)	21	22	25	297	673	301	227	210	403	398
	1893 (Mr [illegible] April 93)	2	28	6	488	491	478	332	143	402	33[illegible]
	1894 (Mr Farmer [illegible])	26	26	19	104	294	453	280	328	122	105
Kurnool	1892	26	14	20	25	273	108	86	80	"	137
	1893	10	20	10	45	225	105	126	41	72	149
	1894 (Mr Thorburn)	12	20	3	139	411	167	123	87	162	201
Trichy.	1892	33	34	56	179	369	251	165	301	125	40[illegible]
	1893 (Mr Fairbairn)	35	19	77	365	327	274	125	456	531	57[illegible]
	1894 (Mr Fairbairn [illegible] 12 Aug.)	31	45	34	453	1138	225	165	241	727	82[illegible]
Ganjam	1892	24	23	25	259	398	232	235	48	357	[illegible]
	1893	19	19	26	290	365	221	180	92	58	[illegible]
	1894 (Mr Fairbairn [illegible] 6)	45	43	30	250	447	294	280	110	179	[illegible]

If the figures in the Civil Justice Reports don't admit errors of this kind being detected without ref. to the Judges, should not the forms in the statements be altered? or are they laid down by India & so cannot be altered without permission?

What is needed apparently is a column for transfers to & from each Court

[initials]
21/12/95

Note for H.M. with reference to his note above.—

The statements are Provincial & not Imperial & can be amended by this Govt. The High Court drew them up & w[oul]d have to be asked to alter them. If one of them is altered all the others (Dist. Munsiffs Courts, Sub Courts, Rev. Courts, Village Mffs' Courts, as well as all the Criminal statements) should be altered also. The only reason for any alteration would be the ground that

From the above it would appear that the work of Mr Sewell has somewhat improved. He remained for 8 months in N. Arcot and for nearly 4 months in Tanjore. The results in these two Districts during 1894 compare on the whole favourably with those attained in the preceding 2 years. Mr Farmer's work also shows an appreciable improvement so far as celerity in disposal is concerned. There were however 19 suits & 328 appeals pending at the close of the year as against 6 & 143 and 25 & 210, respectively, at the close of the preceding 2 years. The increase in the number of pending suits in 1894 is probably due to the larger number of institutions in that year, viz, 26 as against 2 in 1893 & 21 in 1892. Mr Thorburn's work on the other hand does not appear to have improved. The average duration of all classes of cases increased considerably as will be seen from

P.T.O.

the figures in the margin* appears in appeals also rose from 60 in 1892, 41 in 1893 to 89 in 1894.

One Peddian was in Trichy for nearly 4½ months and in Tanjore for the remaining period. As the charge was divided in these Districts, he can hardly be held responsible for the delay in disposal noticed in these Districts. The delay in the disposal of contested suits in Trichinopoly was characterized as unsatisfactory in para 4(6) of D. of 5-4-95, as 2067 pending.

Put
20/4/95

W. 11-12-95 Mr. Farmer has intimated to me that he is retiring next year. Mr Thobum will probably not remain much longer

Put
11/12/95

* Average duration in days of

	Uncontested suits	Contested suits	Uncontested appeals	Contested appeals
1892	25	273	44	137
1893	45	225	72	149
1894	139	411	162	201

They do not at present enable Govt. to gauge the work of each Court. It is however submitted that the statements as they stand enable this to be done sufficiently. Taking the case of N. Arcot quoted by H.H. - Govt. Knows the Court disposed of 57 cases. This seems to be all it wants to know. [redacted] (77 - 44) or 33 cases instituted in the Court were got rid of by it by some means other than "disposed of" It does not seem to be submitted to Govt what these means were. They may have been transfer (they usually are) but they may also have been the death of the plaintiffs. Suits Received by transfer are already shown under "instituted".

Even at the end of it all, supposing the transfers were shown in a separate column Govt. would be in possession of no more information about Each Court's work than it was before, and moreover the gauging of a Court's work by the mere number of cases it manages to dispose of is liable to be very fallacious. The High* Court is strongly of opinion that it is, and probably regard the grounds put forward for amending the statements as insufficient. -

W. 11-12-95

HS
20.1.96

Very well
Let it be
WP
27/1/96

* Please see its letter in
Jo. 703 Ind.
3.4.95

Re marks of certain
D.C. Judges

H. M.

Note

Record. To be placed with original of the Civil Justice Reports for 1894.

W. Riggs

Copies sent

137.
149.
201.

[G. / 24.]
500—23-7-95.

Judl. DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. ~~3894~~ of 189~~5~~, dated , from Read the following Report from the Dep.t Dist. Court
" " " 189 , " , " 414 Dep.t 95, pp 2180
" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER.

P.S.

The report on the administration of Civil justice during the year 1894 is arranged on the usual plan, but has been materially curtailed in length by the omission from the body of it, of a considerable amount of detailed information available in the appended Statements

2. From Para-~~24~~ 27 of the report it appears that the main features of the period under review as regards the amount of litigation which came before the courts were as follows.

(1) An increase in the number of village courts and in the institutions wherein which more than made up for the decline noticed in the preceding

(like that relating to Criminal Justice)

year

(ii) A continuance of the general advance in original litigation in the regular Mofussil courts which commenced in 1892 and of the decline in their appellate work which characterized the year 1893

(iii) A further slight decline in the institutions in the B.S.L. Presidency Small Cause Courts. The aggregate amount of work instituted in all the Courts in the Presidency was for in excess of any figure previously recorded. The following table compares the institutions under the two main heads during the last five years:-

Year.	Original suits.	Appeals.	Total.
1890	259,963.	11233	271,296.
1891.	259,111.	10,798.	269,909.
1892.	289,181	12,348.	301,529
1893.	295,100	11,777	306,877
1894.	313,849.	11,240	325,089

These figures show a continuous original advance in litigation since 1891. and it might have been expected that there would have been

[G. 24.]
500—23-7-95.

DEPARTMENT.

28

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated		189 , No.
Again G.O., dated		189 , No.
Current No.	of 189 , dated	, from
,, ,,	,, 189 , ,,	, ,,
,, ,,	,, 189 , ,,	, ,,

ORDER.

a similar increase in Appellate work. It is explained in Para 21. of the report however that the decrease in the number of appeals instituted in 1894 is due to the fact that an exceedingly large number of suit appeals were filed in the Nellore district in 1893. The variations in that district under this item have been remarkable throughout the past quinquennium as the following figures show. (1890) 1,053. (1891) 439 (1892) 2,159 (1893) 1,809 (1894) 239. If these be excluded from consideration it will be seen that the total number of appeals varied but slightly during the period 1890–1893, while an increase

of over 9 per cent - both places
in 1894.

3. The number of Village Panchayats exercising jurisdiction during the year rose to 3,161, a figure higher than in any year since 1889, when 3,250 of these Courts heard suits. The total number of institutions was 67,679 or 6,206 more than in 1893; the increase included a considerable advance in the number of more important cases: and the following figures show

	Suits exceeding Rs 20 in value but not exceeding Rs 50 in value	Suits exceeding Rs 50 in value.
1889	641	84
1890	195	52
1891	150	48
1892	134	71
1893	98	30
1894.	740	66

The advance in particulars

It is to be hoped that this is an indication that the education & ability of Village Panchayats are improving in such a manner as to enable them to command public confidence. - The notified growth in petty litigation remarked on in the last two reviews became more rapid in 1894, the number of suits not exceeding Rs 20 in value instituted in the Courts of Village & District Panchayats rising

In para 17 of the Civil Justice Report for 1889, the Hon'ble the Judge assigned reasons for the belief that Village Courts would continue to have in popularity, until the tendency to have too frequent recourse to them should be "counteracted by "such an improvement in the education "and ability of Village Panchayats as "would enable them to command "public confidence by their individual "merits".

