

Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1176

Dated 30 May 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

TALUK MAGISTRATE OF PALNAD.

Inflicting, with remarks, a fine of Rs. 20 on the---,
Kistna District, for his delay in the transmission of the viscera to
the Chemical Examiner in a certain post mortem case.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[6/9]
1,000-25-10-91.

c No 49 May 885

Current No. 6886

DEPARTMENT.

From

The Public Dept

N/

Endorsement dt 12-1-95 No 57

Referred to the District Magistrate of Kishna for report.

(By order)

Colonel Davidson
for Under Secretary

No. 923

Dated 2/12/94

Received 26/2/96

Enclosures

To The District Magistrate of Kishna.
Mg/1.

SUBJECT.

Communicating to the Jnd
Dept & the Jndg of, the
procedure taken by the
Sub Magistrate the local
Ap. necessary respecting
a post-mortem case

Reg No 78

Urgent

Forwarded to the Taluk Magistrate
Talnad for immediate report through
his Divisional Magistrate.

J. A. Thompson
Dist Magistrate

W/17.

TO BE RETURNED
WITHIN 15 DAYS

Cover

Public Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

From the Chemical Examiner, Madras,
of 14th December 1894, No 1179.

Under G. O. No 685 Public, of 24th August
1893, I have the honour to bring the following
case to the notice of Government:—

On 24th May 1894, Civil Apothecary T.
Egappa of Pachepalle performed a post-mortem
examination and secured the viscera for chemical
examination under the orders of the Sub-
Magistrate. In the explanation which I have
received from the Medical Subordinate it is
stated that he waited till the 12th June for
~~an~~ an order to transmit the viscera for
chemical Examination, and not having received
this order he on that day wrote to the Sub-
Magistrate intimating that he had preserved
the viscera for transmission to the Chemical
Examiner on the receipt of instructions to that effect.

In the meantime Civil Apothecary Egappa
was relieved of his charge in August and he
hand

handed over the preserved viscera to his successor
Civil Apothecary Balakrishnaiah Naider.

On the 21st of November the 2nd Class Magistrate
J. Raghava Row (Palnad Taluk) issued the necessary
order; and the viscera have arrived here in a
decomposed state, six months after they were re-
moved from the corpse.

In the first place, Civil Apothecary Egappa
Naider did not retain a sample of the spirit
which he had used for preservation of the
viscera.

In the second place Civil Apothecary
Balakrishnaiah should have reminded the
sub-Magistrate of the non-receipt of the forward-
ing order, instead of waiting indefinitely for the
same.

In the third place, the sub-Magistrate
appears to have completely neglected the orders of
Government which require him to issue the order
for transmission of viscera to the Chemical
Examiner, "with promptitude".

R. A. Puhub
papers. There
were several
such cases
in the
Taluk.

Order: - of 21st December 1894, N^o 973.

Communicated to the Judicial Department
and to the Surgeon General in view to notice
being taken of the conduct of the Magistrate
and the Apothecaries concerned.

/ True Extract

Done at Madras
for Chief Secretary 25/12

To the Judicial Dept
Surgeon General 24/12

Kistna Dt Magistrate's Office
Masulipatam
Date 1-5-96

From

J. N. Atkinson Esquire
District Magistrate
Kistna.

To Chief Secretary to Government
Judicial Department
Madras.

Sir.

re-submitted

With reference to the Endorsement
of 12-1-96 nos 7 on G.O. no 973 of 21-12-94
Judicial, I have the honor to report
that the Taluk Magistrate Palnad & Co
explains that the delay was caused by
the fact that the Station House Officer,
who is directed to furnish him with some
information relating to the viscera could
not obtain it on account of the Complainant's
Absence from the Taluk.

The explanation is vague and highly un-
satisfactory. There has been great delay
in its submission, due to the sub-Magis-
trate's delay to mislayal of references
and this is another unsatisfactory
circumstance.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

E. S. Raghava

Sub-District Magistrate

MR 3-5

W 4-5

Judicial Dept
CURRENT NO. 2370

FROM,
THE COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE,
KISTNA.

To,
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
THE GENERAL DEPUTY COLLECTOR,
Judicial Dept KISTNA,
Madras.

Dated 1-5-
Despd: 6-5-
Recd: 10-5-
Rep: 11-5-
1896.

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
10 MAY 1895
Enclosures.
No. 334
May 1895

Subject.
Explaining how delay occurred
in the submission of the ovens
referred to in the G.O. quoted
Letter for Chemical Examina-
tion.

HM
Resubmitted to HM for
perusal of note by Mr. Wm. L. P. P.
24/5/95
Endorsement.

Transferred to the Public Dept.
Very well - Let
him be fined
Rs 20.
25/5/95
By Under Secretary.
To the Public Dept.
14-5-95

H.M.
For orders as to whether
it will suffice to censure the
Sub-magistrate.
If he is censured only the censure
should I submit be a very strong
one and convey a warning that
if he offends again he will be
dismissed. He was formerly careless.

This is of course -
But I think some more definite
punishment might be awarded.
I don't like fining such people as Sub-
magistrates - On the other hand, suspension
for even a month might be too severe.
I think Rs 20 fine with half pay
may be. Perhaps the Hon. Secy
will say what he thinks.
20/5/95
I think we must inflict a definite
punishment but a fine of half a month's pay and
very severe - I think a fine of Rs 20 and do. 20/5/95

[G
24]

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 6884 of 1895, dated from

Current No 2370 of 1895, dated from

ORDER. d/30th May 1895 No 1176

The Govt. agrees with the Dist. Magt.
in considering that the Sub-Magistrate's
explanation is unsatisfactory. His
carelessness has been so gross that
it is impossible to pass it over with
a mere censure, he will therefore
be fined Rs 20/-

2. He should be warned that if he offends
again he will be dismissed.
27.5.95

The Sub-Magistrate, Kistna
29/5/95
DESPATCHED
27 JUN 95
IN M.S.

Papers of
Sub-...

CS
mis
(from ...)
Lucy
For

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.