

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1998

Dated 25th Sept. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

MEMORIAL WITHHELD.

Directing that the memorial from M.R.V.C. Kuppasami Aiyar, late Second - class Tahsildar of Musiri, Trichinopoly district, appealing to the Government of India against his reduction and transfer as Fourth-class Tahsildar of Kallakurchi taluk, South Arcot district, be withheld under section III 3 (2) of F Memorial rules, and that enquiries be made in the Revenue Department as to the manner in which the memorialist obtained a copy of Board's Proceedings, ~~Mis.~~ No. 5032, Mis., Land Revenue, dated 30th August 1893.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	5435		1895				

1895. Dept. Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), MADRAS.

Myk...


To

...

Current Number 5342.

*The Secretary to
Govt. R. D.*

15 Feb 94 - 358 papers



Recd 25 July

Proceedings, dated 18-7-1895,
No. 3592. Mis. Enclosures. 19

Subject.

*Submitting to Govt petition
from In R Dy C. Coopra-
sami Iyer late 2nd class
Tahsildar of Musiri, Tri-
chinopoly Dt. appealing to
the Govt. of India against
his reduction & transfer as
Fourth class Tahsildar of
Kallakurichi Taluk, South
arcot Dt.*

80358/94 mis

80765/94

21532/94

2373/94

100/94

237/94

Judl Dept.

CURRENT NO. 3656

Encl. No. 2987

*Transferred to Judicial
Department.*

*W. B. L. J. P.
to the Secy.
R. D.*

*Not a command
26/7/95*

26/7

Recd 31-7-95

Recd 1-8-95

Enc. 19.

11/3/95

Recd into papers 13/8

14/8

80358/94 mis

765-1002

20 June 94 mis 1532

please

13/11/95

By Col. Kothandaram

in the matter of 80358/94 mis

being referred to the Secy. for the Secy.

are set aside please.

194/94

see also please

Enclos. 19

Forwards



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

Dated 18 July

1895.

No. 3592

Pres.

READ the following endorsement of
 A. E. castle Stuart Stuart Esquire
 Esq. collector of South Arcot, dated
 3^d July 95, no. 3953 of 95, on petition
^{in reply} ver
 from B. Kuppasami Aiyar, Tah-
 sildar of Kallakurchi, dated 3^d
 June 1895

Submitted to the Secretary,
 Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.

2. The facts of the appellants' case were fully considered by the Board and Government and no new facts are set forth.

3. Subsequently, fresh instances of the appellants' misconduct were brought to notice by the collector of

Trichinopoly

Trichinopoly. In its Proceedings dated 7 February 1895 No. 628 mis. the Board dealt with these "additional short comings" recording its "grave displeasure" and expressed its hope that the appellant would "endeavour by good work in the future to retrieve the character which he has lost". This hope remains to be fulfilled.

Resolution

Submitted to Government the Board has no recommendation to make on the petitioner's behalf.

(True copy & Extract,

M. V. V. V.
Secretary

To

The Secretary to Govt.

R. D. with two petitions (with a two Rupee Court fee stamp on one of them unpunched) & sixteen enclosures in original.

[G.]
25.
500-5-95.

C. No. 3656/95.

FOR ORDERS.

The only order affecting Petitioner is now passed and from which he appeals to Govt. is S. B. Judicial 357 15.2.94. I submit, therefore, that the transfer of the Memorial to Judicial was correct in procedure, and, if the Memorial is to go forward, Judicial should forward it.

I am, however, prepared to draw up a note on the case, if it is decided to dismiss the Memorial at all. Apart, however, from the delay which is caused by the Govt. I would point out that the formal transmission of the Memorial is most improper and inadvisable to be continued.

In this case Mr. R. V. Kuppaswami Aiyer, the Tahsildar of Kallakurichi in this District, requests that Govt. of 15 Feb. 95, No. 357, Judicial, may be reconsidered, and if the Local Govt. is not prepared to do so, that the enclosed memorial addressed to the Viceroy may be forwarded to the Govt. of India. The (Mr.) while submitting the appeal petition states that the facts of the case were fully considered by the Board & Govt. that no new facts are set forth. He remarks also that fresh instances of the appellant's misconduct were subsequently brought to notice by the Tahsildar of Trichinopoly, that the Board in its Proceedings of 7 Feb. last, No. 628 mis. dealt with these "additional short comings" recording its "grave displeasure" & expressed its hope that the appellant would "endeavour by good work in the future to retrieve the character which he has lost"; & that this hope remains to be fulfilled. The Board of Revenue

has no recommendation to make on the appeal-
lants behalf. For orders as to whether the memorial
should be forwarded to the Govt. of India?

