

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 2029

DATED 1st Oct. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

POLICE.

Approving the proposal of the Inspector-General of-----
to revise the charges of the Tellicherry and Kottayam Inspectors'
divisions.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 2029, JUDICIAL, 1st OCTOBER 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S., Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Calicut, 16th September 1895, No. 4936.

I have the honour to submit, for the sanction of Government, a proposal of the Superintendent of Malabar to revise the charges of the Tellicherry and Kóttayam Inspectors' divisions. The changes proposed by Mr. Fawcett are approved by the District Magistrate and supported by the Deputy Inspector-General.

2. The present divisions are as follows :—

Division.	Station.	Head Constables.	Constables.	Total.
Kóttayam, H-i.	Tellicherry cusba	2	22	24
	Kóttaparamba	1	14	15
	Panur	1	6	7
	Chávaséri	1	8	9
	Kallayi	1	4	5
	Kannavam	1	4	5
	Iritti	1	5	6
				71
Tellicherry, H-ii ..	Tellicherry town	3	33	36

The proposal is to add Tellicherry cusba to the Tellicherry town division and fix the Kóttayam divisional head-quarter at Kúttaparamba where there is a Deputy Tahsildar and Magistrate. Kúttaparamba is very centrally situated for the taluk work.

3. The divisions then will be—

Division.	Number of Stations.	Head Constables.	Constables.
Kóttayam or Kúttaparamba	6	6	41
Tellicherry	2	5	55

I consider the change will be a decided improvement and shall be glad if Government will sanction it. I do not consider it necessary to alter the status of the Kúttaparamba station, and so there will be no increase of charge if the change is made.

4. I enclose Mr. Fawcett's letter on the subject for perusal and the favour of return.

ENCLOSURE.

From F. FAWCETT, Esq., Superintendent of Police, Malabar, to the Inspector-General of Police (through the District Magistrate, Malabar, and the Deputy Inspector-General, Southern Range), dated Naduvannur, 28th August 1895, No. 1339.

I have the honour to lay the following proposal before you :—

Transfer of the Cusba station, Tellicherry taluk division, to the Tellicherry town division.

2. Sufficiently accurate to show you the positions of the existing stations of the taluk and town divisions—

(1) Tellicherry : Town division—three head constables and thirty-seven constables, and Tellicherry taluk station—two head constables and twenty-two constables.

(2) Kúttaparamba station—seat of a Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate—one head constable and fourteen constables.

(3) Kallai station—one head and four constables.

(4) Chávasséri station—one head and eight constables.

(5) Irity station—one head and five constables.

(6) Kanuth station—one head and four constables.

(7) Panur station—one head and six constables.

3. Attached to this letter you will find the following statements :—

A. Crime in Tellicherry taluk division for four years.

B. Crime in Tellicherry taluk division for four years, exclusive of the Tellicherry taluk station.

C. Crime in Tellicherry town division (one station only).

D. Crime in Tellicherry taluk station for four years.

4. You will see from the statement B that the crime of the division, exclusive of the Tellicherry taluk station, is quite sufficient for one Inspector; and you will also see, by looking at C and D, that the crime of the town and of the taluk station is by no means too much for one Inspector.

5. The reasons why I recommend the change are—

(a) At present the taluk division is too large, too comprehensive, for one Inspector.

(b) One Inspector cannot look properly after it and crime shows no sign whatever of sensible diminution but rather increase.

(c) It is necessary, for the proper prevention and detection of crime, that some improvement on the present arrangement be made, and this is the best.

(d) Relieved of the Tellicherry station where, being his head-quarters, he is somewhat tied, the Inspector's head-quarters would be moved to Kúttaparamba, the seat of his Sub-Magistrate, and where he would be in practically the centre of his division and in the very best position to look after it and put down crime.

6. His division now consists of eight head constables and sixty-three constables. Transfer the Tellicherry taluk station to the town division and these would be—


Tellicherry taluk division, six head constables and forty-one constables.

Tellicherry town division, five head constables and fifty-nine constables.

7. Then as to the town division : The town itself alone is rather too small a division for an Inspector. He can well bear the addition of the taluk station which lies within the town division, and he can well afford time to investigate grave crime within the limits of the taluk station and which extends from four to ten miles from it. He would never have to leave Tellicherry to conduct cases in courts.

8. There is precedent in the district for a Town Inspector to have charge outside municipal limits; indeed the Tellicherry Town Inspector is the only one (with the exception of Cochin, the isolation of which explains its necessity for an Inspector), whose duties are confined solely to the municipal limits. Calicut town division extends to the Ferôk river. Beypore at the mouth of it and seven miles distant is in his charge. He has two stations. Palghat Town Inspector has also one-half of the taluk—the taluk station and three outlying stations besides the town. Cannanore Town Inspector has also the taluk station and two outlying stations besides the town. You will see therefore that my proposal is quite reasonable. I would not, however, suggest putting under the Tellicherry Town Inspector any outlying station, and as such an arrangement would be inadvisable and unnecessary, I say nothing of the *pros* and *cons*.

