

Judicial (1895) Department

G.O.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 179

DATED 25th Jan. 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

HONORARY MAGISTRATES, GUNTUR

Requesting the District Magistrate of Kistna to invite M.R.R.Y.I.
Venkata Rangayya Garu to resign his seat on the Bench of -- .

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	258		95		221		
	804		95		1-2-95		
	1658		95		836		
					24495		
					500 R		
					20-6-95		

Judicial Dept

6922

10913/91 sc

From

The District Magistrate

Kistna

10913/91 sc

To

The Chief Secretary

to Government, Judicial Dept

Kadras

Dated 26th December

Recd 28th do 1894.

Recd 31st do

Regd 2nd do 1895

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF
MADRAS

Enclosure one

Refer to 1557 gm
10/12/94

Reporting for the order of Govt
the general irregularity of Mr
L. Venkata Rangayya garu, Honorary
Magistrate of Guntur Bench, in
attending the Court & regularity
attending the trial of a case in
which he was interested.

10/12/94

7/11/94

Wro

6922 Kistna District Magistrate's
Refuse 1657 gm Office, Masulipatam.
26th December 1894

From, J. A. Atkinson Esquire
District Magistrate
Kistna

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department.
Madras.

Sir,
I have the honor to report the
following matter for the orders of Government.
M. R. Ry. L. Venkata Rangappa one
of the Honorary Magistrates of the Hunter
Bench attended only 3 out of the 70 sittings of
the Bench from 1st January to 7th November
1894. A charge of hurt having been filed
before the Bench against the son-in-law of the
Jumasta of the said Magistrate, the Honorary
Magistrate attended all the 3 sittings, from
the commencement of the trial of the case
till it was disposed of (from 10-11-94 to 21-11-94).

The Magistrate's explanation has been obtained,
 & is forwarded herewith. He of course dis-
 claims having had any special interest
 in the case. But considering his habitual
 neglect of his Magisterial duties, his
 sudden regularity of attendance in this case
 throws doubt on the bonafides of his explana-
 tion. It is very necessary that Benchers
 of Magistrates should be above suspicion
 & I submit that Mr. R. Ry. L. V. Rangayya
 has shown his unfitness to occupy a
 seat on the Bench.

I have the honor to be,
 Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

J. N. Atherton
 28/12
 District Magistrate

27/12

[
 2.
]

500-15-3-94.

Judicial Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper:—

W. 6922/94.

ORDER—16 Jan'y 1895, R No. 47

~~The Right Honorable the Governor in~~
 Council is pleased to appoint the undermentioned
 gentleman a special Magistrate for the Town of
 in the District of
 with the powers
 and subject to the terms and conditions of
 Notification, No. 335, published in the Fort St.
 George Gazette, dated 1st September 1891.

ordered that the following notice be
 published in the Fort St George Gazette:

Under the provisions of Section 41 of the
 Code of Criminal Procedure, 1882, the Right
 Honorable the Governor in Council withdraws
 the powers of a Special Magistrate for the town
 of Quater conferred on
M. Ry. L. Venkata Rangayya
 who has resigned his appointment.

For orders: the Magistrate attended only 3 out of
 meetings between January & Nov. 1894; the
 of his presence was the 1st & 2nd case heard
 three occasions. The explanation for failure
 to attend the Court is given & general.

Gazette. (notice)

M. N. Krishna. 9/1

56/22/94

47-16-1-55-R

CT-N^o 6922/94

[6 / 9]
1,000-25-10-54.

Current No. 132

Ind. DEPARTMENT.

From Telegram
Luyamela
Vukataragait

No.

Dated 14-1-95
Received 14-1-95
Enclosures 15-1-95

SUBJECT.

Request to refer passing
orders on the letter of
the Krishna D. Maita
reporting on his conduct
as Land Maita

The serial 6922 is
after being numbered or copied.
Please get it back.

