

Judicial (189.~) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1200

June
Dated *6th May 1895*

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

MEMORIALS WITHHELD.

Directing that the memorial to the Secretary of State for India by M. Srinivasa Pillai, late Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Vanur, South Arcot District, appealing against his dismissal, be again withheld under Rule XII(8) of A. memorial rules.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	6016		95				

Room (1895) DEPARTMENT.

From *A. C. C. Govt Esq I.C.S.*
 By THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
 RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR.

To *Current Number 2499*
 THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Revenue DEPARTMENT.

Dated *28th* } *March* 1895.
 Received *30th* }

In file 4/4
Aug 5/4
 ENCLOSURES 4

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from *M. Srinivasa Pillai* submitting all papers connected with his case and praying that they may be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

226/4.


P.T.O

Cuo 10642 of 95
504844 5-11-92
80: 1055-R / 94 judt papers

17/3
Indt No 6327
Transferred to the
Judicial Department

W. B. S. J. P.
ag Under Secy

Fort St. George
22nd April 1895



To await receipt of the original (Memorial) submitted through the C.M.

MR
8.4.95
9.4.95

20/4

Petition from *M. Srinivasa Pillai*,
late Sub-Magistrate and Deputy
Tahsildar of Vanur, Villupuram
Taluk, South Arcot District.

DATED *20th March* 1895.

TRANSFERRED to the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, for disposal.

(By Order.)

Ree
Ag Private Secretary.


GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Guindy }
28th March 1895.

CURRENT No. / 7/3

TO
PETITION

147

REVENUE DEPT



H. E. THE RIGHT HON'BLE

LORD WENLOCK, G.C.I.E.,

Governor of Fort St. George,

MADRAS.

The humble Memorial of *M. SRINIVASA PILLAI*, late Sub-magistrate
and Deputy Tahsildar of Vanur, Villupuram Taluk, South Arcot.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

THAT Your Excellency's humble memorialist appealed to Your Excellency's
mercy for the favour of ordering my restoration or giving me a pension.

2. THAT in neither way was I fortunate enough to secure Your Excellency's
favour.

3. THAT Your Excellency's Government declined to forward my Memorial
to the Secretary of State.

4. THAT as the rules for the submission of petitions to the Right Honorable
the Secretary of State gives Your Excellency the widest discretion, Your Ex-
cellency will be pleased in view to mitigate the hardness of my position after 27
years' service, to forward this Memorial to the Secretary of State.

5. THAT the motive of Your Excellency's petitioner in submitting this
Memorial to the Secretary of State is simply that Your Excellency may have an
opportunity to reconsider Memorialist's hard case.

6. THAT in case Your Excellency should decide that nothing could be done,
Your Excellency would at least be pleased to forward this Memorial with Your
Excellency's recommendation to the Secretary of State.

7. THAT Your Excellency's Government has shown exceeding mercy to
high paid officials who had been guilty of malversation.

8. THAT Your Excellency will picture to yourself the destitute condition
in which Memorialist is left after 27 years of hard work in various offices, all the
while simply expecting the advantage of a pension.

9. THAT Memorialist has now submitted all the papers connected with his
case in print which will enable Your Excellency to form a more favourable view
of Memorialist's case.

For which act of kindness and charity Your Excellency's Memorialist,
will, as in duty bound, ever pray.

20th March 1895 (Sd) *Submagistrate*

Copy submitted through the Collector
Submagistrate

$$\frac{1107}{\text{partu}} \quad \left(\frac{622}{27} \right) 995$$

$$\frac{1107}{20.3.95}$$

South Arcot.

I beg respectfully to request that Your Honour will be so good as to forward the accompanying Memorial to Government.

3. It would not have served to enhance my reputation if I had entertained a thought of committing such a foul crime as is attributed to me.

Most Honoured Sir,

20 1/2 In ark
1895
Chidamam

La Grange
Lake & Lake of Heaven

1254

22390
forwarded to the Secretary, Board of
Revenue (Land Rev.).

2. I have no personal knowledge of the case which was reported upon by Mr. Hammonds and has been disposed of by the Board and Govt.

Camp Humphreys
20 - 3 - 95.