The Rev. Dept. it is believed, summarily transfers
the pet. to the Tudd Dept. altho' four of the five
charges against the pet. were related to revenue
capacities of the Tahr & were previously dealt with
by that Dept.

I submit that this is certainly a
petition which should in the first
instance be dealt with in "Revenue" which
passed all the earlier orders & contains
possesses all the necessary records &
notes - voluminous, I believe, - except
such as relate to the malicious
prosecution & punishment of the
said constable. That is a matter
discussed at some length in the appeal
pet. ^{and} which should be dealt with in
judicial, but I submit that it would
involve a most unnecessary waste of
labour & time to expect the J. & L. to
wade through the records relating to
the other charges & the conflicting pro-
ceedings of Govt. (Rev. Dept.) & the Board
in order properly to note upon this
appeal. The "malicious pros." is
merely an incident, ~~which involves~~
~~the punishment~~, the general history
of the case must be familiar to

an original.

FOR ORDERS.

HON'BLE MEMBER.

under S. III 3(2) of the Memorial
Rules -

It might be well to inquire how
Petition obtained & copy of this
P.P. protesting against the Rev. ^{inquiry}
G.O. - out of wh. he indicated ^{that he}
to make capital - ^{any case}

26/9.

For instances of improper
language please see passages
marked X. at pp. 7, 9, 17, 26, 64-5
80, 83, 98, 110, 140, 148
151, 153-4, 158-9, 165-6
187, 200-1.

How.

For orders as to whether this petition
should be held under (2)
3 Sec. III B Petition Rules. The
language used appears most disrespectful
& improper 29/9/55

Should say
20 JRS
Copy 10/9/95

22/11/55

The Rev. Secretary; Jnd.
knows nothing of the only
Jnd. order relating to the case
is the final one $\frac{35+}{15-2-24}$ - which

though actually the order was appealed
against was certainly based on a
consideration of the whole ^{case} & not
merely of the malicious pros. which
was the sole matter dealt with in the
judicial note, & for the consideration of
which only the papers were transferred
to Jnd. by Revenue.

Before discussing the case on its
merits, the Dept. which deals with
the paper should certainly investigate
the truth of the appellants' explanation
of the delay of 19 months in presenting
his appeal.

21/8/55

The Jnd. Dept. deals merely with
the Jnd. ~~copy~~ portion of the case
and consider that Revenue shall
continue deal with the Revenue
portion of the case as it did before.
But the Jnd. Dept. must consider
that there should be a reduction to
4%. Made & did not actually
consider it: it is to be presumed
that the R.D. did that

21/8/55



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

C.

Note for order.

Submitted to the
Revenue Secretary

Lowel. Davidson
as Under Secretary
21-8-95

Order

To C.S.

26/8

Order
mis

The memorial will be withheld under section
III 3(2) of the B. Memorial rules.
(From orders)

To
The R.D. for Com. to the memorialist.
to write and refer to -
Clerk in charge of withheld
memorials.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No. 3656

of 1895, dated 26.7.95, from the Rev. Dept.

" "

" 189 , " , "

" "

" 189 , " , "

ORDER. 25th September 1895, No. 1998.

The memorial will be withheld
under section III 3(2) of the B. Memorial Rules.
2. Enquiry should be made
in the Revenue Department
as to the manner in which the
petitioner obtained a copy of the
Board's Proceedings dated 30th
August 1893, No. 5032 Mis, Land
Revenue.

(From Orders)

13-8-95
16/8/95

To P.C. para. 1 only 26/8.

To

The Rev. Dept. with enclosures
returned for communication to
the memorialist.

The Clerk in charge of withheld
memorials.

Kallakurchi Tahsildars
 appeal to the Govt of India

C.S.
 (From Orders)

The Revenue Secretary for
 favour of counter-initials.

H. 6
 July 10. 358 seems to be the only
 1572494
 previous paper in this case.
 127
 276

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.