

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____ *ly*

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

DEPARTMENT

CONFIDENTIAL

G.O. No.	1729	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Judicial		
Dated	14 — 7-1894 ¹⁹⁹⁷	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Godwin Hall
10th June 1894

CHIEF SECRETARY
13 JUN 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To,
H. E. Lord Wellock, S.C.J.
the Governor of Madras in Council.

The petition of H. W. Burreton
late Madras Police
humly sheweth,

- (1) That much to his surprise your
petitioner received an order of Govt. No.
825 in the Judicial Dept dated 6.6.94
directing the termination of his probation
in the Madras Police. The reasons set
forth for the termination of his probation
are
(a) carelessness & indifference in his
work.
(b) debt.
(c) consequent on (a) & (b) he is unfit
for promotion.
- (2) The charges mentioned above in
para 1 (a) he utterly repudiates &
that mentioned in (b) was not his
own fault & was unavoidable.
The third charge is a matter of personal
opinion consequent on the first two
charges & if the latter fall through
the third cannot be maintained.
- (3) With reference to the charges of
carelessness & indifference your petitioner
has never previous to the above
been guilty of such.

about 1840. Mr. Adams
a bit officiously that he
of doing work did not meet with
the approval of his Superior
Officers. In fact the review in as
much as when he saw Col. Porten
in quarters during last Easter
holidays (the end of March) he invited
the latter to tell him
that he would receive promotion in
due course during the next Sep. month
& he on the strength of the
Inspector General's words actually ordered
his uniform. It is impossible that
your petitioner could have turned
the words of the Inspector General
to meaning the opposite to that
intended by the latter. Yet the
date of the Inspector General's
letter on which Govt issued their
order is 21. 3. 94. The Inspector
General's letter & words are directly at
variance. If he meant the former
the latter were a wordless piece
of cruelty if the latter then the
former is a mistake.
So show that your petitioner was
not careless or indifferent he
begs to quote the long & troublesome
case of bribery against Inspector
Muhammad Ali Khan.
For this case he spent much time
& trouble & owing to his exertions
a conviction was secured in 1840.
In support of this

invited me to the session
of the Court, Madras Session
& High Court.
This is only one instance & more may
be quoted.
As a proof that he has not done
badly since he was in charge of a
division of petitioners matters referred
to the crime statistics of the division
during the time of his incumbency
& a comparison with those of his
predecessor & this improvement
was effected in a place notorious
for the bad character of the population
& difficulty in getting them to
evidence one against another.
(3) As to the charge of debt. It is
on record that your petitioner had
leave on Sick Certificate from the
beginning of October 1843 to January
(middle) 1844. This sickness of
petitioner contracted in the discharge
of his duty. To recover his health
he was obliged to seek a cool climate,
to do this on his pay was impossible.
He would further beg that it should
be borne in mind that he had
to equip himself with uniform,
having accoutrements & camp
equipment in receiving his postulatory
appointment.
It was impossible for him to defray
the cost of them without running
into debt. Seeing that his actual
pay & allowances did not suffice
to meet living expenses.

your father would put
question how was it possible
for him to meet these expenses
out of a pay of Rs 150.14.11
allowance of Rs 15, & that
during the time your petitioner
was sick his pay was Rs 75. per
month only.

It will be seen from this that it
was beyond yr. petitioner's power to am-
mounting into debt.

It is hard & unfair, this debt being
incumbent, that it should be cast on
your petitioner's teeth as a reason
for dismissal from his appointment.

(4) Your petitioner maintains that
he has shown above sufficient reason
for being restored to his appointment
& would therefore pray that he be
restored to his proper post or at
least that his term of probation be
extended.