The fulfilment of the expectation expressed by the High Court in para 12 of the Civil Justice Report for 1889, mentioned by the Hon'ble [illegible] The weakening influences are still at work & the fear exists that the statistics of the past year are an indication of that they have been counteracted by an improvement in the calibre of the ordinary village Panchayat.

[G. 24.]
500—23-7-95.

DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. of 189 , dated , from

" " " 189 , " , "

" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER.

120,324
from 111,961 to ~~124,24~~ cases
increase of 7·5 per cent: as
compared with 2·1 per cent in 1893.
& 9·3 per cent: in 1892. The
issued, institutions in the
Courts of Village Panchayats
Varied considerably in
different districts, the most
remarkable variations
occurring in Madura, Trichi-
poly and Kistna where the
institutions exceeded those of
the previous year by 2,811,
1713 & 1527 respectively, an
advance which is attributed
[by the High Court] to the
favourable season

The great advance in the
number of suits instituted
in Revenue Courts, which was noticed in the previous year

to an increase of crops of 9 per cent
see para 13.

by the Court presumably to the favourable season.

[G. 24.]
500—23-7-95.

4

DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

46

Again G.O., dated		189 , No.
Again G.O., dated		189 , No.
Current No.	of 189 , dated	, from
" "	" 189 , "	, "
" "	" 189 , "	, "

ORDER.

sustained during 1894, the aggregate file amounting to 8643 against 8262, no less than 44 per cent of these suits filed were filed in the Madura district, the same higher proportion than in the preceding year; the bulk of the remaining work was contributed by the districts of Madura, North Arcot, Tanjore & Chingleput. Each District Munsifs receive a considerable accession to their files both as regards ordinary suits and small causes. The institutions of the former increasing by 5·1 per cent and those of the latter by 6·8 per cent. The increase which was common to all but five districts has not been fully explained, but from the reasons assigned in the case of those districts, by which the bulk of the increase was contributed, the relevance appears to have been chiefly attributable to the general growth in prosperity and the favourable nature of the season, the only noticeable decline in institutions took place in South Malabar and Kurnool. Local depression of trade and the failure of harvests is the explanation given in the former case but no reasons have been assigned for the decrease in Kurnool, ~~possibly because~~ there was a tripling fall in the number of ordinary suits instituted in Sub-courts and a considerable advance in small causes, while in District Courts 507 ordinary suits were instituted against 422 in the previous year, the number of small causes rising

from 202 to 466, the highest
figure on record
The average values of the
different classes of suits
instituted in the ~~District~~ Mufassal
courts, showed no remarkable
variations except in the case
of ordinary suits filed in
the district courts where there
was an unexplained ~~unexplained~~ increase in average value
from ~~Rs~~ Rs 28,225 to Rs. 18,612.
The amount of appellate
work coming before Mufassal
courts declined somewhat,
owing to the marked variation
in the Madura district to
which allusion has been
made above. ~~In all~~
~~This district taken by itself~~
By excluding this district from
consideration there was an
increase of 1054 in the total
number of ~~last~~ appeals, ~~including~~
~~it a fall of 534~~
The ~~remarkable decline in the~~
~~number of suits filed in the Provincial~~
~~Small Cause Court during 1893 was~~
~~followed by a recovery in 1894~~
A further slight decline in the number
of institutions ascribed as in the previous
years to the depression of trade.

the number of appeals instituted falling from 10,093 to 9503

9:3
9:6
1804

[illegible] appeals decreased the work [illegible]

22 985
22 619
22,619)3 619(

Year continued no recovery from great fall in the amount of work coming before the Prov. Small Cause Court, which took place in 1893; the numbers on the Court

[G. 24.]
500—25-7-95.

5

DEPARTMENT.

56

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated		189	, No.
Again G.O., dated		189	, No.
Current No.	of 189	, dated	, from
,, ,,	,, 189	,,	,, ,,
,, ,,	,, 189	,,	,, ,,

ORDER.

The Statistics relating to the City Civil Court show a gradually marked increase in the number of petty suits instituted, a circumstance which serves to confirm the belief expressed in the last year's review that the establishment of the Court has removed a serious defect from the system of the administration of civil justice by providing a less expensive means of disposing of petty litigation in the Presidency town. The advance in the number of suits between Rs 1000/- & Rs 2500 is to be noticeable as tending to the inference that the lower institution has lessened the Court Fees Act have exercised no deterrent effect upon litigants

The original work coming before the High Court advanced in gradually

282

while the appellate business remained practically stationary. The average value of original suits ~~advan~~ rose considerably while that of first appeals ~~from orign~~ exhibited a still greater fall. Appeals from decrees passed by the City Civil Court continued to be remarkably infrequent, while the ~~decisions~~ orders of Single Judge Benches were appealed against in twice as many cases as in 1893 and first appeals from decrees of mufassal Courts reached a higher figure than in any previous year.

3. Para 39 of the report gives the usual summary of the year's record as regards the disposal of business. The High Court considers the salient features in the work done by the Courts to be as follows:—

(i) ~~an increase in the~~ a larger outturn of work by village Courts.

(ii) ~~a larger out-turn~~ an increase in the original work disposed of by mufassal Courts except in the case of ordinary suits in Revenue Courts, accompanied by an increase of arrears in ordinary suits ~~generally~~ except in District Courts, ~~and~~ in small causes in and District Munsifs Courts

[G./24.]
[?]0—23-7-95.

6

DEPARTMENT.

6 P

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. of 189 , dated , from
" " " 189 , " , "
" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER.

(iii) a decline in the appellate work done by mufassal Courts

(iv) a decrease in the number of suits disposed of by the Presy. Court of Small Causes accompanied by ~~an~~ a slight increase in arrears.

(v) an increase in the amount of work done by the City Civil Court.

As it appears to the Govt. however, a further noticeable feature of the year's record is the increase in the disposal of original litigation in the High Court itself

(2) Village Courts – The disposal of the year kept practically pace with the institutions & there was consequently only a trifling increase in arrears. The number of suits decided was however in excess of the figure for any year subsequent to 1888. – Arrears exhibited a marked improvement in Chingleput & Godavari, where they fell, represented only ~~7.4~~ 8.4 & ~~7.0~~ 7.8 per cent of the institutions as compared with ~~21.2~~ 20.1 & 16.9 per cent, respectively, in the

2804) 48000 (16
2861
1 19360
17184
21760

This won't do: it was less in 1887 & 1888 D

all wrong disposal

3573) 74600 (21.2
7026
4340
3571
827

4228) 33300 (7.9
29596
3704

Note see to this when the proofs comes

3719) 74600 (20.1
7438
2200

2448) 20700 (7.0
20636
740

3816) 33300 (8
30528
2772

2807) 20700 (7.3
19663
10370

preceding year. On the other hands arrears were apparently excessive in Coimbatore (12.4 per cent of the institutions) 11.6 Kistna (per cent), Kurnool (13.5 per cent), Madura (13.4 per cent), Salem (13.2 per cent), Tanjore (11.9 per cent) & Vizagapatam Agency (33.6 per cent) It is not fair however to assume that in some of these districts the file of work is excessive for the general rate of 21 suits per court: thus in Madura the average number of institutions per mo. is 341, in Kurnool 76, in Vizagapatam agency 50. The Collectors Dist. Judges concerned will however be requested to adopt such measures as may be practicable to ensure the more rapid disposal of work in these Courts.