To

H. E. THE RIGHT HON'BLE

LORD WENLOCK, G.C.I.E,

Governor of Fort St. George,

MADRAS.

*The humble Memorial of M. SRINIVASA PILLAI, late Sub-magistrate
and Deputy Tahsildar of Vanur, Villupuram Taluk, South Arcot.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

THAT Your Excellency's humble memorialist appealed to Your Excellency's mercy for the favour of ordering my restoration or giving me a pension.

2. THAT in neither way was I fortunate enough to secure Your Excellency's favour.

3. THAT Your Excellency's Government declined to forward my Memorial to the Secretary of State.

4. THAT as the rules for the submission of petitions to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State gives Your Excellency the widest discretion, Your Excellency will be pleased in view to mitigate the hardness of my position after 27 years' service, to forward this Memorial to the Secretary of State.

5. THAT the motive of Your Excellency's petitioner in submitttng this Memorial to the Secretary of State is simply that Your Excellency may have an opportunity to reconsider Memorialist's hard case.

6. THAT in case Your Excellency should decide that nothing could be done, Your Excellency would at least be pleased to forward this Memorial with Your Excellency's recommendation to the Secretary of State.

7. THAT Your Excellency's Government has shown exceeding mercy to high paid officials who had been guilty of malversation.


8. THAT Your Excellency will picture to yourself the destitute condition in which Memorialist is left after 27 years of hard work in various offices, all the while simply expecting the advantage of a pension.

9. THAT Memorialist has now submitted all the papers connected with his case in print which will enable Your Excellency to form a more favourable view of Memorialist's case.


For which act of kindness and charity Your Excellency's Memorialist, will, as in duty bound, ever pray.

20th March
1895
Chidambaram

Chidambaram
Late Deputy Tah Vanur



1744/85



TESTIMONIALS

OF

M. SRINIVASA PILLAI.

A Clever Goomastah only wants experience to be a very useful public servant.
(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Sub-Collector.
22-9-65.

Srinivasa Pillai has been working very well and though he is too young yet actually deserves promotion. In consideration of the additional work that he has been doing, the appointment (Magisterial or 2nd Clerk in the office) is given him as a special case. His work has been careful and sound, and has given great satisfaction.
(Signed) W. F. HATHWAY,
Sub-Collector.
18-3-68.

Intelligent and knows his work. (Magisterial clerk.)
(Signed) J. D. B. GRIBBLE,
Acting Sub-Collector.

Very quick and intelligent.

(Signed) J. M. SNAITH.
3-9-70.

From my observation of M. Srinivasa Pillai during the five weeks, I was in charge of the Sub-Collector's office, South Arcot, in January and February 1874, and from my remembrance of his work when I was in charge of the same office in 1871, I have every reason to believe him to be a very intelligent, industrious, upright and generally well behaved young man.

(Signed) F. H. SHARP,
c. s.
18-3-74.

Extract from Sub-Collectors' letter No. 463 of 13th March 1878 to the Collector of South Arcot.

I recommend that my Head Vernacular Clerk M. Srinivasa Pillai to act as sub division Sheristadar.

(Signed) C. A. BIRD,
Ag. Sub-Collector.

Extract from the Sub-Collectors' letter No. 577 of the 31st July 1880 to the Collector of South Arcot.

Para 5. Besides that the sayer Department has for a time deprived me of the best hands viz. permanent sayer clerk and Head Clerk (M. Srinivasa Pillai.)

(Signed) F. E. GIBSON,
Ag. Hd. Assistant Collector in charge of
Sub-Collector's office.
14-11-81.

Extract from the Sub Collector's letter No. 178 of 14th February 81 to the Collector submitting his nomination for the Trinamalai Tahsildary.

I have taken into consideration the claims of applicant and should have no hesitation in nominating my Head Vernacular Clerk M. Srinivasa Pillai for the appointment * * *

I consider him quite competent for the post and as he by very hard and unremitting work merits some substantial recognition of his service. I have the honor to request that he may be appointed.

(Signed) C. A. BIRD,
Ag. Sub-Collector.


Srinivasa Pillai, Head Vernacular Clerk of the Sub-Collector's office, South Arcot, gave me entire satisfaction during the three years or more I was in charge of that office. He is an intelligent and hardworking public servant, willing and persevering, and I feel confident that he will continue to give satisfaction if he be promoted to Tahsildar as recommended by me.

