

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1554

Dated 24th July 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

M.R.FY. S. RAMAMURTHI PANTULU.

Approving, with remarks, the recommendation of the Inspector-General of Registration that----, Sub-Registrar of Palkonda, Vizagapatam district, be placed under the observation of a Medical Officer..

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	236		31/1/94				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	5956		95				

CURRENT NO. 3336

Judicial (1895) Department
Registration

From

The Inspector General
Of Registration,
Madras,

To The Chief Secretary
to Government,
Coimbatore

Dated 6

Recd

Received

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
12 JUL 1895

July 1895

No. 3140

Enclosures Two

No.

Recommending that M. R.
S. Ramamurti Pantulu, Sub-
registrar of Palakonda, Vizaga-
patam Dt., who is suffering from
mental derangement, may
be sent for medical exami-
nation in view to his being
retired from the service.

13/7

No 236 31-1-94 mi.

For orders

As to whether the Inspector General's
recommendation may be accepted, &
the Sub-reg. sent before a medical
board.

8

18-7-95

The trial of this man elsewhere has
proved a failure. The I. G. told
me personally that he is a religious
manomaniac and that it is only
necessary to introduce the subject
of religion to set him off in the
wildest talk. He is evidently
not fit for work & any kind
and should be placed under
medical observation and if the
result is to raise the presumption
of insanity he should go before
a med. Bd. & be

22

18/7/95

Yes. The med. officer
shd. be informed what the
main point of delusion is

RS

19/7/95

Order

The I. G.'s recommendation is
accepted

(One)

19/7

13-7-95

No. 843.

Registrar's office
Vizagapatam
25 May 1895

From

M.R.R. G.V. Raghaviah Garu, B.A.
Registrar of Vizagapatam

To

The Inspector General of Registrations,
Madras.

Sir,

In obedience to para 2 of your Proceedings 7 to 840, dated 7th April 1895, I have the honour to submit herewith my notes of inspection on the Palakonda Sub-registry office, together with the Sub-Registrar's explanation. The notes of inspection, first sent by me to the Sub-Registrar for explanation, were returned by him as containing several erasures and the Sub-Registrar prepared and submitted a fresh copy of the same with his explanations (vide the Sub-Registrar's letter No — dated 9th instant herein enclosed)

2. Such portions, in the Inspection Notes as deal with the most serious mistakes, have been marked by red ink lines across the margin, for easy reference.

8-8 227
The most indifferent explanations given by the Sub Registrar clearly show that he does not still realize the seriousness of the mistakes committed by him. The Sub Registrar complained most against his first clerk Maanthri Lakshminarasimulu. This clerk has been at this station for upwards of 10 years and he has influence with several persons in the Taluk. His conduct and character were being suspected by me for the past 3 years, but as the Sub Registrar, under whom he served, never reported against him and as it was found inconvenient to transfer him on promotion to another station in the recent clerk's scheme, he was permitted to remain at Palakonda till now. I am now convinced that he acted very disobediently and mischievously towards the Sub Registrar (now under suspension) and that he did his duties very indifferently and negligently. I shall soon send up proposal to transfer him to another station.

3. So far as the Sub Registrar, now—

under suspension, is concerned, I have nothing more to add than what I had already stated in my D. O. referred to in the aforesaid Proceeding. I still think it is quite unsafe to entrust him any more with the duties of a registering officer or with the duties of any public servant. I have had opportunities again in the early part of this month of seeing and talking to the said Sub Registrar in person on 4 or 5 occasions, and I have good and strong reasons to think that he is a stubborn and selfwilled person, that he is a man of unsteady mind and that at times he is absent minded.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

your most obedient servant

(sd) G. V. Raghaviah

Registrar.

(True Copy)

Shriman Narayana

Inspector General of Registrations

REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

J No. 3140

From

THE HON'BLE S. SRINIVASA RAGHAVAIYANGAR,

DEWAN BAHADUR, C.I.E.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF REGISTRATION,

Madras,

To

The Hon'ble J. F. Price, C.S.I.

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Cotacamund
Fort St. George.

Dated Cotacamund, 6 July 1895.

SIR,

I have the honour to report the following case for the orders of Government.

2. Mr R. S. Ramamurti Pantulu, Sub-Registrar of Palakonda, was transferred last year from Aska to Palakonda under G.O. dated, 31 January 1894, no 236. While employed as Sub-Registrar of Aska, he was suffering from mental derangement and was on leave for 13 months from 2nd July 1892. On the expiration of this period, on being certified by the District Surgeon to be fit for duty, he was allowed to rejoin his appointment. In November 1893 his sanity was again suspected, and the District Registrar of Ganjam, who was asked to examine the records of the Aska office, reported that

3336

8-9
that, with the exception of a few mistakes committed through want of care in the course of business, there were no serious irregularities discovered, but that the SubRegistrar was not in a perfectly sound state of mind and became excited at times. In the G.O. above referred to, the Government accordingly directed his transfer to another station in view to his being tried in new surroundings.