And yr. petitioner will ever
remain, Sir,

H. W. B. Burton.

Note by the Hon. Mr. Bliss C. 29
against the para relating to Inspector
Muhammad Azam's case:-

80. 1705
10-7-94

"This Inspector's appeal is
with one now, with a copy of Mr.
B's report. One of the statements
in the papers is that Mr. B. in
examining witnesses actually
used the accused Inspector as his
Interpreter

(2d) H. W. B.
15/6

Note for Mr.

As Mr. B's petition had to
go in original, Mr. B's remark
(Quoted above) was erased
from the margin of it.

H. W. B.
15/6

Commissariat Dept.

2,560-28-2-94.

Plations

No. 4303.

Government of Madras.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT,

Cotacamund the 12th July 1894.

Confidential

Read the following:—

Proceedings of Government, Judicial Department, No. 1390 dated 8th June 1894. Confidential.

Order. — Communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief in continuation of Proceedings of Government No. 3798 dated 20th June 1894.

(True Extract)

D. S. Woodcock. Colonel

Offg. Secretary to Government

To

The Adjutant General

" Commissary General

" Judicial Dept.

with copy of P.G. No. 3798 dated 20th June 1894.

Ex 1705
10-7-94

MILITARY DEPARTMENT, 20TH JUNE 1894, No. 3798.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Commissariat Department—Rations.

Read the following paper:—

No. 169. *From Major E. DEBRATH, for Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to Colonel D. J. S. McLEOD, Officiating Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department; dated Simla, the 7th June 1894, No. 2543, D.*

Commissariat Department—Rations.

I am directed to forward, for the information of the Governor in Council, a copy of Military Department letter No. 1829-D, dated 20th April 1894, and enclosure, relating to the manner in which the slaughter of cattle for the rations of troops in cantonments and on the line of march should be carried out.

2. With reference thereto, I am to request that, under the orders of His Excellency, instructions may be issued, in accordance with paragraph 3 of the Military Department letter quoted above, to all Commanding Officers, and that steps may be taken to insure these orders being communicated to all British troops on their arrival in India.

From Colonel P. J. MAITLAND, for Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to the Adjutant-General in India; dated Simla, the 20th April 1894, No. 1829-D (Commissariat Department—Store-Supplies).

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 416, Camp, "Commissariat Department," dated the 2nd February 1894, I am desired to forward, for the information of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, the accompanying copy of a letter addressed to the Commissary-General-in-Chief on the subject of the slaughter of cattle for the rations of troops in cantonments and on the line of march.

2. It will be observed that cattle for the rations of British troops on the march or in camp are in future to be slaughtered in screened shambles, the kanats or screens for which are to be provided by the Commissariat department; and that in camps the meat is, whenever possible, to be carried to the ration stands in covered carts or other receptacles. In cantonments this is invariably to be done.

3. I am to request that, under His Excellency's orders, instructions to the above effect may be issued to all Commanding Officers, and that steps may be taken to insure these orders being communicated to all British troops on their arrival in India.

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to the Commissary-General in-Chief; dated Simla, the 20th April 1894, No. 1828-D (Commissariat Department—Store-Supplies).

The Government of India have recently had under consideration the subject of the manner in which the slaughter of cattle for the rations of troops in cantonments and on the line of march should be carried out, and have decided that the following rules should be carefully observed in future:—

- (a) Cattle for the rations of British troops on the march, or in a standing camp, should invariably be slaughtered in a part of the camp as far as possible from public roads and dwelling-houses or other buildings, the actual site being screened from view with mats or kanats to be provided by the Commissariat department. Orders to

Same as above
80-1300-2nd
2-6-94

this effect should be issued to all Executive Commissariat officers for the guidance of their agents accompanying the troops. The attention of the civil authorities will be directed to the necessity for a careful selection of the sites in which the cattle are to be slaughtered in each case.

In quarters or cantonments, slaughter-houses are specially provided; but as it is understood that the walls surrounding some of these buildings are not sufficiently high to prevent the operations carried on within being exposed to the public view, I am to ask that steps may be taken to remedy the defects wherever they exist.

