Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No 1045-46

Dated 17th May 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

SUITS.

Directing, with remarks, that the papers showing the extent of litigation in the courts of the Presidency to which Government was a party during the year 1894-95, be forwarded to the Secretary of State and transmitting a copy of the Proceedings to the Government of India, Home Department.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	4629		95				
	2014		96				
	2037		96				

17TH MAY 1895, Nos. 1045, 1046, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras, dated 30th April 1895, No. 1278.

From D. W. G. COWIE, Esq., Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 4th May 1895, No. 1368.

I am directed to forward herewith a complete statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party during the official year 1894–95, to be substituted for the statement sent with my letter No. 1278, dated 30th April 1895.

[Statement.

Enclosure.

Statement showing the number of suits to which the Government was a party in the High Court and in the Courts subordinate to it for the official year 1894–95.

Names.	Original Suits.												Regular Appeals.												Summary.											
	Government Plaintiff.						Government Defendant.						Government Appellant.						Government Respondent.						Government Plaintiff or Appellant.						Government Defendant or Respondent.					
	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
High Court	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	..	1	100	..	12	3	4	7	43	57	41	10	3	13	77	23	13	6	2	8	75	25	8	1	4	5	20	80
Arcot, North	1	..	1	1	..	100	8	..	6	6	..	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	1	2	50	50	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Arcot, South	1	..	..	..	..	..	15	4	3	7	57	43	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	3	..	3	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	2	..	..	..	..	..	9	3	2	5	60	40	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Canara, South	2	1	..	1	100	..	7	3	1	4	75	25	2	2	..	2	100	..	6	2	2	4	50	50	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Chingleput	2	1	..	1	100	..	16	7	5	*13	54	38	1	1	..	1	100	..	2	2	..	2	100		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	..	..	..	..	..	..	11	3	3	6	50	50	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Cuddapah	3	..	2	2	..	100	8	6	1	7	86	14	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Ganjám	1	..	..	..	..	..	3	2	..	2	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Gódávari	1	1	..	1	100	..	14	9	2	11	82	18	1	..	1	1	..	100	3	..	1	1	..	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kistna	6	3	..	3	100	..	120	23	84	107	21	79	104	38	..	38	100	..	11	3	..	3	100	..	1	1	..	1	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kurnool	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	3	..	3	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Madura	1	..	..	..	..	..	23	10	3	13	77	23	..	..	..	..	..	..	4	3	..	3	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Malabar, North	..	..	..	..	..	..	4	1	..	1	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6	3	3	6	50	50	1	1	..	1	100	..	1	1	..	1	100	..
Malabar, South	5	1	1	2	50	50	9	5	2	7	71	29	..	..	..	..	..	..	5	5		5	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nellore	..	..	..	..	..	..	6	4	..	4	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	1	..	1	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Salem	..	..	..	..	..	..	11	..	3	3	..	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tanjore	1	1	..	1	100	..	9	3	..	3	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	1	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	2	100	..
Tinnevelly	..	..	..	..	..	..	37	9	3	12	75	25	5	2	..	2	100	..	7	2	..	2	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Trichinopoly	1	1	..	1	100	..	4	1	..	1	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam	16	15	..	15	100	..	4	2	..	2	100	..	2	2	..	2	100	..	4	1	..	1	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Agent, Ganjám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Agent, Gódávari	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Agent, Vizagapatam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
City Civil Court, Madras ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..	43	24	4	28	86	14	322	99	118	*218	45	55	127	48	5	53	91	9	99	37	10	47	79	21	15	8	2	10	80	20	11	4	4	8	50	50

* One suit was decided partly in favour of Government and partly against it. It is not included in columns 9 and 10 but only in column 11.

From R. B. MICHELL, Esq., Chief Judge, Court of Small Causes, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 1st May 1895, No. 442.

With reference to G.O., Judicial, dated 29th March 1865, No. 484, and also G.O., dated 6th November 1891, No. 2292, I have the honour to forward the accompanying statement showing the number of suits instituted in this Court during the official year 1894–95 to which Government was a party.

