Judicial (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No 1045-46

Dated 17th May 1895

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

SUITS.

Directing, with remarks, that the papers showing the extent of litigation in the courts of the Presidency to which Government was a party during the year 1894-95, be forwarded to the Secretary of State and transmitting a copy of the Proceedings to the Government of India, Home Department.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1629		95				
	2014		96				
	2037		96				

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras, dated 30th April 1895, No. 1278.

From D. W. G. COWIE, Esq., Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 4th May 1895, No. 1368.

I am directed to forward herewith a complete statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party during the official year 1894–95, to be substituted for the statement sent with my letter No. 1278, dated 30th April 1895.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing the number of suits to which the Government was a party in the High Court and in the Courts subordinate to it for the official year 1894–95.

Names.	Original Suits.										Regular Appeals.										Summary.									
	Government Plaintiff.						Government Respondent.						Government Appellant.						Government Plaintiff or Appellant.						Government Defendant or Respondent.			
	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total number of cases pending.	Decided in favour of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favour of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
High Court ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	..	1	100	..	12	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Arcot, North ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8	6	1	7	86	14	..	..	..	..	..	..	41	37	4	41	90	10	13	8	2	10	80	20
Arcot, South ..	1	..	1	1	100	100	15	4	11	15	27	73	3	2	1	3	67	33	3	3	..	3	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	9	3	6	9	33	67	..	..	..	..	..	..	6	2	4	6	33	67	1	1	..	1	100	..
Canara, South ..	2	1	..	1	100	..	7	3	4	7	43	57	..	..	..	..	..	..	6	3	3	6	50	50	2	1	..	1	100	..
Chingleput ..	2	1	..	1	100	..	16	3	13	16	19	81	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	1	2	50	50	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	11	7	4	11	64	36	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Cuddapah ..	3	..	2	2	..	100	8	6	2	8	75	25	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Ganjám ..	1	..	..	..	..	..	3	2	1	3	67	33	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Gódávari ..	1	1	..	1	100	..	14	9	5	14	64	36	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	1	2	3	33	67	1	1	..	1	100	..
Kistna ..	6	3	1	4	75	25	129	23	84	107	21	79	1	1	..	1	100	..	11	4	6	10	40	60	8	2	1	3	67	33
Kurnool ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	3	..	3	100	..	1	1	..	1	100	..	6	5	1	6	83	17	1	1	..	1	100	..
Madura ..	1	..	..	..	..	..	23	10	13	23	43	57	..	..	..	..	..	..	4	3	1	4	75	25	..	..	..	..	..	..
Malabar, North ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	4	3	1	4	75	25	..	..	..	..	..	..	6	5	1	6	83	17	..	..	..	..	..	..
Malabar, South ..	5	1	1	2	50	50	9	5	2	7	71	29	..	..	..	..	..	..	5	5	..	5	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nellore ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6	4	..	4	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	3	..	3	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Salem ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	11	3	8	11	27	73	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tanjore ..	1	..	..	..	..	..	9	3	6	9	33	67	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	2	100	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevelly ..	1	..	..	..	..	..	37	3	34	37	8	92	..	..	..	..	..	..	7	5	2	7	71	29	2	2	..	2	100	..
Trichinopoly ..	1	..	..	..	..	..	4	1	3	4	25	75	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam ..	16	1	15	16	6	94	4	2	2	4	50	50	..	..	..	..	..	..	4	1	3	4	25	75	2	2	..	2	100	..
Agent, Ganjám ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Agent, Gódávari ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	6	5	1	6	83	17	..	..	..	..	..	..
Agent, Vizagapatam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5	3	2	5	60	40	..	..	..	..	..	..
City Civil Court, Madras ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	2	1	3	67	33	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..	43	24	4	28	86	14	322	99	118	*218	45	55	127	48	5	53	91	9	99	37	10	47	21	79	15	8	2	10	80	20

* One suit was decided partly in favour of Government and partly against it. It is not included in columns 9 and 10 but only in column 11.

From R. B. MICHELL, Esq., Chief Judge, Court of Small Causes, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 1st May 1895, No. 442.

With reference to G.O., Judicial, dated 29th March 1865, No. 484, and also G.O., dated 6th November 1891, No. 2292, I have the honour to forward the accompanying statement showing the number of suits instituted in this Court during the official year 1894–95 to which Government was a party.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing the number of suits to which Government was a party in the Court of Small Causes, Madras.