(Signed) C. A. BIRD,
Ag. Collector, Tanjore.
16-6-81.

Has worked well as Taluq Sheristadar and Magistrate of Tindivanam.
(Signed) M. R. WELD,
Sub-Collector.
8-4-84.

M. Srinivasa Pillai, Dy. Tahsildar of Chengum, is a very deserving officer. He has shown praiseworthy energy in carrying out sanitary improvement in the very unhealthy town of Chengum.
(Signed) M. R. WELD,
Sub-Collector.
27-12-84.

Srinivasa Pillai



Prasanna
Prasanna



To

H. E. THE RIGHT HON'BLE

LORD WENLOCK, G.C.I.E.,

Governor of Fort St. George,

MADRAS.

The Humble Memorial of M. SRINIVASA PILLAI,

the late Sub-Magistrate and Deputy Tahsildar of

Vanur, Villupuram Taluk South Arcot.

MOST HUMBLY SHEWETH,

I beg most respectfully to submit the accompanying appeal on the order of your Government dated 22nd October 1892 No. 2001 to the Secretary of State for India in Council and to pray that my case may again be reconsidered, as I fully believe that an impartial review of the severe punishment dealt to me will move your Government to extend its grace to me. I served Government faithfully for 27 years as the testimonials herewith submitted show; and now when I am old, I am disgraced and exposed to poverty. My honesty as a magistrate had never been impugned. The District magistrate was misled into believing that I had acted in ignorance of law where possibly I could not have been ignorant, and that, in order to cover my ignorance, I antedated a paper and put it into the records. The appeal herewith submitted sets forth the case plainly and it will be seen that the charge against me rests wholly on baseless presumption. I beg therefore most humbly to beseech Your Excellency to reconsider my case and pass favourable orders; and if that be not possible, to send up my appeal with Your Excellency's recommendation to the Secretary of State for India for that mercy and justice which have been denied to me till now, but which I yet hope, in the strength of my conscience, to obtain.


For which act of kindness,

I, Your Excellency's most obedient servant,

shall ever remain grateful.

*Copy submitted (signed) Subramanian
through the Collector on the
18th June 1894 as directed by the Government.*

*Subramanian
Late Dy Tahsildar of
Vanur*



prsm

*3
17/6/94*



TESTIMONIALS

OF

M. SRINIVASA PILLAI.

A Clever Goomastah only wants experience to be a very useful public servant.
22-9-65. (Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Sub-Collector.

Srinivasa Pillai has been working very well' and though he is too young yet actually deserves promotion. In consideration of the additional work that he has been doing, the appointment (Magisterial or 2nd Clerk in the office) is given him as a special case. His work has been careful and sound, and has given great satisfaction.

18-3-68. (Signed) W. F. HATHWAY,
Sub-Collector.

Intelligent and knows his work. (Magisterial clerk.)
(Signed) J. D. B. GRIBBLE,
Acting Sub-Collector.

Very quick and intelligent.

3-9-70. (Signed) J. M. SNAITH.

From my observation of M. Srinivasa Pillai during the five weeks, I was in charge of the Sub-Collector's office, South Arcot, in January and February 1874, and from my remembrance of his work when I was in charge of the same office in 1871, I have every reason to believe him to be a very intelligent, industrious, upright and generally well behaved young man.

18-3-74. (Signed) F. H. SHARP,
C. S.

Extract from Sub-Collectors' letter No. 463 of 13th March 1878 to the Collector of South Arcot.

I recommend that my Head Vernacular Clerk M. Srinivasa Pillai to act as sub division Sheristadar.

(Signed) C. A. BIRD,
Ag. Sub-Collector.

Extract from the Sub-Collectors' letter No. 577 of the 31st July 1880 to the Collector of South Arcot.

Para 5. Besides that the sayer Department has for a time deprived me of the best hands viz. permanent sayer clerk and Head Clerk (M. Srinivasa Pillai.)

14-11-81. (Signed) F. E. GIBSON,
Ag. Hd. Assistant Collector in charge of
Sub-Collector's office.