3. The SubRegistrar was accordingly sent to Palakonda and has been there since August last. In March 1895, the District Registrar of Vizagapatnam, who had just completed his inspection of the Palakonda office, reported to me semi-officially that the SubRegistrar had committed serious irregularities in the registration of documents and that it was dangerous to allow him any longer to be in charge of the Palakonda office. I accordingly placed him at once under suspension and asked the Registrar to call upon him for explanation in regard to the irregularities committed, and submit it for orders.

4. With his letter dated 25 May 1895, No 843, copy enclosed, the District Registrar has submitted his notes of

inspection of the Palakonda office with the explanation of the SubRegistrar. From this paper it will be seen that the SubRegistrar has committed the following grave, among other, irregularities.

- (1) In document registered as No 138 of 1895 in Book I, the SubRegistrar has omitted to enter the year and date. (Section 52 of the Registration Act)
- (2) On document No 1109 of 1894, Book I, he has omitted to endorse the certificate of registration. (Section 60)
- (3) On document No 267 of 1895 Book I, he has omitted to take the signatures of the persons examined. [Section 58 clause (b)]
- (4) On document No 823 of 1894, Book I, the signature of the presentant has not been taken below the presentation-endorsement (Section 52)
- (5) In the case of the five documents Nos 852, 873, and 1059 of 1894 and

Nos 62 and 149 of 1895 registered in Book I executed by two persons, he has taken the signature of only one of the executants below the admission endorsement and omitted to take the signature of the other [Section 58 - Clause (a)]. The result is that the documents have been registered only as regards one of the executants. As regards document No 852, three weeks after the document was registered and returned to the party, the Sub-Registrar sent for it and caused the 2nd executant to sign the admission endorsement. He directed the clerk to insert the 2nd executant's signature in the entry of the document in the register, but the latter refused to do so. The entry therefore does not correspond with the original document. This occurrence was not reported to the Registrar.

(6) Document No 95 of 1895, Book I, has not been signed or marked by the executant in token of execution. Nevertheless, it has been registered.

(7) Document No 166 of 1895, Book I, was registered inadvertently on the 16th February 1895, which was a holiday. To conceal the mistake, the Sub-Registrar has altered the dates on the endorsements on the documents and other records so as to make it appear that the document was registered on the 15th idem. In this case, he had merely only to report his mistake to the Registrar instead of falsifying the records.

The above are grave irregularities affecting the validity of registration. The District Registrar states that the indexes of documents registered are full of errors having never been examined and that the monthly returns are seldom received in time and are generally inaccurate.

5. The Sub-Registrar has really no explanation to offer for the grave irregularities committed. He blames his

his clerk for bringing the mistakes to light instead of assisting him to conceal them. His explanation shows that he does not in the least realise his responsibility for the grave irregularities committed.

6. In these circumstances, it is entirely out of the question, placing S. Ramamurti Pantulu in charge of a registration office again; and the only question is what should be done with him. The District Registrar reports that he had talked to the Sub-Registrar on 5 or 6 occasions recently and that he has good and strong reasons to think that he is a stubborn and self-willed person, that he is a man of unsteady mind and that at times he is absent-minded. I concur in this view. I inspected the office at Aska in March 1894, when this Sub-Registrar was in charge of it. During the time of inspection, he answered the

the questions put to him in a rational way and I saw no reason to suspect his sanity. When he came to see me privately, he told me that he had direct communication with Hindu gods and that he was destined to be a great religious teacher. This clearly showed that his mind was unhinged; but, as it was difficult to say positively that he was unfit for duty, which he was then doing fairly well, and that his religious delusion might not pass away, I was of opinion that, as suggested by Government, he might be tried under new surroundings. The trial has not been successful. The irregularities committed by him are such that, if they were committed by a sane man, he would deserve to be dismissed for gross incapacity or neglect.

of duty. In the present case, I beg
to recommend that he be sent —
again for medical examination and
if he is certified to be unfit for
duty on account of mental in-
capacity, retired on such pension
as he is eligible. Otherwise, there will
be no alternative but to dismiss him
from the service.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant.

Sumner
Inspector-General of Registration

Smith

[G.
24.]

500-21-5-95.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No.

of 189 , dated

from

" "

" 189 , "

" "

" "

3336 " 1895, " 6 July, " the 29. of Registration.

ORDER. 24th July 1895 No 1554.

The J.S.'s action is approved. The
Sub-Registrar should be placed under
the observation of a medical
officer who should be informed of
the nature of the delusion from which
he suffers. If the result of such
observation is to raise the presumption
of insanity, the Sub-Reg^l should be
sent before a medical board in order
that he may be included
(Inmorder)

20-5-95

20/7/95

To
The 29. of Registration. A to
with encl. 1910.
JUL 95
M.S.

Case of a Sub Registrar mentally
deranged.

(From orders)

C.S.

Misc.

KA

Before sending to C.S., let

be written a medical board
to the H.S. 62 concerning incident to medical
off. A.D.C. 10
In office of med. in med.
With necessary int
Can be done.
MK
207.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.