

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1600

Dated 30th July 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

RAMNAD DEED OF SETTLEMENT.

Approving, by wire, the proposal of the Inspector-General of Registration to print copies of----and the memorandum containing its substance, and stating that the question relating to the introduction of the Bengal rule regarding the registration of duplicates of documents will lie over pending the receipt of a reply from that officer to memorandum No. 1761-A.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3750		95				

Registration Department,
Madras, 26th July 1898.

My dear Sir

I am enclosing by today's post for the order of Govt. letter G. No 2030 regarding the arrangements to be made for the registration of copies of Revenue Settlement documents which have been admitted to registration in the Revenue Subordinate's office. If the proposal in para 4 to print the 34^{copies} to be entered in the register commands

chief to Govt. I beg that
orders may be communic-
ated to me by wire first.
Orders on the suggestion
in para 5 regarding the
framing of a new rule
regarding registration of
duplicates of documents
are not so urgent & may
follow later on.

Yours sincerely

Shamara Rayharungar

The Hon'ble J. F. Price C.S.I.

CURRENT NO. 3635.
REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

G. No. 2030.

From

THE HON'BLE S. SRINIVASA RAGHAVAIYANGAR,

DEWAN BAHADUR, C.I.E.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF REGISTRATION,

Madras,

To

The Hon'ble J. F. Price C.S.I.

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Colacamund,
Fort St. George.

Dated Madras, 25 July 1895.

SIR,

I have the honor to report the following matter for the orders of Government.

2. On the 13th instant, the Rajah of Ramnad presented for registration to the Subregistrar of Ramnad a deed of settlement transferring his Zemindari to a trustee for the benefit of his infant son, together with 35 copies thereof duly executed, to be given to various persons whose interests are affected by the deed. The trust deed consists of 18 printed pages of folio size and the number of pages in the 36 documents aggregates to 648. It is estimated that the transcription in manuscript of these 36 documents will take up more than 1000 pages of the register volumes (of Royal size) and will occupy two clerks for a period of ~~between~~ 3 or 4 months. As the properties affected by the trust deed are situated in 11

Subdistricts attached to the 3 districts of Madura, Tanjore and Madras, 3 copies of the document and 11 memoranda containing its substance have to be prepared for transmission to the District Registrars and subregistrars concerned under sections 64 and 65 of the Registration Act.

3. There are two volumes in use in the Suboffice at Ramnad for registering documents in Book I, the documents bearing odd numbers being consecutively entered in one volume and those bearing even numbers in the other, under registration rule 28. ~~As the~~ transcription of the 36 documents referred to in manuscript consecutively, will take up, as already stated, months and as thousands of people will be put to great inconvenience, if all the documents accepted for registration subsequently to those presented by the Rajah of Ramnad are to lie over till the latter have been disposed of, I have already issued instructions for the original deed of settlement and one of the copies being entered by 2 temporary clerks in the two current volumes now in use, and the volumes being closed, and for two new volumes being opened for the transcription without delay by the ordinary staff of clerks of the documents subsequently accepted for registration without waiting for the transcription of the former. This arrangement will obviate inconvenience to the general public.

on page 259 to
Reg. Manual.

Interpretation
of Registration Act
No. 27/7
on 11th Aug. 1895
Sg. is the only
note paper put up

In sec. 52: the word is
"copied" not "written"
but "copied" is presumably
= "copied in writing".

28.9

I may take this opportunity to mention that this case emphasises the necessity for the amendment of registration rule No. 28 suggested in my letter to Government dated 7 July 1895 D. No. 3203.

4. As regards the remaining 34 copies of the trust deed, I propose to have them printed in the Government Press at Madras and after getting them examined in due course and authenticated by the Subregistrar, to have them bound into volumes under the personal superintendence of that officer. This procedure is a departure from the present practice as regards transcription of documents in two respects. The first is that documents are now copied in the registers in manuscript, but it seems to me that there is nothing in section 52 of the Registration Act to prevent the copies being printed instead of being written in manuscript. Under clause (14) of section 3 of the General Clauses Act I of 1887, the word "written" includes 'printed'. The second is that documents are now copied in bound books. Some years ago, it was the practice to copy the documents on loose sheets and get them bound into volumes; but, as it was thought that this might give rise to

the commission of frauds by surreptions
substitution of sheets when the copies were
given to the binder for binding, the present
practice of copying in bound volumes was
introduced. In the present case, however, as
the documents printed would be merely copies
of the document already entered in manus-
cript, there is no room for any fraud. The
course suggested will, of course, result in a
great saving of time, labour and expense.