Extract from the Sub Collector's letter No. 178 of 14th February 81 to the Collector submitting his nomination for the Trinamalai Tahsildary.

I have taken into consideration the claims of applicant and should have no hesitation in nominating my Head Vernacular Clerk M. Srinivasa Pillai for the appointment * * *

I consider him quite compitent for the post and as he by very hard and unremitting work merits some substantial recognition of his service. I have the honor to request that he may be appointed.

(Signed) C. A. BIRD,
Ag. Sub-Collector.

Srinivasa Pillai, Head Vernacular Clerk of the Sub-Collector's office, South Arcot, gave me entire satisfaction during the three years or more I was in charge of that office. He is an intelligent and hardworking public servant, willing and persevering, and I feel confident that he will continue to give satisfaction if he be promoted to Tahsildaras recommended by me.


16-6-81. (Signed) C. A. BIRD,
Ag. Collector, Tanjore.

Has worked well as Taluq Sheristadar and Magistrate of Tindivanam.
8-4-84. (Signed) M. R. WELD,
Sub-Collector.

M. Srinivasa Pillai, Dy. Tahsildar of Chengum, is a very deserving officer. He has shown praiseworthy energy in carrying out sanitary improvement in the very unhealthy town of Chengum.

27-12-84. (Signed) M. R. WELD,
Sub-Collector.

[Handwritten signature]



8
P. M. S. / 85



40.0.7

*The humble petition of M. Srinivasa Pillai,
late Submagistrate and Deputy Tahsildar
of Vanur, South Arcot District, Madras
Presidency.*

I beg most humbly to submit for your favorable consideration and justice this appeal on the order of the Government of Madras dated 22nd October 1892 No. 2001; by which I was after 27 years of approved service dismissed from the post of Sub-Magistrate of Vanur.

3. I received an order from the District Magistrate dated the 4th November directing me to send to him all papers connected with the case; and on the very day of the receipt of the order, I submitted the papers called for. Nine months afterwards, *i.e.*, on the 10th July 92, I was summoned by the District Magistrate to appear before him on the same date and was examined by him on the 11th. The District Magistrate then framed two charges against me and suspended me and required me to submit my explanation within 48 hours which I did. He recommended me to the Board of Revenue for dismissal and I was dismissed from service by the order of the Government of Madras already referred to.

1st That I made a false extract from my magisterial Diary ;
2nd That I forged proceedings to be proceedings dated 14th August 91 relating to register case No. 3 of 1891.

W. L. L. L.

9
P1254/35

4. The District Magistrate in his lengthy and vigorous letter has given such bias to the case that I labour under considerable disadvantage in stating the facts as they were. From the very beginning my imperfect knowledge of English was against me and lent support to perversions of facts to my prejudice. Carefully examined, the points for consideration are: 1st whether my procedure in the case already set forth disclosed any gross ignorance of law; 2ndly whether it was necessary to cover that, if any, by falsification of records; and 3rdly whether the evidence adduced is of a convincing nature.

5. The sum and substance of the charge against me is that the magisterial proceedings of 14th August 91—which was a formal order stopping Proceedings against the accused under section 464 of C. P. C.—was not passed on that date, but was written subsequently when I discovered that I had committed an irregularity of procedure, and was foisted into the records. The evidence in support of this charge is 1st that the so called true extract from the diary sent on 6th November 91 along with all the papers of the case to the District Magistrate was not a true extract; and 2nd that my report to the Divisional Officer of 22nd August 91, requesting him to arrange for the transfer of the lunatic to the Madras Lunatic Asylum, did not contain any reference to my having stayed proceedings. The motive to my culpable act was, it is said, to cover my ignorance of law.