Enclosure.

Statement showing the number of suits to which Government was a party in the Court of Small Causes, Madras.

Suit No.	Date of file.	Plaintiff.	Defendant.	Cause of action.	Date of disposal.	Remarks.
6370–94	11th April 1894.	The Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India in Council.	N. Vythelinga Chetty.	Rs. 12, being the rent of a room in the North Battery wall, Black Town, due for three months from 1st January to 31st March 1894 at Rs. 4 per mensem.	25th April 1894.	Settled.
4476–95	20th March 1895.	Do. do. ..	Muhammad Abdul Wahab.	Rs. 60, being the balance due of stipend paid to defendant as a stipendiary student at the Government Muhammadan Normal School, Triplicane, and repayable by him under an agreement, dated 23rd June 1888.	5th April 1895.	Do.

Order—dated 17th May 1895, No. 1045, Judicial.

The foregoing papers showing the extent of litigation in the Courts of the Presidency to which Government was a party during the official year 1894–95 will be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

2. In the High Court of Madras and in the Courts subordinate to it there were for disposal 365 original suits, 226 regular appeals and 26 summary suits against 585, 172 and 26, respectively, in the previous year. Of the 246 original suits, 100 regular appeals and 18 summary suits disposed of during the year under review, 123 or 50 per cent., 85 or 85 per cent., and 12 or 66·7 per cent., respectively, were decided in favour of Government against 77·8, 83·5 and 84·2, the percentages for the year 1893–94. In one original suit the decision was partly in favour of Government and partly against it. It is observed that out of 107 original suits disposed of in Kistna in which Government was the defendant, no less than 84 were decided against it. The Collector of Kistna will be requested in the Revenue Department to explain, if possible, the nature of these suits and the reason why in so many cases the decision was adverse to Government.

3. There were only two suits to which Government was a party in the Court of Small Causes, Madras, against five in the preceding two years, and both of them were compromised.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, with C.L.
„ Chief Judge, Court of Small Causes.
„ Revenue Department.

Endorsement—dated 17th May 1895, No. 1046, Judicial.

Copy to the Government of India, Home Department.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., &c., 17th May 1895,
Nos. 1045, 1046.

MAY.

Suits.

Directing, with remarks, that the papers showing the extent of litigation in the Courts of the Presidency to which Government was a party during the year 1894-95 be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

GOVT. OF FORT ST. GEORGE.

JUDICIAL (1895) *DEPARTMENT.*

Letter to the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India.

Dated 25 4 **May,**

No. 4 **of 1895.**

Transmitting copy of Proceedings reviewing he returns which show the extent of litigation to wh Government was a party in the Civil Courts of is Presidency during the official year 1894-95.

Press

Please strike off 20 copies.

31/5

29 MAY 1895 No. 4, JUDICIAL.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—to

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE CRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR,

Adverting to Sir Charles Wood's spatch, dated 26th June 1862, No. 17, we have the honour to transmit the accompying copy of our Proceedings reviewing the returns which show the extent of litign to which Government was a party in the Civil Courts of this Presidency duringe official year 1894–95.

We have the honour to be,
Right Honourable Sir,
our most obedient, humble servants,

(Signed) Wenlock
(") H. W. Bliss
(") J. Grose.

OOTACAMUND,
29 May 1895.

Exd, C. A. Munisawmy.

G.O. 1176/77 : 17.5.94 and
484/65 Sc
& 2292/91 Sc

CURRENT No. 2186

Judicial {1895.} Department.

No.

FROM

The Ag. Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

La Agrear precedent
29.3.65 No 484
22 b 11 91 No 2292

Dated 30 April
Received 2nd May } 1895.

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
-2 MAY. 1895

No. 1278.
Enc .. one.

Forwarding statement of litigation to which the Government was a party in the High Court and in the Courts subordinate to it during the Official year 1894-95, ~~except of South Malabar.~~

2292-26-11-91
484-29-3-65

4/5

1271-2-21-9-91

No.