(3) Revenue Courts. — The subjoined table shows the business of the Revenue Courts during the last seven years:—

Year.	No. of suits: For disposal	Disposed of	Pending
1888	9,147	5,526	3,621
1889	11,805	5,461	6,344
1890	14,658	8,456	6202
1891	13,031	7,546	5485
1892	11,466	9,975	1791
1893	10,164	7,701	2,463
1894	11,536	7,189	4,347

4702 5880 4702 1178 9404 23760

5372 / 62200 5202 10180 57202 49780 46818 29620 (11.9

11626 / 1557 30 11214 4290 3372 1800 (13.5

2403 / 51800 2418 7620 7259 1660 (13.2

11859 / 1415 00 1160 23460 (12

170 / 152 485 220 1265 951 485

3160 / 67444 21 63 20 4444 3160 (21

Kurnool Madura

Coimbatore 3
Kistna 2
Salem 2
Tanjore 3
2

875 / 11400 811 5290 7284 4600 4·05

2463 83 43 11005

[illegible] 600—[illegible]

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

It will be seen that the year was characterised like its predecessor, by a fall in the amount of work disposed of and a serious increase in arrears; a further unsatisfactory feature is to be found in the fact that 221 suits had been pending for more than twelve months at the close of the year, of which no less than 213 were contributed by the District of Nellore to which the arrears increased from 142 in 1892 & 338 in 1893 to 822. The Collector will be requested to submit to Govt with his remarks the explanation of the officers who are responsible for this apparently discreditable state of affairs. The other districts in which arrears exhibit an unsatisfactory increase are Kistna (from 377 to 1863) & Madura (191 to 843 including 5 suits pending for more than 4 years); in the latter case it is explained in para 30 of the report that the number is made up of large batches of connected suits, & the work in both districts is exceptionally heavy. at the time / more disposal have been steadily falling in Kistna, the Coll will therefore be

Requested to explain about the explanations of the officer responsible for the fresh increase in arrears. Disposals were most [illegible] numerous in Kistna (2,552), Chingleput (941), Tanjore (893) & Madura (774). The proportion of [illegible] increase in arrears of contested cases. & disposals fell from 70.6 & 29.5 -, in consequence of a marked variation [illegible] in the Kistna district; but in spite of this the average duration of such suits rose from 129 & 137 days. - There was a considerable increase in the proportion of [illegible] decreased & [illegible] uncontested suits accompanied by a slight improvement in average duration; the percentage of compromises rose from 6.1 & 11.8 & that of ex parte decrees from 13.8 & 25.1. -

In individual districts the duration of uncontested suits was much above the average in Tanjore (222 days) Cuddapah (203) Trichinopoly (178) Godavari (174) & Chingleput (165) & that of contested suits was similarly excessive in Godavari (219), Trichinopoly (174) Coimbatore (170) Salem (157) Tanjore (116) & Chingleput (153). In Chingleput & Tanjore & Godavari the files are heavy, which may perhaps serve as an excuse; but in the other districts mentioned, particularly Trichy & Cuddapah where the file is unusually light, this explanation does not exist. The attention of the Collr is concerned will be drawn to the matter.

52 per cent of the appeals against decisions of those Courts which were decided during the year resulted in confirmation at the same time against 71 per cent in 1893; the [illegible] of appeals & applications

Bellary, Karnool, Nellore & Salem record no institutions & consequently had no disposals

FOR ORDERS.

7701 / 106200 / 7701 / 29190 / 27703 / 60270 (13.8)

7701 / 47000 / 46206 / 794 (6.1)

* arrears increased in Trichinopoly also in spite of the fact that special attention was drawn to the matter in the last review, & confirmed

The small number of institutions & the fact that special attention was drawn to the matter in the last review; on the other hand there was a slight improvement in this respect, (eighth) in Tanjore & marked in Chingleput & Godavari, districts which displayed excessive arrears in the previous year.

3792) 72600 (19.1 / 3792 / 34680 / 33040 / 31920 / 3792 / 1288 / 2504 / 1716 / 788 / 3496 / 3192 / 304

[G. 25.] 500—21-5-95.

8

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

3742 / 721

Judged by this appeal test, the work of the following officers appears to have [illegible] a quality:-

The Dy Colr Tanjore (seven + 35 out of 42)

The Hd Dy Colr Kistna (35 out of 49)

Reasons fell during the year from 19.1 & 37.6 to 19.1: Both the two variations are attributable to the fluctuations in the Kistna district in which 1689 appeals were preferred & 1445 rejected in 1893, as against 239 preferred & 402 rejected in 1894. - Delay from considerations of [illegible] Court officers [illegible] in the matter of appeals (for appeal) against whose decisions were decided during the year, the work of the following officers has been inferior in quality. -

The Sub Colr North Arcot (no decisions confirmed in 35 appeals decided & of 36 decided), Dy Colr Madura 2 (1 confirmed out of 19), Head Asst Colr Tanjore (3 out of 172). On the other hand the following officers appear to have done [illegible] reasonably good work:- Head Asst Colr, Madura - (25 confirmed out of 31) Sub Colr Chingleput (42 out of 46) Sub Colr Tanjore (24 out of 82) Principal Asst Collr Negapatam (15 out of 152)

282

It is however unsafe to draw inferences from these figures, as the confirmation or reversal of a batch of cases at the same point of law may produce a deceptive appearance of good or bad work.

(4) District Munsiffs' Courts:—

The following table details the work of District Munsiffs during the last five years.

FOR ORDERS.

New Vide J

On the whole the work of the Revenue Courts must be considered as comparing unfavourably with the record of the previous year.

HON'BLE MEMBER.

Year	Number of Suits for Disposal	Disposed of after contest	Disposed of without contest	Pending at end of Year
				Small Cases

9

DEPARTMENT.

G. 25. 500—21-5-95

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

Suits

	Number of suits for disposal	Disposed of after contest	Disposed of without contest	Pending
1890	89,062	66,695		22,367
1891	86,603	65,859		20,744
1892	95,512	71,812		23,700
1893	97,863	76,000		21,863
1894	99,806	77,566		22,240
Small Causes.				
1890	100,505	92,711		7,794
1891	100,513	92,760		7,753
1892	113,706	105,115		8,591
1893	120,075	112,750		7,325
1894	126,459	116,951		9,508
Total of suits Small Causes				
1890	189,567	159,406		30,161
1891	187,116	158,619		28,497
1892	209,218	176,927		32,291
1893	217,938	188,750		29,188
1894	226,265	194,517		31,748

The number of district Munsifs has varied but little during the triennium & the increased out-turn of work may therefore be regarded as highly satisfactory. The growth in arrears during the year under review is a natural sequel to the advance in institutions & the number of long pending suits showed a slight improvement ~~(700 upto 876)~~ while that of small causes leading for over three months increased considerably ~~(1001 upto 1276)~~, but there was a general improvement in the average duration of both suits & small causes, contested & uncontested. — Appeals were relatively about as frequent as in 1893, & the percentage of confirmations was higher - being 65·79 against 64·53. The increase in the quantity of work disposed of by appeal has been accompanied by an improvement in quality. &

Six district Munsifs disposed of over 500 contested suits each viz- Annalapuram (702) Cuddalore (680) Sholinghur (666) Tompet (522) Chidambaram (514) Chaurkad (500) While no less than 19 others decided from 400 to 500 such suits. These figures form a striking contrast

FOR ORDERS.

and contested cases

HON'BLE MEMBER.

