

Appeal from an acquittal

C.S. (from orders)

[$\frac{J}{53}$]
1,000-95-7-96.

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 152

DATED 23rd Jan. 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

LATRINES IN SUB-JAILS

Forwarding to the Public Works Department letter from the Inspector General of Prisons, with the remark, that, in the opinion of Government there is no necessity to construct separate latrines for taluk office establishment and sub-jail prisoners throughout the Presidency but should local officers make a demand for them in specific cases the question would be considered with reference to the funds at the disposal of Government at the time.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C.	642		95				

1894. *642*
Public Works Department.

DIARY NO *11872*
1894.
3/12

No. 36950

Dated 20-11-1894

Despatched

Received 23/11

Regd 23/11
CHIEF SECRETARY
23. NOV. 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Encl-3

SUBJECT.

Referring for consideration
correspondence regarding
the provision of separate
latrines for taluk office
establishments and for
subjail prisoners.

Endorsement- No: 2398
1-12-94

Referred to the S. G. of
Prisons for remarks.
(Borden)
Colonel Davidson
for head secretary

To the S. G. Prisons.

Ca
29/11

Rel 2/12/94

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following paper:—

G.O., dated 10th October 1893, Mis. No. 3728, Revenue.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 20th September 1893, Mis. No. 5453:—

Read—the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 29th August 1893, Dis. No. 3166-Ver.:—

I have the honour to forward herewith a plan and estimates for the construction of a first-class taluk cutcherry at Gudiváda and to request that Government sanction may be obtained and funds allotted. A latrine and kitchen for sub-jail prisoners are indispensable and an estimate for these and record racks will be submitted separately. A ryots' shed is, in my opinion, unnecessary. I have never known a ryots' shed used from choice, but only either for improper purposes or under compulsion. Mr. Wynne was also of opinion that a ryots' shed was unnecessary. A few shady trees are all that is wanted.

Resolution—

The site plan and estimates for constructing a first-class taluk cutcherry at Gudiváda at a cost of Rs. 34,600 are submitted for the sanction of Government with reference to G.O., dated 29th August 1892, No. 3849.

2. The estimate includes a provision of Rs. 100 for forming a ditch and raising an aloe hedge round the compound. The Board suggests that a barbed wire fence may be substituted for aloe hedge for the reasons given in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, dated 9th July 1892, Mis. No. 4203, printed in G.O., dated 12th September 1892, No. 2540 W.

3. With reference to the Collector's proposal to send later on an estimate, among others, for a latrine for sub-jail prisoners, his attention will be directed to G.O., dated 31st July 1888, No. 4462, Revenue, in which sub-jail latrines have been disallowed.

Order—dated 10th October 1893, Mis. No. 3728, Revenue.

The site plan and estimates are approved and will be transferred to the Public Works Department, in which the Board's suggestion in paragraph 2 will be considered.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

ORDER—dated 7th November 1893, No. 2865 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 34,600, for constructing a Taluk office at Gudiváda in the Kistna district, has been reduced to Rs. 34,500 by excluding the provision for aloe hedge and ditch, and the estimate as thus reduced is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

2. The Superintending Engineer will submit a supplemental estimate for providing record racks and a barbed wire fence for the compound.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 107 W. of 1893-94 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle,
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts. with four enclosures.
„ Revenue Department.
Editors' Table.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 7th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 7th Nov. 1893, No. 2865 W.

NOVEMBER.

Taluk Office

Estimate of Rs. 34,500 for constructing
a — at Gudivada in Kistna district, sanc-
tioned, and a supplemental estimate for
providing record racks and a barbed wire
fence for the compound called for.

24TH AUGUST 1888, No. 2616 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers :—

G.O., dated 31st July 1888, No. 4462, Revenue.

Read—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 19th June 1888,
Mis. No. 3714.

HON'BLE W. S. WHITESIDE.

