

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1299

Dated 18<sup>th</sup> June 1895

INDEXED.

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

4  
Endorsing paragraph II of C. Petition rules on---from Mr.  
E. Martin, Engine Driver, South Indian Railway, Trichinopoly, complain-  
ing of the inconvenience and nuisance caused to him by the hackney  
carts and drivers at Trichinopoly and requesting that the cart stand  
may be removed to some other place.

BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

1895  
 Jan. 1  
 To  
 2352

25AP. 1995



Handwritten signature: *Handwritten signature*

CURRENT NO. 2352

Madras Presidency.

Handwritten: *Handwritten - Astacum*

The humble Nation of Mr. Gown and Marston, Eastern House  
South Indian Railway Co. Ltd. writing in their own  
Road, York, Leppaculum. Chief Office in Chinook.

SECRET

9. MAY. 1895

Shaworth.

That your poor petitioner <sup>being most respectfully</sup>  
to represent the following circumstances for the information  
of the Right Hon<sup>ble</sup> the Governor in Council and for early  
Redress, meanwhile soliciting later pardon for the indulgence  
<sup>petitioner begs to state, that on</sup>

That same year [unclear] the 25<sup>th</sup> [unclear], addressed a petition to the District Judge of Indianapolis, on the following day in consequence of the "Grantman not come to Office", the Petition was refused and taken to the [unclear] and [unclear] Office, and was returned to your Petitioner on the 1<sup>st</sup> May as "Refused [unclear]".

That your petitioners petition the above named  
Magistrate of Trincomalee pursuant as follows:—

Some time back, your petition, likewise Complained  
of the Incursion, caused by Hacking Carriages and the owners,  
on a small narrow single-lane Public Road, situated  
North to Petitioner's dwelling house & South to the steps of the  
Tobacco Company, East Trinidad, to the respective local  
authorities, in Trinidad, altho' the matter stood on a  
very important matter, still <sup>at that</sup> it was arranged and  
recommended by the Town Inspectors of Police our Tax  
P. Parkins & the Inspector, that the Cart should be in  
front of my house, thereby to create much annoyance, loss  
and inconvenience and danger to follow to the sum of

That your poor petitioner on finding & experiencing  
the annoyance, inconvenience & <sup>open</sup> danger to petition, I make

Зона

Yann poor petitioner was compelled to attend to the  
Chf. D. Magistrate and the Supth. of Police to Ramby  
the district.

The Com. addressed to the Com. was received  
as usual. But the Com. to the Chf. D. Magistrate was  
death with as above said. Sarah if the D. Magistrate  
did not come to Office on that day, the Appeal in  
Charge of his Office should have remained all  
opened the Canal in the same manner as with other  
and given orders that were necessary - instead of  
which, Petitioner much regrets to find, the intention  
paid by the Local authority and the unnecessary trouble  
and cost that Petitioner is now put to in submitting  
the matter for the information of the Right Honble  
the Governor in Council, and to solicit an Enquiry  
Such Returns from the Head Quarter, to which poor  
petitioner now looks up.

Therefore, your poor petitioner humbly begs  
that the matter may be brought to the Com. being death  
and the inconvenience <sup>caused</sup> by the delay, to be remedied  
by pointing out, another "Case" be brought to the mind  
information and consideration of the Right Honble  
the Governor in Council, and pray for Returns.

For which act of Justice, your petitioner  
as in duty bound shall ever pray

Yours truly

Cupin, Senior,  
Saml. Susan & Co. Sd.  
Yann

Enclosure

*[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

138  
 The cover in question was  
 returned to the office of the  
 District Magistrate, Trichinopoly,  
 on the 15th inst. and the  
 same was found to be  
 insufficiently stamped and  
 bearing postage was being  
 demanded on it. As a  
 matter of fact, the  
 petitioner put in a  
 petition on 8th inst.  
 complaining about the  
 hacking Cart Stand &  
 was given an endorse-  
 ment on the following day.

For the  
 District Magistrate  
 Trichinopoly  
 15th May 1895

No. 466/M Trichinopoly District Magistrate's Office,  
 1895 21st May 1895

FROM E. A. Ravi Esquire, CURRENT NO. 2606

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF

To Trichinopoly

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,  
 JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT,

MADRAS.

SIR,

Returned herewith.

and half has not  
 been paid but  
 the whole has  
 been.

In reply to endorsement  
 No. 1055 on petition from Mr  
 E. Martin, Engine driver, S. I. Railway  
 Trichinopoly, I have the honour to  
 state that the Cover in question was  
 "refused" under this idea - a mistaken one  
 as it now turns out - that it was  
 insufficiently stamped and bearing  
 postage was being demanded on it.

2. As a matter of fact, the  
 petitioner put in a petition on 8th  
 inst. complaining about the hacking  
 Cart Stand & was given an endorse-  
 ment on the following day.

I have the honour to be  
 Sir  
 Your most obedt servant  
 A. S. M. M. M. M. M.  
 for A. S. M. M. M.

REF SECRET

27. MAY. 1895

27. MAY. 1895

Date 2/18/57

Recd 27

Ans. 2352 + 2608/95.

For orders:

Para II?

Perhaps the ~~2nd~~ <sup>1st</sup> on the first pet.  
maybe called for? The rejection of the second  
pet. (comes) under a mistaken idea as is now explained,  
is, it is submitted, objectionable + maybe punishable.

Order of 18<sup>th</sup> June 1895 N<sup>o</sup> 1299

1. Para II of C. Pet. Rules.

1. I am II of C. 100.
2. The Dietrich back reports that the fall<sup>r</sup> was furnished with a reply to a similar pet<sup>r</sup> put in on the 20th May. The refusal of the pet<sup>r</sup>. sent by post was owing to a mistake.

Pat. 976  
 RECEIVED  
 24 JUN 55  
 IN M.S.

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
When signed \_\_\_\_\_

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.  
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPEES NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy heading only