

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1201

Dated 6<sup>th</sup> June 1895

## INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PROSECUTION IN A CASE OF PERJURY.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No.  | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|------|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      | 3916 |             | 95    |      |     |             |       |
|      | 4107 |             | 95    |      |     |             |       |

Copy of letter <sup>c/o</sup> no. 1612 of 12th May 1896 from the  
 Lt. Judge Kistna to the D. Magistrate  
 Kistna.

In forwarding to you a copy of judgment  
 in O.S. 4 of 1893 with my post note thereto ordering  
 the prosecution of B. Rao Anachari 2nd Grade  
 Pleader Guntur, for perjury under Sec 193  
 I.P.C. I have the honor to request that you will  
 either dispose of the case yourself or refer  
 it for disposal to another Magistrate.

2. I would suggest that, as B. Rao Anachari  
 is the Chairman of the Guntur Municipality  
 and pleader of the Local Bar and is  
 likely to have very considerable personal  
 influence in this District, Government  
 should be asked to send some one up from  
 Madras to conduct the prosecution against  
 him.

3. The Public Prosecutor Mr. Venkataswamy  
 informs me that he would be glad if such  
 a course could be adopted as he has been on  
 friendly terms with B. Rao Anachari up to now  
 and would on that account prefer that the  
 prosecution should be conducted by a  
 stranger.

E.S. Lloyd

For D. Magistrate

13/5

13/5

Kistna Dt. Magistrate's office  
Dated 8-6-98.

N. No. 557 of 98  
Mag

CURRENT No. 2532

From J. N. Atkinson Esquire  
District Magistrate  
Kistna

To The Chief Secretary to Government  
Judicial Department  
Madras.

Sir.

I have the honor to forward herewith  
Copy of letter No C10 1612 d/ 4-5-98 from the Dt  
Judge Kistna for such orders as Government  
may deem fit to pass. I also enclose in  
Original for reference & favour of return  
the copy of his judgment in O P 4 of 1893 re-  
ferred to by the Dist Judge

2 Mr R. V. B. has a character in a man of con-  
siderable influence and I feel sure that  
none of the local pleaders would care to appear  
against him on personal grounds

I therefore support the recommendation  
of the Dist Judge that a pleader from  
Madras or elsewhere be engaged to conduct  
the prosecution which is likely to be long and  
intricate

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

E. S. Dey



C. No. 2532

Judicial Dept.  
CURRENT NO. 2532

For news

From

The St. Magistrate  
Kistna

To  
The Chief Secretary  
to Govt. of Madras.  
Madras.

CHIEF SECRETARY  
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS  
20 MAY 1895  
14 MAY 95

Enclosures two  
A. A. 557  
May 29/95

Subject.  
Recommendation that a  
pleader from Madras  
or elsewhere be engaged  
to conduct a prosecution  
against a sub-judicial  
pleader of Gunder.

FOR ORDERS.

We don't know anything  
as to pleaders here -  
best way would appear to be to  
ask the ~~for~~ <sup>district</sup> ~~Magistrate~~ if he  
can recommend any one  
on what terms - on simple  
rate, till the Kistna D. M.  
to correspond with neighbouring  
D. M.s & report.

W

30/5/95

On 6<sup>th</sup> June 1895 No. 1201  
The Dist. Mag. will be  
authorized to consult the Mag. of  
neighbouring districts with a view  
to the selection of a qualified pleader  
to conduct the prosecution. He should  
report the result of his enquiries, &  
the fee which he proposes to give to  
individual selected

(for O.)

25/5/95  
11/6/95

The St. Magistrate (2+0)

as to whether, in the cir-  
cumstances stated, a pleader  
from Madras or elsewhere  
should be engaged to con-  
duct the prosecution in  
this case. Bhavanachari's  
evidence is commented  
upon at great length  
in para 17 of the D. J. Judge's  
judgment. The case does  
not seem to be of suffi-  
cient importance to warrant  
the deputation of the Govt.  
pleader. Bhavanachari is  
said to be Chairman of the District  
Municipality.

25/5/95

The sum required for the employing any  
of the best pleaders appear to be small  
22/5/95

If they are accepted there are only  
 two counts - one to engage a pleader  
 from a district sufficiently far from  
 the Kishia to ensure his not being  
 in any way biased in the case.  
 The other is to send up the Government  
 Pleader. Mr. Dowell is however not  
 likely to make much of a case  
 of this sort and he is moreover  
 as I hear by no means well.  
 Perhaps a competent pleader might  
 be found in Chingleput or  
 North Arcot

LD  
 28/5/95

*Prosecution in a case  
 of perjury*

FOR ORDERS.

*H. M.*

When given & copying \_\_\_\_\_  
 When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
 When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
 When signed \_\_\_\_\_

189

READ AGAIN G.O. No.  
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
 IN ORIGINAL

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.  
 B = Not to be copied.  
 P = Copy abstract only.  
 Q = Copy heading only.