

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1361

Dated 26 June 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PLEADERS' FEES.

According sanction for the amounts paid to the Public Prosecutor and Pleaders of the local bar, Vizagapatam, who were employed to conduct the prosecution in certain cases.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
90	1173		30/5/95				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3514		95-80 16/18 2-8-95				

[V. C. P. - 7-11-94. - 700.]

CURRENT NO. 2933.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

FROM *District Magistrate*
THE COLLECTOR OF VIZAGAPATAM.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department
Madras

CA

order 826th June 1895, No 1361.
mis


Sanctioned

2. Payment should not have been made without the previous sanction of Smt.

8/24/95
27/6/95

Dated 13th
Received 18th
Recd 19th

June 1895.



27/6/95

To the S. District, Vizag. (Smt.)
... Amt. Smt. (para 1 & 2)
Copyd 28/6.

Re. C. No. 2268 & 2273
Dis.

ENCLOSURES. a

Subject.

Requesting sanction for the amounts paid to the Public Prosecutor and to pleaders of the local bar employed in the criminal cases noted in the margin of the letter.

R. 19/6.

over

No.

District Magistrate
VIZAGAPATAM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Dated 189

From

Re. Morris Esq;
W. A. Willock Esq

By Collector Magistrate,
Vizagapatam District.

To

The Honorable J. P. Price,
Chief Secretary to the Government
Judicial Department,
LAND REVENUE,
MADRAS.

SIR,

I have the honor to request that Government may be pleased to accord its special sanction for the amounts paid to the local Public Prosecutor and pleaders of the local bar employed in the cases noted in the margin. My predecessor sanctioned the amounts in each case in accordance with the orders of Government conveyed in its Proceedings No. 373 dated 15th February 1894. [The circumstances which necessitated the grant of fees exceeding Rs 50 are:-

2. Registered case 1 of 1895 was the one in which the Police Head Constable of the Burjavalasa station and the Police Inspector, Gajapatnagar Taluk were prosecuted before the Principal District Magistrate in reference to the sanction for prosecution granted by the Sessions Judge in Session Case 21 of 1894 - the Gajapatnagar Murder case, both for giving and fabricating false evidence abetting by instigation the giving of false evidence with intent procure conviction of a capital offence under Section 194. I.P.C. As the case was an important one the local Pu

125 Paid to Public Prosecutor.
150 Paid to Mr. R. W. Bangayya Chitto Garu B.A. B.L.
High Court C.A. 111.
60 Paid to Mr. R. W. Bangayya Chitto Garu B.A. B.L.
High Court C.A. 111.
(1) Registered case 1/95
Principal District Magistrate file
(2) Session Case 4/95
(3) 50 5/95

Prosecutor was instructed to appear before the Principal Assistant Magistrate's Court at Visianagram for conducting the prosecution. The trial lasted 6 days. The Public Prosecutor sent in a bill for Rs 160 including his travelling expenses. A sum of Rs 125 only which includes his actual travelling expenses and 2nd class Railway fare, according to the rules, was sanctioned.

3. In Session case 4 of 1895 which is the Bimlipatam Murder case, Mr. R. A. W. Bangarappa Chetti Garu B.A.B.L. High Court vakils defended the 2nd prisoner (the 1st prisoner being a well-to-do man employed one at his own cost), under the instructions of the Sessions Judge. The trial lasted 11 days and the Judge recommended a fee of Rs 200. The amount was reduced to Rs 150 which was thought reasonable considering that the case protracted for 11 days.

4. In Session case 5 of 1895 the accused was charged with having murdered a girl of 4 years by throwing her into a well. The Sessions Judge instructed Mr. R. A. W. Camamurte Pantaleu Garu B.A., a 1st grade Pleader of good reputation of the local bar, to defend the accused. The trial having lasted 3 1/2 days the Judge recommended a fee of Rs 60 which Mr. Wolfe Murray allowed as reasonable.

5. The Accountant General objects to pass the first two items for want of Government sanction as they exceeded Rs 50 - the maximum amount that could be sanctioned by me and it is very likely that similar objection will be raised with reference to the 3rd item.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Alumudulu

When sent for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189 _____
DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURE TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.