Suit No.	Date of file.	Plaintiff.	Defendant.	Cause of action.	Date of disposal.	Remarks.
6370–94	11th April 1894.	The Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India in Council.	N. Vythelinga Chetty.	Rs. 12, being the rent of a room in the North Battery wall, Black Town, due for three months from 1st January to 31st March 1894 at Rs. 4 per mensem.	25th April 1894.	Settled.
4476–95	20th March 1895.	Do. do. ..	Muhammad Abdul Wahab.	Rs. 60, being the balance due of stipend paid to defendant as a stipendiary student at the Government Muhammadan Normal School, Triplicane, and repayable by him under an agreement, dated 23rd June 1888.	5th April 1895.	Do.

ORDER—dated 17th May 1895, No. 1045, Judicial.

The foregoing papers showing the extent of litigation in the Courts of the Presidency to which Government was a party during the official year 1894–95 will be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

2. In the High Court of Madras and in the Courts subordinate to it there were for disposal 365 original suits, 226 regular appeals and 26 summary suits against 585, 172 and 26, respectively, in the previous year. Of the 246 original suits, 100 regular appeals and 18 summary suits disposed of during the year under review, 123 or 50 per cent., 85 or 85 per cent., and 12 or 66·7 per cent., respectively, were decided in favour of Government against 77·8, 83·5 and 84·2, the percentages for the year 1893–94. In one original suit the decision was partly in favour of Government and partly against it. It is observed that out of 107 original suits disposed of in Kistna in which Government was the defendant, no less than 84 were decided against it. The Collector of Kistna will be requested in the Revenue Department to explain, if possible, the nature of these suits and the reason why in so many cases the decision was adverse to Government.

3. There were only two suits to which Government was a party in the Court of Small Causes, Madras, against five in the preceding two years, and both of them were compromised.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, with C.L.
" Chief Judge, Court of Small Causes.
" Revenue Department.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 17th May 1895, No. 1046, Judicial.

Copy to the Government of India, Home Department.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., &c., 17th May 1895,
Nos. 1045, 1046.

MAY.

Suits.

Directing, with remarks, that the papers showing the extent of litigation in the Courts of the Presidency to which Government was a party during the year 1894–95 be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

GOVT. OF FORT ST. GEORGE.

JUDICIAL (1895) *DEPARTMENT.*

LETTER to the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India.

Dated 25 May,

No. 4 of 1895.

Transmitting copy of Proceedings reviewing the returns which show the extent of litigation to which Government was a party in the Civil Courts of its Presidency during the official year 1894–95.

Ans

Please strike off 20 copies.

Encl
31/5

29 MAY 1895 No. 4, JUDICIAL.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—to

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR,

Adverting to Sir Charles Wood's despatch, dated 26th June 1862, No. 17, we have the honour to transmit the accompying copy of our Proceedings reviewing the returns which show the extent of litigation to which Government was a party in the Civil Courts of this Presidency during the official year 1894–95.

We have the honour to be,
Right Honourable Sir,
Your most obedient, humble servants,

(Signed) W. Wedderburn
" / H. W. Bliss
" / J. Grose.

OOTACAMUND,
29 May 1895.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.

CURRENT NO. 2186

Judicial {1895.} Department.

Go 1176/77: 17-5-94 and
484/65 Sc
2292/91 Sc

No.

FROM

The Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

La Anyan precedent —
29.3.65 N° 484
22.6.91 N° 2292 —

Dated 30 April
Received 2nd May } 1895.

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
-2 MAY 1895

No. 1278.
Enc ... one.

Forwarding statement of litigation to which the Government was a party in the High Court and in the Courts subordinate to it during the official year 1894-95, except of South Malabar.

Rec
29-26 u 9
Issd 29-3-95

1877-2-21-9-9
Cat 4/95

Every letter, whether printed or in manuscript, should be docketed and abstracted on the back; * * * * * and the abstract should state clearly the purport of the letter and not merely its title subject. * * * * * *

Enclosures and statements also, whether sent with letters, or merely under cover, should be numbered, docketed and abstracted. The headings of statements should be written in full to prevent mistakes. (G. O., 8th April 1893, No. 239, Public.)

