28-10-12

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1484

Dated 15th July 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Endorsing paragraph II of C.Petition rules on----from Arunachala Nadan complaining against M.R.RY. Ramachendra Row, Second-class Magistrate, Tranquebar.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Rec: 8/7/95

CHIEF SECRETARY
8 JUL 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To The Senior member of the Governor in Council

The humble petition of Arumachalla Nadan Son of Sudalamuthu Nadar Poraiyar, Tranquebar Mayaveram Taluq Tanjore D.t

I most humbly beg grace's pardon to bring the following few lines to your grace's kind and deep consideration.

I. The British Government is considered to be the most famous for its impartiality and simple justice in the whole world. Such being the case, Mr. Ramachandra Row Second class Magistrate, Tranquebar, Tanjore District, ill-treats the subjects in every way he pleases, receives large sums of money incessantly in the shape of presents and trickishly escapes the notice, of his injustices, by his higher authorities So I bring this petition, which is chiefly intended to bring to proof his following wrongs, to your grace's kind and deep consideration.

II. Tranquebar is neither his native place nor has he any relatives in it. One year he celebrated a ceremony for his niece attaining her puberty and in the next year he celebrated her nuptials very pompously. On these two occasions he sent his persons (even his wife) to invite all the mirasdars, the rich men and merchants, in the Firka. As he has often been expressing his intention indirectly that every one in the Firka should reward him on such occasions, many persons made presents to him, in the shape of cloths and money, on these occasions, amounting nearly to Rs 10000, ten thousand rupees.

III. He received presents amounting nearly to Rs. 5000 five thousand rupees, even on the occasion of his son's thread marriage. by inviting in the previous manner.

IV. Pichaikanni Marikayar, son of Shake Hussain Sahib of Poraiyar, has petitioned with affidavit in 3rd March 1894, to the Joint Majistrate's Court, Kumbakonam; for, the said Magistrate refused to pay him money for having taken timber from him through his (Magistrate's) brother. No notice has been taken of the said affidavit.

V. In 10th November 1894 one Kanakasabai Nadar of Poraiyar has petitioned to the District Collector Tanjore that he would prove that the Sub-majistrate receives large sums of money in the shape of presents. The Collector rejected the petition unreasonably.

VI The Sub-magistrate has taken the persons mentioned in paras 4 and 5, into his own hands and thus prevented them from taking any further steps

VII Almost all his decisions were cancelled when appealed. But Mr. Robert B. Clegg alone has been confirming his decisions in almost all Cases in appeal. No body knows what attachment he has towards the Submagistrate.

VIII. He, in his jurisdiction made many charged in nuisance and fined them very highly. No body ever heard of so many nuisance cases and of such heavy fines for such simple crimes, in Union towns like this. He does so, because, that if any body were to bring any case against him, he can say that such and such a person has been charged with nuisance, and that all may make presents to him on occasions like those mentioned in paras 2 and 3.

IX. If your grace be good enough to hear the cases in which he received bribes in lumps, I am ready to bring them to proof. But as it is mentioned in the Indian Penal code that bribers will be punished I will stand guarantee for the statements in this para only if the Government publicly notify in the Firka that the persons who come as witnesses for such cases will not be punished and that the cases which the bribers got, decided in favour of them by bribing will not be revised.

For this act of benevolence I ever pray
and beg to remain
Your most obedient servant.

4 June 1895
Porayar.

× Therefore I humbly beg to point out that as regards the cases referred to in para IV & III I bind myself ready to bring them to proof without the necessity of the Conditions asked for in para IX; but only for the proof of the Statement made in para IX I should like to have the Concession of the Conditions therein prayed for.

VII Almost all his decisions were cancelled when appealed. But Mr. Robert B. Clegg alone has been confirming his decisions in almost all Cases in appeal. No body knows what attachment he has towards the Submagistrate.

VIII. He, in his jurisdiction made many charged in nuisance and fined them very highly. No body ever heard of so many nuisance cases and of such heavy fines for such simple crimes, in Union towns like this. He does so, because, that if any body were to bring any case against him, he can say that such and such a person has been charged with nuisance, and that all may make presents to him on occasions like those mentioned in paras 2 and 3.

IX. If your grace be good enough to hear the cases in which he received bribes in lumps, I am ready to bring them to proof. But as it is mentioned in the Indian Penal code that bribers will be punished I will stand guarantee for the statements in this para only if the Government publicly notify in the Firka that the persons who come as witnesses for such cases will not be punished and that the cases which the bribers got, decided in favour of them by bribing will not be revised.

For this act of benevolence I ever pray
and beg to remain
Your most obedient servant.

6 June 1895
Porayar.

X Therefore I humbly beg to point out that as regards the cases referred to in para II & III I bind myself ready to bring them to proof without the necessity of the Conditions asked for in para IX; but only for the proof of the statement made in para IX I should like to have the Concession of the conditions therein prayed for

189 .

Petition from Arunasala Nadar
Son of Tudalamuthu Nadar Porayar
Tranquebar, Mayavaram Taluq,
Tanjore Dt.

DATED 4 July 1895.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Ootacamund
8 July 1895.

CURRENT NO. 2246

Judicial (1895) DEPARTMENT.

From C.J. MacCarthy Esqr I.C.S.

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE

RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPARTMENT.

Order nisi d/15th July 1895 No 1434

Para. II of C.P.S.R. Rules.

12/7/95.

To the P.S.R. & to with para. 2

ORDERED

10 JUL 95

M.S.

13.7

Dated 8 July 1895.

Received 8 JUL 1895

CHIEF SECRETARY'S GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

ENCLOSURE Nil

Note in order.

Perhaps the pet.r may be transferred to the D.M. for disposal

No: let him go to the Instituting authority Ld 12/7

WR 10.7.95

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from Arumasala Nadar complaining against Mr. Ramachandra Row 2nd Class Magistrate Tiruppattur

WS 10/7

Cover

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.
= Copy heading only.