It is worthy of note that the disposals of the year reached a record figure under both ordinary suits & small causes, in spite of the fact that the number contested was far higher than in any previous year.

[G. 25] 500—21-[illegible].

DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 186

FOR ORDERS.

Those of the previous year, during which only one court decided over 500 contested suits and only five others from 400 to 500. The numbers of suits pending at the close of the year exceeded 400 in three courts, namely, Masulipatam (723) Guntur (459) and Dindigul (492) In Guntur there was an improvement as compared with the previous year & in Masulipatam & Dindigul the arrears were probably due to the large amount of small causes work devolving on those courts. The average duration of contested suits exceeded 300 days in Masulipatam (441) Guntur (383) Ellore (360)

282

Ped Lapuram (358) Manargudi (330)
Tanjore (329) Dindigul (326)
Gudivada (313) and Nedungadu (305)
The small causes work was
heavy in all these courts
except Tanjore, and
Nedungadu and this may
perhaps be regarded as some
explanation of the excessive
delay. Masulipatam was
the only court in which the
average duration of uncontested
suits exceeded 100 days;
whereas in the previous year
there were 11 such courts.
~~There~~ 2000 small causes were
decided in the courts at
Vellore (2554) Cuddalore (2408)
Masulipatam (2366) ~~Ko~~
Kumbakonam (2330) Trichinopoly (2153)
Tanjore (2117) Dindigul (2040) &
Coimbatore (2000)
The number of contested small
causes ~~exceeded~~ was over 500: Vellore
Cuddalore (584) Gudivada (543)
Kumbakonam (523) and
Trichinopoly (520) Arrears
exceeded 250 in only 4 courts
viz: Coimbatore (277) Gudivada (284)
Salem (351) & Masulipatam (107)

FOR ORDERS.

HON'BLE MEMBER.

[G. 25.] 500—21-

11 118

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

The Institutions and disposals
were very high in all these
courts except perhaps Gudivada, where
however, ~~the~~ the number of contested
causes were was great
The average duration of contested
small causes was highest
in Gudivada (183 days) &
Masulipatam (126 days), being
less than 100 days in every
other court. Salem, Dindigul
Cuddalur, Mayaveram, Chicacole
Bapatla, Masulipatam & Gudivada were
the only courts in which
uncontested small causes
occupied more than 50 days
in disposal.
On the whole the work of
district Munsiffs appears to
indicate a decided improvement

282

as compared with the previous
year. Perhaps the most
satisfactory results were
achieved in the court of
Sudder alone, where no fewer
than 1263 suits & 2 Hard 488 small
causes were disposed of, of
which 680 & 589, respectively,
were contested. The number
of pending suits was only 72
& that of small causes 10, while
the average duration of uncontested
suits & small causes was 23
days, that of contested suits 91
& that of contested small causes 26.
These figures show remarkable
promptness in disposal &
the high quality of the work is
to be inferred from the fact
that the proportion of appealable
decisions which were not
interfered with was nearly
90 per cent

(5) Subordinate Judges courts

Subordinate Judges had 1516
suits for disposal of which
841 were decided and 669 left
pending, the corresponding
figures for the previous year
being 1564, 970 & 594

FOR ORDERS.

HON'BLE MEMBER.

[G. 25. 500—05.]

C. No.

12

DEPARTMENT.

12

FOR ORDERS.

The outturn of small cause
work on the file hand
increased considerably, the
number of causes decided rising
from 17,014 to 18,067, while in consequence
& the marked advance in
institutions the number
pending at the close of the
year only declined slightly
viz: from 3,313 to 3,186; it is
however noticeable that only
438 of these had been pending
for more than three months
as against 843 at the
beginning of the year. These
figures may be regarded
as satisfactory, in view of the
fact,
that for the largest number of
suits were disposed of

282

Where is Vizagapatam Sub Judge?

Note Thompson's about this.

FOR ORDERS.

HON'BLE MEMBER.

in the Nilgiri Sub-court while the largest sub-town small cause work was produced at Kumbakonam Coimbatore under the former item were large in Coconada Nilgiris Tinnevelly, Nilgiris and under the latter at Tanjore, Ellore (Kistna side) and Madura West. The number of long pending suits was excessive at Coconada (32) Palghat (19) That of Cocanada and at small causes, at Ellore (Kistna side) where, however, there was a great improvement as compared with the previous year, ~~and Coconada~~. The average duration of contested suits was highest in the Palghat Sub-court (542 days) and exceeded 400 days in three other courts viz. Coconada and Ellore (Godaveri Kistna sides). There was a general increase in the duration of contested suits and a marked improvement in that of cases decided without contest, Bellary Salem

C. No. 13

DEPARTMENT.

FOR ORDERS.

(Bellary side) being the only court in which ~~such suits~~ the average duration was ~~decided~~ more than 100 days ~~for disposal~~. On the small cause side the greatest delay was exhibited in the Ellore court (Godaveri side) where the average duration both in contested & uncontested cases, was greatly in excess of the figures in other courts, in spite of the fact that the file was much below the average ~~unusually low~~.

The number of cases for disposal was 3,875 or 293 more than in the previous year, but the disposals fell from 2,801 to 2607 in consequence of which ~~fresh~~ arrears of pending cases

rose from 781 to 1,268. Arrears were heaviest at Cocanada & Palicat, the duration of contested & uncontested appeals being excessive in these courts. In Palghat as in the previous year also the duration of contested appeals was exceedingly high (246 days)

FOR ORDERS.

HON'BLE MEMBER.

Appeals from the decisions of Sub-judges were much less frequent than in the previous year, the proportion of appealable decisions contested declining from 35 to 45 per cent; the percentage of confirmations at the same time improved considerably from 53.5 to 61.3 ~~60.68 to 67.78~~; it was especially low in Palghat (38.46.) Ellore Godavari Taluk (33.33) Bellary-Salem, Salem Taluk (32.33) & Palicat (25.0.)

6. District Courts.

In district courts there was a marked improvement in the net-work of original work 512 suits & 554 small causes being disposed of as compared with 404 & 217 in the preceding year.

701) 3170 (45
2804
3660

728) 2579 (31
2184
3561

Note
Figures also confirmation by Appellate Courts

Note
These figure relate not to appeals heard by D.J. and the Govt. but to all appeals decided by Appellate Courts.

new line
The work of sub-courts generally was satisfactory; but there appears to be a consumptive tendency in some Courts.- notably Palghat, Ellore (Godavari Dist) & Cocanada.

[G. 25. 500—2-10-95.]

DEPARTMENT.

19

C. No. 14 R

FOR ORDERS.