Statement showing the number of ...s to which the Governm...
in the Courts Subordinate ... it for the official ye...

No.

Statement showing the number of S… in the Courts Subordinate … suits to which the Government was a party in the High Court an… to it for the official year 1894-95.

Names.	Original Suits.											Regular appeals.											Summary.									
	Government Plaintiff						Government Defendant					Government Appellant						Government Respondent					Government Appellant or Plaintiff						Government Respondent or Defendant				
	Total Number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Govt.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total Number of Cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Total Number of Cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total Number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total Number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total Number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	31	32	33	34	35	36
High Court.	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	100	-	12	3	4	7	43	57	41	10	3	13	77	23	13	6	2	8	25	8	1	4	5	20
Arcot (North).	1	-	1	1	-	100	8	-	6	6	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	2	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arcot (South).	1	-	-	-	-	-	15	4	3	7	57	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bellary.	2	-	-	-	-	-	9	3	2	5	60	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Canara (South)	2	1	-	1	100	-	7	3	1	4	75	25	2	2	-	2	100	-	6	2	2	4	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chingleput	2	1	-	1	100	-	16	7	5	13*	58	38	1	1	-	1	100	-	2	2	-	2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coimbatore.	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	3	3	6	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuddapah.	3	-	2	2	-	100	8	6	1	7	86	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganjam.	1	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Godavari	1	1	-	1	100	-	14	9	2	11	82	18	1	-	1	1	-	100	3	-	1	1	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kistna	6	3	-	3	100	-	120	23	8	31	74	26	79	104	38	-	38	100	-	17	3	-	3	100	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kurnool	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madura	1	-	-	-	-	-	23	10	3	13	77	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	-	3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Malabar (North)	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	3	3	6	50	50	1	1	-	1	100	-	1	1	-	1	100
Nellore.	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	4	-	4	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Salem.	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	3	3	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tanjore.	1	1	-	1	100	-	9	3	-	3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	100
Tinnevelly.	-	-	-	-	-	-	37	9	3	12	75	25	5	2	-	2	100	-	7	2	-	2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trichinopoly.	1	1	-	1	100	-	4	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vizagapatam.	16	15	-	15	100	-	4	2	-	2	100	-	2	2	-	2	100	-	4	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agent. Ganjam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agent. Godavari.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agent. Vizagapatam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City Civil Court Madras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	38	23	3	26	88	12	313	94	116	210	555	127	48	5	53	91	9	94	32	10	4	27	62	24	15	8	2	10	80	20	11	4	4	8	50

* One Suit was decided partly in favor of Government and partly against it. It is included in columns 9 and 10 but only in column 11.

High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras, 30th April, 1895.

D. W. E. Comrie
Registrar.

Acting

P.K.R.

Enclosure No. one ; to letter from the
High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras,
dated 30 April 1895, No. 1278.

1895
Jud. Dept
Enclos. No 1

2186.

Statement of litigation
to which the Government was
a party in the High Court
and in the Courts subordinate
thereto except of South Malabar,
during 1894-95.

300—8-4-95.

1 abstract

No. 1278.

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 30 April 1895.

CURRENT NO. 2186.

From

D. W. G. Comie, Esquire,
Ag. Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side, Madras.

To

The Honorable J. F. Price, C. I. E.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Honorable Sir,

I have the honor to forward the Statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party in the High Court and in the Courts subordinate to it during the Official year 1894-95.

The return from South Malabar not having been received, the Statement submitted herewith does not contain the figures for that District. Reminders have been sent to the District Judge. On receipt of his return an amended statement will be submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
D. W. G. Comie
Ag. Registrar.

Statement showing the number of suits to which Government was a party in the Court of Small Causes, Mad

Suit-No.	Date of File	Plaintiff	Defendant	Cause of Action	Date of Disposal	Remarks.
6370-94	11-4-94	The Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India in Council	N. Vythelinga Chetty	Rs 12/- being the rent of a room in the North Battery wall, Black Town, due for 3 months from 1 Janry. to 31 March 1894, at Rs 4 per mensem.	25-4-94	Settled.
4476-95-	20-3-95-	do.	Mahomed Abdul Wahab	Rs 60/- being the balance due of stipend paid to Defendant as a stipendary student at the Govt. Mahomedan Normal School, Triplicane, & repayable by him under an agreement of 23 June 1888.	5-4-95-	Settled.