The 3 copies of documents and the 11 memoranda
to be sent to other offices will, likewise, be printed.
If the Government approves of the proposal, I beg
that its order may be communicated to me by
wire in order that the necessary arrangements
may be made for printing copies of the docu-
ments without loss of time.

5. In this connection, I beg to bring to the notice of
Government that in Bengal duplicates of
registered documents, when registered, are
not required to be copied in extenso in the
register books. Rule 52 of the Bengal registra-
tion rules which lays down the procedure to
be observed in the registration of duplicates
runs as follows: -

"When two or more copies of the same document
are brought for registration at one and the
same

* Bengal Reg. Manual
is not available here. Mr. D.
has been written to to send a
copy of it in my library.
I have no copy in my library.

same time, it shall not be necessary to enter the
document more than once in the register, but all
the endorsements shall be written upon each copy."

This rule is a convenient one and will result
in a saving of unnecessary labor. I beg therefore
to recommend that Government may be pleased
to sanction its introduction in this Presidency
under section 69 of the Registration Act.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

S. Sumiara Raghavayya
Inspector General of Registration

Shull

CURRENT No 3635.
JUDICIAL (1895) DEPARTMENT.

"REGISTRATION."

From

THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF

REGISTRATION,

Madras,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

Colacammund.
Fort St. George.

Dated

25

Despatched

1895.

Received

27

Recd. 30

G. No. 2030.

ENCLOSURE.

No.

Requesting orders by wire
as to the manner in which
certain lengthy documents
presented for registration in
the Damrud Subregistry Office
in the Madras district shall
be copied in the registers
and proposing the introduction
in this Presidency
of the Bengal Registration
Rule No. 52 regarding the
copying of the duplicates
of documents.

Judicial Dept.

Cur. 3635/95

For orders.

Don't see any objection
BS

As to whether the Lg's proposal
in para. 4 of the letter to print the 34 copies
may be approved & the Lg. telegraphed to
that effect as requested? The other question
calling for orders, vizt, the framing of
a new rule regarding the registration of
duplicates of documents may, it is sub-
considered later on after the Lg's reply
to the Ref. on Cur. 3332/95 is

Received.

It is almost, or quite, too late for
the adoption of this course -
Moreover, as the docs have
already been presented, would
the new rule apply to them? Such
rules cannot, I imagine, have
retrospective effect.

BS
30/7/95

I submit that it would be preferable to
give immediate sanction to the introduction
of the Bengal Rule as this course would
avert the necessity for printing 35 copies
of the Damrud Deed of settlement; it would
only be necessary to print the 3 copies ^{411 memoranda} required
for transmission to other Registrars and
Subregistrars. Under section by a rule comes
into force as soon as it is published in the
Gazette and it would be possible to arrange
for the publication of this rule in the Madras Gazette
by telegraphing it down to the Govt. Press, or
writing to the G.O. directing him to communi-
cate it to the Govt. Press at once. There is
no corresponding rule in force in Madras, &
it would be unnecessary to amend any of the
existing rules.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No.

of 189 , dated from

" "

" 189 , "

" "

3135 " 1895, " 25th July, " the Lg. of Registration.

ORDER.
mis

of 30th July 1895 No 1600.

The Lg's proposal in para. 4 of his letter, to print 3rd copies of the Ramuad deed of settlement ^{the memorandum containing the details of} is approved; ~~and~~ the following telegram will be despatched to the Lg:—

" No. 4444 your proposal to print Ramuad settlement deed approved".

2. The other question relating to the introduction of the Bengal rule ^{regarding} for the registration of duplicates of documents will be our pending receipt of the Lg's reply to grant refce of 30th July 95, no. 176/A.

(From orders)

20 20
3077/95 30-7-95

To

The Lg. of Reg. ⁸⁺⁰

MR
30.7.95

21/11

So marked as the 24.
Order order by wire
20.7.

Office

Send to CS this afternoon & to
H. early tomorrow morning

20.7.

|| This came to me after the meeting
of 11/20/95

explained to H. 1
12/11
6/8/95

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

done
30/7/91

P.N. copy
P. copy
As it was to fill in the blank

120
31/7