

Judicial (1895). Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1879

Dated 7th Sept: 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Endorsing paragraph X of the Petition Rules C. on-----
from T. Ponnambala Mudali, late Bailiff, Small Cause Court, Madras,
praying for restoration.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	4456		1895				
	4499		1895				

CURRENT No. 4234



5

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-IN-COUNCIL OF FORT ST. GEORGE.

*The humble petition of T. PONNAMBALA MUDALI,
late bailiff, Small Cause Court of Madras
and now residing at No. 7, Mungammall
Street, near Seven Wells.*

MOST HUMPLY SHEWETH,

1. With due respect and profound submission Your Excellency's humble petitioner begs to submit this his appeal for Your Excellency's benign consideration.

2. That Your Excellency's humble petitioner was entertained as a bailiff of the Court of Small Causes in the month of January 1879, and ever since then he had been discharging his duties as a bailiff to the entire satisfaction of his superiors up to 13th February 1893, when he was unfortunately dismissed from the service of the Court by P. D. Shaw, Esq., the then officiating Chief Judge of the said Court for the reasons hereinunder shown and that his service as a public servant amounted to more than about 16 years.

3. That in the month of September or October 1892 a writ against the goods of the defendant in Suit No. 594 of 1892 was delivered to Your Excellency's humble petitioner for execution and that he executed the warrant on the 29th October 1892 by attaching and seizing the property of the defendant as shown on the reverse of the warrant returned to Court.

4. That your humble petitioner had brought all the properties attached to the Court house on the very day it was attached, (i. e., 29-10-92) except the few jewels that were then seized.

5. That the jewels were not deposited into Court till 3rd November 1892, because the clerk in Court who makes the entries of articles seized did not enter them in the book till 3rd November 1892, though given to him on 31st October 1892, (29th and 30th being last Saturday and Sunday) for the purpose of being entered and because it was then the practice of the Nazir to receive the articles seized only after they were entered in the book kept for the purpose.

6. That Your Excellency's humble petitioner further submits that he did not deliver the jewels and other properties attached till 3rd November 1892 for the foregoing reasons.

7. That the complaint preferred against Your Excellency's humble petitioner by the defendant in the above suit was false, vexatious and frivolous, and His Honor the Officiating Chief Judge also found upon due enquiries that the said complaint was false (*vide* copy of his order of dismissal herein enclosed).

8. That Your Excellency's humble petitioner further begs to submit that the endorsement (*vide copy*) which he first made on the back of the summons delivered to him for service on one Mr. Tiroovengadasawmy Pillay, Attorney of the High Court, defendant in Suit No. 24894 of 1892, was a mistake and that he with the permission of His Honor the 3rd Judge, V. P. D'Rozario, Esq., altered it to (*vide copy*) which was correct.

9. That Your Excellency's humble petitioner is now suffering much for the maintenance of himself, and his large family consisting of 8 souls without employ.

10. That your humble petitioner has not preferred this appeal to Your Excellency in Council until now because he was absent from Madras at Rangoon for the last two years or thereabouts whither he went to procure any means of subsistence for his starving family.

That your humble petitioner is now in Madras, as the climate of Rangoon has made him dangerously ill and does not suit him.

That your humble petitioner under the foregoing circumstances prays that Your Excellency will upon consideration of his long service in Government employ, be graciously pleased to restore him his appointment or pass such orders as may seem to Your Excellency fit. True copies of his testimonials are herein enclosed for Your Excellency's perusal.

Most humbly sheweth,
Your Excellency's most humble and obedient
Servant,

MADRAS,
31st August 1895. }

J. Ponnambala Mudaly

Judicial Dept

From

J. Ponnambala Mudaly
Madras.

Recd
87th September 1895, no 1879.
Pm

Per x of the petn rules (C)
DESPATCH
7-9-95

Dated 31st Aug: }
Recd 4th } 1895.
Req^d 6th } Sept

Encl^{rs}. 18

At
7/9/95
To the petn (2 to) } dtd 13/9/95
init. under petn.
Copied 10/9

Subject.

Praying for restoration
to his app^t

M.L.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189 _____
DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.