

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1547

Dated 24th July 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

FEES TO PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

According sanction for the payment of a fee of Rs. 1,000 to M.R. Srinivasa Rao Avargal, Public Prosecutor in the District of South Arcot, for services rendered by him in the prosecution of the Wellikuppam Abkari case in the Court of the Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Cuddalore and in supporting the conviction therein on appeal.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 2777

1895.

Judicial Department.

From

The District Magistrate of

South Arcot.

To

The Chief Secretary


to Government

Madras.

Dated

Despatched

Received



Ref No. 1410

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

Requesting that a fee of Rs 1000 may be sanctioned for payment to Mr. R. V. C. Sreenivas a Barrister and Public Prosecutor of this District for conducting the prosecution before the Stry Sub Magistrate and also in the Wellenappan. P. B. Kari case & for opposing the appeal before the Sessions Court.

R24/b

For notes

The fee recommended (Rs 1000) was ^{large} excessive but it is within the scale laid down in G.O. of 15 Feb 94, No 373.

25.VI.95

For us

Ask how many witnesses were examined, how many documents were read and examined & put in by the P.P. Also how many days were occupied with the case.

25/6/95

Ref only No 1502728-695

The Dist. Mag. is requested to report how many witnesses were examined in the case, how many documents read examined & put in by the Public Pros. & how many full days the case took up.

27-8-95

D.M. in the breast (v)

Dec 28/6

24/6/95

nt of Court. The documentary evidence alone was voluminous and intricate and the case was one of considerable public importance. The ^{stationary} Sub Magistrate convicted the accused & sentenced him to suffer 6 months rigorous imprisonment and to pay a fine of Rs 100 but the Acting Sessions Judge reversed the conviction & quashed the sentence on technical grounds; which in my opinion were of doubtful legal validity. A full report with all the records are with the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue) and the question whether Government should not be asked to move the High Court to quash the acquittal has been suggested to the Board for consideration. The case has been productive of much good already, the consumption of licit liquor in this District having increased in a remarkable way during 94-95 and it will doubtless produce much further benefit in the orders which will doubtless be passed to still further protect the Abkain Revenue.

I have the honour to be,
 Your most obedient servant
 M. M. M. M.
 Magistrate

11/10

SOUTH ARCOT.

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE,

Camp Paurucki
 8 July 1885

NO.

FROM

A. E. Castle Stuart Stuart Esquire

Mag. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF SOUTH ARCOT.

TO

THE CHIEF SECRETARY,

TO GOVERNMENT

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS.

SIR,

Referring to Government memo No: 1502 Judicial, dated 28 ultimo, I have the honour to report that 27 witnesses were examined in the Kellikuppam alkari case, 100 documents were read, examined and put in by the Public Prosecutor and the case took up 40 full days of six office hours each on the average.

40
 25
 200
 80
 1000

I have the honour to be,
 Sir,

Your most obedient servant

M. M. M. M.
 for - Magistrate

SOUTH ARCOT.

CURRENT NO. 2997
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE,

NO. 1415
Myle.

Camp. Pottahero.
Dated 14th June 95

FROM

A. Eldest Stuart Stuart Esquire

At DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF SOUTH ARCOT.

TO

THE CHIEF SECRETARY,

TO GOVERNMENT

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS.

SIR,

I have the honour to request
that a fee of Rs 1000 may be sanctioned
for payment to Mr. C. Sreenivasa
Row anegal Public Prosecutor of this
District for conducting the prosecution
before the Stationary Sub Magistrate of
Cuddalore in what is known as the
"Kilikuppam Abkari" Case and
for appearing the appeal before the
Sessions Court. There ^{were} ~~was~~ on the whole
12 hearings, both before the Sub Magis-
trate & the Sessions Court and the
Public Prosecutor attended them all.
besides, of course, making at the Case
out of

CURRENT NO. 33
1895.
Judicial Department.

From
The District Magistrate of
South Arcot.
To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Madras.

Dated 8
Despatched 9
Received 11
12
July 1895.

No. 1502
ENCLOSURE
SUBJECT

Submitting his report
referred to in Government
memorandum dated
28-6-95 No. 1502 Judicial.

Refers to 1502/95 +
papers.
O. 1438: 9.7.95 mis (60)
Chas 2987/3311

In order

As to whether the fees
to be made (Rs 1000) may be
sanctioned. 27 witnesses were
examined in the case, 100 docu-
ments were read, examined
& put in by the Public Pro-
secutor and the case took up 40
full days of 6 hours each on
the average. The fee is ^{not within} ^{above} the
sanctioned scale.
13.7.95

Under the circumstances, it is ^{not} ^{advised}
that the fee does not seem excessive
13/7/95

Order of 24th July 1895 No 1547.

The fees, sanction will be
accorded for the payment of
fee of Rs. 1000. to Mr. R. Prins
Rao Esq., Public Prosecutor in the
District of South Arcot for the services
which he has rendered in the ^{prosecution}
of the ^{case} ^{in the} ^{case} ^{of} ^{the} ^{late} ^{Mr.} ^{Prins} ^{Rao} ^{Esq.}
Son of Cuddalore ^{the} ^{case} ^{of} ^{the} ^{late} ^{Mr.} ^{Prins} ^{Rao} ^{Esq.}
and in supporting the
sanction ^{the} ^{case} ^{of} ^{the} ^{late} ^{Mr.} ^{Prins} ^{Rao} ^{Esq.}
13.7.95

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189.

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.