Read—the following papers :—

- (1) Letter from the Collector of South Arcot, dated 28th April 1888, No. 145,
and reference thereon, 9th May 1888.
- (2) Letter from the same officer, dated 16th May 1888, No. 174.
- (3) Board's reference, dated 31st May 1888 on the above (1) and (2).
- (4) Letter from the Collector of South Arcot, dated 6th June 1888, No. 192.

Resolution—dated 19th June 1888, Mis. No. 3714.

The plans and estimate for Rs. 17,190 for constructing a first-class taluk cut-
cherry at Villupuram are submitted for the sanction of Government and for the
provision of funds in the Public Works budget.

2. From the last paper read above, it appears that the present cutcherry is built
on the old plan, and is quite unsuited for modern requirements; that it is inconvenient
in other respects also; that the treasury is not secure; and that a considerable amount
of money would be required to put the building in a proper state of repair.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Ag. Secretary.

Order—dated 31st July 1888, No. 4462, Revenue.

The provision made in the estimate received with the foregoing Proceedings of
the Board of Revenue for a ryot's shed and a sub-jail latrine should be struck out
with reference to the orders noted in
the margin. With this modification, the
estimate is approved and transferred to
the Public Works Department.

2. It is not stated what it is proposed to do with the present taluk cutcherry.
It is understood that the construction of an office for the Sub-Registrar at Villupuram

has been sanctioned. If, however, the work has not yet been put in hand, it will be considered in the Public Works and Judicial Departments whether the proposed expenditure might not be saved by utilising the present taluk cutcherry for the Sub-Registrar's office.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

The estimate of Rs. 17,190 for constructing a first-class taluk office at Villupuram in the South Arcot District has been reduced to Rs. 15,720 by the omission of the provision for a ryot's shed and sub-jail latrine; and the reduced amount is recommended for the sanction of Government.

2. Data lists have been submitted for some items that are in excess of the schedule rates; but this procedure is not approved; the proper course would have been to make the necessary alterations in the schedule and communicate the same to the Chief Engineer; data lists should only be furnished when work of some special character is contemplated; it is obvious that schedules of rates are of no use if estimates for ordinary works are to be accompanied by data working up to different rates.

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

21st August 1888.

ORDER—dated 24th August 1888, No. 2616 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 15,720, as reduced by the Chief Engineer, for constructing a taluk office at Villupuram in the South Arcot District, is sanctioned.

2. Attention is drawn to the Note by the Chief Engineer above recorded.

3. With reference to para. 2 of the order in the Revenue Department, it is observed that the new office for the Sub-Registrar at Villupuram was completed in 1887-88. When the new taluk office is approaching completion, the Superintending Engineer will, in communication with the Collector, submit proposals for utilising or disposing of the existing building.

4. The sanction accorded in para. 1 of these Proceedings has been registered as Provincial No. 74 W. of 1888-89, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

5. The Superintending Engineer will furnish the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, direct with a copy of the abstract estimate working up to the amount sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, with five enclosures.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1888) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated August, 24th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 24th Aug. 1888, No. 2616 W.

AUGUST.

Taluk Office at Villupuram

Estimate for constructing a —, in
the South Arcot District, sanctioned with
remarks.

Letter from the Superintending
Engineer II circle No. 1348 R.S. of 14 September
1894: —

In reference to G.O. No. 1709 W
of 9th July 1894, I have the honor to
observe that sub jail latrines have been
disallowed, vide G.O. No. 4462 Revenue, dated
31st July 1888, which is referred to in the
papers printed with G.O. No. 2865 W of
7th November 1893.

2. Personally I do not see how
sub jail latrines can be dispensed with
as the taluk office establishment
must have separate accommodation
from the prisoners.

3. The Collector of Kistna has asked
me whether the latrine proposed to be
constructed in connection with the
Gudwada Taluk office is for the use
of the office establishment or for
prisoners, and therefore, it is necessary
that some decision should be arrived
at upon the subject.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Endorsement.
MEMORANDUM.Dated Madras, 20th November 1894.From the Superintending Engineer I. create,
No. 1348 K.S. dated 14th September 1894.