16/1
Hence with the 6/1 - it has not
issued 11
12/1

INDIAN TELEGRAPH.				Monthly Local No. 213
Class.	P			From Station
To Station	Madr. repory			Guntur 132
Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instruction.
32	14	8	45	
To (Person)	From (Person) Lingamella Venkatarangaya			
The Chief Secretary Government				
Judicial Department				
Egmore				
Please defer passing orders on Krishna District Magistrate's letter reporting on his conduct as honorary Magistrate				
Magistrate Guntur				
VEPRI 14-1-95				
RECD. FROM	L. N.	SENT TO	L. N.	
At	Hrs.	Mts. By	At	Hrs.
Date	Postmaster.			

10. 4) R. 16 Jan 95
CURRENT NO. 165 of the 2nd class

Guntur Dist. J. D. P.

132 + 165/95.

From
Lingamella Venkatarangaya
Sp. Magr.

For orders.

Sp. 16 Jan. 1947, T. J. R.

has not yet issued.

Singul. J. D. by the Complainant.

Dated 14-1-95

Recd 16-1-95

Ends 5

Subject

Requesting to Carefully

look into the records connected with the case tried by a Bench of Magrs. of whom he was one & acquit him of all blame cast upon him in connection therewith.

True translation

For orders

In the Court of the 2^d Class Magistrate
Guntur Town.

Complainant

Accused

Pamedimarri

Patri China Narasimharow

Venkata Appaya of
old Guntur.

of old Guntur

Charge filed by the Complainant.

At about 6 o'clock of the 4th Inst. while the
1st accused's father & mother were abusing
my wife & the 1st accused was pulling down
my wife by hair, I came out and the accused
instantly assaulted and beat me with a brass
tumble taken from my hands which caused
contusion on my head.

I request the Court to examine my witnesses
and award punishment to the accused
and see that they don't come upon me
when ever.

witnesses.

Patri Subbaraw

(3) Pamedimarri

Pentube V

Venkata Appaya

Some others.

True translation

5-11-94.

Dr. V. Rangappa

Special
Petitioner & Honorary Magistrate.

To M.Dy

V Dakshinamurthy Pantulu garu

Presiding Magistrate

Guntur Town Bench.

Complainant

Pamidi arri Venkatappaya
of old Guntur.

Accused.

Patri Chinakarasimharow
of old Guntur.

Petition filed by the accused.

On going through the Certified Copies of the records in the Case delivered to me at 6 o'clock (P.M.) on 24th November, I found the undermentioned omissions & alterations in the evidence on my side.

1. Where as my 2^d witness Gadaram Ramappa deposed that the Complainant beat the accused, it is recorded that the accused beat the Complainant.
2. Where as my 1st, 2^d & 3^d witnesses deposed that the Complainant himself pushed his wife Durgamba with the bumble in his hand, which caused her fall on the pial and received a beating. This fact is not at all recorded.
3. Where as my 1st witness stated that the accused was beaten by the Complainant it is not found in the record.
4. The facts that soon after the accused was beaten by the Complainant he obtained a certificate from the dessor & filed a Charge in the Magistrate Court and the pleader's

Statement about this fact in the course of hearing
are not recorded.

The certified Copies are here with files with
a request that the above said facts may be inserted
in corrected & records returned.

26.11.94

15/ Patra Chinn
Navasimharow.

True translation.

L. V. Rangayya

Special
Petitioner & Honorary Magistrate.

189
Dept.
No.
No.

The Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department
Madras.

The humble petition of Lingamallu
Venkatarangayya Special Magistrate
Guntur Town. Kistna District.

Most Honored Sir.

Being given to understand that the
District Magistrate Kistna reported on the conduct
of the petitioner as an Hon. Magistrate on the
Bench at Guntur, he begs to lay the following
facts before you with a request that you will
be good enough to place them before His Excellency
in Council for consideration along with the
District Magistrate's letter.