While the total number of pending suits & small causes was reduced from 710 to 693 it is particularly satisfactory to notice a decrease of 83 in the number of suits pending for more than a year and of 122 in that of small causes pending for more than three months. Four courts showed from 40 to 50 suits namely Chingleput, Tanjore, Madura & Trichinopoly as against one only in 1893. Small cause work came before only four courts viz less than 402 out of 554 decided cases were disposed of in the Trichinopoly court. The average duration of all

These figures are the more satisfactory in the view of the fact that the number of contested cases was the highest on record. It is to be noted however, that the reduction in the number of pending suits was in part due to the arrears for a number of years of the temporary sub-judges courts

[illegal_text_block]
G.
21
500—21-5-

DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 158

FOR ORDERS.

HON'BLE MEMBER.

class of cases except contested small causes increased slightly, the delay in disposal has ~~most much~~ risen above the average in the following instances

District-

	average duration in days of Uncontested suits	Contested Suits
Cuddapah	430	680.
Tirchinopoly	453	1138.
Chingleput	—	652
Nellore	—	613.
Average	204	303

The actual arrears were heaviest in Nellore (71 suits) Coimbatore (46) North Arcot (44)

~~The Hon'ble the Judges remark that the State of these courts was unsatisfactory~~

The Hon'ble the Judges brought to notice the unsatisfactory condition of the Nellore court in March last but it was subsequently found necessary to recommend to the Government of India the appointment of a special Sub-judge for the purpose of clearing off the arrears which had been allowed to accumulate.

FOR ORDERS.

In Coimbatore the disposals of civil suits considerably exceeded those of the previous year & the criminal work was of the court was very heavy. No explanation for the heavy arrears in the North Arcot court has been furnished.

The general improvement in the out-turn in original work is however to some extent counterbalanced by a fall from 7570 to 6643 in the number of appeals decided ~~It is true that the institutions of appeals declined this~~ the number pending appeals was reduced, but this apparent improvement is accounted for by the fact that institutions declined while most are unusually large

16

X

168

members of appeals were transferred
& sub-judges for disposal.
The average duration of appeals
was particularly high in the
undermentioned courts

Average duration of disposed Appeals.

Courts.	Uncontested appeals	Contested appeals
North Arcot	530	613
Coimbatore	433	445
Kistna	408	—
Nellore	321	806
Tinnevelly	727	824

Arrears were heaviest in Kistna (613) Nellore (643) Notwithstanding every allowance for the large amount of original work disposed of in the Tinnevelly court, the delay in disposing of appeals, must be reconciled as unsatisfactory, in view of the fact that the institutions numbered only 225 as against an average of 440, while only 111 were contested as against an average of 283

First appeals against the decrees of District Courts were much less frequent than in 1893 and the percentage of decisions

confirmed was higher; there was also a marked improvement in the proportion of 2nd appeals resulting in confirmation. So far therefore as the appeal Test is reliable the quality of the work done in District Courts was much superior to that of the previous year.

7 Presidency Courts.

Only 22,831 suits were disposed of in the Presidency court of small causes, as against 24,964 in the previous year but of these, a larger number were contested. Owing to the fall in institutions the growth in arrears was comparatively trifling. It is satisfactory to

note that there was a marked improvement in both contested & uncontested cases

The City Civil Court disposed of 386 suits or 53 more than in the previous year in spite of the fact that 210 suits were contested as against 144 in the previous year. Appeals were ~~were~~ 1893 were less frequent than in ~~the preceding year~~ and only two out of 274 appealable decrees were actually interfered with

8 High Court

There were only five judges on the Bench throughout the year and a further decline in the out-turn of work might therefore have been expected. As a matter of fact however the disposals of original suits increased from 253 to 331, in spite of the fact that work standing over was less and as a consequence of this the arrears fell from 331 to 240. The average duration of contested ~~also~~ improved- fell from 540 to 516 days

FOR ORDERS.

And that the amount of miscellaneous work disposed of increased considerably

HON'BLE MEMBER.

? see para 25 of this report

386
333
53

[G. 25]
500—21-3

DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 178

FOR ORDERS.

while that of uncontested ones was as in the previous years 292 days. Appeals against decrees on the original side decreased in number in spite of a large increase in appealable decrees; the number disposed of decrees was 36 as against 60 in the previous year

The number of execution application for disposal again advanced and there was a considerable increase in the number of insolvency and miscellaneous applications disposed of

On the appellate side the total amount of work for disposal was less than in the previous year although the actual out-turn

was not quite as great,
~~but there~~ there was a decrease
in arrears in consequence of
a decline in the institutions
of first appeals from orders
The revisional work of the
court increased considerably,
1284 petitions being received
as against 942 in the preceding
year; the disposals, however,
fell from 708 to 514
Miscellaneous applications
numbered 1912 as against
1264 in 1893 and the disposals
only increased from 1252 to
1424 in consequence Judges
The arrears increased from
202 to 690.
On the whole ~~the~~ the High Court may be said to
have kept pace with the
~~regular appellate~~ work of the
year; ~~but there was a considerable~~
~~increase in the arrears of miscellaneous~~
work

To the Hon the C.J and C.J
Rev Dep.
all Colls
2 T.

Endorsement
Copy to the Registrar, H.D

FOR ORDERS.

HON'BLE MEMBER.

Note for Orders

Please put this in type soon and send 3 broad-margin proofs

Except as regards miscellaneous ~~work~~ matters this ~~work~~ has all been achieved only by the most strenuous exertions on the part of the judges & it cannot be expected that any ~~any~~ material reduction in the heavy arrears can be effected except by an addition to the strength of the Bench.

Palni

Draft Queries marked on the first

5TH ~~SEPTEMBER~~ October 1895, No. 2067, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From the Registrar, High Court, dated 14th August 1895, No. 2180.

ORDER—dated 5th October September 1895, No. 2067, Judicial.

The report on the administration of Civil Justice during the year 1894 is arranged on the usual plan, but, like that relating to Criminal Justice, has been materially curtailed in length by the omission from the body of it of a considerable amount of detailed information available in the appended statements.

2. From paragraph 27 of the report it appears that the main features of the period under review as regards the amount of litigation which came before the Courts were as follows:—

(i) An increase in the number of Village Courts and in the institutions therein which more than made up for the decline noticed in the preceding year.

(ii) A continuance of the general advance in original litigation in the regular Mufassal Courts which commenced in 1892 and of the decline ~~in their~~ appellate work of these which characterized the year 1893.

(iii) A further slight decline in the institutions in the Presidency Small Cause Courts.

The aggregate ~~amount~~ of ~~work~~ suits instituted in all the Courts in the Presidency was far in excess of any figure previously recorded. The following table compares the institutions under the two main heads during the last five years:—

Year.	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Total.
1890	259,963	11,333	271,296
1891	259,111	10,798	269,909
1892	289,181	12,348	301,529
1893	295,100	11,777	306,877
1894	313,849	11,240	325,089

These figures show a continuous advance in original litigation since 1891, and it might have been expected that there would have been a similar increase in appellate work. It is explained in paragraph 21 of the report, however, that the decrease in the number of appeals instituted in 1894 is due to the fact that ~~an exceedingly large~~ number of rent appeals ~~were~~ filed in the Kistna district in 1893. The variations in that district under this item have been remarkable throughout the past quinquennium, as the following figures show:— (1890) 1,053, (1891) 439, (1892) 2,159, (1893) 1,689, (1894) 239. If these be excluded from consideration ~~it will be seen that~~ the total number of appeals varied but slightly during the period 1890—1893, while an increase of over 9 per cent. took place in 1894.

After this has issued, please look up the question how far the work individual Judges can be specially remarked last year (I suppose Scrull & Farmer were the principal offenders, I think) has improved — Four cases, have the arrears in Vizg fallen?