Court of Small Causes
Madras, 1 May 1895.

Registrar

Encl: 1

Statement of Suits to which
Govt. was a party.

Dy 1 May 1895.

1895
Jud. Dept.
Bundle No. 1
To
C. No. 2222.

No. 442

Court of Small Causes
Madras, 1st May 1895.

CURRENT NO. 2222

From

R. B. Michell Esq.,
Chief Judge,
Court of Small Causes,
Madras,

To

The Hon'ble Mr. J. F. Price C.S.I.,
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to Govt. Judicial, dated 29 March 1865, No. 484, and also Govt. dated 6 November 1891, No. 2292, I have the honor to forward the accompanying statement showing the number of suits instituted in this Court during the Official year 1894-95, to which Government was a party.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

R. B. Michell
Chief Judge.

My

Judicial 1895. Deptt.

From

CURRENT NO. 2222

R. B. Mitchell Esq.

Chief Judge,

Court of Small Causes

Madras.

Despatched-No 2186/95.

To

No 1176/7-17-5-95.

The Hon'ble Mr. J. F. Price C.S.I.

Chief Secretary to the

Govt. of Madras.

No. 442

Dated 1
Despatched
Received 3rd } May 1895.
Regd " 4 "

-3 MAY. 1895

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Enc: Nil.

Subject.

Forwg statement of the nos of Suits instituted in the Court during 1894-95, to which Govt were party.

RO 8/5 MPV

Intd: 6-5-95

2292 = 6-11-71 Mrs Pd
484 = 29-3-65

Attd pr cn 2186/95 and 5 ors

Regd 7-5-95

Judicial 1895 Department.

No.

From

The Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

Dated 4 May } 1895.
Received

CHIEF SECRETARY
6 MAY 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Regd 7-5-95

2186

CURRENT NO. 2293

No. 1368.
Enc one

Forwarding statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party during the official year 1894-95.

Requisite papers on
15/5/95
Please furnish precedents
& Rly pp

8/5/95

J. C. C. & K

Please send an urgent reminder to the Small Cause Court calling for the required statement and state

Every letter, whether printed or in manuscript, should be docketed and abstracted on the back ; * * * * and the abstract should state clearly the purport of the letter and not merely its title subject, * * * * *

Enclosures and statements also, whether sent with letters, or merely under cover, should be numbered, docketed and abstracted. The headings of statements should be written in full to prevent mistakes. (G. O., 8th April 1893, No. 289, Public.)

Statement shows par

Subordinate to

8

One

Statement showing the number of suits & which the Government was a party in the High Court and in the Courts subordinate to it for the official year 1894-95

Names.	Original Suits.												Regular Appeals.												Summary.											
	Government Plaintiff						Government Defendant.						Government Appellant.						Government Respondent						Government Plaintiff or Appellant.						Government Defendant or Respondent.					
	Total Number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total Number of Cases pending	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total Number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total Number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total Number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.	Total Number of cases pending.	Decided in favor of Government.	Decided against Government.	Total decided.	Percentage of cases decided in favor of Government.	Percentage of cases decided against Government.
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	
High Court	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	100	-	12	3	4	7	43	57	41	10	3	13	77	23	13	6	2	8	75	25	8	1	4	5	20	8
Arcot North	1	-	1	1	-	100	8	-	6	6	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	2	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Arcot South	1	-	-	-	-	-	15	4	3	7	57	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bellary	2	-	-	-	-	-	9	3	2	5	60	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Canara South	2	1	-	1	100	-	7	3	1	4	75	25	2	2	-	2	100	-	6	2	2	4	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chingleput	2	1	-	1	100	-	16	7	5	13*	54	38	1	1	-	1	100	-	2	2	-	2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Coimbatore	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	3	3	6	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cuddapah	3	-	2	2	-	100	8	6	1	7	86	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ganjam	1	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Godavari	1	1	-	1	100	-	14	9	2	11	82	18	1	-	1	1	-	100	3	-	1	1	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kistna X	6	3	-	3	100	-	120	2	107	21	79		104	38	-	38	100	-	11	3	-	3	100	-	1	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kurnool	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	3	3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Madura	1	-	-	-	-	-	23	10	3	13	77	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	-	3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Malabar North	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	3	3	6	50	50	1	1	-	1	100	-	1	1	-	1	100	-
Malabar South	5	1	1	2	50	50	9	5	2	7	71	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nellore	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	4	-	4	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Salem	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	3	3	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tanjore	1	1	-	1	100	-	9	3	-	3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	100	-
Tinnevelly	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	9	3	12	75	25	5	2	-	2	100	-	7	2	-	2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Trichinopoly	1	1	-	1	100	-	4	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Vizagapatam	16	15	-	15	100	-	4	2	-	2	100	-	2	2	-	2	100	-	4	1	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Agent Ganjam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Agent Godavari	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Agent Vizagapatam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
City Civil Court Madras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total.	43	24	4	28	86	14	322	99	118	218*	45	55	127	48	5	53	91	9	99	37	10	47	79	21	15	8	2	10	80	20	11	4	4	8	50	5