6. Now I beg to submit the following in respect of the alleged ignorance of law. The law of the case is that when it appears that the accused in any case is of unsound mind a competent Medical officer should be examined on the point and that when insanity is established by Medical evidence steps should be taken for the care and treatment of the insane. It could not be that I was wholly ignorant of the provisions of the C. P. Code. My having sent the accused to the Hospital Assistant and to the District Surgeon, my examination of the Hospital Assistant, my request to the Divisional officer for the transfer of the accused to the Lunatic Asylum in Madras,—all these sufficiently disprove my total ignorance of the provisions of section 464. It is alleged that I was ignorant of that provision of the section which requires that proceedings should be stopped as soon as the accused is proved to be of unsound mind. I beg here to point out whether knowing, as I must have known, the general provisions of section 464 and having acted in conformity with them, it was at all possible I could have been ignorant of the little provision of law. I would further beg of you to consider whether my letter to the Divisional officer, dated 22nd August 91, requesting him to arrange for the transfer of the accused to the Lunatic Asylum Madras was not evidence quite the other way. The District Magistrate is obliged to lay considerable stress—for the simple reason that there is very little evidence besides—on my omission in the said letter to refer to my having stopped further proceedings and to presume from that omission my ignorance of law. In a report like the one which I sent to the Divisional officer I beg you to consider whether it was at all necessary to note every step I had taken in the case including such formal orders as stay of further proceedings. And yet to my fatal misfortune it is on such baseless presumptions that 1st I am charged with ignorance of law, and 2nd with having falsified the records in order to cover my presumed ignorance.

7. Now, as regards the alleged falsification of records, I beg to submit for your favorable consideration the following. Diaries of criminal cases are kept by petty clerks who are very often heavily worked; in very few offices are diaries full and accurate in language. The permanent magisterial clerk in my office was transferred in August 91 and the place remained unfilled for 8 months. At the

time when the records connected with the case were sent up to the District Magistrate in November 91, I was without the permanent Magisterial clerk, and the work was done by an inexperienced man who, when the District Magistrate examined me in July 92, could not appear to give evidence as he was at that time suffering from paralysis. Here was a combination of circumstances against me. But I beg you to consider what the facts were. The papers connected with the case were submitted to the District Magistrate within a few hours of the receipt of the order, and a list was sent giving an abstract of the papers erroneously called however 'True extract from the Diary'. Herein lay nearly all my offence. It was a mistake to call that sheet of paper an extract from the Diary. It was an abstract of the papers sent, several of which had not been entered in the Diary, and in fact would not have been there in the ordinary course. It was obviously wrong to call it a true extract from the Diary. Some of the papers connected with the case had been entered in the Diary and others not. Among the latter were:—

- (1) Vanur Hospitals Assistant's letter asking to send the woman to the Civil Surgeon of Cuddalore for his observation.
- (2) Memorialists' order to Vanur Police to produce the woman before the Civil Surgeon.
- (3) Civil Surgeon's letter stating that the woman is a lunatic.
- (4) Memorialist's proceeding dated 14-8-91 stopping the procedure of the case.
- (5) Civil Surgeon's letter to the memorialist to the effect that the accused will be detained at his Jail and the Joint Magistrate may be addressed.
- (6) Joint Magistrate's order to the memorialist remarking that reference was unnecessary &c.
- (7) Vanur Hospital Assistant's deposition about his observation of the woman.
- (8) Seshanganur Village Munsiff's deposition regarding his enquiry about the woman's relatives.

8. The genuineness of all these papers is admitted. There was one paper however—proceedings dated 14th August 91 recording the formal stay of the case—which is alleged to have been an after-thought written later on and antedated and foisted into the records. Supposing that I had not recorded it, my error would have been simple carelessness. There could have been no shadow of a doubt of my having stopped proceedings in the case; my note on the District Surgeon's letter and my letter to the Divisional officer are tacit avowals of that fact. And to cover this petty fault, if it was so, I am charged with having falsified the records. In the first place ignorance of law is presumed to make out the charge of the falsification of the records, and the latter is curiously enough made to rest on the former. It is by this double presumption that attempt was made to prove me guilty. And here is the heavy punishment which was nothing short of dismissal after 27 years of good service that is meted out to me. With this plain unvarnished narrative of my case, I beg to appeal to you for mercy and Justice. The enclosed testimonials will show my past unblemished character and efficiency.

Praying for mercy and Justice,

I beg to remain,
Right Honorable Sir,
Your most obedient servant

10th June 1894
Tell Professor
to add above I beg

Submitted through the Collector
as directed by Government on
the 19th Aug 1894.

Submitted to the Collector
with other papers to the Collector
on the 20th Dec 1895

Copy
Submitted to the Collector
on the 20th Dec 1895
Vanam

Subscribed

peru

To.
2000 (1827) 996
petu (over)
file 1827

A. C. Castle Stuart. Stuart. Esq.
of collector of South Arcot.

Most-Respected Sir.