WS
5/10/95

It will be seen that the year was characterised by a decrease in the amount of work disposed of and a serious increase in arrears; a further unsatisfactory feature is to be found in the fact that 2 suits had been pending for more than twelve months at the close of the year, which no less than 213 were contributed by the district of North Arcot, where the arrears increased from 142 in 1892 and 338 in 1893 to 822. The Collector will be requested to submit to Government, with his remarks, the explanations of the officers who are responsible for this apparently discreditable state of affairs. Other districts in which arrears exhibit an unsatisfactory increase are Kistna (from 3 to 863) and Madura (191 to 843 including eight suits pending for more than a yr); in the latter case it is explained in paragraph 30 of the report that the number is made up of large batches of connected suits, and the work in both districts is exceptionally heavy. At the same time disposals have been steadily falling in Kistna, and the Collector will therefore be requested to submit the explanations of the increase of arrears. Arrears increased in Trichinopoly also, notwithstanding the small number of institutions and the fact that special attention was drawn to this matter in the last review; on the other hand, there was an improvement in this respect, slight in Tanjore and marked in Chingleput and Gódávari—districts which displayed excessive arrears in the previous year. Disposals were most numerous in Kistna (2,352), Chingleput (941), Tanjore (893). and Madura (774). Bellary, Kurnool, Malabar, and Nilgiris received no institutions and had no disposals. The proportion of contested cases to disposals fell from 70·6 to 49·5 in consequence of a marked variation in the Kistna district; but in spite of this the average duration of such suits rose from 129 to 137 days. There was a considerable increase in the proportion of uncontested suits accompanied by a slight improvement in average duration; the percentage of compromises rose from 6·1 to 11·8 and that of *ex-parte* decisions from 13·8 to 25·1.

In individual districts the duration of contested suits was much above the average in Tanjore (222 days), Cuddapah (203), Trichinopoly (178), Gódávari (174), and Chingleput (155), and that of contested suits was similarly excessive in Gódávari (227), Trichinopoly (174), Tinnevelly (170), Salem (159), Tanjore (156), and Chingleput (153).

In Chingleput and Tanjore the files are heavy, which may perhaps serve as an excuse; but in the other districts mentioned, particularly Trichinopoly and Cuddapah where the file is unusually light, this explanation does not exist. The attention of the Collectors concerned will be drawn to the matter. Fifty-two per cent. of the appeals against the decisions of these Courts which were decided during the year resulted in confirmation against 7 per cent. in 1893; at the same time the proportion of appeals to appealable decisions fell from 37 to 19·1. Both these variations are attributable to the fluctuations in the Kistna district, in which 1,689 appeals were preferred and 1,445 rejected in 1893, as against 29 preferred and 402 rejected in 1894.

Judged by the appeal test, the work of the following officers appears to have been deficient in quality:—the Sub-Collector, North Arcot (no decisions confirmed in 30 appeals decided); Deputy Collector, Madura division (1 confirmed out of 19); Head Assistant Collector, Tinnevelly (36 out of 172). On the other hand, the following officers appear to have done unusually good work:—Head Assistant Collector, Madura (25 confirmed out of 31); Sub-Collector, Chingleput (42 out of 46); Sub-Collector, Tanjore (24 out of 32); Principal Assistant, Vizagapatam (15 out of 15); the Deputy Collector, Tanjore division (35 out of 52); and Head-quarter Deputy Collector, Kistna (35 out of 49). It is, however, unsafe to draw inferences from these figures, as the confirmation or reversal of one batch of cases on the same point of law may produce a deceptive appearance of good or bad work.

On the whole the work of the Revenue Courts must be considered as comparing unfavourably with the record of the previous year.

(4) *District Munsifs' Courts.*—The following table details the work of District Munsifs during the last five years:—

3. The number of Village Munsifs exercising jurisdiction during the year rose to 3,160—a figure higher than in any year since 1889 when 3,250 of these Courts decided suits. The total number of institutions was 67,679, or 6,296 more than in 1893; the increase included a considerable advance in the number of more important cases, as the following figures show:—

	Suits exceeding Rs. 20 and not exceeding Rs. 50 in value.	Suits exceeding Rs. 50 in value.
1889	641	84
1890	195	52
1891	150	48
1892	134	71
1893	98	30
1894	740	66

In paragraph 17 of the Civil Justice Report for 1889, the Hon'ble the Judges assigned reasons for the belief that Village Courts would continue to wane in popularity until the tendency to have less frequent recourse to them should be "counteracted by such an improvement in the education and ability of Village Munsifs as would enable them to command public confidence by their individual merits." The ~~weakening~~ influences mentioned by the High Court are still at work ~~and~~ the Government trusts that the statistics of the past year are an indication that they have been counteracted by an improvement in the calibre of the ordinary Village Munsif. The growth in petty litigation noticed in the last two reviews became more rapid in 1894, the number of suits not exceeding Rs. 20 in value instituted in the Courts of Village and District Munsifs rising from 111,951 to 120,324—an increase of 7·5 per cent., as compared with 2·1 per cent. in 1893 and 9·3 per cent. in 1892. As usual, institutions in the Courts of Village Munsifs varied considerably in different districts, the most remarkable variations occurring in Madura, Trichinopoly and Kistna, where the institutions exceeded those of the previous year by 2,811, 1,713 and 1,527, respectively—an advance which is attributed to the favourable season.

The great advance in the number of suits instituted in Revenue Courts which was ~~noted~~ in the previous year was sustained during 1894, the aggregate file amounting to 8,543 against 8,262. No less than 44 per cent. of these suits were filed in the Kistna district—an even higher proportion than in the preceding year; the bulk of the remaining work was contributed by the districts of Madura, North Arcot, Tanjore and Chingleput.

District Munsifs received a considerable accession to their files both as regards ordinary suits and small causes, the institutions of the former increasing by 5·1 per cent. and those of the latter by 6·8 per cent.

The increase, which was common to all but five districts, has not been fully explained, but from the reasons assigned in the case of those districts by which the bulk of the increase was contributed, the advance appears to be chiefly attributable to growing prosperity and to the favourable nature of the season. The only noticeable decline in institutions took place in South Malabar and Kurnool. Local depression of trade and the failure of harvests is the explanation given in the former case, but no reasons have been assigned for the decrease in Kurnool. There was a trifling fall in the number of ordinary suits instituted in Sub-courts and a considerable advance in small causes, while in District Courts 507 ordinary suits were instituted against 422 in the previous year, the number of small causes rising from 282 to 465—the highest figure on record.

The average values of the different classes of suits instituted in the regular Mufassal Courts showed no remarkable variations except in the case of ordinary suits filed in the District Courts where there was an unexplained fall ~~in average value~~ from Rs. 28,225 to Rs. 18,012.

The amount of appellate work coming before Mufassal Courts declined somewhat, the number of appeals instituted falling from 10,093 to 9,508, owing to the marked

variation in the Kistna district to which allusion has been made above. Excluding this district from consideration, there was an increase of 1,054 in the total number of regular appeals.

The year witnessed no recovery from the great fall in the amount of work coming before the Presidency Small-Cause Court which took place in 1893; on the contrary there was a further slight decline in the number of institutions ascribed, as in the previous year, to the depression of trade.

