

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1432

Dated 9th July 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

EXPENDITURE RELATING TO THE AGENCY GRANTS.

Communicating, with remark, to the Board of Revenue, letter
from the Accountant-General explaining how to control the----.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3686		95				

[V. O. P. 22-10-94-1901]

F. D. No. 463
Agency.

VIZAGAPATAM GOVERNOR'S AGENT'S OFFICE,

Dated 7th May 1895.

From

F. D'A. O. WOLFE-MURRAY ESQ^r.

Ag. Agent to the Governor,

Vizagapatam District.

To

THE HONORABLE J. F. Price,

Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department
Madras.

Sir,

through the Board of Revenue,
I have the honor to state that the Board of Revenue has sanctioned the following allotments for the execution of some Agency works from the funds of the Sijphore Estate:-

Rs 10,500 for the construction of road from Parvatipur to Narainapatnam (vide Board's

Proceedings No: 4007 dated 7th July 1893)

Rs 14,703 in aid of the Government grant for the construction of Parvatipur Raygghada road (vide Board's Proceedings Nos: 5744 and 1080 dated 17th October 1894 and 2nd March 1895 respectively

Rs 3,400 for the construction of godowns in the market place at Sunupur (vide Board's Proceedings Nos 1808 and 4189 dated 7th April and 31st July 1894 respectively.

These

2. These works are being executed and accounted for by the Senior Assistant Agent together with other Agency works under him. His accounts relating to the Agency grants are being submitted for the audit of the Accountant General and those of the Estate grant to the Board of Revenue.

3. The Treasury Deputy Collector complains that the circumstances of both sets of works being executed by the same agency and under the supervision of one and the same officer has led to a great deal of confusion and error in the past by drawing excesses over allotments and subsequently adjusting them from the other source and that vouchers have got mixed up so much so that some Estate vouchers were sent to the Accountant General in support of expenditure on Agency works. He therefore suggests that the unspent balances of the allotments from Estate funds be credited to Government now as a contribution and accounted for in the same way as expenditure of Government money, as this will not only simplify the accounts but will also prevent the balances from lapsing at the end of June next and urges that

that this system has the advantage of having the whole expenditure subject to the Accountant General's audit which is more satisfactory.

4. I agree with him in the main and request that necessary orders may be passed should the Board and Government approve of the proposal.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. L. D. S.
for the Agent to the Governor.

Copied by M. B.
Comp. D. R.

BOARD'S SUBS. REG. NO. 1788
Sub 71



Cum.

Ref 11-5-95

From


Sub

Headquater to the Governor,
Vizagapatam District.



To

The Chief Secretary
Government,
Judicial Department
Madras.
through the Board of Revenue



Date d/7th
Recd d/7th } May 1895
Received

17/5/95 No: 463.
Inclosures Nil.

Requesting to sanction the
payment of unspent balances
of estate funds to Government
and accounting for the
whole sum to the Accountant
General.

Ref 11-5-95

Current No 2519

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Laid Revenue)

Dated 16th May 1895
No. 2453 This

Read Letter from the Agent to the
Governor, Vizagapatam District to the Chief
Secretary to Government, Judicial Department Madras
through the Board of Revenue dated 7th May
1895 Dis No. 463.

Resolution.

On the presumption that the
Agent's proposal is made merely to simplify
the accounts and that unspent balances
of estate funds will be surrendered to the
ward at the close of the period of manage-
ment, the Board submit the above letter
for the favorable consideration of Government.

(True Extract)
(Sd) R. C. C. Carr.
Ag. Secretary

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt Secy
(with letter in original).

2,000
3-5-98.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 16th May 1895.

No. 2453 Mis. Routine

Read letter from the Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam District to the Chief Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, Madras through the Board of Revenue dated 7th May 1895 Dis. No. 463

Resolution

On the presumption that the Agent's proposal is made merely to simplify the accounts and that unspent balances of estate grants will be surrendered to the ward at the close of the period of management, the Board submits the above letter for the favorable consideration of Government.

(True extract)

Recd. by
Ag. Secretary
the

To The Chief Secretary to Govt.
(with letter in original)

Le 16/5



Ladialoph

Endowment No. 1343

Referred to the Accountant General for favour of remarks.

(By order)
Colonel Davidson
Ag. Under Secretary.