9. I have just been round Tellicherry taluk division and this report is the result of my judgment of the circumstances and the necessities and I hope therefore that you will favour my proposal with your sanction. It is most necessary that grave crime, especially dacoity, be put down in North Malabar and sanction of this proposed arrangement will more than any other, and without the additional cost of anything more than an extra three-pence to the Station officer, Kúttaparamba (which *may* be sanctioned or not), help to bring it about. The division is now, I regret



to say, in very indifferent order owing to the causes already referred to. The division (too large) has not been maintained in proper order owing to insufficient supervision, and the employment of many men in investigation of three dacoities at once has more or less disorganized it. It is my opinion that unless the change is made one cannot hope for much improvement, because there is the unpleasant fact that sending one gang to jail seems to be no deterrent to another, Phoenix-like arising. The only way to control the grave crime of the division is to maintain strictly the regular routine of the station, and especially the beat-work, by which alone the crime can be successfully put down.

10. I forward this through the District Magistrate with the request that he will be kind enough to consider favourably my proposal which I think will materially facilitate rather than impede the progress of work.

Endorsement on C. No. 3434-Magl.

Forwarded.

2. I support Mr. Fawcett's proposal.

31st August 1895.

(Signed) H. MOBERLY,
Ag. District Magistrate.

I fully concur in Mr. Fawcett's proposals. At Tellicherry cusbah the Inspector was quite at one side of his division which is large and scattered. If Kuttaparamba is sanctioned as the head-quarters, a suitable station must be provided there as at present. One head constable and fourteen men are crammed into the open verandah of a sub-jail.

2nd September 1895.

(Signed) F. BAGSHAWE,
Ag. Dy. Inspr.-Genl., Southern Range.

ORDER—No. 2029, Judicial, dated 1st October 1895.

The Inspector-General's recommendation is approved.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police.
" District Magistrate of Malabar.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raiff.

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.
JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., No. 2029, 1st October 1895.

OCTOBER.

Police.

Approving the proposal of the Inspector-General of — to revise the charges of the Tellicherry and Kottayam Inspectors' divisions.

CURRENT No. 4446
Judicial (1895) DEPARTMENT.

23218, 25.9.94
FROM 1499 of 18.6.94

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras,

To

THE Chief Secretary
to Government,
Coimbatore.
18 SEP. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
No. 4936

Dated 16th

Received 18th

Ref. 19th
incl. 5.

September 1895.

SUBJECT.

Submitting a proposal of the
Superintendent of Police, Malabar,
to revise the charges of the
Tellicherry and Kottayam
Inspectors' divisions.

H. In. 71-10-95 No. 2029.

Mr. Supt. Genl. recommend-
ation is approved.

25/9/95

24/9/95
To the S. Secy
... D. In. to Malabar
... At. 12/6
5/11/95

27/9
28/9

A.

Handwritten signature: *W. H. ...*
Handwritten number: *823*

Stamp: OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
23 AUG. 95
MALDEN

B

OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
23 AUG 95
MALDEN

Mansett.
S. D. Rice

At Lottan and
Including Killeen
Cavah

1895
Encls. No. 4
To
O. No. 4446

At Lottan and
Including Killeen
Cavah

1894										1895									
Total Cases reported including reported by mag.	False Cases	Balances transferred	Order closed	Persons Absolved	Do. Convicted	Property Lost	Do. Recovered	Total Cases reported including reported by mag.	False Cases	Balances transferred	Order closed	Persons Convicted	Do. Convicted	Property Lost	Do. Recovered	Total Cases reported including reported by mag.	False Cases	Balances transferred	Order closed
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
20	8	12	4	16	9	11	2	20	8	12	4	16	9	11	2	20	8	12	4
16	11	5	5	7	5	13	5	16	11	5	5	7	5	13	5	16	11	5	5
20	5	15	9	14	12	26	13	20	5	15	9	14	12	26	13	20	5	15	9
61	27	34	20	40	28	136	8	61	27	34	20	40	28	136	8	61	27	34	20

Handwritten signature



42

Elcheberg, Cuba

1892
just
2
4446

[Faint handwritten notes and numbers in the left margin of the right page, including "1892" and "1893"]

Crime Abstract.