From

Dated 1895.

Received

Every letter, whether printed or in manuscript, should be docketed and abstracted on the back ; * * * * and the abstract should state clearly the purport of the letter and not merely its title subject, * * * * *

Enclosures and statements also, whether sent with letters, or merely under cover, should be numbered, docketed and abstracted. The headings of statements should be written in full to prevent mistakes, (G. O., 8th April 1893, No. 239, Public.)

No.

Statement showing the number of S ts to which the Govern
in the Courts Subordinate it for the official ye

No.

Statement showing the Number of S uits to which the Government was a party in the High Court an
in the Courts Subordinate to it for the official year 1894-95.

Names.	Original Suits.												Regular appeals.												Summary.										
	Government Plaintiff						Government Defendant						Government Appellant						Government Respondent						Government Plaintiff or Appellant						Government Defendant or Respondent				
	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Govt.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.		Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Governm
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29		31	32	33	34	35	36
High Court.	-	-	.	.	.	.	1	1	.	1	100	.	12	3	4	7	43	57	41	10	3	13	77	23	13	6	2	8		25	8	1	4	5	20
Arcot (North).	1	-	1	1	-	100	8	-	6	6	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	2	50	50	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Arcot (South).	1	.	.	.	-	.	15	4	3	7	57	43	-	.	.	.	-	-	3	3	-	3	100	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Bellary.	2	.	.	.	.	.	9	3	2	5	60	40	.	-	-	-	-	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Canara (South)	2	1	.	1	100	.	7	3	1	4	75	25	2	2	.	2	100	.	6	2	2	4	50	50	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Chingleput	2	1	-	1	100	.	16	7	5	*13	54	38	1	1	-	1	100	-	2	2	-	2	100	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Coimbatore.	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	3	3	6	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuddapah.	3	-	2	2	.	100	8	6	1	7	86	14	.	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	-	-	-	-	.	-	-	-		-	.	.	.	.	.
Ganjam.	1	.	.	.	.	.	3	2	.	2	[illegible]	-	.	-	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		-	-	-	-	-	-
Godavari	1	1	-	1	100	-	14	9	2	11	[illegible]	18	1	-	1	1	-	100	3	-	1	1	-	100	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Kistna	6	3	-	3	100	-	120	23	84	[illegible]	[illegible]	79	104	38	-	38	100	-	17	3	-	3	100	-	1	1	-	[illegible]	[illegible]	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kurnool	.	.	.	.	.	.	3	3	-	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	.	.	.	.	-	-	-	[illegible]	[illegible]	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madura	1	.	.	.	.	.	23	10	3	[illegible]	[illegible]	23	-	-	-	-	-	.	4	3	.	3	100	-	-	-	-	[illegible]	[illegible]	-	-	-	-	-	-
Malabar (North)	.	.					4	1	.	1	[illegible]	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	6	3	3	6	50	50	1	1	-	[illegible]	[illegible]	-	1	1	-	1	100
Nellore.	-	.	.	.	.	.	6	4	-	4	[illegible]	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	3	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	[illegible]	[illegible]	-	-	-	-	-	-
Salem.	.	.	.	.	.	.	11	.	3	3	-	100	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	.	.	.	.	.
Tanjore.	1	1	-	1	100	.	9	3	-	3	100	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	1	.	1	100	.	.	.	.	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	2	2	.	2	100
Tinnevelly.	.	.	.	.	.	.	37	9	3	12	75	25	5	2	.	2	100	-	7	2	.	2	100	.	.	.	.	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	.	.	.	.	.
Trichinopoly.	1	1	-	1	100	.	4	1	.	1	100	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	.	.	.	.	.
Vizagapatam.	16	15	-	15	100	.	4	2	.	2	100	.	2	2	.	2	100	.	4	1	.	1	100	.	.	.	.	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	.	.	.	.	.
Agent Ganjam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	.	.	.	.	.
Agent. Godavari.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	.	.	.	.	.
Agent. Vizagapatam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	.	.	.	.	.
City Civil Court Madras.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	[illegible]	[illegible]	.	.	.	.	.	.
Total	38	23	3	26	88	12	313	94	116	[illegible]	45	55	127	48	5	53	91	9	94	32	10	42	76	24	15	8	2	10	80	20	11	4	4	8	50

* One suit was decided partly in favor of Government and partly against it. It is not included in columns 9 and 10 but only in column 11.

