

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 14 00

Dated 4th July 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

MR. V. VENUGOPAL CHITTY.

Censuring----, Head Assistant Magistrate of Kurnool, for delay in replying to an endorsement from the District Magistrate and in passing judgment in a criminal appeal case.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 2862.
 Judicial 1895, Sept.
 Correspondence Department,

FROM
 A. C. Sate Esquire
 DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF
 KURNQOL.

TO
 THE Chief Secretary
 to the Government
 of Madras
 Judicial Department
 DATED 13th June 1895.
 RECEIVED 14th June 1895.

6th Refor. 221/95
 ENCLOSURES 13 JUN 1895
 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
 SUBJECT.

For forwarding explanation
 of the death assistant
 Magistrate Madras
 called for in G.O. No.
 1126 of 24th May 1895.

214/6.

fo 1126: 24.5.95 mcs
 & paper

For use

Subd with ref to H. M.
 remarks on the note for orders
 under cover of 3.0.24. May 95,
 no 1126.

This I submit is a very unsatisfactory
 explanation. It took nearly three
 months to get to the District Magistrate
 call. The H. M. was 11 or 12
 days over a question which he
 knew anything about the C.C. &
 would not have taken quarter
 of an hour.
 The explanation is offered in
 the extraordinary delay in
 the disposal of the appeal
 the judgment was not written
 until quite two months after
 it was read and then was
 done apparently only on the
 last day before the holidays.

18/6/95

I don't call this an expla-
 nation at all - He should
 be severely censured -
 make record of the case

25/6/95

22/6

15/6/95

Magistrate's
Head Assistant Collector's Office.

DATED 8. 6. 1895

No.

ENCLOSURES.

From

V. Venugopal Chetty

Head Assistant Collector of

NANDYAL,

To

The

District Magistrate, Kurnool

With reference to your footnote

on G.O. No 1126, dated 24th May 1895, I have

the honour to submit the following explanation.

St. Magistrate's letter No 143 was received
Magl

by me on 8.2.95. I forwarded it to the Taluk

Magistrate Koolkunla for full report. On receipt

of St. Magt's Ref on C No 221 I sent a reminder.
19.2.95

His full report was received by me on the 18th

or 19th April. I cannot give the exact date

as it was forwarded in original to you with

my report. As I had to look up some

1895

Magisterial
Revenue Department.

FROM *Y. Venugopal Chetty*
Ag. HEAD ASSISTANT COLLECTOR OF
NANDYAL.

To

THE District Magistrate,
Kurnool

DATED 8.6.
RECEIVED
DESPATCHED 8.6.

No.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT

Submitting explanation
called for by Government
in its order no 1126 dated 24th May.

Refund no 221
mag 6 795

Forwarded to the
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras

Y. Venugopal Chetty

9.6.95

references regarding the
powers of, and the form of
warrant to be used by
appellate courts I was
not able to send my
reply till 2.5.95. I
regret very much the
delay.

The appeal in question
was admitted on 11.10.94
and heard on 19.10.94. I
wrote the judgment on
22.12.94 and sent it to the
office. A notice was issued
to the appellant by the
Bunbun Dy. Magistrate
who was in charge during
the holidays. The appellant
appeared on 8.1.95 and
I delivered judgment then.
Many appeals were filed
during the quarter, and the
case in question being rather
complicated, I did not
not dispose of it till 22.12.94.
I regret the delay very
much and will see that no
such thing recurs.

I have the honor
to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient
servant,
Y. Venugopal Chetty

[G.]
[24.]

600-8325

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 12 Feb 1895, No. 304
Again G.O., dated 24 May 1895, No. 1126
Current No. 2862 of 1895, dated 13 June from the Dist. Mag. of Kurnool

Current No. of 1895, dated from

ORDER. dt 4th July 1895 No 1400

In the order read above Mr. Venugopal
Chetty was called upon to explain
(i) the delay of 2½ months in replying
to the Dist. Mag. endorsement on
G.O. of 12 Feb 1895 No 304
(ii) the delay of over 2 months in
passing judgment in the appeal case
no 85 of 1894 on his file
2. His explanation regarding the
first matter is that it was necessary
to call for a report from the Taluk
Magistrate which was not received
until the 18th or 19th April & that "as
he had to look up some references
regarding the powers of, and the form
of warrant to be used by, appellate
courts, he was not able to
send his reply till the 2nd May."
Mr. Venugopal Chetty's initials
show that the Taluk Magistrate's report
was received on the 17th April. It was
then seven weeks since the Dist. Mag. endorsement
had reached him, & he had
then looked up the necessary reference
was a matter which should not have
taken an officer ^{who is} acquainted

Cassius M
Venugopal & Chetty

C.S.

ms (from order)

BRAD AGAIN G.O., No. 189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
---------------------	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.