Name of offense.	1892								1893							
	Total Cases reported including by magistrates.	Total Cases.	Balances true Cases	Orde edes	Persons Arrested	No. Convicted	Property Lost	No. Recovered	Total Cases reported including by magistrates.	Total Cases.	Balances true Cases	Orde edes	Persons Arrested	No. Convicted	Property Lost	
Murder.	1	"	1	1	2	2	"	"	4	"	4	2	8	3	13	2
Dacoity	5	"	5	5	42	25	$\frac{85}{10}$	$\frac{85}{10}$	3	"	3	1	10	3	129	6
Robbery.	1	"	1	1	4	1	$\frac{28}{14}$	$\frac{28}{14}$	2	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
H.B. by day.	4	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	8	3	5	5	6	5	12	15
H.B. by night	30	17	13	6	76	9	$\frac{1709}{15}$	$\frac{236}{5}$	19	3	16	7	14	10	113	86
Theft of Rs 5 & over	53	31	22	11	31	16	$\frac{219}{8}$	$\frac{24}{4}$	66	47	19	7	13	6	89	12
No. under Rs 5.	28	12	16	12	25	18	$\frac{25}{4}$	$\frac{18}{11}$	47	33	14	11	19	13	27	11
	122	63	59	37	121	72	$\frac{1035}{4}$	$\frac{1228}{8}$	149	88	61	33	70	40	335	149

Crime Abstrach. 70

No. 4936

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Calicut, 16th September 1895.

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

TO

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Coimbatore.

SIR,

Enclosures.
one

I have the honor to submit, for the sanction of Government, a proposal of the Superintendent of Malabar, to revise the charges of the Tellicherry and Kottayam Inspectors' Divisions. The changes proposed by Mr. Fawcett are approved by the District Magistrate and supported by the Deputy Inspector General.

2. The present divisions are as follows:—

Division	Station	Head Constables	Constables	Total
Kottayam H ⁱ	Tellicherry Kusta	2	22	24
	Kuttaparamba	1	14	15
	Panar	1	6	7
	Chavasseri	1	8	9
	Kallayi	1	4	5
	Kannanam	1	4	5
	Iritti	1	5	6
				41
Tellicherry H ⁱⁱ	Tellicherry Town	3	33	36
11	14	6		4

The proposal is to add Tellicherry cusba to the Tellicherry Town Division and fix the Kottayam Divisional Head Quarter at Kuttaparamba, where there is a Deputy Tahsildar and Magistrate. Kuttaparamba is very centrally situated for the Taluk work.

3. The Divisions then will be

Division	No of Stations	Head Constables	Constables
Kottayam or Kuttaparamba }	6	6	41
Tellicherry	2	5	55

I consider the change will be a decided improvement and shall be glad if Government will sanction it. I do not consider it necessary to alter the status of the Kuttaparamba station, and so there will be no increase of charge, if the change is made.

4. I enclose Mr. Fawcett's letter on the subject for perusal and the favor of return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Yours most obedt. Servt.

M. Hammick

Inspector General of Police.

No. 2029, JUDICIAL, 1st OCTOBER 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S., Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Calicut, 16th September 1895, No. 4936.

I have the honour to submit, for the sanction of Government, a proposal of the Superintendent of Malabar to revise the charges of the Tellicherry and Kottayam Inspectors' divisions. The changes proposed by Mr. Fawcett are approved by the District Magistrate and supported by the Deputy Inspector-General.

2. The present divisions are as follows:—

Division.	Station.	Head Constables.	Constables.	Total.
Kottayam, H-i.	Tellicherry cusba	2	22	24
	Kuttaparamba	1	14	15
	Panur	1	6	7
	Chavasseri	1	8	9
	Kallayi	1	4	5
	Kannavam	1	4	5
	Iritti	1	5	6
				71
Tellicherry, H-ii ..	Tellicherry town	3	33	36

The proposal is to add Tellicherry cusba to the Tellicherry town division and fix the Kottayam divisional head-quarter at Kuttaparamba where there is a Deputy Tahsildar and Magistrate. Kuttaparamba is very centrally situated for the taluk work.

3. The divisions then will be—

Division.	Number of Stations.	Head Constables.	Constables.
Kottayam or Kuttaparamba	6	6	41
Tellicherry	2	5	55

I consider the change will be a decided improvement and shall be glad if Government will sanction it. I do not consider it necessary to alter the status of the Kuttaparamba station, and so there will be no increase of charge if the change is made.

4. I enclose Mr. Fawcett's letter on the subject for perusal and the favour of return.

ENCLOSURE.

From F. FAWCETT, Esq., Superintendent of Police, Malabar, to the Inspector-General of Police (through the District Magistrate, Malabar, and the Deputy Inspector-General, Southern Range), dated Naduvannur, 28th August 1895, No. 1339.

I have the honour to lay the following proposal before you:—

Transfer of the Cusba station, Tellicherry taluk division, to the Tellicherry town division.

2. Sufficiently accurate to show you the positions of the existing stations of the taluk and town divisions—

(1) Tellicherry: Town division—three head constables and thirty-seven constables, and Tellicherry taluk station—two head constables and twenty-two constables.