The statistics relating to the City Civil Court show a marked increase in the number of petty suits instituted—a circumstance which serves to confirm the belief expressed in last year's review that the establishment of the Court has removed a serious defect from the system of the administration of Civil Justice by providing a less expensive means of disposing of petty litigation in the Presidency town. An advance in the number of suits between Rs. 1,000 and Rs. 2,500 in value is noticeable as leading to the inference that the heavier institution fees leviable under the Court Fees Act have exercised no deterrent effect upon litigants.

The original work coming before the High Court advanced in quantity, while the appellate business remained practically stationary. The average value of original suits rose considerably, while that of first appeals exhibited a still greater fall. Appeals from decrees passed by the City Civil Court continued to be remarkably infrequent, while the orders of single judge benches were appealed against in twice as many cases as in 1893, and first appeals from decrees of Mufassal Courts reached a higher figure than in any previous year.

3. Paragraph 59 of the report gives the usual summary of the year's record as regards the disposal of business. The High Court considers the salient features in the work done by the Courts to be as follows:—

(i) A larger outturn of work by Village Courts.

(ii) An increase in the original work disposed of by Mufassal Courts except in the case of ordinary suits in Revenue Courts, accompanied by an increase of arrears in small causes in District Munsifs' Courts and in ordinary suits except in District Courts.

(iii) A decline in the appellate work done by Mufassal Courts.

(iv) A decrease in the number of suits disposed of by the Presidency Court of Small Causes accompanied by a slight increase in arrears.

(v) An increase in the amount of work done by the City Civil Court.

As it appears to the Government, however, a further noticeable feature of the year's record is the increase in the disposal of original litigation in the High Court itself.

(2) *Village Courts.*—The disposals of the year practically kept pace with the institutions and there was consequently only a trifling increase in arrears. The number of suits decided was, however, in excess of the figure for any year subsequent to 1888. Arrears exhibited a marked improvement in Chingleput and Gódávari, where they represented only 8·7 and 7·3 per cent. of the institutions as compared with 20·1 and 16·9 per cent., respectively, in the preceding year. On the other hand, arrears were apparently excessive in Coimbatore (12·4 per cent. of the institutions), Kistna (11·6 per cent.), Kurnool (13·5 per cent.), Madura (13·4 per cent.), Salem (13·2 per cent.), Tanjore (11·9 per cent.) and Vizagapatam Agency (33·6 per cent.). It is but fair to observe, however, that in some of these districts the average file per Munsif was considerably in excess of the general rate of 21 suits per Court: thus in Madura the average number of institutions was 34, in Kurnool 50, in Vizagapatam Agency 76. The District Magistrates concerned will, however, be requested to adopt such measures as may be practicable to ensure the more rapid disposal of work in these Courts.

(3) *Revenue Courts.*—The subjoined table compares the business of the Revenue Courts during the last seven years:—

Years.	Number of Suits.		
	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending.
1888	9,147	5,526	3,621
1889	11,805	5,461	6,344
1890	14,658	8,456	6,202
1891	13,031	7,546	5,485
1892	11,766	9,975	1,791
1893	10,164	7,701	2,463
1894	11,539	7,142	4,397

	Number.		
	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending.
Suits.			
1890	89,062	66,695	22,367
1891	86,603	65,859	20,744
1892	95,512	71,812	23,700
1893	97,863	76,000	21,863
1894	99,806	77,566	22,240
Small Causes.			
1890	1,00,505	92,711	7,794
1891	1,00,513	92,760	7,753
1892	1,13,706	1,05,115	8,591
1893	1,20,075	1,12,750	7,325
1894	1,26,459	1,16,951	9,508
Total of Suits and Small Causes.			
1890	1,89,567	1,59,406	30,161
1891	1,87,116	1,58,619	28,497
1892	2,09,218	1,76,927	32,291
1893	2,17,938	1,88,750	29,188
1894	2,26,265	1,94,517	31,748

The number of District Munsifs has varied but little during the quinquennium and the increased outturn of work may therefore be regarded as highly satisfactory. The growth in arrears during the year under review is a natural sequel to the advance in institutions and contested cases. It is worthy of note that the disposals of the year reached a record figure under both ordinary suits and small causes, in spite of the fact that the number contested was far higher than in any previous year. The number of long pending suits declined slightly while that of small causes pending for over three months increased considerably, but there was a general improvement in the average duration of both suits and small causes, contested and uncontested. Appeals were relatively about as frequent as in 1893, and the percentage of confirmations was higher, being 65·79 against 64·53. The increase in the quantity of work disposed of thus appears to have been accompanied by an improvement in quality.

Six District Munsifs disposed of 500 contested suits each, viz., Amalápuram (702), Cuddalore (680), Sholinghur (666), Sómpet (522), Chidambaram (514), Chávakkáy (500), while no less than 19 others decided from 400 to 500 such suits. These figures form a striking contrast to those of the previous year, during which only one Court decided over 500 contested suits and only five others from 400 to 500.

The number of suits pending trial at the close of the year exceeded 400 in three Courts, viz., Masulipatam (723), Guntúr (459) and Dindigul (492). In Guntúr there was an improvement as compared with the previous year, and in Masulipatam and Dindigul the arrears were probably due to the large amount of small cause work devolving on those Courts. The average duration of contested suits exceeded 300 days in Masulipatam (441), Guntúr (383), Ellore (360), Peddápuram (358), Mannárgudi (330), Tanuku (329), Dindigul (326), Gudiváda (313) and Nedunganad (305). The small cause work was heavy in all these Courts except Tanuku and Nedunganad and this may perhaps be regarded as some explanation of the excessive delay. Masulipatam was the only Court in which the average duration of uncontested suits exceeded 100 days; whereas in the previous year there were eleven such Courts.

No less than 2,000 small causes were decided in each of the following Courts, viz., Vellore (2,554), Cuddalore (2,408), Masulipatam (2,366), Kumbakónam (2,330), Trichinopoly (2,153), Tiruvarur (2,117), Dindigul (2,090) and Coimbatore (2,000).

The number of contested small causes was over 500 in Cuddalore (589), Gudiváda (543), Kumbakónam (523) and Trichinopoly (520). Arrears exceeded 250 in only four Courts, viz., Coimbatore (277), Gudiváda (289), Salem (351), and Masulipatam (1,007). The institutions and disposals were very high in all these Courts except perhaps Gudiváda, where, however, the number of contested causes was great. The average duration of contested small causes was highest in Gudiváda (183 days) and Masulipatam (126 days), being less than 100 days in every other Court. Salem, Dindigul, Gúdalúr, Nundalur, Chicacole, Bapatla, Masulipatam and Gudiváda were the only Courts in which uncontested small causes occupied more than 50 days in disposal.

On the whole the work of District Munsifs appears to indicate a decided improvement as compared with the previous year. Perhaps the most satisfactory results were achieved in the Court of Cuddalore, where no fewer than 1,293 suits and 2,408 small causes were decided, of which 680 and 589, respectively, were contested. The number of pending suits was only 72 and that of small causes 10, while the average duration of uncontested suits and small causes was 23 days, that of contested suits 91, and that of contested small causes 26. These figures show remarkable promptness in disposal and the high quality of the work done is to be inferred from the fact that the proportion of appealable decisions which were not interfered with was nearly 98 per cent.

