

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1925

Dated 13th Sept. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

FEES TO PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, NELLORE.

Approving the recommendation of the District Magistrate of Nellore for the payment to the Public Prosecutor at that place of a fee of Rs. 250 for conducting the prosecution before the Taluk Magistrate, Ongole, in a case of cutting the Musi flood bank (calendar case No. 1 of 1895).

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From the District Magistrate

of Nellore.

Judicial Dept.

to No. 15295.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government Judicial &
Department

Dated 1st September 1895

Despatch No. 30

Ref. No. 1138 of 1895

Recd 26/9/95

Regd 3

Subject.

Encl I
Recommending a fee of Rs
250 to The Public Prosecutor
Nellore for conducting
prosecution in a difficult
case before the District Magi-
strate Encl.



In letter to
the Secy.

ms.

5787 15.3.95

CS

under 813th September 1895, No 1925.

Mr.

Mr. L. Inayat Ali's recommendation
is approved.

12/9/95

9/9/95

To the L. Inayat Ali, Nellore (R. 10)

Aut. Encl. (R. 10)

16/9

Ref No. 1138
Mag. K. B. Manavandan Raja

1st September 1895
From G. D. Maclean, Esq.,

Collector and District Magistrate
of Nellore.

To the

Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department

Sir,

In G. O. No. 203 of dated 28 February
1895, Government sanctioned the pro-
secution of the persons who cut the brusi
flood banks. My predecessor directed
The Public Prosecutor to proceed to Angul
and conduct the case before the
Stationary Sub Magistrate at that
Station.

2. The Sub Magistrate to whom a copy
of the above order was sent however
reported in the meantime that the case
had been dismissed on a refined
charge sheet sent by the Police.

3. The Public Prosecutor put in a
petition before my predecessor to have
the order of dismissal set aside under
Section 437 of C. P. C. and in this connec-
tion had to attend my Court on 3 different

[Eng. 20-1-91.]

adjourned sittings on 3 days.

4. I set aside the Submagistrate's order and transferred the case to the Salute Magistrate Congole before whom the Public Prosecutor conducted the prosecution. He had to examine 35 witnesses both for prosecution and defence. There were besides 17 accused involved.

5. The case was a difficult one in which the interests of the Canal were involved. The Public Prosecutor spent altogether 20 days at Congole in connection with the case to the detriment of his private work and also during the hot months of recess.

6. A copy of the Submagistrate's judgment is enclosed. Though the case did not end in a conviction, I am of opinion that the Public Prosecutor did all that could be expected of him and therefore beg to recommend that he be paid a fee of Rs 2.50 as a special case.

I have the honor to be,

Yours most obedient servant,
D. C. L. L.

Dy Magistrate for District Magistrate

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.:-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.