

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1356

Dated 25 June 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

KOYYUR MUTTADARNI.

Directing that the---be left in possession of the lands irregularly held by ^{her} ~~heras~~ private property, subject to certain conditions; and approving the proposals in ~~teeh~~ other respects of the Agent in Vizagapatam relative to the Mutta.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1208		8/6/95				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3983		95 23 20/95		et 1888/01		

[189.]
1,000-18-2-01.

Encls. 1, 2 and 3 to Indl. C. No.
1820 of 1895
PAGES _____ TO _____

SUBSTITUTE FOR DETACHED ENCLOSURE.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G. O. No. 1356, dated 25 June 1895 ~~190~~.

FORWARDED TO THE Board of Rev. L.R.

WITH ~~The G.O. mentioned above.~~

~~Encl.~~ G.O. No. 526, dated 1-4-1901.

DATE 2-4-1901.

EXAMINER'S
DESPATCHER'S INITIALS

44/a

(Copy of Encl. 3.6. T. P. C. No. 1820 of 1895)

Copy of letter from the Agent to the Governor in
Vizagapatam, T. Disposal No: 42, dated 1st March 1895:-

In its order No: 910, Judicial, dated 2nd
May 1893 the Government ordered the resumption of the Koyyur
mutta and the payment of half its income to the late mutta-
dar's widow. The income was then estimated at Rs. 1161-8-0 per
annum. My Head Assistant Agent has lately found that in addi-
tion to the above allowance, ^{the} muttadarini has for the last
four years been in enjoyment of certain lands in Koyyur valued
at Rs. 243-12-0 per annum which did not enter the demand refer-
red to above. I enclose statement A showing the probable ex-
tent and assessment of these lands. The muttadarini enjoyed
the lands in question as her private property and never paid
any ~~dist~~ for them. As the mutta has been resumed and the mutta-
dar's widow granted a decent allowance, there is no reason why
she should be allowed to enjoy the lands in question as her
private property. My Head Assistant Agent recommends there-
fore that the lands may be resumed and half their income be
paid to the muttadarini as in the case of the mutta. I agree
with him.

2. There are also fifty three inamdars holding lands in
this mutta on service tenure for maintenance and the like.
The enclosed statement B gives details of these lands. The
first 27 inamdars hold their inams for rendering peon's ser-
vices. In the order quoted above the Government has sanctioned
two peons on Rs. 5/- each, for the management of the mutta. My
Head Assistant Agent reports that these two persons are not
sufficient to summon ~~pecoolies~~, get supplies, and make collec-
tions, and recommends that the five best of the peons hold-
ing inams be retained for this purpose and the rest be dis-
pensd with, their inams resumed and fully assessed. I agree
with him. Of the remaining 27 inams 12 are

that their inams may be continued. The relatives have no other means of maintenance and it will be bad policy to send them adrift. I recommend that they may be allowed to enjoy them for their lives on condition that they do not alienate them in any way. The four miscellaneous inams granted on account of friendship, horsekeeper's work, and for giving a horse are unnecessary and should be resumed. The dasabandam inam is also not wanted, as the village munsiff appointed by Government can look after the work.

3. I request that the above proposals may be sanctioned.

W. J. A.

After & returned



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 21st March 1895.

No. 1449 ^{Mis.}
~~Routine.~~

READ Letter from J. D. A. C. Wolfe Murray Esquire,
Governor,
Acting Agent to the Vizagapatam, to L. M.
Clyne Esquire, Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue, Madras, dated 1st March 95
J. D. No. 42.

Resolution

Submitted for the orders of Govern-
ment.

2. The Board supports the Agents
proposals.

(True Extract)

L. M. Clyne
Secretary

20

The Secretary to Govt.

A.D. with letter & 2

Enclos. to be returned

To be returned
to Revenue Board
with reply.

Revenue 1895. Dept
Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Current Number 2309

To 182. 20.1.95
32. 10.2.94
2105 3.8.94
2850 26.11.94
830
22.4.94

The Secretary to Govt.
A.S.

Recd 17/4 in file

Recd 23/3
Proceedings, dated 21-3-1895,
No. 1449
Mis.
Routine

Subject.

Subj. for the orders of Govt.,
with the Board's support, letter
from the Agent to the Governor,
Vizagapatam recommending
that certain private lands
enjoyed by the Kayyar Mitta-
clan may be resumed, a moiety
of their income being added to
her maintenance allowance &
stating his views as regards
the retention or resumption of
the income in the mutla.