Referred, with advertence to the Proceedings marginally noted, to the Revenue and Judicial Departments, for consideration whether separate latrines should be provided for taluk office establishments and for sub-jail prisoners.

2. It is observed that separate accommodation for females has not been provided in the design circulated with G.O. No. 1709 W dated 9th July 1894, and the opinion of the Inspector General of Prisons will be requested as to whether such separate accommodation is necessary.

The Judicial Department
with copies of G.O. No. 2616 W
of 24.8.88 and 2865 W
of 7.11.93.

Col. R.C.
Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.

H
M

1

6242

No. 92127

From

A. G. CARDEW, Esq., M.A., LL.S.

To

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF JAILS, Prisons.

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

SIR,

Enclosures.

In returning the paper received under Mr. Davidson's endorsement No. 3398 dated 10th Decr. 1894, I have the honour to state that the number of Female prisoners, whether remanded or convicted, admitted into the Subjail of the Presidency averages less than ten per jail per annum, and this number is swollen by the large Subjails, such as that at Calicut, where separate latrines for Females exist. In these circumstances, I do not consider that a separate latrine for women is ordinarily necessary in a Subjail.

2. I do not think I can offer any useful opinion as to whether separate latrines for taluk establishment and for prisoners is necessary, but I incline to think that separate latrines are desirable. In any case, however, the standard plan sanctioned in G.O. 9th July 1894 No. 1709 W or some similar simple plan of latrine should be adopted for whatever latrine is built in Cutcheri compound in place of the large and ill-ventilated buildings generally built hitherto.

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant

for sub. jail prisoners

and also who

Inol
DEPARTMENT.

C. Nos. 6242 and 6525 994

FOR ORDERS.

Printed in
P. W. D. P. 891
2865 W. 87
7. Nov. 93

I should say we ought
to have sub jail latrines
but there is no need to
proceed to build them
at any place until the
local officers make a
demand for them

M
21/12/94

The Collector of Krishna in his
letter to the Board of Revenue in
forwarding plans and Estimate
for the construction of a first class
Talukha Cutcherry at Gativasa
stated -

"a latrine and kitchen
for Sub: Jail prisoners
are indispensable and an
estimate for these will
be submitted separately"

The Board has pointed out to
the Collector that latrines for
Sub: Jail prisoners ^{were} disallowed in
P.O. 731 July 1888 No 4462 Rev.
The P. W. D. has forwarded
extracts from the Supd. Eng^r
letter for consideration as
to whether.

"Separate latrines should
be provided for Talukha
Office establishment and
for Sub: Jail prisoners
and also for"

C. No.

CN^o 6242 and 6525 of 94

(Continued)

FOR ORDERS.

G. O. of 7.1.93
N^o 1387.

Attention is invited to paras 3 and 4 of the S. G. Order N^o 2985 of 17. 6. 93, which led to the issue of orders for the preparation of a revised standard plan for sub. Jail latrines. And to G. O. of 13. June: 94: N^o 1440: Jns^o. in which It is directed that latrines must be provided as the money was available. - That Eo. implicitly overrules the Rev. order of 1888 refused by the Board of Revenue (orig. Eo. of 31 July '88 N^o 4462 Rev.

19/12/94

It will be seen from the above that the S. G. of 8. Sept. 82, that the Dept. approved the following resolution of the Board of Revenue

"The construction of latrines is generally unnecessary. Considering the small number of prisoners usually confined in sub-jails. And it is believed that the buildings are but rarely used for the purpose for which they are intended."

Please also see the Chief Secretary's remarks on G. O. of 13. 6. 94: N^o 1440:

viz "3/4 of the sub-jail latrines are never used, at least this was the case in all the districts in which I have been D.M."

The S. G. of Prisons now states - "I do not think I can offer any useful opinion as to whether separate latrines for taluk establishment and for prisoners is necessary, but I incline to think that separate latrines are desirable."

And as regards the question of separate accommodation for females he states - "I consider that"

Latrines in Subjails

C.B.

(from orders)

H.M.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only