- (b) When troops are under canvas, the meat should, whenever possible, be carried in covered carts, or in baskets or other receptacles covered with cloths, from the shambles to the ration stand. There may be occasions on service, or in the case of flying columns, when this rule cannot be observed, but it should be carried out in ordinary marches during peace time without difficulty.

In cantonments, covered carts should invariably be used for the above purpose.

2. These orders, while disposing of the question of the slaughter of cattle for the consumption of the troops and the conveyance of the meat from the slaughter-houses or shambles to the ration stands, leave for consideration that of the method in which slaughter-cattle are to be brought to the various cantonments. On this question no radical change is suggested, but I am to point out that the Government of India recognize the principle that the work of the Commissariat department should be conducted with as little occasion of offence to the Hindu population as may be possible, and to request that you will take such measures as may be practicable in view to the observance, as far as possible, of this principle.

No. 170.

ORDER THEREON, 20th June 1894, No. 3798.

Communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief with the request that the necessary instructions may be issued in accordance with paragraph 3 of Military Department letter No. 1829-D., dated 20th April 1894, to all Commanding Officers and that steps may be taken to ensure the orders of the Government of India being communicated to all British troops on their arrival in India.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. J. S. McLEOD, Colonel,
Offg. Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.
" Commissary-General.
Copy to the Revenue Department.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.
MILITARY.

CONFIDENTIAL.

To
THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.,

Dated June, 20th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th June 1894, No. 3798.

JUNE
Nos. 169-170.

Commissariat Department—Rations.

Slaughter of Cattle.—Correspondence from the Government of India relative to the manner in which the — for the rations of troops in cantonments and on the line of march should be carried out, communicated, with instructions, to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

Judicial
MILITARY (1894) DEPARTMENT.

Former papers.

Current Number. 3457

From

The mil. Dept.

No.

4303.

Dated 12th July 1894.

Received 14

Registered

Recd. by Ref. Dept.

Disposed of by do.

14 JUL 1894

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

ENCLOSURES

P.G., No. , dated

one.

Subject.

Relative to the manner in which
the Slaughter of Cattle for the
Rations of Troops in Cantonments
and on the line of march is
to be carried out

Order 14 July 1894, No 1729.

Recorded in continuation
of 80 878 June 1894, No 1390

BACK PAPER, No.

FORWARD PAPER, No.

Spare copies.

Struck

Issued

Total

In Stock

ORDER, No. OF GOVERNMENT, DATED

ENCLOSURES

ORDER No.

STATEMENT WITH DISPO.

ORDER, No. OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DISPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not pagged).

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

[H]

Confidential No. 87
1894

Indicia DEPARTMENT
G.O., DATED 14-7-94
No. 1729
Series. WIS

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched

[Despatch Abstract.]

Kine. killing

Commissioner issued the
in Muhammad Leevaji
(the power of issuing Arms
licenses has been conferred
on the Commissioner alone) & why
he did not consult the Resident
before issuing it.

(From orders)
The Res. in T.C. (A 207) 20th July
Comm. of Pol. Madras (A 20) 20th July
Despatch to the S. of S.
Rt. Hon. Secy, No. 8

We have the honour to request
that you will be good enough to
institute inquiries regarding a
firm known as Messrs Francis
Times & Co. carrying on business
at No. 27, Leadenhall Street, London.
This firm is said to be the shipping
agent of one Haji Fakir Qader
Mohidin Rowshan of Tinnevely
& it appears to consign
large quantities of percussion
caps to India in this man's
name. Some recent transactions
concerning a consignment of
caps by this firm have been of
a somewhat suspicious character
& we are anxious to ascertain
whether the firm bears a respect-
able character.

Oct 13/8/94

Note for A.S.B.

