

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1412

Dated 6th July 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Declining to interfere on behalf of one Kumaraswami, a dismissed constable of the South Arcot District Police Force.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	173	R	14/2/95				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	4332		95.				



1/20
Sonth Arcot Petition No

Duplicate

The Honorable the Secretary to His
Excellency the Governor in Council of Madras

Through

The Superintendent of Police

South Arcot Dist

Kumaraswamy late 20 Class Constable No 151
of South Arcot Dist Police Force

L

His most Excellency

The Petitioner begs your
Excellency's indulgence to
bring to your Excellency's
Kind Consideration and disposal
the following appeal petition
against the Order of the
Superintendent of Police confirmed
an appeal by the Inspector

7/3/95

General of Police

The Petitioner begs to
Submit that he has not made
a false charge against his
Station House Officer and against
the Constable No 617

The mere perusal of the
Order of the Superintendent of
Police will clearly show your
Excellency that the Head
Constable No 554 had some
personal grudge towards the
petitioner for the last 7 or 8
months and he (the Hd. Const.)
was trying his best by
some false report or other
to do harm to the poor
petitioner

The Head Constable
being a Superior Officer

and having much influence
Over the witnesses, easily
Succeeded in tempering the
witnesses of the petitioner.

Therefore some of the
witnesses gave evidence
against the petitioner himself.
However only some of the
witnesses who were bold
enough to speak the truth,
Notwithstanding the influence
of the Head Constable, have
given evidence in favour of the
petitioner

More than twice the
Appellant sent petitions to his
Inspector to the effect that
the Head Constable had
personal grudge towards him
and was aiming at his ruin

and that he to his own
benefit, may be transferred
to other place. Seeing that
the petitions had no effect
whatever, he fully pursuing
his present 'Circumstances,
Sent petitions to the
Superintendent of Police of 28/8/94
and 27/9/94 respectively to
the same effect. The Inspector
and the Head Constable being
of the same caste, the Inspector
had sympathy for the Head
Constable and assisted him
in doing harm to the poor
petitioners. The Constable No
617 whom I reported to
have made a false charge
against, is also a Brahmin and
the instigator of the Head.

None - pto

Head Constable annoyed the
petitioner very often and at last
beat the petitioner severely

The report of his beat
has, unfortunately turned the
tables of the petitioner. The
reporter himself and resulted
in his dismissal. Had the
petitioner known that merely
seeing shelter under the
Superior Supporter would
cause such a ruin to the
petitioner himself he would
not have sought shelter

Again the petitioner begs
to submit that the statement
in the minute extract of the
previous five black marks
are untrue

The petitioner had only 4
black marks if they can
be so called and the

last black mark now given
will only make it give at
the whole. The Suspension of
the petitioner is perhaps
taken by mistake for a black
mark while it is not the
Case in reality

The petitioner further
begs to Submit that these
black marks have accumulated
within three months, a very
short period, and are due
to the mischief of his
personal enemy, the Head
Constable by some false
representations to the Superior
Officers

The Cause of the private
grudge of the Head Constable
towards the petitioner is as
quiet unpleasant to be revealed
and he begs to refrain from

from giving it out more,
I submit that if your
Excellency be pleased to send
for the records, specially the
appellants petitions & explanations
your Excellency will be fully
convinced of the innocence of
the petitioner and the mischiefs
of the Road Constable the
immediate Superior Officer.

The petitioner begs to submit
that he has a large family,
and that your Excellency
will be gracious enough
to reverse the Order of
Dismissal and to order for
his re-appointment to the
Original post.

He will send the
Inspector General's Order of
1/1/95 and also Black
marks appeal records

Praying for your
Excellency's long life and
prosperity. the petitioner begs
to remain

Your Excellency's Obedient & humble St

~~Demost~~
Late 206 Cass Court No 157

23/2/95

Herewith sent the Police
Superintendent's reply of 26/2/95

5/3/95

~~Demost~~
Late 206. Court No 157

Petitioner's address
Komasasewey.
Late Court No. 151
Maunawady.
Komasasewey Street
South A. Cal.

Chas 1187

P.O. 173: 44/2/95 R.

From

K. Marasamy
Late of S. Arad. Police

Date 5. 3. 95

Recd 7. 3. 95

Subject: *Ch. Arad.*

Subm. Duplicate of
his petn. reqd. to be
restored to his file.

To await receipt of the original
petition submitted thro' the sup. + 25.

8
9.3.95

MR 8/3/95

Has this petn. been
New please
Cover

Endowment. No. 1125

Referred to the Inspector
General of Police for report as
to whether the original petition has
been received in his office & if so
when it may be expected to
reach the Government office
(By order)

Lionel Davidson

23/5

As Under Secretary

Cotacamund

To 24th May 1895

The Inspector General of Police.

MR 22.5.95



Has this
with come in
if so where in

Repl. to
11/27/5

Refers to 1125/95
+ paper

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

TO

THE

Chief Secretary
to Government,
Cotacamund.

No. 3948

Dated 25.

Received

Regd 27.6.95

Encl. No. 1120 with Encl. refd.

SUBJECT.

Submitting report with reference to
Endowment No. 1125, dated 24th May
1895, on an appeal from one
Kumadaluami, a dismissed
Constable in South Arcot.

MR 327/6

Chas 1120 + 3054/95

Order
mis 46th July 1895 No 141

The Govt. declines to interfere
on behalf of the petitioner.

28 VI 95

57/95

To The Secy. of Police & to
with Encl. No. 1125
MR 27-6-95
12 JUL 95
17

(W.O)

DEPARTMENT.

No. 3948.

CURRENT NO. 30

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE

MADRAS,

25th June

1895.

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

To

THE

Chief Secretary
to Government,
Coimbatore.

SIR,

15 Enclosures.

With reference to Endorsement 1125, dated 24th May 1895, on an appeal from one Kumarakumari, a dismissed Constable in South Arcot, I have the honor to say that I never received the original petition. The Superintendent of Police, South Arcot, appears to have returned what was really the original appeal petition to the Appellant - owing to some mistake - I enclose his letter on the subject.

2. As to the merits of the appeal, the man was dismissed under the Black Mark Rules on the 18th October 1894. The Superintendent's Minute on the subject which is very full, shows that the petitioner

on

"September 1894 sent him a petition
 this Head Constable had abused him,
 the 24th of August, and that another
 Constable No. 617 had abused and beaten
 on the 4th of September 1894 - The Super-
 tendents enquiry showed that these
 charges were deliberately false and that
 there was strong suspicion that the Appellant
 tried to get false witnesses to support
 his complaint. On these grounds I considered
 that the man was rightly awarded a
 Black mark and removed from the force.
 He had borne a very bad character up to
 the date of his dismissal.

3. In his present appeal petition
 he admits that his witnesses gave
 evidence against him, and I can see
 nothing in what he says to make me
 think he was not properly dismissed.

I have the honor to be,
 Sir,
 your most obedient servant,

Mammick
 Inspector General of Police.

Sent on 23

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

.. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.