Ootacamund
11th June 1895

To
The Accountant General with encl.
to be returned reply.

MR
8.6.95

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

CURRENT NO. 2519
Judicial 1895. Sept
Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

So. 846: 11 Oct 94 sent S.C.
968: 30 Sep 92
1769: 14. 4. 93 recd S.C.
1205: 7. 11. 92
1541/88. recd S.C.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Agency Curlew's Court
1893 for 94 + 95 + 96

Recd
Regd 20. 5. 95
Encl. 1.

Proceedings, dated 16th May 1895,
No. 2453 Mis. Routine.

Subject.

Submitting, with remarks,
for the orders of Govt. letter
from the Agent to the Govt.
in Vizagapatam, suggesting
that the grants from Mysore
funds for public works be
transferred to the credit of
Govt. and accounted for to
the Accountant-General.

For orders.

As to whether the suggestion may be
accepted subject to the presumptions
pointed out in the 18th resolution?

31/5/95

There seems no objection but it would
have been safer to consult the
Chief Secy first and ascertain
whether he had any objection

31/5

Butter do S
4/6/95

Endorsement No. 1343.

27.11.6.95

Referred to the Act. Genl.

for favor of remarks.

To the AG with incl + R.P. 3. Sep 95
cyl 4/6
18/6/95

18/6/95

CURRENT NO. 3007

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

20th June 1895.

No. 1590

From

F. C. Harrison Esquire,

Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Judicial Department.

SIR,

With reference to Acting Under Secretary
Mr. Davidson's endorsement No. 1343 dated
the 11th of June 1895 referring to me for
remarks letter from the Agent to the
Governor, Vizagapatam No. 463 dated 7th May
1895 requesting sanction for accounting
for the whole expenditure incurred on
Agency Works both from Provincial and
Estate funds to this office and the
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue) No. 2453 Miscellaneous
dated 16th May 1895 passed thereon, I have
the honour to state that I quite agree
with the Collector and the Board that
the

the system of dual accounts in respect of the same undertaking is only productive of confusion. In the instances given, I note that in the first case Government only contributes Rs 1000 (Page 4 Budget Estimate of Civil Agency Works in Viragapatam Agency passed in Government Order 846 Financial dated 11th October 1894) whilst the estate spends Rs 10,500, in the second, Government spends 5000 Rs (page 2 of above) whilst the estate spends Rs 17,703, and in the third case, Government spends nothing but the estate Rs 3700. In such cases where there is no Government expenditure or if any, expenditure quite disproportionate to that of the estate, I see no reason for my undertaking the audit. Perhaps some such system as this might be introduced.

When the work is one in which Government has a substantial interest and contributes a substantial sum (say $\frac{1}{4}$ th or $\frac{1}{3}$ rd of the whole) then all the expenditure might be audited and recorded as for Government the estate making a contribution. Conversely in other cases the Government might make a contribution and the expenditure may

be left to the audit of the Board in the same way as other estate expenditure. I do not think there are good grounds for requiring Government to refund any of the unspent balance to the ward in the first case, any more than there are good grounds for requiring the ward to refund in the second case. Each might trust the other.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t. Servant,
H. Harrison
Off Accountant General.

On
20/6.

CURRENT NO. 3007
Judicial (1895) DEPARTMENT.

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
MADRAS,

To
THE *Chief Secy. Revenue*
Madras

No. 1590

Dated 21/7/95
Received 22/7/95
Regd 24/7/95

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 JUN. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

See - C.N. 2519 in the file: ret'd.

Subject.

Explaining how to conduct
the expenditure relating
to the agency points.

Refers to 1343/95 & 1344/95

C.N. 2519 & 3007/95

For orders.

Perhaps the Accountant General's
suggestion may be comm. to the W^d of Rev?
for with an expression of concurrence
with the A.G.'s views & the direction that
arrangements should be made accg^s
by the Bd in direct communication with
the A.G.!

25-6-95 1/7/95

Let them work it out between
themselves

CP 3/7/95

Order of 9th July 1895 No. 1432
Communicated to the Board of
Revenue with the remark that
Arrangements shld. be
made in accordance with the A.G.'s
suggestion. (For orders)
6-7-95

The Secy to the A.G.
A.G. 270
15 JUL 95
IN M.S.

(over)

24/7/95 21/7/95

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.