- 1 The petitioner has not been favoured with a
Copy of the District Magistrate's letter to Government
and is therefore not able to give an explanation
on the charges against him.
- 2 The Complaint against him was so far as he is
aware only from the Presiding Submagistrate
the stationary Magistrate of Guntur. It was

that the Submagistrate took personal interest in the case & identified himself with the Complainant.

6 The Case was disposed of on the 21st November last. On the 26th the accused put in a petition before the Submagistrate calling his attention to certain omissions in the evidence for defence recorded by the Submagistrate, which compelled him to correct the record. The Submagistrate, apprehending some danger to himself thought that the accused was instigated by the petitioner and submitted the malicious report against the petitioner, which has been relied on by the Sub-divisional and the District Magistrates.

7 If the District Magistrate had thought that the acquittal was improper, he should have referred the Case for the orders of the High Court which the petitioner even now requests may be done.

8 The Connection between the petitioner and the accused in the Case is so remote that he did not feel it necessary to refrain from taking part in the trial of the Case. Even the Stationary Magistrate could not and did not say that the petitioner influenced the other Honorary Magistrates who, as stated above, belong to different Castes and Creeds. His Excellency in Council will therefore be pleased to note that there was no miscarriage of justice in the Case by the petitioner taking

part in the trial of the Case.

9 The petitioner has always borne a good character & is a wealthy merchant having dealings with large English firms such as—

Messrs. Wilson & Co. Madras.

" Dymes & Co. Madras.

" Gansow Woodraffer & Co. Madras.

" Grimson Brothers - Cocanada.

" Jones & Co. Cocanada.

" R. P. Gill & Co. Guntur.

" Volkart Brothers Guntur.

He has been serving on the Bench & has been a member of the Taluk & the District Boards from about 20 years and his reputation has always been unsullied.

10 He therefore earnestly requests that His Excellency in Council will carefully look into the records of the Case & acquit him of all blame in the matter.

11 As regards the irregularities in his attendance the petitioner begs to assure His Excellency the Council that it was due to Causes beyond his control & hopes that they will not recur. He would try his best to be punctual in his attendance in future.

12 In Conclusion the petitioner respectfully begs to request that His Excellency will take into Consideration the reputation for honesty

and efficiency of the stationary Magistrate on whose report the District Magistrate relies in this matter. For his part the petitioner would only submit for perusal a copy of the appeal judgment, & (especially paras 5, 7, 8, 9, 10) of the 1st assistant Magistrate animadverting on the procedure adopted by the stationary Magistrate who has acted for a time at Vissanmapet.

I beg to remain Sir

Yours most obedient & humble servant.

14th January 1894. } Lingamallu Venkatarangappa
Quintan } Special Magistrate, Guntur Town.

[25.]
109-10-10-91.

DEPARTM

No. 132, 165 Jan.
d. Venkate Rangappa
Hon^{ble} Magst, Guntur

From

Dated 14. 1. 1895.

FOR ORDERS.

Please see No. 10 of 10th January 1895 by which has not yet issued. This petition is more an attack on the presiding stationary submag than a defence of the petitioner. It does not add any new material to the defence nor does it touch Mr. Atkinson's argument that Mr. Perhaps however the case might be permitted to remain instead of being removed.

With reference to Mr. Oldfield's appellate judgment, his attention might be drawn to the High Courts' remark (vide their p 1030 2nd Edition) that "It is improper for an appellate Court to record in its judgment grave imputations on the motives of an officer whose decision is under appeal when it is imputations... here as other grounds than suspicion."

Mr. Magaswell He would probably give him the chance. inform - lls 19/1
The D.M. magistrate him to resign
MS 22/1/95

Not necessary, I think -
MS lls 19/1

Read C No. 6922 of 1894 & 132 of 1895
25 Jan 1895 MS 179
The Dist Magistrate will be requested to make Mr. d. Venkate Rangappa send his report on the Bench.

Removal of an Honorary
Mag^{te}

H.M.
(For orders)

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

AGREEMENT WITH DI CO.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only