The attention of the A.S.B. is invited
to H.M.'s instructions that inquiries
should be made concerning Haji
Fakir Qader Mohidin (or Muhammad)
Rowshan & his connection with the
so-called Tinnevely firm, Messrs
Times & Co., the Madras firm, Messrs
Muhammad Leevaji & Co.

C.S.
Muss

(From orders)

Office

When C.S. has passed orders
to send to A.S.B. for
information with all papers
when it returns from him
issue.

The Comm. of Police, Madras
and Supt. of Police
very have been addressed
therein.

Jud. Dept.

189

Special Branch

The character of the
Haji this connection
with the so-called Tinnevely
firm and with Messrs M. Mohamed Jiraji & Co. of Madras.

The immediate question for
decision is the disposal of
the caps & in the first place
it may be noted that the
Assistant Commissioner of
Police, Madras, has no power
to issue Arms Act licenses;
they can be issued by the
Commissioner alone & in this
case it certainly would
have been wiser to consult the
Resident before issuing any
license. The explanation of the Comm. may have called for? Yes
Messrs Jiraji & Co. of Madras
(their connection, by the way,
with Times & Co. is not
apparent) now want to reship
the caps to Times & Co., London.
There is no legal objection to
this course but, considering
the peculiar circumstances of the case,
it would perhaps be better
for the Resident to advise the
Governor to refuse to grant a
license for export to any place
except Bombay.

From Bombay the caps can be
exported to London under
a license granted by the
Secretary to the G. of I., Foreign
Dept. (vide Arms Act Rule 6D
(a)).

Of course the importation
into England will be made in
accordance with the requirements
of the English law.

14/7/94 14 July

R.1817

Yes - Suppose the 6 cases are still
at Calcutta - In here 6 the Res. speaks
of permit being asked for the transport
of 4 cases only & says nothing as to
the other 2 - If the caps are sent
to Bombay info should be sent to
the Comm. of Police there.

18/7/94

Adel

No. 3253

From

Resident in P. & C.

Dated 29 June 1894

FOR ORDERS.

This is the case referred to in late Gigg's letter of the 21st inst. last read in G.O. 7 14 April 1894, No 915, Ind.

The Resident's remarks are not quite clear but it would appear that the "Times & Co., Tinnevely" is really the trading name of Haji Fakir Qadr Mohidin (or Muhammad) — the name appears as both) Rowtham & that the real "Times & Co." are the Haj's shipping agents & have offices at 27 Leadenhall Street, London.

The transactions relative to the cases of caps are rather curious but the tale told by the "firm" may be correct & the manoeuvres may be merely due to a desire to avoid the cost of conveying the cases to Bombay & the difficulty of disposing of them there. At the same time it is possible that there is something more behind & it would do no harm

(1) to ask the Sec. of State whether anything is known of the London firm;

The case seems rather suspicious. Think this may be done. KN

Ind. Dept.

189

Political Department.

From

The Resident in Travancore and Cochin.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Fort St. George.

Dated 29th June 1894.

Received

4-JUL 1894

Refund 116. 1169.

Enclosure.

Regarding 6 cases (30 lakhs) of percussion caps landed at Alleppey consigned to Francis Timest & Co. Tinnevely and now required to be re-shipped to London.

MR 7/7

The Arms & Ammunition Comd. The Trans. Secy. to Govt. Vol II.

MR 7/7

MR 7/7

For order

3478 19-2-94
2178 19-1-94
1388 22-1-94
1138 20-1-94

2438-4
2307-8 9-9-11-93
1795 8-4-9-13
Comms Act rules

Ref. No. 1669

Resident's Office, Travancore

29th June 1894

From

H. B. Giggles, Esq. C.S.

Resident in Travancore and Cochin,

To

The Hon: W. J. F. Price C.S.

Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort St. George,
Cotacamund

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following for
the consideration and orders of Government.