High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side, Madras,
30th April, 1895.

D W G Cowie
Acting Registrar.

P.K.R.

Enclosure No. *one*, to letter from the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras, dated 30th *April* 1895, No. *1278*.

1895
Jud. Dept
Enclos. No 1
2186

Statement of litigation
to which the Government was
a party in the High Court
and in the Courts subordinate
to it except of South Malabar,
during 1894-95.

---

1 abstract

No. 1278.

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 30th April 1895.

CURRENT NO. 2186.
30 April 5
1278

From

D. W. G. Cowie, Esquire,
Ag. Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side, Madras

To

The Honorable J. F. Price, C. S. I.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George

Honorable Sir,

I have the honor to forward the statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party in the High Court and in the Courts subordinate to it during the Official Year 1894-95.

The return from South Malabar not having been received, the statement submitted herewith does not contain the figures for that District. Reminders have been sent to the District Judge. On receipt of his return an amended statement will be submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
D. W. G. Cowie
Ag. Registrar.

Statement showing the number of Suits to which Government was a party in the Court of Small Causes, Mad

Suit No.	Date of File	Plaintiff	Defendant	Cause of Action	Date of Disposal	Remarks.
6370-94	11-4-94	The Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India in Council	N. Vythelinga Chetty	Rs 12/- being the rent of a room in the North Battery wall, Black Town, due for 3 months from 1 Jany. to 31 March 1894, at Rs 4 per mensem.	25-4-94	Settled.
4476-95	20-3-95	do.	Mahomed Abdul Wahab	Rs 60/- being the balance due of stipend paid to defendant as a stipendary student at the Govt. Mahomedan Normal School, Triplicane, & repayable by him under an agreement of 23 June 1888.	5-4-95	Settled.

Court of Small Causes
Madras, 1 May 1895.

Registrar

Enc: 1

Statement of Suits to which Govt. was a party.

Dy 1 May 1895.

1895
Judl. Dept.
Enclos. No. 1
To
C. No. 2222

No. 442

Court of Small Causes
Madras, 1st May 1895.

CURRENT No. 2222

From

R. B. Michell Esq.,
Chief Judge,
Court of Small Causes,
Madras,

To

The Hon'ble Mr. J. F. Price C.S.I.,
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G.O., Judicial, dated 29 March 1865, No. 484, and also G.O. dated 6 November 1891, No. 2292, I have the honor to forward the accompanying statement showing the number of suits instituted in this Court during the Official year 1894-95, to which Government was a party.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R. B. Michell
Chief Judge.

My

Judicial 1895. Deptt.

CURRENT No. 2222

From

R. B. Michell Esq.

Chief Judge,
Court of Small Causes
Madras.

Disposal order No 2186/95
G.O. 1176/7 . 17 5 94.

To

The Hon'ble Mr. J. F. Price C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Madras.

No. 442

Dated 1 } May 1895.
Despatched
Received 3d
Regd 4"

CHIEF SECRETARY
-3. MAY. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Enc: 1

Subject.

Forwds. statement of the no. of suits instituted in the court during 1894-95, to which Govt. was a party.

RO
8/5

2292 : 6-11-91
484 : 27-3-95

Ind. 6-5-95

[illegible] CN 2186/95 sent to [illegible] 8/5

2186
5-95

R.C.C.
8/5

2186

CURRENT No. 2293

Judicial {1895.} **Department.**

No.

FROM

The Ag. Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

Dated 4 May } 1895.

Received

CHIEF SECRETARY
6. MAY. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Regd 7-5-95

No. 1368.
Enc one

Forwarding statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party during the official year 1894-95.

