

[3]
53
1,000—85-7-96.

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 205

DATED 31st Jan. 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

APPEAL SUIT.

Recording letter from the Government Pleader, Madras,
forwarding copy of the appeal memorandum in -- No. 167 of 1894 on the
file of the High Court, as desired by the Collector of Chingleput.

A

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From

THE GOVERNMENT PLEADER,

Madras.

Dated 21-1-95

Reed. 21-1-95

Byd 22-1-95

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
No. 38
ENCLOSURE. /

Forwarding a copy of
the appeal memo in
A.S. No. 167/94 on the
file of the High Court.

No 2977: 613 GA Mad
Papers

242

Ind Dep

1

205

N

Order 31st Jan 1895 No 20

31st Jan Recorded.

3-1

To His Govt Secy (Genl)
The Govt Secy (Genl)
in recess.

28/1



Memorandum of appeal
In the District Court of Chingleput O. S. no. 31 of 1894
In the High Court of Judicature at Madras.

Regular appeal no. 167 of 1894.

Chockalinga Mudali Plff. appellant
Mr. Sanyasi Saidu Deb. Respondent

The plaintiff above named begs to refer this
Regular appeal to the High Court of Judicature
at Madras against the decree of the District
Court of Chingleput dated 14 March, 1894 for
the following reasons:

1. The decree is against the weight of evidence.
2. The court below erred in holding that the
acts complained of were done by the defen-
dant in the discharge of the judicial duty
or that he believed he was doing or ordering
the acts in the discharge of his judicial
duty or that he did not act maliciously in
doing or ordering the said acts.
3. The court below should have found that
there was want of good faith on the part of
the defendant in doing or ordering the acts
complained of.
4. The court below further erred in holding
that no finding is necessary on the 3d

4712 - Venu



7/11/94

A. Saravand
m k k

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

FOR COPIES ONLY



and 4 issues
5. The court below was wrong in not al-
- lowing plaintiff an opportunity to
prove express malice on the part of the Deft
in doing or ordering the acts complained of.
6. Even supposing that the defendant
bona fide believed that he was acting judi-
- cially in doing or ordering the acts Com-
- plained of, the Lower court was wrong
in exonerating the defendant from
any liability to damages when as a matter
of fact he did not and could not act
judicially.

18.7.94. P. J. N. Parthasarathy Nigam
Appellants Advocate

The appellant limits his claims for
damages to Rs 500 -

Stamp thereon Rs 37-8.

P. J. N. Parthasarathy Nigam
1 June 1907

113
C9B

Superintendent

8792 — *Pay*



7/11/94
7/11/94

Sarwanth
me

Appeal 167
94
found

HIGH COURT, APPELLATE SIDE.
O. D. No. 3471/94

Application made..... 23.11. 1894
Stamp papers called for..... 4.12. 1894
Do. deposited..... 7.12. 1894
Copy ready..... 19.1. 1895
Do. delivered..... 19.1. 1895

sd
SUPERINTENDENT.

242

Government Pleader's Office,

High Court,

MADRAS, *21st Jan* 1895.

No. 38.

From

E. B. POWELL, Esq.,

GOVERNMENT PLEADER,

Madras.

To

THE *Chief Secretary to Govt*
Fort St George

SIR,

I have the honor to forward
herewith a copy of the appeal memo
in A.S. No 167 of 1894 on the file of the
High Court as desired by the Collector
of Telungabad in his letter No 260 dated
the 9th instant.

I have the honor to be
Your most obedt Servt

W. Powell

Govt Pleader

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
 189 , DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. _____

STATEMENT WITH DITTO. _____

PAGES NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only