

[55]
1,000—25-7-1

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 134

DATED 21st Jan. 1895.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

TANJORE JUDGMENT FORGERY CASE

According sanction to the payment of a fee of Rs.2,500 to Dewan Bahadur S.Subramanya Aiyar for opposing the appeals presented to the High Court in the -- .

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

502236: 12.9.94 + 1/15

From 388/94 Just Dept
Subramania

D. B. Subramania

Order 21/11/55 No 134
Sanction is accorded to

Rs 100

Dated 19/12
Recd 19/12
Recd 20/12 } 1854

Subject

Requesting that Govt may
Sanction the payment of
Rs 2500 for his appearing
for the Crown in the
Case of appeal re. The
Tanjore Judgment Forgery

The payment of a fee
of Rs. 2500/- to Dewar
B. S. Subramanya Aiyar
appearing for the
appeals presented to the
H.C. in the Tanjore Forgery
Case - (from order)

19/11

To the to the Tanjore (P.O.)
The District (P.O.)
Dewan B. S. Subramanya Aiyar.
See H.C.'s note under
25 JAN 36
IN M.S.
C.P. (P.O.)
1854

Ref- 20/12

No 100.

Madras, 19th December 1894

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Madras.Criminal Appeals Nos. 192, 193, 219, 275 of 1894.

Sir,

With reference to the Government Order No. 1283 judicial, dated the 26th May 1894, I have the honor to report that the four appeals, in the Tanjore Judgment Forgery case, came on for hearing on the 27th ultimo, before their Lordships the Chief Justice and Mr. Justice Parker. The argument was concluded on the 6th Instant, when judgment was reserved.

On the 14th Instant, judgment was pronounced. Their Lordships upheld the convictions and the sentences passed on the prisoners

prisoners including the adjudications for the forfeiture of the properties of the appellants.

The arguments in the cases took 7 full days, and part of the 8 day. The record in the case consisted of about 900 printed pages, and I had to devote several days, to get up the same, before the case came on for hearing.

Under these circumstances, I beg the Government will be so good as to sanction the payment of Rs. 2,500 — " — ", for my appearing for the Crown, in the said four appeals.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

A. Subramaniam

[G.]
25.
103-10-10-91.

DEPARTMENT.

No.

Devan Bahadur S Subramaniam
Esq.

From

Dated 19 Dec 1894.

FOR ORDERS.

Under the circumstances the fees asked seems very high, but I do not know how to can dispute it.

MS

16/1/95

The order originally put up in the reserve of the current will not do.

Devan Bahadur Subramaniam Esq. asks a fee of Rs. 2500 for appearing in support of the appeals to the High Court against the convictions in the Inafore Forgery Case.

2. When he was appointed public prosecutor ad hoc H.M. expressed the opinion that he would not charge any unreasonable fee, but no arrangement was made as to the amount of the fees to be paid.

3. ~~Before~~ ^{If the} ~~before~~ ^{last} Pleader ~~had appeared~~ ^{had appeared} as usual he would only have been entitled to claim Rs. 120, being Rs. 30 for each of the four appeals. He however received a fixed payment of Rs. 350 per mensem.

4. The cases occupied 8 days; — the fees thus at the rate of one Rs. 300 per diem. Subramaniam Esq. however claims that it took him several days more to get up the records. In the lower Court he received fees amounting to Rs. 11,025.

2
10 Jan. 1895

Fes in appeal apt.
Law for Forgery conviction

St. H.
Cs. For order
(from orders)

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189.
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
189 ,

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT " A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. _____

• STATEMENT WITH DITTO. _____

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only