Recd. with papers on
15/5/95

Please put up precedents [illegible]

8/5/95

F.C.C.K.

sent 11/5

Please send an urgent reminder to the Small Causes Court calling for [illegible]

Every letter, whether printed or in manuscript, should be docketed and abstracted on the back ; * * * * and the abstract should state clearly the purport of the letter and not merely its title subject, * * * * *

Enclosures and statements also, whether sent with letters, or merely under cover, should be numbered, docketed and abstracted. The headings of statements should be written in full to prevent mistakes, (G. O., 8th April 1893, No. 289, Public.)

Statement showe par

Subordinate to

8

3 P

Statement showing the number of suits to which the Government was a party in the High Court and in the Courts subordinate to it for the official year 1894-95

Names.	Original Suits.												Regular Appeals.												Summary.											
	Government Plaintiff						Government Defendant.						Government Appellant.						Government Respondent						Government Plaintiff or Appellant.						Government Defendan or Respondent.					
	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government suits	Percentage of cases decided against Government suits	Total number of cases pending	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government suits	Percentage of cases decided against Government suits	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government suits	Percentage of cases decided against Government suits	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government suits	Percentage of cases decided against Government suits	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government suits	Percentage of cases decided against Government suits	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government suits	Percentage of cases
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	
High Court	-	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	-	1	100	-	12	3	4	7	43	57	41	10	3	13	77	23	13	6	2	8	75	25	8	1	4	5	20	8
Arcot North	1	.	1	1	.	100	8	.	6	6	.	100	.	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	2	50	50	.	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	-	-	-	.
Arcot South	1	.	.	.	-	.	15	4	3	7	57	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	.	3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.
Bellary	2	.	.	.	.	.	9	3	2	5	60	40	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.
Canara South	2	1	.	1	100	.	7	3	1	4	75	25	2	2	.	2	100	.	6	2	2	4	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.
Chingleput	2	1	.	1	100	.	16	7	5	*13	54	38	1	1	.	1	100	.	2	2	.	2	100	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Coimbatore	-	.	.	.	.	.	11	3	3	6	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	-	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Cuddapah.	3	-	2	2	.	100	8	6	1	7	86	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.
Ganjam	1	.	.	.	.	.	3	2	.	2	100	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Godavari	1	1	.	1	100	.	14	9	2	11	82	18	1	.	1	1	.	100	3	.	1	1	.	100	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Kistna ×	6	3	.	3	100	.	120	[illegible]	[illegible]	107	21	79	104	38	-	38	100	-	11	3	-	3	100	-	1	1	[illegible]	1	100	.	-	.	.	.	.	.
Kurnool	.	.	.	.	.	.	3	.	[illegible]	3	100	.	-	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	[illegible]	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Madura	1	.	.	.	.	.	23	10	3	13	77	23	-	.	.	.	.	.	4	3	.	3	100	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Malabar North	.	.	.	.	.	.	4	1	.	1	100	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	6	3	3	6	50	50	1	1	.	1	100	.	1	1	.	1	100	.
Malabar South	5	1	1	2	50	50	9	5	2	7	71	29	.	.	.	.	.	.	5	5	5	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.
Vellore	.	.	.	.	.	.	6	4	.	4	100	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	3	1	.	1	100	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.
Salem	.	.	.	.	.	.	11	.	3	3	.	100	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Tanjore	1	1	.	1	100	.	9	3	.	3	100	.	-	-	-	.	.	.	2	1	.	1	100	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	2	.	2	100	.
Tinnevelly.	.	-	.	.	.	.	37	9	3	12	75	25	5	2	.	2	100	.	7	2	.	2	100	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Trichinopoly.	1	1	-	1	100	.	4	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vizagapatam.	16	15	.	15	100	.	4	2	-	2	100	-	2	2	-	2	100	-	4	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agent Ganjam	.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	-	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Agent Godavari	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	-	-	.	-	.	-	-	.	.	-	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Agent Vizagapatam	-	.	.	.	.	.	-	-	.	.	.	.	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
City Civil Court Madras	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	-	-	.	.	.	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Total.	43	24	4	28	86	14	322	99	118	*218	45	55	127	48	5	53	91	9	99	37	10	47	79	21	15	8	2	10	80	20	11	4	4	8	50	5

* One suit was decided partly in favor of Government and partly against it. It is not included in

Ag. Registrar

High Court of Judicature
Appellate Side, Madras,
4th May 1895.