(5) *Subordinate Judges' Courts.*—Subordinate Judges had 1,510 suits for disposal, of which 841 were decided and 669 left pending, the corresponding figures for the previous year being 1,564, 970 and 594. The outturn of small cause work, on the other hand, increased considerably, the number of causes decided rising from 17,014 to 18,067, but in consequence of the marked advance in institutions the number pending at the close of the year only declined slightly, viz., from 3,313 to 3,186; it is, however, noticeable that only 438 of these had been pending for more than three months as against 843 at the beginning of the year. By far the greatest number of suits were disposed of in the Nilgiri Sub-court, while the largest outturn of small cause work was produced at Kumbakónam. Arrears under the former item were large in Cocanada, Tinnevelly and Nilgiris, and under the latter at Tanjore, Ellore (Kistna side) and Madura West. The number of long pending suits was excessive at Cocanada (32) and Palghat (19), and that of small causes at Cocanada and at Ellore (Kistna side), where, however, there was a great improvement as compared with the previous year. The average duration of contested suits was highest in the Palghat Sub-court (542 days) and exceeded 400 days in three other Courts, viz., Cocanada and Ellore (Gódávari and Kistna sides). There was a general increase in the duration of contested suits and a marked improvement in that of cases decided without contest, Bellary-Salem (Bellary side) being the only Court in which the average duration was more than 100 days. On the small cause side the greatest delay was exhibited in the Ellore Court (Gódávari side), where the average duration, both in contested and uncontested cases, was greatly in excess of the figures in other Courts, in spite of the fact that the file was much below the average.

The number of appeals for disposal was 3,875 or 293 more than in the previous year, but the disposals fell from 2,801 to 2,607, in consequence of which the arrears rose from 781 to 1,268. Arrears were heaviest at Cocanada and Calicut, the duration of both contested and uncontested appeals being excessive in both these Courts. In Palghat also, as in the previous year, the duration of contested appeals was exceedingly high (246 days).

Appeals from the decisions of Sub-Judges were much more frequent than in the previous year, the proportion of appealable decisions contested rising from 35 to 45 per cent.; the percentage of confirmations at the same time improved considerably from 55·8 to 61·3; it was especially low in Palghat (38·46), Ellore, Gódávari side (33·33), Bellary-Salem, (Salem side (33·33) and Calicut (25·0).

The work of Sub-courts generally was satisfactory, but there appears to be considerable room for improvement in some Courts, notably Palghat, Ellore (Gódávari side) and Cocanada.

6. *District Courts.*—In District Courts there was a marked advance in the outturn of original work, 502 suits and 554 small causes being disposed of as compared with 404 and 217 in the preceding year, while the total number of pending suits and small causes was reduced from 710 to 593 and there was a decrease of 83 in the number of suits pending for more than a year and of 122 in that of small causes pending for more than three months. These figures are the more satisfactory in view of the fact that the number of contested cases was the highest on record. It is to be noted, however, that the reduction in the number of pending suits was in part due to the transfer of a number of them to the temporary Sub-Judges' Courts.

Four Courts decided from 40 to 60 suits, namely, Chingleput, Ganjám, Kistna and Trichinopoly, as against one only in 1893. Small cause work came before only four Courts and no less than 402 out of 554 decided cases were disposed of in the Trichinopoly Court. The average duration of contested cases was disposed of in the Trichinopoly Court. The average duration of all classes of cases except contested small causes increased slightly, the delay in disposal of suits being most above the average in the following instances:—

District.	Average duration in days of Uncontested suits.	Contested suits.
Cuddapah	430	680
Trichinopoly	453	1,138
Chingleput	..	652
Nellore	..	613
Average ..	207	473

The actual arrears were heaviest in Nellore (71 suits), Coimbatore (46) and North Arcot (44). The Hon'ble the Judges brought to notice the unsatisfactory condition of the Nellore Court in March last and it was consequently found necessary to recommend to the Government of India the appointment of a special Sub-Judge for the purpose of clearing off the arrears which had been allowed to accumulate. In Coimbatore the disposals of civil suits considerably exceeded those of the previous year and the criminal work of the Court was very heavy. No explanation for the heavy arrears in the North Arcot Court has been furnished.

The general improvement in the outturn in original work is, however, to some extent counterbalanced by a fall from 7,570 to 6,643 in the number of appeals decided; the number of pending appeals was reduced, but this apparent improvement is accounted for by the fact that institutions declined while an unusually large number of appeals were transferred to Sub-Judges for disposal. The average duration of appeals was particularly high in the undermentioned Courts:—

Courts.	Average duration in days of Uncontested appeals.	Contested appeals.
North Arcot	530	613
Coimbatore	433	445
Kistna	408	..
Nellore	341	806
Trichinopoly	727	824

Arrears were heaviest in Kistna (613) and Nellore (643). Making every allowance for the large amount of original work disposed of in the Trichinopoly Court, the delay in disposing of appeals must be regarded as unsatisfactory, in view of the fact that the institutions numbered only 225 as against an average of 441, while only 111 were contested as against an average of 283.

Forest appeals against the decrees of District Courts were much less frequent than in 1893 and the percentage of decisions confirmed was higher; there was also a marked improvement in the proportion of second appeals resulting in confirmation. So far, therefore, as the appeal test is reliable, the quality of the work done in District Courts was much superior to that of the previous year.

7. *Presidency Courts.*—Only 22,831 suits were disposed of in the Presidency Court of Small Causes as against 24,969 in the previous year, but of these a larger number were contested. Owing to the fall in institutions the growth in arrears was comparatively trifling, and it is satisfactory to note that there was a marked improvement in the average duration of both contested and uncontested cases.

The City Civil Court disposed of 886 suits, or 53 more than in the previous year, in spite of the facts that 210 suits were contested as against 144 in the preceding year and that the amount of miscellaneous work disposed of increased considerably. Appeals were even less frequent than in 1893 and only two out of 274 appealable decrees were actually interfered with.

8. *High Court.*—There were only five Judges on the bench during the year and a further decline in the outturn of work might therefore have been expected. As a matter of fact, however, the disposals of original suits increased from 253 to 331, notwithstanding an advance in the number contested, and as a consequence of this the arrears fell from 331 to 291. The average duration of contested suits also

improved from 591 to 516 days, while that of uncontested cases was, as in the previous year, 292 days. Appeals against decrees on the Original Side decreased in number in spite of a large increase in appealable decrees; the number decided was 36 as against 50 in the previous year. The number of execution applications for disposal again advanced and there was a considerable increase in the number of insolvency and miscellaneous applications disposed of.

On the Appellate Side the total amount of work for disposal was less than in the previous year, and although the actual outturn was not quite as great, there was a decrease in arrears in consequence of a decline in the institutions of first appeals from orders. The revisional work of the Court increased considerably, 1,284 petitions being received as against 942 in the preceding year; the disposals, however, fell from 703 to 519. Miscellaneous applications numbered 1,912 as against 1,264 in 1893 and the disposals only increased from 1,252 to 1,424, in consequence of which the arrears increased from 202 to 690. On the whole, the High Court may be said to have kept pace with the work of the year except as regards miscellaneous business, but this result has been achieved only by the most strenuous exertions on the part of the Judges and it cannot be expected that any material reduction in the heavy arrears can be effected except by an addition to the strength of the Bench.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, with C.L. 3
„ the Revenue Department.
„ all Collectors. 173
Editors' Table.

ENDORSEMENT—dated ~~September~~ 1895, No. 2068, Judicial.

Copy to the Government of India, Home Department.

Chief Secretary.

Pope

Judicial 2067

Civil Justice Reform

DESPATCH