* One suit was decided partly in favor of Government and partly against it. It is not included in

High Court of Judicature
Appellate Side, Madras.
4th May 1895.

Asst. Registrar

Enclosure No. I ; to letter from the
High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, Madras,
dated 4th May 1895, No. 1368 .

1895
Judl. Dept.
Enclos. No 1
To
C. No 2293

Statement showing
the extent of litigation to
which the Government was
a party during the Official
year 1894-95.

300—8-4-95.

No. 1368. High Court of Judicature
Madras, 4th May 1895

I
CURRENT NO. 2293.

From

D. W. G. Comie Esquire,
Ag. Registrar of the
High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side, Madras

To

The Honorable J. F. Price C. I. S.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George

Honorable Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a Complete statement showing the extent of litigation to which the Government was a party during the Official year 1894-95, to be substituted for the State-ment sent with my letter No. 1278, dated 30 April 1895.

I have the honor to be,
Honorable Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
D. W. G. Comie
Ag. Registrar.

P.KR

[G. 24.]
600—8·3·95.

Papers to be read in proceedings:— Jud. DEPARTMENT.

6P

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 2186 of 1895, dated 30 April from H. High Court

2283 — 4 May — do.

Print in full

Current No. 2222 of 1895, dated 6 May, from H. Chief Judge, C.S.C.

ORDER. d/17 "May 1895 No 1045
P.S.

The foregoing papers showing the extent of litigation in the Courts of the Presidency to which Govt was a party during the official year 1894-95 will be forwarded to the Secy. of State.

2. In the High Court of Madras & in the Courts subordinate to it there were for disposal 365 original suits, 226 regular appeals & 26 summary suits against 585, 172 & 26, respectively in the previous year. Of the 246 original suits, 101 regular appeals & 18 summary suits disposed of during the year under review, 123 or 50 p. cent, 85 or 85 per cent & 12 or 66.7 per cent, respectively were decided in favor of Govt as

77.8, 83.5 & 84.2. The percentages for the year 1893-94. In one original suit, the decision was partly in favor of Govt and partly against it. It is observed that, out of 107 original suits disposed of in Kistna in which Govt was the defendant, no less than 84 were decided against it. The Collr of Kistna will be requested in the Rev. Dept. to explain, if possible, the nature of those suits and the reason why in so many cases the decision was adverse to Govt.

3. There were only two suits to which Govt was a party in the Court of Small Causes, Madras, against 6 in the preceding two years; and both of them were compromised settled.

Re returns showing the extent of litigation to which Govt was a party

17/5/95 — D 16.8.50

To the Hon the C.J. with Gd. 6
" Chief Judge C. Small causes
" Rev. Dept. 7
No. 1046 dated 31/5/95

31/5/95 Entered

Copy to the G. of I. Home Dept.

dated 31/5/95 with the Res. Despatch.

To the Rt. Hon the Secy. of State for India

Rt. Hon'ble,

I have the honor to transmit the accompanying copy of my Pro. reviewing the returns which show the extent of litigation to which Govt was a party in the Civil Courts of this Presy during the official year 1894-95.

I have &c

---

copy sent to Press.

returned for signature.

despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189

RENT No.

SUBMITTED TO G. No.

OF GOVERNMENT .. .. ..

OF GOVERNMENT.

WITH DITTO.

FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
H = Print heading only.