365

Enclosure No. I, to letter from the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras, dated 4th May 1895, No. 1368.

1895
Pub. Dept.
Enclos. No. 1
To 2293
C. No.

Statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party during the Official Year 1894-95.

No. 1368.

High Court of Judicat
Madras, 4th May 1895

I
CURRENT NO. 2293

From

D. W. G. Cowie Esquire,
Ag. Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side, Madras

To

The Honorable J. F. Price C. S. I.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George

Honorable Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a complete statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party during the Official Year 1894-95, to be substituted for the statement sent with my letter No. 1278, dated 30 April 1895.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
D. W. G. Cowie
Ag. Registrar.

ice,

No.

High Court of Judicature,

Madras, May 1895

From

[illegible]

Registrar of the

High Court of Judicature

at Madras, Madras.

To

The Honorable [illegible]

Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St. George.

Honorable Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party during the official year 1894-95, in continuation of [illegible] dated 30 April 1895.

I have the honor to be,

Honorable Sir,

Your most obedient servant

[G. / 24.]
600—8-3-95.

Papers to be read in proceedings:— Jud. DEPARTMENT.

6P

Print & [illegible]

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 2186 of 1895, dated 30 Apl from the High Court

——— 2293 ——— 4 May ——— do.

Print in full

Current No. 2222 of 1895, dated 6 May from the Chief Judge, C.S.C.

ORDER. dt 17th May 1895 No 1045

P.S.

The foregoing papers showing the extent of litigation in the Courts of the Presidency to which Govt. was a party during the official year 1894-95 will be forwarded to the Secy. of State.

2. In the High Court of Madras & in the Courts subordinate to it there were for disposal 365 original suits, 226 regular appeals & 26 summary suits against 585, 172 & 26, respectively in the previous year. Of the 246 original suits, 100 regular appeals & 18 summary suits disposed of during the year under review, 123 or ~~50~~ 50 p. cent, 85 or 85 percent and 12 or ~~6.6~~ 66.7 percent, respectively were decided in favour of Govt. ag

77·8, 83·5 & 84·2, the percentages for the year 1893-94. In one original suit, the decision was partly in favour of Govt. and partly against it. It is ~~[illegible]~~ observed that, out of 107 original suits disposed of in Kistna in which Govt. was the defendant, no less than 84 were decided against it. The Collr. of Kistna will be requested in the Rev. Dept. to explain, if possible, the nature of those suits and the reason why in so many cases the decision was adverse to Govt.

3. There were only two suits to which Govt. was a party in the Court of Small Causes, Madras, against 6 in the preceding two years; and both of them were compromised ~~settled~~.

D
16.8.95

17/5/95

To the Hon. the C. J. with Jd. 6
" Chief Judge, C. Small Causes
" Rev. Dept. 7

despd. 31/5/95

No. 1046

31/5/95

Copy to the G. of I. Home Dept.

~~despd. 3/6/95 with the Rev.~~

Despatch.

To the Rt. Hon. the Secy. of State for India

Rt. Hon. Sir,

Adverting to [illegible]

Returns showing the extent of litigation to which Govt. was a party

C.S.

[illegible]

Probably there were [illegible]

we have the honor to transmit the accompanying copy of our Pro. reviewing the returns which [illegible] the extent of litigation to which Govt. was a party in the Civil [illegible] of this Presy. during the official year 1894-95.

copy sent to Press.

eturned for signature.

espatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

AGAIN G.O., No.
189
DATED

RENT No.

OSURE TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT

OF GOVERNMENT.

WITH DITTO.

LED UP; BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
H = Print heading only.