With reference to the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue dated 1st inst. No 794,
I most-respectfully beg to resubmit herewith
my appeal to the Secretary of State for India
with each sheet of the papers which accompany
it duly signed by me as directed therein.

2. I humbly beg to bring to your honour's kind
consideration that the order dismissing me
from my appointment of Dy Tahsildar of Vann
was passed simply upon the report made by the
then collector Mr. Hannick, which again was
based upon that of the subcollector who submit-
ted it without taking my explanation or
any of the points left hanging me in the dark
in the matter - which took place a year before
the date of his report - until I was interrogated
by the collector. I have not hitherto submit-
ted my explanation to the facts mentioned in
the above letters. I have now got the letters
printed with my explanation against each
para. A perusal of them will convince your
honour that I was innocent & that I was -

Yours
hardy.

1895
1895
1895

1895
1895

1895
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Enclosure No. 12
Serial No. 12

hard { ~~dealt~~ ^{dealt} with in the matter notwithstanding
my long service of 27 years.

3. I therefore request your honour will be
merciful enough to permit all the papers that
I have now submitted at leisure hours &
forward them to the higher authorities with
your favourable opinion, so that I may not
be left thus helpless in my old age without
even a pension to live upon after having
served Government faithfully for so long a
period as 27 years during which time my
conduct in official life was never once
seriously questioned.

4. It is which act of kindness I shall
ever be bound to pray for your long life
and prosperity.

I beg to remain
Dear & Respected Sir
Your most Obedient and humble Servant
D. Srinivasa Pillai
Lake of Lake of Wauchoy




30th April
1895
Cuddalore

To The Secretary
(with petition
endorsement &
original).

Sd/- (13/5)



Forwarded to the
Secretary, Board of
Revenue (Land Revenue).
I have nothing to add
by in documents
of 20.3.95.
Appointed Assistant
Ag. Secy.
30. IV. 95



3,000
H-5-50.

CURRENT NO 2642



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 11th May 1895.

No. 2411 Mis. Routine.

Read again Board's proceedings dated 1st April 1895
No. 794 Routine

2. also endorsement of the Collector of S. Arcot
dated 30th April 1895 on the petition of
M. Srinivasa Pillai late Deputy Tahsildar
of Vanur, Villapuram Taluk dated 30th April 1895

Resolution

Forwarded. The Board has nothing
to add to the remarks made in B.P.
419 d/ 10th August 1892.

under cover of 22 Oct. 92
2001, 1892, mis.

(True extract)

Reclan
Ag. Secretary

To The Secretary to Government R.D.
(with petition No. 1744 of 1895 (with the Collector's
endorsement thereon) and its enclosures in
original).

Se (13/5)

CURRENT NO. 2642
Judicial
1895. Dept
Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

The Secretary to
Government R.D.

Recd in Tnd 29th May 1895
Rep " " 30th May 1895

Recd 15 May.

End 15.

Proceedings, dated 11th May 1895,
No. 2411 Mis.
Routine.

Subject.

Submitting to Government memo-
rial presented to the Secretary of
State for India by M. Srinivasa
Pillai late Dy. Tahsildar & Sub-
Magistrate of Vanur, South Arcot Dt.
appealing against his dismissal
from the above post.

SECRETARY
29 MAY 1895
DEPARTMENT OF MADRAS

P.D.

Enclt memo. No. 2113

Transferred
to the Judicial Depart-
ment.

W. B. L. J. P.
as Under Secretary

Notacamund
27th May 1895

Quo. 1713 + 2642/95.

Order of 26th June 1895 No. 1200

The memorial will again be
withheld under Rule XII (8) of A.
Memorial Rules.

5/6/95

To The Secy of Rev. L. L. S. to with full
+ encl. etc. etc.
Mark in charge of withheld memorials.

31/1/95

note.

Please see 8th 2071 of 28. Aug. 94
in which the first memorial was withheld & the
note therein. The fact was, at the time of dismissal
of Sel. getting only Rs. 70 per m vide the notes in 8th
2719-1. 93 & 129.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

When AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

A.B.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.