(2) Kúttaparamba station—seat of a Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate—one head constable and fourteen constables.

(3) Kallai station—one head and four constables.

(4) Chávasséri station—one head and eight constables.

(5) Irity station—one head and five constables.

(6) Kanuth station—one head and four constables.

(7) Panur station—one head and six constables.

3. Attached to this letter you will find the following statements:—

A. Crime in Tellicherry taluk division for four years.

B. Crime in Tellicherry taluk division for four years, exclusive of the Tellicherry taluk station.

C. Crime in Tellicherry town division (one station only).

D. Crime in Tellicherry taluk station for four years.

4. You will see from the statement B that the crime of the division, exclusive of the Tellicherry taluk station, is quite sufficient for one Inspector; and you will also see, by looking at C and D, that the crime of the town and of the taluk station is by no means too much for one Inspector.

5. The reasons why I recommend the change are—

(a) At present the taluk division is too large, too comprehensive, for one Inspector.

(b) One Inspector cannot look properly after it and crime shows no sign whatever of sensible diminution but rather increase.

(c) It is necessary, for the proper prevention and detection of crime, that some improvement on the present arrangement be made, and this is the best.

(d) Relieved of the Tellicherry station where, being his head-quarters, he is somewhat tied, the Inspector's head-quarters would be moved to Kúttaparamba, the seat of his Sub-Magistrate, and where he would be in practically the centre of his division and in the very best position to look after it and put down crime.

6. His division now consists of eight head constables and sixty-three constables. Transfer the Tellicherry taluk station to the town division and these would be—

Tellicherry taluk division, six head constables and forty-one constables.

Tellicherry town division, five head constables and fifty-nine constables.

7. Then as to the town division: The town itself alone is rather too small a division for an Inspector. He can well bear the addition of the taluk station which lies within the town division, and he can well afford time to investigate grave crime within the limits of the taluk station and which extends from four to ten miles from it. He would never have to leave Tellicherry to conduct cases in courts.

8. There is precedent in the district for a Town Inspector to have charge outside municipal limits; indeed the Tellicherry Town Inspector is the only one (with the exception of Cochin, the municipal limits. Calicut town division extends to the Ferók river. Beypore at the mouth of it and seven miles distant is in his charge. He has two stations. Palghat Town Inspector has also one-half of the taluk—the taluk station and three outlying stations besides the town. Cannanore Town Inspector has also the taluk station and two outlying stations besides the town. You will see therefore that my proposal is quite reasonable. I would not, however, suggest putting under the Tellicherry Town Inspector any outlying station, and as such an arrangement would be inadvisable and unnecessary, I say nothing of the pros and cons.

9. I have just been round Tellicherry taluk division and this report is the result of my judgment of the circumstances and the necessities and I hope therefore that you will favour my proposal with your sanction. It is most necessary that grave crime, especially dacoity, be put down in North Malabar and sanction of this proposed arrangement will more than any other, and without the additional cost of anything more than an extra three-pence to the Station officer, Kúttaparamba (which may be sanctioned or not), help to bring it about. The division is now, I regret

to say, in very indifferent order owing to the causes already referred to. The division (too large) has not been maintained in proper order owing to insufficient supervision, and the employment of many men in investigation of three dacoities at once has more or less disorganized it. It is my opinion that unless the change is made one cannot hope for much improvement, because there is the unpleasant fact that sending one gang to jail seems to be no deterrent to another, Phoenix-like arising. The only way to control the grave crime of the division is to maintain strictly the regular routine of the station, and especially the beat-work, by which alone the crime can be successfully put down.

10. I forward this through the District Magistrate with the request that he will be kind enough to consider favourably my proposal which I think will materially facilitate rather than impede the progress of work.

Endorsement on C. No. 3434-Magl.

Forwarded.

2. I support Mr. Fawcett's proposal.

(Signed) H. MOBERLY,
Ag. District Magistrate.

31st August 1895.

I fully concur in Mr. Fawcett's proposals. At Tellicherry cusbah the Inspector was quite at one side of his division which is large and scattered. If Kúttaparamba is sanctioned as the head-quarters, a suitable station must be provided there as at present. One head constable and fourteen men are crammed into the open verandah of a sub-jail.

(Signed) F. BAGSHAWE,
Ag. Dy. Insp.-Genl., Southern Range.

2nd September 1895.

ORDER—No. 2029, Judicial, dated 1st October 1895.

The Inspector-General's recommendation is approved.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police.
" District Magistrate of Malabar.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raiff.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.
JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., No. 2029, 1st October 1895.

OCTOBER.

Police.

Approving the proposal of the Inspector-General of — to revise the charges of the Tellicherry and Kottayam Inspectors' divisions.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
159

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
RECEIVED. { Order complete.
Latter only.
Order complete with latter.