2. On the 22nd June 1892 six cases containing 30
lakhs of percussion caps were landed at Alleppey consigned
to Messrs Francis James and Co, Tinnevely and on the 30th
idem, that firm telegraphed to the Dewan of Travancore requesting
him to order the delivery of the cases to Messrs Andrew and Co
Alleppey for re-shipment as they were shipped to that Port
by mistake on the part of the London Agents of Messrs Francis
James & Co. From the similarity of the marks on the cases to those
on consignments formerly received at Alleppey to the address of
Hajee Fakir Mahomed Rowther a licensed dealer in arms and
ammunition carrying on business in that place, the Travancore
authorities suspected that the cases were consigned to the same
merchant and instituted enquiries through the Resident as
to the character of the Tinnevely firm. The Travancore
Commercial Agent at Alleppey also wrote to Hajee Fakir
Mahomed Rowther on the subject on the 22nd June 1892 and the
telegraphic application to the Dewan referred to above seems to have
been the result of this reference.

3.

HEAD AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18. No.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DICTO.

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT - A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.B.-

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volumes.

BACK PAPER, No.

Con 47

OF 18

1005

27-4-94

FURTHER PAPER, No.

Con 117

OF 18

94

Spare copies.

Struck

.. ..

Issued

.. ..

Total ..

In Stock ..

Judicial DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 30-7-94.
No. 1856
Series. mis.

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exportation of Caps from Travancore

2 Directing the Resident in Travancore to
advise the Diwan to refuse to grant a
license for export of 6 cases of caps which
~~are~~ were consigned to Messrs. Jines & Co. of Bombay,
& are now at Alleppey; Calling upon
the Commr. of Police for to explain the
authority under which the Asstt. Commr.
granted a license to Messrs M. Muhammed
Jewaji & Co of Madras; & stating that
information will be obtained regarding
the London firm "Messrs Jines & Co"
& Haji Fakir Qadr Khund-din Chowdhary

C.F. 73.

Confidential No. 98
1894.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.
No. 915, dated 14-4-1894

e taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

Confidential

Kept in separate bundle
Retained

13/3/04

GOVT. OF FORT ST. GEORGE.

JUDICIAL (1894) DEPT.

LETTER to the Right Honorable
the Secretary of State for
India.

Dated 27th August.

No. 9 of 1894.

Reporting, for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Secretary of State, certain particulars regarding Mr. M. G. A. Worsop, Superintendent of Police in this Presidency.

[G]
[H]

Confidential No. 105
1894.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 15-8-94
No. 1959.
Series. mis.

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched

[Despatch Abstract.]

Directing that the case of
Mr. Worsop, Subtt. of Police be
referred for the orders of the Secy.
of State

For despatch

Reporting for the consideration
of the Rt. Hon. the Secy. of State,
certain particulars regarding
Mr. M. G. A. Worsop, Subtt. of
Police in this Prescy.

[G]
[H]

Confidential No. 107
1894.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 17-8-94
No. 1972
Series. mis.

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched

[Desp

Mr. H. W. B.
Police Pro

Judicial
1333-37 dt. 16.1894
22 1/5 1658 R.H.
Return on 14/2/94

[G
H]Confidential No. 105
1894.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 15-8-94

No. 1959.

Series. mis.

Confidential.

First copy sent to

Returned for signature

Despatched

பொ. ம. புது எண். 24—

துறை.

கட்டுவனினும் எடுக்கப்பட்ட தாள்.

196

[Despat

எடுக்கும் எழுத்தர்
மலது நிறுத்தத்தர்
கருக்கொப்பம்.திருப்பிக்
கொடுத்த
தேதி.நிறுத்தத்தின்
கருக்கொப்பம்.

Directing the
his Wozop, Sur
referred for the
of state

For despatch

Reporting for
of the R. Hon. the S
certain particu
Mr. M. G. A. Woz
Police in this Pr

[G
H]Confidential No. 107
1894.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 17-8-94

No. 1972

Series. mis

Confidential.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. H. W. Brereton, late a
police probationer