P.T.O.

4019-5-93 M 3220 sub
1868

9105-5-93. 1 paper.
S.O. 830:23.4.95 mis ab
559: 12.3.95.
182. 28.1.95. 4 papers

CURRENT No. 182

Encl. muc. No. 1464

Transferred to the file
Dep't
8/4/95

Fort St. George
8th April 1895

In reply to Dep't.

128
24-4-95

Recd 11/4/95
1449
1458/5.

(For order)

[G.
25.]
000-8-3-95.

Initial

DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1820/95

FOR ORDERS.

It seems to me that the proper
course with regard to the private
lands held by the Mittabari
to leave her in possession, but
to assess them. The proposal
presently is only to half assess
them. This may be approved as
a matter of charity for the woman's
life only & subject to the condition
that if she alienates them full
assessment should be levied.
Otherwise I think that the proposals
made by the Agent may be approved.

The C.S. complains of too much
work - why doesn't he transfer
the correspondence relating
to these mittabs in the N.
circars. His usual more revenue than
just - in fact, it is not just at all,
I fear. I can see, though it may
be politically a public
6/6/95

As to whether Mr. Wolfe Murray's
proposals, which have the support of
the Board, may be approved?

Attention is invited to the note forwarded
in G.O. of 12 March 95 No. 559, Judb, mis.
The Agent has not yet replied to the
questions put to him in that G.O. but there
is no need to defer passing orders on
this current on that account. - It is

curious that the unauthorized possession
of nearly 100 acres by the mittabari
should not have been discovered
earlier; she will be treated liberally
if allowed to retain half the income.
The resumption of the minor manors,
excepting those granted to village servants,
appears unobjectionable, as they were also
granted by the late mittabari, & it is
probably politic to allow the mittabari
in pecuniary relations to retain them.

MR 8.1.95

mo as during their life time. - as
 regards the five persons names which
 is proposed to leave untouched, it is
 not quite clear whether the agent
 intends that members to supplement
 or to replace the two persons sanctioned
 in G.O. 910 - apparently to supplement
 them

88 17-5-95
 5/6/95

Resumption of certain private
 lands enjoyed by the Koyya
 Muttasarni.

FOR ORDERS.

1956/58/1000
 please refer to G.O. 956 which it was
 decided that all agency matters should be
 disposed of in Field Dept.
 Also see memo 6/58/94
 9/11/56

6/6-
 29/6-

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

[5]

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 18, No.
 Again G.O., dated 18, No.
 Current No. of 18, dated from

Current No. 1820 of 1895, dated 8 April from the Rev. Dept.

Order of 25th June 1895 No. 1356
~~The Agents proposals are approved~~
~~on the understanding that an act~~
~~of charity~~ The Muttasarni will be left
 in possession of the lands which she has
 hitherto been irregularly enjoying as
 her private property, subject to the con-
 -ditions that she pays half the assessment
 due thereon, that the grant terminates with
 her life, and that full assessment will
 be imposed if she alienates the lands
 2. In other respects the Agents proposals
 will be approved

22/6/95

(from order)

18/6/95 15-6-95 DESPATCHED

The B^d of Revenue (Qro).
 (Enclosures to be retained)

Note for Co.

With reference to H.M.'s remark attention
 is invited to G.O. of 13-9-88 No. 1956 which
 lays down rules as to the cases in which
 Agency questions should be dealt with by
 Judicial. It has been the custom, however,
 the resumption of matters in this Dept.
 to transfer the papers to Revenue wing
 cause a dislocation of the work. The same
 of muttadars & hill chieftains is perhaps

political than revenue. orders are solicited
as to whether steps should be taken to
change the
17-6-95
result practice?

Resumption of a

C.L.
(From order)

is resubmitted to the with reference
to the 16th note for me within. I
could gladly wash my hands of
business of the Bank to which the
the present order deals - if it is after
reading the note in G.O. No. 1936
that 1st Sept. 1888 note thinks
that Revenue should dispose of papers
of this class. I have more than
enough to do.

19/6/95.

Shadrach J.O. 1956
13.9.88

before.
The present case is rather
P.O. than anything else & would
therefore not go to R.D.

22/6/95

submitted to the 1st of
H.M. notes the eye has been
despatched.
12/12/95

for copying
ed
min
signed

189

GAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

ENT No.

NUMBER TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

APERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.